

Fagerlund et al., 2015	RCT parallel	Total: 50 Active: 25 Sham: 25	2mA 5x7 cm $J = 0.057$ mA/cm ²	20'	Anodal left M1 Cathode over SO contral.	Total: 5 Daily for 5 days	Pretest After day 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 Posttest (after 30 days)	NRS (pain) FIQ HADS SCL-90R SF-36	Decrease in pain intensity after the 4th day of intervention, but small clinical significance. Small increase in FIQ and SCL- 90 in the active group	Active: -13.6% Sham: -1.7%
Jales Junior et al., 2015	Sham-controlled RCT	Total: 20 Active:10 Sham: 10	1mA 3x5cm $J = 0.067$	20'	Anode over left M1 Cathode over SO contral.	Total: 10 Once/wk for 10 wks	Before treatm. After treatm.	VAS pain SF-36 FIQ Tender points evaluation with algometer	M1 tDCS was effective for therapeutic pain control and improved quality of life. Significant changes in imaging with decreased biparietal hypoperfusion after stimulation.	Active: -40.5% Sham: -16.4%
Khedr et al., 2017	RCT	Total: 36 Active: 18 Sham: 18	2mA 24cm ² $J = 0.083$	20'	Actv. anodal left M1 Cathode over sholder sham	Total: 10 Daily for 10 days	Before treatm. After 5 th sessions After 10 th session After 1 month After 2 months	VAS pain Opioid consumption and other analgesics LANSS	M1 tDCS was effective on all outcome measures for pain and mood; BEL increased after treatment (both anodal and sham groups) showing a negative correlation with all other outcomes in the anodal tDCS group	Active: -38.2% Sham: -17.5%
Mendonca et al., 2016	sham-controlled RCT in add-on to aerobic exercise	Total: 45 1) tDCS/AE (aerobic exerc.): 15 2) AE only: 15	2mA 35m ² $J = 0.057$	20'	Anode over left M1 Cathode over SO contral.	Tota: 5 Daily for 5 days AE 3 times a wk for 4 wks	Before treatm. After day 1, 2, 3 and 4	Visual Numeric Scale (VNS) Pain VNS anxiety Algometer - Pressure Pain Threshold SF-36 BDI	The combination intervention had a significant effect on pain, anxiety and mood	Active: -18.0% Sham: -23.5%

		3) tDCS only: 15						Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation – cortical excitability Adverse Effects		
To et al., 2017	Prospective, single-blinded, placebo controlled, randomized, parallel-group study	Total: 42 Occipital anode: 15 Bifrontal: 11 Sham: 18	1.5mA 35cm ² $J = 0.043$	20'	- Occipital: left and right C2 nerves dermatomes (i.e. left anode, right cathode) - Bilateral: anode left DLPFC cathode right DLPFC	Total: 8 2 sessions per wk, during 4 wks	Before treatm. Immediately after treatm.	NRS pain PCS Modified Fatigue Impact Scale	Repeated sessions of C2 tDCS significantly improved pain, but not fatigue, whereas repeated sessions of DLPFC tDCS significantly improved pain as well as fatigue	Occipital: -29.3% Frontal: -32.4% Sham: -8.3%
Fregni et al., 2006	Randomized, sham-controlled, parallel groups, proof of principle study	Total: 31 M1: 11 DLPFC: 11 Sham: 10	2mA 35cm ² $J = 0.057$	20'	M1: Anode left M1 DLPFC: anode left DLPFC Cathode SO contralat.	Total: 5 5 consecutive days	Before treatment (2 wks observation) After each day of treatment After 21 days	VAS pain Clinician global impression (CGI) and patient global assessment (PGA) Number of tender points FIQ SF-36 BDI VAS anxiety MMSE Stroop test digit span forward and backward Simple reaction time task	Anodal tDCS of the M1 induced significantly greater pain improvement compared with sham stimulation and stimulation of the DLPFC, still significant after 3 weeks of followup, and small positive impact on quality of life	Active (M1): -60.0% Active (DLPFC): -37.5% Sham: -5.4%

