

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

## **BMJ Open**

## Comorbidities in people with osteoarthritis (OA): a retrospective analysis of a population-based cohort

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-033334
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	31-Jul-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Marshall, Deborah; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences Liu, Xiaoxiao; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences Barnabe, Cheryl; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Medicine; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences Yee, Karen; Alberta Health Services, Research Facilitation Faris, Peter; Alberta Health Services, Research Facilitation Barber, Claire; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Medicine; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences Mosher, Dianne; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences Noseworthy, Thomas; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences Noseworthy, Thomas; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences Noseworthy, Thomas; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences Negenthert of Surgery Lix, Lisa; University of Manitoba, Department of Community Health Sciences
Keywords:	Osteoarthritis, Comorbidity, Depression, Hypertension < CARDIOLOGY, COPD, Administrative Health Data



For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1		
2		
3	1	Title: Comorbidities in people with osteoarthritis (OA): a retrospective analysis of a
4	2	population-based cohort
5		
6	3	Deborah A Marshall PhD <sup>1,2*</sup> , Xiaoxiao Liu PhD <sup>1</sup> , Chervl Barnabe MD MSc <sup>1,2</sup> , Karen Yee MSc
7	4	MPH <sup>3</sup> Peter Faris PhD <sup>3</sup> Claire EH Barber MD PhD <sup>1,2</sup> Dianne Mosher PhD <sup>1</sup> Tom Noseworthv <sup>2</sup>
8	5	Iason Werle <sup>4</sup> Lisa M Lix <sup>5</sup>
9	ć	
10	6	
11	7	<sup>1</sup> Department of Community Health Sciences, Cumming School of Medicine, University of
12	8	Calgary
13	9	
14	10	<sup>2</sup> Department of Medicine, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary
15	11	
10	12	<sup>3</sup> Research Facilitation, Alberta Health Services, Calgary
17 18	13	
10	14	<sup>4</sup> Department of Surgery, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary
20	15	
21	16	<sup>o</sup> Department of Community Health Sciences, Manitoba, Winnipeg
22	17	
23	10	
24	18	
25	19	* Correspondence: Deborah A Marshall, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary.
26	20	3280 Hospital Drive NW, HRIC Building, Room 3C58, Calgary, AB, T2N 4Z6, Canada, E-mail
27	21	address: damarsha@ucalgary.ca
28	2.2	
29	22	
30	23	
31	24	
22 22	25	
34	26	Word Count
35	27	A hotragt: 200
36	21	Abstract. 200
37	28	Body: 3,396
38	29	Number of tables: 3
39	30	Number of figures: 1
40		
41		
42		
43 44		
44 15		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55		
56		
5/		
28 50		
22		

## 31 Abstract

32 Objectives: The purpose of this study is to estimate the prevalence of comorbidities among people
 33 with osteoarthritis (OA) using administrative health data.

**Design:** Retrospective cohort analysis

35 Setting: All residents in the province of Alberta Canada registered with the Alberta Health Care
 36 Insurance Plan (AHCIP) population registry.

Participants: 497,362 people with OA as defined by "having at least one OA-related hospitalization, or at least two OA-related physician visits or two ambulatory care visits within two years".

Primary outcome measures: We selected eight comorbidities based on literature review, clinical consultation and the availability of validated case definitions to estimate their frequencies. Sexstratified age-standardized prevalence rates per 1,000 population of eight clinically relevant comorbidities were calculated using direct standardization with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). We

21 43 applied  $\chi^2$  tests of independence with a Bonferroni correction to compare the percentage of

22 44 comorbid conditions in each age group.

**Results:** 54.6% (n=271,794) of people meeting the OA case definition had at least one of the eight selected comorbidities. Females had a significantly higher rate of comorbidities compared to males (standardized rates ratio = 1.26, 95% CI: 1.25-1.28). Depression, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and hypertension were the most prevalent in both females and males after age-standardization, with 40% of all cases having any combination of these comorbidities. We observed a significant difference in the percentage of comorbidities among age groups, illustrated by the youngest age group (<45 years) having the highest percentage of cases with depression (24.6%), compared to a frequency of 16.1% in those >65 years. 

53
 53
 54
 55
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 59
 50
 50
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 50
 50
 50
 51
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 55
 56
 57
 57
 58
 59
 59
 50
 50
 50
 51
 51
 52
 53
 54
 54
 55
 55
 56
 57
 57
 58
 59
 50
 50
 51
 51
 51
 51
 52
 54
 54
 55
 55
 56
 57
 57
 58
 59
 50
 50
 51
 51
 51
 52
 54
 55
 55
 56
 57
 57
 58
 59
 50
 50
 51
 51
 51
 51
 52
 54
 55
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 59
 50
 50
 51
 51
 51
 52
 53
 54
 54
 55
 54
 55
 55
 56
 57
 57
 58
 58
 59
 50
 50
 51
 51
 52
 54
 54
 54
 5

**Keywords:** Osteoarthritis; comorbidity; depression; hypertension; COPD; administrative health

58 data

1 ว		
2 3	61	Strengths and limitations of this study
4 5	62	• Strong methodological approach to identify cases of OA with a validated case
6	63	definition using five linked population-based administrative databases.
7	64	• However, case identification based on administrative data may result in
8 9	65	underreporting of cases and comorbidities.
10	66	• The age-standardized prevalence of eight comorbidities, selected on their clinical
11	67	relevance and the availability of validated case definitions for administrative health
12	68	data, was estimated among people with OA.
14	69	• We limited our analysis to eight comorbidities of clinical relevance.
15 16	70	• We stratified the analysis by sex and by age cohorts
17		
18		
19 20		
21		
22		
25 24		
25		
26 27		
28		
29		
30 31		
32		
33 34		
35		
36 27		
38		
39		
40 41		
42		
43 44		
45		
46		
47 48		
49		
50 51		
52		
53		
54 55		
56		
57 58		
50 59		

## **1. Introduction**

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common form of arthritis, affecting 1 in 8 (13%) Canadians and representing a major cause of pain and disability in society<sup>1,2</sup>. OA affects people of all ages, but is more prevalent among females and older age groups<sup>3,4</sup>. Due to an aging population and an increase in obesity, the prevalence of OA is expected to continue to rise, predicted to affect one in four Canadians by 2040<sup>5,6</sup>. The high prevalence of OA in Canada has a substantial impact on quality of life and health care costs to individuals and health care systems. Quality of life was measured to be 10%-25% lower among people with OA relative to the general population<sup>7</sup> with an associated economic burden of \$33 billion (direct and indirect costs) in Canada in 2011<sup>3</sup>. The annual cost per individual with OA has been estimated to be two to three times higher compared to people without OA<sup>7</sup>, and are associated with more physician visits and hospitalizations<sup>8</sup>.

More recently, the characterization of comorbidities among people with OA has been explored due to the potential effect of comorbidities on routine clinical practice, clinical practice guidelines, healthcare utilization and costs<sup>9-10</sup>. In people with OA over the age of 50 years in England, the presence of comorbidities resulted in increased physical disability compared to those without OA, with the influence of comorbidities greater than that expected for OA alone or for each comorbidity in isolation<sup>4</sup>. Knowledge of the prevalence of comorbidities in people with OA raises important considerations for optimal OA treatment and management, to reduce pain and physical disability, enhance the quality of life, and decrease the burden of OA. Comorbidities add complexity to the management of patients with OA to provide patient-centred care, ensure appropriate management recommendations for hhealth care programs and delivery.

94 The purpose of this study is to estimate the prevalence of comorbidities among people
95 with OA in the province of Alberta, Canada, using administrative health data. This
96 information is useful to assess the potential impact of comorbidities in clinical practice,
97 practice guidelines and for planning health care services.