Valle et al., 2010	RCT parallel	Total: 41 Active M1: 14 Active DLPFC: 13 Sham: 14	2 mA 5 x 7 cm $J = 0.057$	20'	M1: anode left M1 DLPFC: anode left DLPFC Cathode SO contralat.	Total: 10 For 10 consecutive days	Baseline assessment After end of stimulation After 30 days After 60 days	VAS pain FIQ BDI STAI GDS MMSE Adverse events	Both M1 and DLPFC displayed improvements in pain and quality of life, but only M1 resulted in long-lasting clinical benefits as assessed at 30 and 60 days after the end of treatment.	Active (M1): -33.3% Active (DLPFC): -30.0% Sham: -8.9%
Neuropathic pain										
O'Neill et al., 2018	RTC crossover	Total: 21	1.4 mA 5x5 cm $J = 0.056$	20'	1) Anode over M1 contral to pain (cathode over SO) 2) Cathode over M1 contral to pain (anode over SO)	Varied From 0 to 7 sessions each protocol (anodal, cathodal, sham)	Last assessment: day 14th	Usability of patient-administered tDCs Patient compliance with device NRS pain SF-36 HADS MMSE	Did not show a beneficial effect of tDCS in pain, anxiety, depression or quality of life	Active: -5.0% Cathodal: +3.8% Sham: -0.4%
Lewis et al., 2018 Upper limb neuropathic pain.	Double-blinded, randomized controlled trial.	Total: 30 Active: 13 Sham: 17	1 mA 7x5 cm $J = 0.029$	20'	Anode over left or right M1 (contral. To affect limb) Cathode over SO contralateral	Total: 5 Daily for 5 days	Baseline After 7 days After 21 days After 56 days	BPI SF-MPQ2 Disorders of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand questionnaire (DASH) QST	The outcome measures were re-assessed 1, 3, and 8 weeks following the intervention. rating. Secondary outcome measures included the BPI interference score, Short-Form McGill Pain Questionnaire 2 (SF -MPQ2), Disorders of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand questionnaire (DASH), and QST	Active: -21.0% Sham: -4.0%

									of the nociceptive system.	
Soler et al., 2010 Spinal cord injury	RCT parallel	Total: 40 1) active tDCS + visual illusion (VI): 10 2) a-tDCS: 10 3) sham-tDCS + VI: 9 4) placebo: 10	2 mA 35cm ² <i>J</i> = 0.057	20'	Anode over M1 left or right Cathode over SO contral.	Total: 10 Daily for 10 days	Before treatm. Immediately after After 2 wks After 4 wks After 12 wks	NRS pain Neuropathic pain symptom inventory BPI NRS anxiety Patient global impression of change Adverse effects	Decrease in general pain intensity and in subtypes of neuropathic pain: dysaesthesias. tDCS+VI	Active (a-tDCS + VI): -30.7% Sham (s-tDCS + VI): -11,1%
Wrigley et al., 2013 Spinal cord injury	RCT crossover	Total: 10	2 mA 5x7cm <i>J</i> = 0.057	20'	Anode over M1 left or right Cathode over SO contral.	Total: 5 Daily for 5 days	Initial Pre-treat. During treat. 4 wks after treat. Pre-treat. (after cross-over) 4 wks after cross-over After 4 months	American Spinal Injury Association Impairment Scale Neuropathic Pain Scale BDI Side effects	Not alter significantly after each of the 5 active treatment sessions as well as after 4 weeks of follow-up and 6 months of follow-up.	Active: +5.3% Sham: +2.0%
Bocci et al., 2019 Phantom limb pain	crossover, double-blind, sham-controlled design	Total: 14	2 mA 35cm ² <i>J</i> = 0.08	20'	Anode median line 2 cm bellow inion Cathode over right shoulder	Total: 5 Daily for 5 days	Baseline End of stimulation wk After 2 wks After 4 wks	VAS phantom pain, movements and sensations Laser-Evoked Potentials (LEPs)	Anodal polarization significantly dampened LEP amplitudes, reduced paroxysmal pain, non-painful phantom limb sensations and phantom limb movements	Active: -41.0% Sham: -5.0%