98 2. Materials and Methods

99 Data sources

50100We used five linked Alberta, Canada provincial administrative databases between April581011, 1994 and March 31, 2013 to identify individuals with OA who accessed health care59102services paid for by the provincial health care insurance plan, previously described

Page 5 of 22

1 2 BMJ Open

3 4	103	elsewhere in detail <sup>6</sup> . These databases included the Alberta Health Care Insurance Plan
4 5	104	(AHCIP) population registry, the Discharge Abstract Database (DAD), the Physician
6 7	105	Claims Database (claims), the Ambulatory Care Classification System (ACCS), and the
8 9	106	National Ambulatory Care Reporting System (NACRS).
10 11	107	AHCIP Population Registry captures individual level demographic data on all insured
12 13	108	persons as of the last day of each fiscal year (March 31). All Albertans who are included in
14	109	the AHCIP have a unique, 9-digit personal health number, which is used when accessing
15 16	110	health care services, and served to link datasets prior to de-identification. Members of the
17 18	111	Armed Forces and the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, federal penitentiary inmates, and
19 20	112	Albertans who have opted out of the AHCIP are excluded.
21 22	113	DAD captures admission and inpatient care data for all hospitalized patients, including
23 24	114	diagnostic codes, interventions, patient age and sex, and administrative information.
25	115	Among 25 DAD diagnostic code fields extracted from the hospital record, OA-related
26 27	116	records were identified as those with the first 3 digits 715 or M15 to M19 based on the
28 29	117	ninth (9) and tenth (10) revisions of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD)
30 31	118	codes, respectively.
32 33	119	Claims captures OA-related physician visits, which were identified based on the
34 35	120	aforementioned ICD codes in any of the 3 diagnostic code fields.
36 37	121	ACCS and NACRS contains data on hospital-based and community-based ambulatory
38 39	122	care, including day surgery, outpatient and community-based clinics and emergency
40	123	departments, and publicly funded hospital support services such as physiotherapy and
41 42	124	occupational therapy. OA-related records were identified based on the presence of the
43 44	125	aforementioned ICD codes in any of the 10 diagnostic code fields. NACRS was used since
45 46	126	April 2010.
47 48	127	Ethics approval for this project was provided by the Conjoint Health Research Ethics
49 50	128	Board at the University of Calgary (REB13-0100).
51 52	129	Patient and public involvement
53 54	130	No patients were involved in setting the research question, the design and conduct of
55 56	131	the study. No patients were involved in the interpretation or writing up of results. There are
57	132	no plans to disseminate the results of the research to study participants because this was
58 59	133	admin health database analysis. We will make the publication available to the relevant
60	134	patient community.

## 135 Case Definition of Osteoarthritis (OA)

OA cases were identified using a validated case definition, which was individuals with at least one OA-related hospitalization (DAD), or at least two OA-related physician visits (claims) within two years, or at least two OA-related ambulatory care visits (ACCS/NACRS) within two years, assuming none of the physicians or ambulatory care visits had occurred on the same day<sup>11</sup>. The algorithms have been validated<sup>11</sup> and applied in previous research using administrative data<sup>5</sup>. For our study, the OA cohort refers to those Alberta residents registered with AHCIP who have a specified OA-related diagnostic code in any diagnostic code field position. The cohort inclusion date is the earliest date of the OA-related record identified from either the Claims, DAD or ACCS/NACRS files.

## *Case Definitions of Comorbidities*

We identified specific comorbidities to explore in this analysis based on three criteria: 1) a high frequency of reported comorbidities in the published literature on OA; 2) the availability of validated case definitions for each comorbid condition; and, 3) expert input from our clinical co-investigators. We first conducted a scoping review of the literature <sup>12</sup>, aiming to examine the extent and range of comorbidities research among people with OA. We identified 20 studies from a range of countries (9 in North America, 8 in Europe, 1 in Asia, 1 in South American, 1 in Netherlands), using different study designs (9 cross-sectional, 5 retrospective cohort, 5 prospective cohort and 1 case control study) with a range of sample sizes (91 to 85,966,369 cases of OA). Based on the results of this review, we derived a list of comorbidities and presented it to our clinical co-investigators. On this basis, we identified 8 comorbidities to include in our analysis: hypertension, depression, COPD, diabetes, congestive heart failure (CHF), peripheral vascular disease (PVD), myocardial infarction (MI), and cerebrovascular disease (CEVD). We applied case definitions for each comorbidity to identify those present within 3 years prior to the OA diagnosis. Detailed ICD 9 and ICD 10 diagnostic codes used to identify each comorbidity are provided in Appendix  $1^{13-22}$ . 

#### 52 162

162 Age-standardized comorbidity prevalence rate

The frequency of each comorbid condition in people meeting the case definition for
OA was calculated, as was the frequency of the number of comorbidities present per
individual: one comorbidity, two comorbidities, and three or more comorbidities. We
stratified OA cases by sex, and by age cohorts (<35, 35-44, 45-54, 55-65, 65-74, and >=75

#### **BMJ** Open

years). The crude rate was calculated as the number in each comorbidity group divided by the total number of OA cases. We calculated age-standardized comorbidity prevalence rates using the direct standardization method <sup>23</sup>. We used the 2016 Canadian population reported publicly by Statistics Canada<sup>24</sup> to age-standardize the estimates for females and males with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) calculated using the binomial approximation method <sup>23</sup>. To compare differences between females and males, standardized rate ratios (SRR) were estimated as the female age-standardized rate divided by the male age-standardized rate. We calculated 95% confidence intervals for the SRR based on the standard error for each sex, to test for a sex difference <sup>23</sup>.

We calculated the percentage of females and males in each age group and the
percentage of OA cases for each age group by sex. The percentage of comorbidities among
OA population was calculated as the number of cases with specific comorbidity divided by
the OA population. The percentage of comorbidities among those with comorbidities was
calculated using the population with one or more of the eight comorbidities as denominator.
We also calculated the frequency of common groupings of these comorbidities in people
with OA.

We applied  $\chi^2$  tests of independence with a Bonferroni correction<sup>25</sup> to compare the percentage of specific comorbid conditions among the population with OA in each age group (<45, 45-64, and >=65 years). The null hypothesis is that there is no difference in the percentage of comorbidities across age groups, which is rejected when the calculated  $\chi^2$  is greater than the critical value for a specific number of degrees of freedom and an altered significance level of 0.005 after Bonferroni correction. All analyses were conducted with R version 3.5.1 and Excel 2013.

## **3. Results**

We identified 497,362 cases of OA, 57.9% of whom were females (Table 1). More than half of the OA cases had at least one of the eight comorbidities (54.6%, n=271,794) (Table 2). A total of 161,315 (32.4%) people with OA had one comorbidity, with 14.6% (n=72,567) having two, and 7.6% (n=37,912) having three or more of the comorbidities. Hypertension was the most frequent comorbidity (29%, n=144,453), followed by depression (19.9%, n=99,103), COPD (18.6%, n=92,273), diabetes (9.5%, n=47,102), and CHF (5.6%, n=27,905). PVD (3.0%), CEVD (1.2%) and MI (1.0%) were the least frequent comorbidities. 

199 Table 1 Characteristics of people with OA identified in the population

	A	Female						
Population	Age groups (years)	n	% by age groups	% (Female)	n	% by age groups	% (Male)	Total
	<35	13,975	50.6	4.9	13,638	49.4	6.5	27,613
	35-44	25,616	52.4	8.9	23,279	47.6	11.1	48,895
People meeting	45-54	57,574	57.6	20.0	42,445	42.4	20.3	100,019
OA case	55-64	65,528	57.1	22.8	49,329	42.9	23.6	114,857
definition	65-74	59,346	57.7	20.6	43,527	42.3	20.8	102,873
	75+	65,912	63.9	22.9	37,193	36.1	17.8	103,105
	Total	287,951	57.9	100.0	209,411	42.1	100.0	497,362

Note: % by age groups was calculated using total population of each specific age group (e.g. for OA <35 years, the percentage was calculated using the number of females with OA as the numerator and the total number of people with OA as the denominator (13,975 + 13,638= 27,613). % female <35 years was calculated using the number of females in the age group < 35 years as the numerator (13,975) and the number of females with OA as the denominator (287,951).

## 200 Comorbidity Patterns by Sex

A similar pattern was observed regarding the number of comorbidities (with most people with OA having one comorbidity) and the ordering of the frequency of each of the comorbidities among females and males based on age-standardized prevalence rates (Table 2). Statistically significant differences among females and males were observed by the number of comorbidities, with females having higher rates overall (SRR = 1.26, 95% CI: 1.25-1.28). The number of comorbidities was also higher for females compared to males with SRR ranging from 1.15 (95% CI: 1.11-1.18) for three or more comorbidities to 1.48 (95% CI: 1.44-1.52) for two comorbidities (Table 2).

## 209 \_\_\_\_\_Table 2 Frequency, percentage and age-standardized rate of comorbidities in people with OA

	Comorbidity			% of	% of the OA cohort with	Age standardized Rate (per 1,000 population)				
			n OA cohort		one or more of the eight comorbidities	Female (95% CI)	Male (95% CI)	SRR (95% CI)		
		Hypertension	144,453	29.0	53.1	162.2 (160.9-163.5)	146.5 (145.1-148.0)	1.11 (1.09-1.12)		
		Depression	99,103	19.9	36.5	264.1 (260.9-267.3)	152.6 (149.8-155.4)	1.73 (1.69-1.77)		
		Chronic obstructive pulmonary								
	Comorbid	disease	92,273	18.6	33.9	195.9 (193.0-198.8)	153.6 (150.9-156.3)	1.28 (1.25-1.30)		
	Conditions	Diabetes	47,102	9.5	17.3	53.1 (52.0-54.2)	59.4 (58.4-60.4)	0.89 (0.87-0.92)		
		Congestive heart failure	27,905	5.6	10.3	24.4 (23.9-24.9)	25.4 (24.9-26.0)	0.96 (0.93-0.99)		
		Peripheral vascular disease	15,044	3.0	5.5	12.6 (12.1-13.0)	17.8 (17.2-18.3)	0.71 (0.67-0.74)		
		Myocardial infarction	4,969	1.0	1.8	4.3 (4.1-4.5)	4.7 (4.4-5.0)	0.91 (0.84-0.98)		

#### **BMJ** Open

	Cerebrovascular disease	5,974	1.2	2.2	4.0 (3.8-4.2)	7.9 (7.6-8.2)	0.51 (0.48-0.54)
Number	One Comorbidity	161,315	32.4	59.4	329.1 (325.7-332.4)	271.5 (268.2-274.8)	1.21 (1.19-1.23)
Number of	Two Comorbidities	72,567	14.6	26.7	124.9 (122.8-127.1)	84.6 (82.9-86.2)	1.48 (1.44-1.52)
comorbiaities	Three or more Comorbidities	37,912	7.6	13.9	42.3 (41.4-43.2)	36.9 (36.2-37.7)	1.15 (1.11-1.18)
OA with Comor	bidities	271,794	54.6		496.3 (492.8-499.8)	392.9 (389.4-396.4)	1.26 (1.25-1.28)
None of the 9 C	omorkidision				503.7 (500.17-	607.1 (603.56-	
None of the 8 C	omorbiaities	225,568	45.4		507.22)	610.56)	0.83 (0.82-0.84)

Note: % of OA cohort was calculated using OA population (271,794 + 225,568 = 497,362) as denominator and the population of each comorbidity as numerator. % of the OA cohort with one or more of the eight comorbidities was calculated using population with at least one comorbidity (n= 271,794) as denominator. Cl denotes Confidential Interval. SRR denotes Standardized Rate Ratio between females and males (females/males). The eight comorbidities are those with validated case definitions for administrative health data.

Depression, COPD and hypertension remained as the three most prevalent comorbidities in both females and males after age-standardization. However, the prevalence of each of these comorbidities was higher in females compared to males (Table 2Females had significantly higher prevalence rates than males for depression (SRR 1.73, 95% CI: 1.69-1.77), COPD (SRR 1.28, 95% CI: 1.25-1.30) and hypertension (SRR 1.11, 95% CI: 1.09-1.12), respectively. 

The prevalence of each of these three comorbidities differed significantly in females. For example the prevalence of depression in females was 264 cases per 1,000 population, 35% higher and statistically higher than for COPD (196 cases per 1,000 population) (SRR 1.35, 95% CI 1.32-1.37). In contrast, the prevalence of these three comorbidities among males were not significantly different.

*Common groupings of comorbidities in people with OA* 

As shown in Table 3, of the eight comorbidities in people with OA, the most frequent comorbidity was hypertension as a single comorbidity, found in 12.8% of people with OA (n=63,520). The most common grouping of two comorbidities was the coexistence of hypertension and depression (2.9%, n=14,609). The most common grouping with three comorbidities was depression, COPD and hypertension, (1.1%, n=5,262). People with OA having any combination of the top three comorbidities accounted for approximately 40% of people with OA.

Table 3 Frequency of top 10 common groupings of comorbidities

		% of OA	% of OA with
Combinations of comorbidity	n	cohort)	comorbidities
Hypertension only	63,520	12.8	23.4
Depression only	46,226	9.3	17.0

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease only	34,464	6.9	12.7
Hypertension, Depression	14,609	2.9	5.4
Hypertension, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	13,653	2.7	5.0
Depression, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	13,584	2.7	5.0
Hypertension, Diabetes	11,784	2.4	4.3
Diabetes	11,054	2.2	4.1
Hypertension, Depression, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	5,262	1.1	1.9
Hypertension, Congestive Heart Failure	3,946	0.8	1.5

Note: % of OA cohort was calculated using OA population (271,794 + 225,568 = 497,362) as denominator and the population of each comorbidity as numerator. % of OA with comorbidities was calculated using population with at least one comorbidity at the diagnosis of OA (n= 271,794) as denominator.

## 230 Comorbidity patterns by age group

As shown in Figure 1, each of the eight comorbidities, with the exception of depression, was most common in people with OA over 65 years old. Hypertension was found in 44.3% of people with OA over 65 years of age (n=91,153 cases) compared to 5.3% (n=4,040 cases) in those <44 years old. The largest number of people with OA and depression were in the 45-64 years age cohort (n=47,061 cases), with the youngest age group (<44 years) having the highest percentage of cases with depression (24.6% compared to 21.9% in the middle age group (45-64 years) and 16.1% in the older age group (>=65 years). The difference in the percentage of each of the eight comorbidities among the three age groups was statistically significant (p<0.0001). 

The number of comorbidities in people with OA increased with increasing age. The percentage of people with three or more comorbidities increased significantly from 1.5% in youngest age group (<44 years), to 4.7% in the middle age group (45-64 years), and to 13% in the older age group (>=65 years) (p<0.0001).

### **4. Discussion**

We estimated the prevalence of comorbid conditions in people with OA using provincial administrative health data. Using validated case and comorbidity definitions, we found that 54.6% of people with OA had at least one of the eight comorbidities, and 28.5% had at least two. Depression, COPD and hypertension were the three most prevalent comorbidities in both females and males after age-standardization. However, the prevalence of each of these comorbidities was significantly higher in females compared to males. People with any combination of these three comorbidities represented about 40% of the people with OA. In general, the number of comorbidities in people with OA increased with increasing age. Each of the eight comorbidities, except depression, was most common in

#### **BMJ** Open

<sup>3</sup> 254 people with OA >= 65 years. The largest number of people with OA and depression are in <sup>5</sup> 255 the middle age group (45-64 years), with the youngest age group (<44 years) having the <sup>6</sup> 256 highest percentage of cases with depression.

The estimated prevalence of comorbidities varies among studies due to differences in case definitions, the list of included chronic conditions, data sources and study population. Our estimates of the prevalence of comorbidities in people with OA are higher than the prevalence of two or more and three or more chronic conditions among the general Canadian population (26.5% and 10.2%, respectively) as reported by Feely et al.(2017)<sup>26</sup>, and higher than the prevalence of 12.9% (two or more chronic diseases) and 3.9% (three or more chronic diseases) reported by Roberts et al. (2015)<sup>27</sup>. It has been reported previously that the prevalence of one or more comorbid condition among people with musculoskeletal conditions was more than twice than those without a musculoskeletal condition but with another chronic condition<sup>28</sup>. 