Kim et al., 2013 (b) Diabetic Polyneuropathy	Randomized, Sham Controlled Parallel Trial	Total: 60 3 groups: 1) tDCS M1: 20 2) tDCS DLPFC: 20 3) tDCS sham: 20	2mA 25cm ² <i>J</i> = 0.08	20'	G1: Anode left M1 (C3) G2: Anode left DLPFC (F3) Cathode SO contralateral both	Total: 5 Daily for 5 days	Before treatm. After day 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 After 2 wks After 4 wks	VAS pain Clinical Global Impression (CGI) BDI Pain threshold (PT) using algometer Glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) concentration Adverse effects	tDCS over the M1 produced immediate pain relief, and relief 2- and 4-week in duration, as well as increase in pain threshold, global impression and decrease in anxiety, sleep problems and depression. DLPFC stimulation decreased VAS and increased patient global impression.	Active (M1): -34.0% Active (DLPFC): -22.0% Sham: -13.5%
Other pain syndromes										
Sakrajai et al., 2014 Myofascial pain syndrome	Parallel-group RCT	Total: 31 Active: 16 Sham: 15	1 mA 35cm ² <i>J</i> = 0.029	20 min	anodal tDCS over M1 (contralateral to the most painful side)	Total: 5 Daily for 5 days	Follow-up After 1, 2, 3 and 4 wks	NRS pain Passive range of motion (PROM) Analgesic medication use WHOQOL – Physical functioning	tDCS combined with standard treatment appears to decrease pain intensity. Low benefit at the end of sessions and no benefit at follow-up	Active: - 40.3% Sham: -13.5%
Hagenacker et al., 2014 Trigeminal neuralgia	RCT double-blind cross-over design	Total: 10	1.0mA Anode: 16cm ² <i>J</i> = 0.062 mA/cm ² Ref elct: 50cm ² <i>J</i> = 0.012 mA/cm ²	20'	Anode over M1 (no side specified) Ref elct: SO contralateral.	Total: 14 daily for 2 wks	Before treatm. After treatm.	Verbal Rating Scale (VRS) pain Eletrophysiological: - pain-related evoked potentials (PREP) - nociceptive blink reflex (nBR)	Anodal tDCS reduced pain intensity significantly after two weeks of treatment. The attack frequency reduction was not significant. PREP showed an increased N2 latency and decreased peak-to-peak amplitude after anodal tDCS. No severe adverse events were reported.	Active: -18.0% Sham: +8.3%

Ibrahim et al., 2018 Visceral pain due to Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)	randomized, sham-controlled, double-blind, prospective study.	Total: 40 Active: 20 Sham: 20	2 mA 35cm ² $J = 0.057$	30'	Anodal over M1 contral. Most painful area Cathode over SO contral.	Total: 10 Daily for 10 days	Before stimulation After 1 day After 5 days After 10 days After 1 month	Visual descriptor scale and VAS pain HAMD Side effects	VAS and VDS after the 10th session and one month later. Secondary outcomes were depression reduction on HAM-D.	Active: -46.1% Sham: -21.6%
Lagueux et al., 2018 Complex regional pain syndrome	randomized parallel single blind	Total: 22 Graded motor imagery (GMI) intervention + Active: 11 GMI + sham: 11	2 mA 5X7 cm $J = 0.057$	20'	Anode over M1 contralat. to affected limb Cathode over SO contralat.	Total: 14 5 sessions/day for 2 wks and 1 session/day for 4 wks, all of them during GMI	Baseline After 6 wks of treatment 1 month after the end of treatment	BPI-short form SF-12 Tampa Scale of kinesiophobia (TSK) PCS STAI BDI-II Measures of compliance, adverse effects and blinding	GMI + tDCS induced no statistically significant reduction in pain compared to GMI + sham tDCS. Significant group differences in kinesiophobia, pain catastrophizing and anxiety at T1, but not maintained at T2	Active: -12.5% Sham: -14.5%
Luedtke et al., 2015 Chronic low back pain	sham controlled double blinded randomised controlled trial	Total: 135 Active: 67 Sham: 68	2mA 35cm ² $J = 0.057$	20'	Anode over left M1 Cathode SO contralat.	Total: 5 Daily for 5 days before CBT	Before treatm. After treatm. After 4 wks After 12 wks After 24 wks	VAS (pain) Oswestry disability index Hannover functional ability questionnaire Bothersomeness RAND 36-item health survey Fear avoidance beliefs questionnaire Hospital anxiety and depression score Patient perceived satisfactory	tDCS was ineffective for reduction of pain and disability and did not influence the outcome of cognitive behavioural management	Active: -12.5% Sham: -14.6%