We identified depression, COPD and hypertension as frequent comorbid conditions among the people with OA. This was consistent with findings reported from the Canadian Primary Care Sentinel Surveillance Network showing that the prevalence of osteoarthritis has significant association with depression, COPD and hypertension<sup>2</sup>. Depression is emerging as a significant comorbidity in OA. Previous findings have reported that depression was highly prevalent in people with OA<sup>10, 29</sup>. A systemic review of depressive symptoms in people with OA, including 49 studies worldwide and representing 15,855 individuals, reported a frequency of depression of 19.9% among people with OA<sup>30</sup>, which was similar to our estimates. 

Depressed individuals are more likely to report chronic or more severe pain, and more than half of the patients with chronic pain are depressed. People living with OA are known to have fewer social contacts, limited physical activity, increased pain and disability <sup>31,32</sup>, worse surgical outcomes and reduced effectiveness of pain interventions <sup>33</sup>, which are all important predictors of depression <sup>34</sup>. However, current clinical practice guidelines for non-surgical management of OA do not include recommendations regarding mental health management <sup>35–38</sup>. This emphasizes the need for treatments and management for depression to improve outcomes for people with OA<sup>39</sup>. It has been suggested that educating physicians about timely identification of psychological factors may be helpful to improve outcomes. In addition, self-care management could be integrated into OA management strategies as a way to reduce anxiety and depression, as well as resulting emotional and physical pain. 

Guidelines suggest that OA management should also integrate pharmacotherapy carefully and be cautious about the drug interactions and adverse side effects when treating OA, depression, anxiety and pain holistically<sup>29</sup>. The clustering of hypertension and depression as comorbidities associated with OA is not random. Obesity, which we were unable to study using administrative data is also prevalent amongst people with OA and a risk factor for developing OA <sup>40,41</sup>. From a clinical practice perspective, a physician has to consider the implications of prescribing non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medications for pain management, but this may worsen hypertension and have an associated increased risk of cardiovascular disease. However, without good pain management, it is difficult for patients with OA to engage in exercise programs which can help improve their muscular condition and potentially reduce obesity and hypertension. This is further complicated by the relationship of lower socioeconomic status with an increased risk of developing OA as demonstrated in the project for an Ontario Women's Health Evidence-based Report <sup>28</sup> Furthermore, our analyses showed that depression not only was the most prevalent comorbidity after age-standardization in people with OA, but that rates of depression were significantly higher for females and younger people (< 44 years old). The study by Dibonaventura et al. (2011) reported that people under 65 years of age were still participating in the workforce, however OA pain resulted in significantly lower productivity and higher costs compared to those without OA pain<sup>8</sup>. They identified unique treatment gaps for patients younger than 60 years old because the non-operative treatment options were ineffective in long-term management of OA symptoms, but young patients were too young or maybe unwilling to undergo definitive treatment such as total joint replacement<sup>42</sup>. Even for those patients who undergo total joint replacement, they were more likely to be dissatisfied about the treatment than older patients, and reported poorer outcomes including residual pain and stiffness <sup>43,44</sup>. A survey of orthopedic surgeons found that 84% perceived a need for better treatment for younger (<60 years old) physically active OA patients, and 68.4% perceived that there is a treatment gap for these patients<sup>42</sup>. Due to the different presentations of comorbidities and treatment options among young and old age groups, it is imperative to examine the impact of comorbidities on management strategies in an age-stratified OA cohort. Most clinical practice guidelines focus on single conditions<sup>45</sup>. Fortin et al. (2011) 

Most clinical practice guidelines focus on single conditions<sup>4,3</sup>. Fortin et al. (2011)
 318 concluded that even though the quality of the Canadian guidelines was good, their
 319 relevance for patients with two or more chronic conditions was limited<sup>46</sup>. Boyd et al. (2005)

#### **BMJ** Open

highlighted the lack of consideration of comorbidities in clinical practice guidelines may result in poor quality of care because the health care some patients received was not optimal<sup>47</sup>. Sharma et al., (2016) pointed out that the present literature fails to fully address the management strategies when dealing with comorbidities seen in people with  $OA^{29}$ . Patient-centered care has been recommended in clinical practice guidelines with the aim of improving quality of care by focusing on the patient as a whole rather than on a single disease<sup>48</sup>. From a system perspective, patients with several comorbidities were also the main users of healthcare resources and services<sup>49</sup>. Patient-centered and coordinated care for these patients may decrease related health care use<sup>50</sup>. It was recommended that physicians consider these comorbidities in the management of people with OA. A strength of our study is the large population-based number of people with OA (n=497,362) and the investigation of a group of eight comorbidities that are clinically

relevant to the management of people with OA. We applied case definitions for administrative health data to identify cases of OA and each of the comorbidities. A limitation of our study is that case identification based on administrative data may result in underreporting of cases and comorbidities. The case definitions for OA in administrative data research <sup>5</sup> have been applied and validated with a low sensitivity of 24% and high specificity of 98%<sup>11</sup> Similarly, the algorithms for comorbidities may underestimate the prevalence of these comorbidities; the sensitivity for identifying depression is from 36% to 51%<sup>18</sup>, for PVD is 39%<sup>17</sup> and for COPD is 53%<sup>20</sup> in administrative data (Appendix 1). Further, we limited our analysis to eight comorbidities of clinical relevance and for which there were case definitions in administrative data. 

## **5.** Conclusions

We found that, depression, COPD and hypertension were the three most prevalent comorbidities in people with OA, with rates significantly higher in females compared to males. Of particular note is that the largest number of people with OA and depression are in the age group between 45 and 64 years old, with the highest percentage of cases occurring in the younger age groups (<44 years). Our findings highlight the need to recognize that people with OA have high rates of comorbidities and this may affect optimal health care management of these patients. 

## 350 Acknowledgements

3 ⊿	351	The authors would like to acknowledge the following team members and their
4 5 6	352	contributions to the study: Behnam Sharif.
0 7 8	353	Contributions
9 10	354	DM, PF, KY were responsible for the conception and design of the research,
10 11 12	355	acquisition of the data, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the article, and revision
12 13	356	of the article for important intellectual content.
14	357	XL was responsible for the analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the article, and
16 17	358	revision of the article for important intellectual content.
18 19	359	CB, CB, DM, TN, JW and LL were responsible for the conception and design of the
20 21	360	research, interpreting results of the research and revision of the article for important
22 23	361	intellectual content.
24 25 26	362	All authors approved the final version of the manuscript to be submitted.
27	363	DM (damarsha@ucalgary.ca) and XL (xiaoxili@ucalgary.ca) take responsibility for
20 29 20	364	the integrity of the work as a whole.
30 31 32	365	Role of the funding source
33 34	366	This research was funded through a Canadian Institute for Health Research (CIHR)
35	367	Operating Grant (Grant #: 126128) and the Arthur J.E. Child Chair in Rheumatology
37	368	Research. There were no study sponsors and the funding agencies had no involvement in
38 39	369	the study design, collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the
40 41	370	manuscript; or in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.
42 43	371	DM was supported by a Canada Research Chair and the Arthur J.E. Child Chair in
44 45	372	Rheumatology Research. XL was supported by the Arthur J.E. Child Chair in
46	373	Rheumatology Research, the Cumming School of Medicine Postdoctoral Scholarship and
47 48	374	the Postdoctoral Scholarship funded by the O'Brien Institute of Public Health and the
49 50	375	McCaig Institute for Bone and Joint Health.
51 52	376	Data availability
54	377	These data are not available because Alberta Health and Alberta Health Services are
55 56	378	the custodians of the data. The authors are not authorized to share them.
57 58 59 60	379	Competing interests

1 2		
3	380	The authors confirm that there is no financial support or other benefits from
4 5	381	commercial sources for the work reported on in the manuscript. There are also no financial
6 7	382	interests that the authors may have which could create a potential conflict of interest with
8 9	383	regards to the work in the manuscript.
10		
12		
13 14		
15 16		
17 18		
19		
20 21		
22 23		
24 25		
26 27		
28		
29 30		
31 32		
33 34		
35 36		
37		
39		
40 41		
42 43		
44 45		
46 47		
48		
49 50		
51 52		
53 54		
55 56		
57		
58 59		
60		