								improvement		
Oliveira et al., 2015 chronic temporomandibular disorders	Double-blinded parallel randomised clinical trial	Total: 32 Active + exercises: 16 Sham + exercise: 16	2 mA No info of electr. size	20'	Anode M1 contral. to pain Cathode SO contralat.	Total: 5 Daily for 5 days after exercises for cervical and mandibular areas	Before treatm. After each day of treatm. After 2 wks After 3 wks After 4 wks	VAS pain severity of Temporomandibular disorder questionnaire (da Fonseca) WHOQOL-BREF Pressure pain threshold with algometer	Groups showed a decrease in pain intensity scores and increase in pressure pain threshold and quality of life, although there were no differences between the groups, indicating no additive effect of tDCS	Active: -49.1% Sham: -42.8%
Silva-Filho et al., 2018 Chikungunya arthralgia	Parallel, sham, randomized, double-blind	Total: 19 Active: 9 Sham: 10	2mA 35cm ² J = 0.057	20'	Anode left M1 Cathode SO contralat.	Total: 5 Daily for 5 days	Before treatm. After 1 day After 5 days After 1 wk	VAS pain MPQ BPI (short form) Hand grip test (with dynamometer) Upper and lower limbs flexion strength tests SF-36	Significant reduction of quality of pain and pain felt on average and at the moment of assessment (post-treatment), as well as reduction of the impact of pain in general activities and normal work	Active: -74.5% Sham: -39.3%
Morin et al., 2017 Provoked vestibulodynia	RCT parallel	Total: 39 Active: 19 Sham: 20	2mA 35 ² cm J = 0.057	20'	Anode M1 (no side specified) Cathode SO contralat.	Total: 10 Consecutive sessions daily, for 2 wks	Before treatment After treatment After 2 wks	Verbal numeric scale – pain MPQ Female sexual function index Female sexual distress scale Global measure of sexual satisfaction patient treatment satisfaction and impression of change Vaginal penetration cognition	No effects of tDCS in reducing pain during intercourse, vestibular sensitivity, or psychological distress, and to improve sexual function	Anodal: -16.8% Sham: -24.0%

								questionnaire PCS IDATE Pain anxiety symptoms scale BDI		
Antal et al., 2010 Various syndromes	RTC crossover	Total: 12	1mA Anode: 4 x 4 cm <i>J</i> = 0.062 Cathode: 5 x 10cm <i>J</i> = 0.02	20'	Anode M1 left Cathode SO contralat.	Total: 5 Daily for 5 days	Before treatm. (between 10 days) After each day of treatm. After 1 wk After 2 wks After 3 wks After 4 wks	VAS pain Transcranial magnetic stimulation – cortical excitability Adverse effects	Anodal tDCS led to a greater improvement in VAS ratings than sham tDCS, evident even three to four weeks post-treatment. Decreased intracortical inhibition was demonstrated after anodal stimulation.	Anodal: -35% Sham: -1%

Notes. RCT = randomized clinical trial; *J* = current density; M1 = primary motor area; DLPFC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; SO = supraorbital region; Oz = occipital region; Cz = vertex region; VAS = visual analogue scale; NRS = numeric rating scale; FIQ = Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire; HADS = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; SC-90R = Symptom Checklist - 90 – Revised; SF-26 = Medical Outcomes Study 36 - Item Short - Form Health Survey; LANSS = The Leeds Assessment of Neuropathic Symptoms and Signs; BDI = Beck Depression Inventory; PCS = Pain Catastrophizing Scale; STAI = State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; GDS = Geriatric Depression Scale; MMSE = Mini-mental State Exam; BPI = Brief Pain Inventory; QST = Quantitative Sensory Testing; WHOQOL = World Health Organization Quality Of Life instrument; HAMD = Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; MPQ = McGill Pain Questionnaire.