2			
3 4	384	Refe	erences
5	385	1	Leite AA Costa AIG Lima B de AM de Padilha AVL Albuquerque EC de Marques CDL
7	386	1.	Comorbidities in patients with osteoarthritis: frequency and impact on pain and physical function <i>Ray</i>
8	387		Bras Reumatol 2011:51(2):118-123 doi:10.1590/S0482-500/2011000200002
9 10	388	2	Birty histle P. Morkem P. Deat G. et al. Drevelence and management of esteparthritis in primary care:
11	380	2.	an enidemiologic cohort study from the Canadian Primary Care Sentinel Surveillance Network <i>Congn</i>
12	300		2015-2(3):E270.5. doi:10.0778/emaio.20150018
13 14	301	3	Bombardier C. Hawker G. Mosher D. The Impact of Arthritis in Canada: Today and over the next 30
15	307	5.	Vegre : 2011
16	303	4	rears., 2011. Kadam UT Jordan K. Croft PR. Clinical comorbidity in patients with osteoarthritis: a case control
17 18	30/	7.	study of general practice consulters in England and Wales. Ann Phaum Dis 2004;63(4):408 414
19	305		doi:10.1136/APD 2003.007526
20	306	5	doi.10.1150/ARD.2005.007520
21 22	397	5.	Columbia Canada <u>I Rheumatol</u> 2007:34(2):386-393
23	308	6	Marshall DA Vanderby S Barnabe C et al. Estimating the Burden of Osteoarthritis to Plan for the
24 25	300	0.	Future Arthritis Care Res 2015:67(10):1379-1386 doi:10.1002/acr.22612
25 26	400	7	Tarride LE Hag M O'Reilly DI et al. The excess burden of osteoarthritis in the province of Ontario
27	400	7.	Canada Arthritis Rheum 2012:64(4):1153-1161 doi:10.1002/art.33467
28 29	402	8	Dibonaventura M dacosta, Gunta S. McDonald M. Sadosky A. Evaluating the health and economic
30	403	0.	impact of osteoarthritis pain in the workforce: results from the National Health and Wellness Survey
31	404		BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2011:12:83 doi:10.1186/1471-2474-12-83
32 33	405	9	Rosemann T. Joos S. Szecsenyi I. Laux G. Wensing M. Health service utilization patterns of primary
34	406		care patients with osteoarthritis <i>BMC Health Serv Res</i> 2007:7(1):169 doi:10.1186/1472-6963-7-169
35	407	10	Kim KW Han IW Cho HI et al. Association Between Comorbid Depression and Osteoarthritis
30 37	408	10.	Symptom Severity in Patients with Knee Osteoarthritis J Bone Jt Surgery-American Vol
38	409		2011-93(6):556-563 doi:10.2106/JBJS1.01344
39 40	410	11	Lix L Yogendran M Mann I Defining and Validating Chronic Diseases: An Administrative Data
41	411		Approach and Update with ICD-10-CA.; 2008.
42	412	12.	Pham MT, Rajić A, Greig JD, Sargeant JM, Papadopoulos A, McEwen SA, A scoping review of scoping
43 44	413		reviews: advancing the approach and enhancing the consistency. <i>Res Synth Methods</i> . 2014;5(4):371-
45	414		385. doi:10.1002/jrsm.1123
46 47	415	13.	McCormick N, Lacaille D, Bhole V, Avina-Zubieta JA. Validity of Myocardial Infarction Diagnoses
47 48	416		in Administrative Databases: A Systematic Review. Guo Y, ed. PLoS One. 2014;9(3):e92286.
49	417		doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0092286
50 51	418	14.	Quan H, Khan N, Hemmelgarn BR, et al. Validation of a Case Definition to Define Hypertension Using
52	419		Administrative Data. <i>Hypertension</i> . 2009;54(6):1423-1428.
53	420		doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.109.139279
54 55	421	15.	McCormick N, Bhole V, Lacaille D, Avina-Zubieta JA. Validity of Diagnostic Codes for Acute Stroke
56	422		in Administrative Databases: A Systematic Review. PLoS One. 2015;10(8):e0135834.
57	423		doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0135834
58 59	424	16.	McCormick N, Lacaille D, Bhole V, Avina-Zubieta JA. Validity of heart failure diagnoses in
60	425		administrative databases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2014;9(8):e104519.

1 2			
2 3	426		doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104519
4	427	17	Ean I Arruda-Olson AM Leibson CL et al Billing code algorithms to identify cases of peripheral
5 6	427	17.	artery disease from administrative data I Am Med Inform Assoc 2013:20(e2):e349-54
7	420 //20		doi:10.1136/amioinl.2012.001827
8	42) //30	19	Townsend I. Walkup IT. Crystal S. Olfson M. A systematic review of validated methods for identifying
9 10	430	10.	depression using edministrative data <i>Bharmana anidamial</i> Drug Sef 2012;21:162-172
11	431		depression using administrative data. <i>Pharmacoepiaemioi Drug Saj.</i> 2012,21.105-175.
12	432	10	dol:10.1002/pds.2510
13 14	433	19.	Leong A, Dasgupta K, Bernatsky S, Lacalle D, Avina-Zubleta A, Ranme E. Systematic Review and
15	434		Meta-Analysis of validation Studies on a Diabetes Case Definition from Health Administrative
16	435	20	Records. Barengo NC, ed. <i>PLoS One</i> . 2013;8(10):e/5256. doi:10.13/1/journal.pone.00/5256
17 18	430	20.	Smidth M, Sokolowski I, Kærsvang L, Vedsted P. Developing an algorithm to identify people with
19	43/		Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) using administrative data. BMC Med Inform Decis
20	438		<i>Mak</i> . 2012;12(1):38. doi:10.1186/1472-6947-12-38
21 22	439	21.	Chen G, Khan N, Walker R, Quan H. Validating ICD coding algorithms for diabetes mellitus from
22	440		administrative data. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract</i> . 2010;89(2):189-195. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2010.03.007
24	441	22.	Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding Algorithms for Defining Comorbidities in ICD-9-CM
25 26	442		and ICD-10 Administrative. Med Care. 2005;43(11):1130-1139.
20	443	23.	Boyle P, Parkin D. Statistical methods for registries. Cancer Regist Princ Methods. 1991:126-158.
28	444	24.	Statistics Canada. Alberta [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census.
29	445		doi:98-316-X2016001
31	446	25.	Bland JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. <i>BMJ</i> . 1995;310(6973):170.
32	447		doi:10.1136/bmj.310.6973.170
33	448	26.	Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K. Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease
35	449		Surveillance System. Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract. 2017;37(7):215-222.
36	450		doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.7.02
37	451	27.	Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic
30 39	452		disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada
40	453		<i>Res policy Pract.</i> 2015;35(6):87-94.
41 42	454	28.	Hawker GA, Badley EM, Jaglal S, et al. Musculoskeletal Condtitions. In: Project for an Ontario
42 43	455		Women's Health Evidence-Based Report: Volume 2: Toronto. ; 2010.
44	456	29.	Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi Q, Gandhi R. Anxiety and depression in patients with osteoarthritis: impact
45 46	457		and management challenges. Open access Rheumatol Res Rev. 2016;8:103-113.
40 47	458		doi:10.2147/OARRR.S93516
48	459	30.	Stubbs B, Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in
49 50	460		osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Age Ageing. 2016;45(2):228-235.
50 51	461		doi:10.1093/ageing/afw001
52	462	31.	Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and
53	463		treatment, and depression among older adults with osteoarthritis. <i>J Rheumatol.</i> 2008;35(2):335-342.
54 55	464	32.	Hawker GA, Gignac MAM, Badley E, et al. A longitudinal study to explain the pain-depression link in
56	465		older adults with osteoarthritis. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2011;63(10):1382-1390.
57	466		doi:10.1002/acr.20298
50 59	467	33.	Gleicher Y, Croxford R, Hochman J, Hawker G. A prospective study of mental health care for comorbid
60	468		depressed mood in older adults with painful osteoarthritis. BMC Psychiatry. 2011;11(1):147.

2			
3 4	469		doi:10.1186/1471-244X-11-147
5	470	34.	Rosemann T, Backenstrass M, Joest K, Rosemann A, Szecsenyi J, Laux G. Predictors of depression in
6	471		a sample of 1,021 primary care patients with osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2007;57(3):415-422.
/ 8	472		doi:10.1002/art.22624
9	473	35.	Zhang W, Moskowitz RW, Nuki G, et al. OARSI recommendations for the management of hip and
10	474		knee osteoarthritis, part I: critical appraisal of existing treatment guidelines and systematic review of
11 12	475		current research evidence. Osteoarthr Cartil. 2007;15(9):981-1000. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2007.06.014
13	476	36.	Zhang W, Moskowitz RW, Nuki G, et al. OARSI recommendations for the management of hip and
14	477		knee osteoarthritis, Part II: OARSI evidence-based, expert consensus guidelines. Osteoarthr Cartil.
15 16	478		2008;16(2):137-162. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2007.12.013
17	479	37.	Zhang W, Nuki G, Moskowitz RW, et al. OARSI recommendations for the management of hip and
18	480		knee osteoarthritis: Part III: changes in evidence following systematic cumulative update of research
19 20	481		published through January 2009. Osteoarthr Cartil. 2010;18(4):476-499.
21	482		doi:10.1016/J.JOCA.2010.01.013
22	483	38.	McAlindon TE, Bannuru RR, Sullivan MC, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management
23 24	484		of knee osteoarthritis. Osteoarthr Cartil. 2014;22(3):363-388. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2014.01.003
25	485	39.	Lin EHB, Katon W, Von Korff M, et al. Effect of Improving Depression Care on Pain and Functional
26	486		Outcomes Among Older Adults With Arthritis. JAMA. 2003;290(18):2428.
27 28	487		doi:10.1001/jama.290.18.2428
29	488	40.	Felson DT. Weight and osteoarthritis. J Rheumatol Suppl. 1995;43:7-9.
30 21	489	41.	ANDERSON JJ, FELSON DT. FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH OSTEOARTHRITIS OF THE
32	490		KNEE IN THE FIRST NATIONAL HEALTH AND NUTRITION EXAMINATION SURVEY
33	491		(HANES I). Am J Epidemiol. 1988;128(1):179-189. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a114939
34 35	492	42.	Li CS, Karlsson J, Winemaker M, Sancheti P, Bhandari M. Orthopedic surgeons feel that there is a
36	493		treatment gap in management of early OA: international survey. Knee Surgery, Sport Traumatol
37	494		Arthrosc. 2014;22(2):363-378. doi:10.1007/s00167-013-2529-5
38 39	495	43.	Bourne RB, Chesworth BM, Davis AM, Mahomed NN, Charron KDJ. Patient satisfaction after total
40	496		knee arthroplasty: who is satisfied and who is not? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2010;468(1):57-63.
41	497		doi:10.1007/s11999-009-1119-9
42 43	498	44.	Parvizi J, Nunley RM, Berend KR, et al. High level of residual symptoms in young patients after total
44	499		knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2014;472(1):133-137. doi:10.1007/s11999-013-3229-7
45	500	45.	Hughes LD, Mcmurdo MET, Guthrie B. Guidelines for people not for diseases: the challenges of
46 47	501		applying UK clinical guidelines to people with multimorbidity. J Heal Soc Behav J Public Heal Med J
48	502		Epidemiol Community Heal Ann Epidemiol Am J Epidemiol Age Ageing. 2013;36(42):1-10.
49 50	503		doi:10.1093/ageing/afs100
50 51	504	46.	Fortin M, Contant E, Savard C, Hudon C, Poitras M-E, Almirall J. Canadian guidelines for clinical
52	505		practice: an analysis of their quality and relevance to the care of adults with comorbidity. BMC Fam
53	506		<i>Pract</i> . 2011;12(1):74. doi:10.1186/1471-2296-12-74
54 55	507	47.	Boyd CM, Darer J, Boult C, Fried LP, Boult L, Wu AW. Clinical Practice Guidelines and Quality of
56	508		Care for Older Patients With Multiple Comorbid Diseases. JAMA. 2005;294(6):716.
57 58	509		doi:10.1001/jama.294.6.716
59	510	48.	Dawes M. Co-morbidity: we need a guideline for each patient not a guideline for each disease. Fam
60	511		Pract. 2010;27:1-2. doi:10.1093/fampra/cmp106

1 2			
3	512	49.	Huntley AL, Johnson R, Purdy S, Valderas JM, Salisbury C. Measures of multimorbidity and morbidity
4 5	513		burden for use in primary care and community settings: a systematic review and guide. Ann Fam Med.
6	514		2012;10(2):134-141. doi:10.1370/afm.1363
7 8	515	50.	Wolff JL, Starfield B, Anderson G. Prevalence, Expenditures, and Complications of Multiple Chronic
9	516		Conditions in the Elderly. Arch Intern Med. 2002;162(20):2269. doi:10.1001/archinte.162.20.2269
10 11	517		
12			
13			
14			
16 17			
18			
19 20			
20			
22 23			
24			
25 26			
27			
28 29			
30			
31 32			
33			
34 35			
36 27			
38			
39 40			
41			
42 43			
44			
45 46			
47			
48 49			
50 51			
52			
53 54			
55			
56 57			
58			
59 60			



Figure 1 Percentage of specific comorbid conditions among the population with OA in each age group (<45, 45-64, and >=65 years). The difference by age group was statistically significant for each comorbid conditions (p<0.0001), as was the frequency of the number of comorbidities present per individual (p<0.0001).

	ICD9-CM Diagnosis Codes Included	ICD10-CA Diagnosis Codes Included	Exclusions	Algorithm	References
Myocardial Infarction	410	121, 122	N/A	1 hospitalization for MI, with diagnoses codes in primary or secondary diagnosis fields.	McCormick et al., 2014 <sup>3</sup> Quan et al., 2005 <sup>22</sup>
Cerebrovascul ar Disease	3623,43301,43311,43321,43331,4 3381,43391,43401,43411,43491, 436,430,431,435	H341, I63, I64, I61, I60, G450, G451, G452, G453, G458, G459	43300, 43310, 43320, 43330, 43380, 43390, 43400, 43410, 43490, 437, 438, 165, 166, 167, 169, G45.4	1 hospital discharge (most responsible diagnosis) for different stroke conditions	Kokotailo & Hill, 2005 McCormick et al., 2015
Congestive Heart Failure	39891,40201,40211,40291,40401, 40403,40411,40413,40491,40493, 4254,4255,4257,4258,4259,428	143,150,1099,1110,1130,1132,1255,1420 ,1425,1426,1427,1428,1429,P290	r rei	1 hospitalization or physician claim in diagnosis field	Schultz et al., 2013 McCormick et al., 2014 Quan et al., 2005 <sup>22</sup> Lee et al., 2005
Peripheral Vascular Disease	0930,4373,440,441,4431,4432,443 8,4439,4471,5571,5579,V434,443	I70,I71, I731,I738,I739,I771,I790,I792,K551,K 558,K559,Z958,Z959	N/A	1 hospitalization or physician claim in diagnosis field	Fan et al., 2013 <sup>17</sup>
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	4168,4169,490,491,492,493,494,4 95,496,500,501,502,503,504,505,5 064,5081,5088	J40,J41,J42,J43,J44,J45,J46,J47,J60,J 61,J62,J63,J64,J65,J66,J67,I278,I279, J684,J701,J703	N/A	1 hospitalization or physician claim in diagnosis field	Smidth et al. 2012 <sup>20</sup> Quan et al., 2005 <sup>22</sup>
Depression	2962,2963,2965,3004,309,311	F204,F313,F314,F315,F32,F33,F341, F412,F432	N/A	1 hospitalization or physician claim in diagnosis field	Townsend et al., 2012 <sup>18</sup> Lix et al., 2006 Martens et al., 2010

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

	1	1	1	1	1
Diabetes	250	E10, E11, E12, E13, E14	Physician claims with procedure code having a prefix of "X" (radiology/xray), "E" (labs) or "B" (dentist, ophthalmologist, chiropractic visits)	1 hospitalization for diabetes OR 2 physician claims in a 2-year period	Chen et al., 2010 <sup>21</sup> Leong et al., 2013 <sup>19</sup> Hux et al., 2002
Hypertension	401, 402, 403, 404, 405	110, 111, 112, 113, 115	Physician claims with procedure code having a prefix of "X" (radiology/xray), "E" (labs) or "B" (dentist, ophthalmologist, chiropractic visits)	1 hospitalization for hypertension OR 2 physician claims in a 2-year period	Quan et al., 2009 <sup>14</sup>
		For a second			

## **BMJ Open**

# Existing comorbidities in people with osteoarthritis: a retrospective analysis of a population-based cohort in Alberta, Canada

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-033334.R1
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	18-Oct-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Marshall, Deborah; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences Liu, Xiaoxiao; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences Barnabe, Cheryl; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Medicine; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences Yee, Karen; Alberta Health Services, Research Facilitation Faris, Peter; Alberta Health Services, Research Facilitation Barber, Claire; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Medicine; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences Mosher, Dianne; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Medicine; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences Mosher, Dianne; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences Noseworthy, Thomas; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences Werle, Jason; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Surgery Lix, Lisa; University of Manitoba, Department of Community Health Sciences
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Public health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Health services research, Rheumatology
Keywords:	Osteoarthritis, Comorbidity, Depression, Hypertension < CARDIOLOGY, COPD, Administrative Health Data

## SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

1		
2	1	
3 ⊿	1	Title: Existing comorbidities in people with osteoarthritis: a retrospective analysis of a
4 5	2	population-based cohort in Alberta, Canada
6	2	Deberah A Marshall DhD 12* Vicevice Liu DhD 1 Cheryl Demeha MD MSe 12 Karen Vee MSe
7	5	MDL 3 Deter Earlis DhD 3. Claire ELL Derber MD DhD 12 Diarna Mashar DhD 1. Tarr Magazuarthy?
8	4	MPH <sup>3</sup> , Peter Farls PhD <sup>3</sup> , Claire EH Barber MD PhD <sup>4,2</sup> , Dianne Mosner PhD <sup>4</sup> , Tom Nosewortny <sup>2</sup> ,
9	3	Jason werle <sup>4</sup> , Lisa M. Lix <sup>3</sup>
10	6	
11	7	<sup>1</sup> Department of Community Health Sciences, Cumming School of Medicine, University of
12	8	Calgary
13	9	
14	10	<sup>2</sup> Department of Medicine, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary
15	11	
10	12	<sup>3</sup> Research Facilitation, Alberta Health Services, Calgary
18	13	
19	14	* Department of Surgery, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary
20	15	5 Demontrant of Community Hould Sciences Maritaka Winnings
21	10	<sup>3</sup> Department of Community Health Sciences, Manitoba, Winnipeg
22	17	
23	18	
24	10	
25	19	* Correspondence: Deborah A Marshall, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary.
20	20	3280 Hospital Drive NW, HRIC Building, Room 3C58, Calgary, AB. T2N 4Z6, Canada. E-mail
27	21	address: damarsha@ucalgary.ca
20	22	
30	23	
31	24	
32	25	
33	25	Would Count
34 25	20	
36	27	Abstract: 288
37	28	Body: 3,396
38	29	Number of tables: 3
39	30	Number of figures: 1
40		
41 42		
-⊤∠ 43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50 51		
57		
53		
54		
55		
56		
57		
58		
59		
00		

## 31 Abstract

32 Objectives: The purpose of this study is to estimate the prevalence of comorbidities among people
 33 with osteoarthritis (OA) using administrative health data.

**Design:** Retrospective cohort analysis

35 Setting: All residents in the province of Alberta Canada registered with the Alberta Health Care
 36 Insurance Plan (AHCIP) population registry.

Participants: 497,362 people with OA as defined by "having at least one OA-related hospitalization, or at least two OA-related physician visits or two ambulatory care visits within two years".

21 43 confidence intervals (CIs). We applied  $\chi^2$  tests of independence with a Bonferroni correcti 22 44 compare the percentage of comorbid conditions in each age group.

**Results:** 54.6% (n=271,794) of people meeting the OA case definition had at least one of the eight selected comorbidities. Females had a significantly higher rate of comorbidities compared to males (standardized rates ratio = 1.26, 95% CI: 1.25-1.28). Depression, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and hypertension were the most prevalent in both females and males after age-standardization, with 40% of all cases having any combination of these comorbidities. We observed a significant difference in the percentage of comorbidities among age groups, illustrated by the youngest age group (<45 years) having the highest percentage of cases with depression (24.6%), compared to a frequency of 16.1% in those >65 years. 

- Conclusions: Our findings highlight the high frequency of comorbidity in people with OA, with
   depression having the highest age-standardized prevalence rate. Comorbidities differentially affect
   females, and vary by age. These factors should inform health care programs and delivery.

**Keywords:** Osteoarthritis; comorbidity; depression; hypertension; COPD; administrative health

- 58 data
- 42 59 43 60

1 2		
2 3	61	Strengths and limitations of this study
4 5	62	• Strong methodological approach to identify cases of OA with a validated case
5 6	63	definition using five linked population-based administrative databases.
7	64	• However, case identification based on administrative data may result in
8 9	65	underreporting of cases and comorbidities.
10	66	• The age-standardized prevalence of eight comorbidities, selected on their clinical
11 12	67	relevance and the availability of validated case definitions for administrative health
13	68	data, was estimated among people with OA.
14	69	• We limited our analysis to eight comorbidities of clinical relevance.
15 16	70	• We stratified the analysis by sex and by age cohorts
17		
18 10		
20		
21 22		
22		
24		
25 26		
27		
28 20		
30		
31		
32 33		
34		
35 36		
37		
38 39		
40		
41 42		
42		
44		
45 46		
47		
48 49		
50		
51 52		
53		
54		
55 56		
57		
58 59		

## **1. Introduction**

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common form of arthritis, affecting 1 in 8 (13%) Canadians and representing a major cause of pain and disability in society<sup>1,2</sup>. OA affects people of all ages, but is more prevalent among females and older age groups<sup>3,4</sup>. Due to an aging population and an increase in obesity, the prevalence of OA is expected to continue to rise, predicted to affect one in four Canadians by 2040<sup>5,6</sup>. The high prevalence of OA in Canada has a substantial impact on quality of life and health care costs to individuals and health care systems. Quality of life was measured to be 10%-25% lower among people with OA relative to the general population<sup>7</sup> with an associated economic burden of \$33 billion (direct and indirect costs) in Canada in 2011<sup>3</sup>. The annual cost per individual with OA has been estimated to be two to three times higher compared to people without OA<sup>7</sup>, and are associated with more physician visits and hospitalizations<sup>8</sup>.

More recently, the characterization of comorbidities among people with OA has been explored due to the potential effect of comorbidities on routine clinical practice, clinical practice guidelines, healthcare utilization and costs<sup>9-10</sup>. In people with OA over the age of 50 years in England, the presence of comorbidities resulted in increased physical disability compared to those without OA, with the influence of comorbidities greater than that expected for OA alone or for each comorbidity in isolation<sup>4</sup>. Knowledge of the prevalence of comorbidities in people with OA raises important considerations for optimal OA treatment and management, to reduce pain and physical disability, enhance the quality of life, and decrease the burden of OA. Comorbidities add complexity to the management of patients with OA to provide patient-centred care, ensure appropriate management recommendations for health care programs and delivery.

94 The purpose of this study is to estimate the prevalence of comorbidities among people
95 with OA in the province of Alberta, Canada, using administrative health data. This
96 information is useful to assess the potential impact of comorbidities in clinical practice,
97 practice guidelines and for planning health care services.

98 2. Materials and Methods

99 Data sources

We used five linked Alberta, Canada provincial administrative databases between April
 We used five linked Alberta, Canada provincial administrative databases between April
 1, 1994 and March 31, 2013 to identify individuals with OA who accessed health care
 services paid for by the provincial health care insurance plan, previously described

Page 5 of 27

1 2 BMJ Open

3 4	103	elsewhere in detail <sup>6</sup> . These databases included the Alberta Health Care Insurance Plan
4 5	104	(AHCIP) population registry, the Discharge Abstract Database (DAD), the Physician
6 7	105	Claims Database (claims), the Ambulatory Care Classification System (ACCS), and the
8 9	106	National Ambulatory Care Reporting System (NACRS).
10 11	107	AHCIP Population Registry captures individual level demographic data on all insured
12 13	108	persons as of the last day of each fiscal year (March 31). All Albertans who are included in
14	109	the AHCIP have a unique, 9-digit personal health number, which is used when accessing
15 16	110	health care services, and served to link datasets prior to de-identification. Members of the
17 18	111	Armed Forces and the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, federal penitentiary inmates, and
19 20	112	Albertans who have opted out of the AHCIP are excluded.
21 22	113	DAD captures admission and inpatient care data for all hospitalized patients, including
23 24	114	diagnostic codes, interventions, patient age and sex, and administrative information.
25	115	Among 25 DAD diagnostic code fields extracted from the hospital record, OA-related
26 27	116	records were identified as those with the first 3 digits 715 or M15 to M19 based on the
28 29	117	ninth (9) and tenth (10) revisions of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD)
30 31	118	codes, respectively.
32 33	119	Claims captures OA-related physician visits, which were identified based on the
34 35	120	aforementioned ICD codes in any of the 3 diagnostic code fields.
36 37	121	ACCS and NACRS contains data on hospital-based and community-based ambulatory
38 39	122	care, including day surgery, outpatient and community-based clinics and emergency
40	123	departments, and publicly funded hospital support services such as physiotherapy and
41 42	124	occupational therapy. OA-related records were identified based on the presence of the
43 44	125	aforementioned ICD codes in any of the 10 diagnostic code fields. NACRS was used since
45 46	126	April 2010.
47 48	127	Ethics approval for this project was provided by the Conjoint Health Research Ethics
49 50	128	Board at the University of Calgary (REB13-0100).
51 52	129	Patient and public involvement
53 54	130	No patients were involved in setting the research question, the design and conduct of
55 56	131	the study. No patients were involved in the interpretation or writing up of results. There are
57	132	no plans to disseminate the results of the research to study participants because this was
58 59	133	admin health database analysis. We will make the publication available to the relevant
60	134	patient community.

*Case Definition of Osteoarthritis (OA)* 

Validated case definitions have been used in previous research related to OA using administrative data<sup>11,12</sup>. The sensitivity of algorithms based on both physician claims and hospitalizations records within 2-5 years ranged from 24% - 46%, along with specificity and positive predictive value ranging from 92% - 98%, and 39% - 54% respectively<sup>11</sup>. In this study, OA cases were identified as individuals with at least one OA-related hospitalization (DAD), or at least two OA-related physician visits (claims) within two years, or at least two OA-related ambulatory care visits (ACCS/NACRS) within two years, assuming none of the physicians or ambulatory care visits had occurred on the same day<sup>11</sup>. For our study, the OA cohort refers to those Alberta residents registered with AHCIP who have a specified OA-related diagnostic code in any diagnostic code field position. The cohort inclusion date is the earliest date of the OA-related record identified from either the Claims, DAD or ACCS/NACRS files.

*Case Definitions of Comorbidities* 

We identified specific comorbidities to explore in this analysis based on three criteria: 1) a high frequency of reported comorbidities in the published literature on OA; 2) the availability of validated case definitions for each comorbid condition; and, 3) expert input from our clinical co-investigators. We first conducted a scoping review of the literature <sup>13</sup>, aiming to examine the extent and range of comorbidities research among people with OA. We identified 20 studies from a range of countries (9 in North America, 8 in Europe, 1 in Asia, 1 in South American, 1 in Netherlands), using different study designs (9 cross-sectional, 5 retrospective cohort, 5 prospective cohort and 1 case control study) with a range of sample sizes (91 to 85,966,369 cases of OA). Based on the results of this review, we derived a list of comorbidities and presented it to our clinical co-investigators. On this basis, we identified 8 comorbidities to include in our analysis: hypertension, depression, COPD, diabetes, congestive heart failure (CHF), peripheral vascular disease (PVD), myocardial infarction (MI), and cerebrovascular disease (CEVD). We applied case definitions for each comorbidity to identify those present within 3 years prior to the OA diagnosis. Detailed ICD 9 and ICD 10 diagnostic codes used to identify each comorbidity are provided in Appendix 1<sup>14–23</sup>. 

Age-standardized comorbidity prevalence rate

Page 7 of 27

1

#### **BMJ** Open

2		
3 4	166	The frequency of each comorbid condition in people meeting the case definition for
5	167	OA was calculated, as was the frequency of the number of comorbidities present per
6 7	168	individual: one comorbidity, two comorbidities, and three or more comorbidities. We
8 9	169	stratified OA cases by sex, and by age at diagnosis (<35, 35-44, 45-54, 55-65, 65-74, and
10	170	>=75 years). The crude rate was calculated as the number in each comorbidity group
12	171	divided by the total number of OA cases. We calculated age-standardized comorbidity
13 14	172	prevalence rates using the direct standardization method <sup>24</sup> . We used the 2016 Canadian
15 16	173	population reported publicly by Statistics Canada <sup>25</sup> to age-standardize the estimates for
17	174	females and males with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) calculated using the binomial
18 19	175	approximation method <sup>24</sup> . To compare differences between females and males, standardized
20 21	176	rate ratios (SRR) were estimated as the female age-standardized rate divided by the male
22	177	age-standardized rate. We calculated 95% confidence intervals for the SRR based on the
23 24	178	standard error for each sex, to test for a sex difference <sup>24</sup> .
25 26 27	179	We calculated the percentage of females and males in each age group and the
28	180	percentage of OA cases for each age group by sex. The percentage of comorbidities among
29 30 31 32 33	181	OA population was calculated as the number of cases with specific comorbidity divided by
	182	the OA population. The percentage of comorbidities among those with comorbidities was
	183	calculated using the population with one or more of the eight comorbidities as denominator.
34 35	184	We also calculated the frequency of common groupings of these comorbidities in people
36 37	185	with OA.
38 39	186	We applied $\chi^2$ tests of independence with a Bonferroni correction <sup>26</sup> to compare the
40 41	187	percentage of specific comorbid conditions among the population with OA in each age
42	188	group (<45, 45-64, and >=65 years). The null hypothesis is that there is no difference in the
43 44	189	percentage of comorbidities across age groups, which is rejected when the calculated $\chi^2$ is
45 46	190	greater than the critical value for a specific number of degrees of freedom and an altered
47 48	191	significance level of 0.005 after Bonferroni correction. All analyses were conducted with R
49	192	version 3.5.1 and Excel 2013.
50 51 52	193	3. Results
53 54	194	We identified 497,362 cases of OA, 57.9% of whom were females (Table 1). More

whom were females (Table 1). More 55 195 than half of the OA cases had at least one of the eight comorbidities (54.6%, n=271,794) 56 57 196 (Table 2). A total of 161,315 (32.4%) people with OA had only one comorbidity, with 58 14.6% (n=72,567) having two, and 7.6% (n=37,912) having three or more of the 197 59 60 198 comorbidities. Hypertension was the most frequent comorbidity (29%, n=144,453),

followed by depression (19.9%, n=99,103), COPD (18.6%, n=92,273), diabetes (9.5%,
n=47,102), and CHF (5.6%, n=27,905). PVD (3.0%), CEVD (1.2%) and MI (1.0%) were
the least frequent comorbidities.

Population People meeting OA case definition			Female					
Population	Age groups (years)	n	% by age groups	% (Female)	n	% by age groups	% (Male)	Total
	<35	13,975	50.6	4.9	13,638	49.4	6.5	27,613
	35-44	25,616	52.4	8.9	23,279	47.6	11.1	48,895
People meeting	45-54	57,574	57.6	20.0	42,445	42.4	20.3	100,019
OA case	55-64	65,528	57.1	22.8	49,329	42.9	23.6	114,857
definition	65-74	59,346	57.7	20.6	43,527	42.3	20.8	102,873
	75+	65,912	63.9	22.9	37,193	36.1	17.8	103,105
	Total	287,951	57.9	100.0	209,411	42.1	100.0	497,362

	202	Table 1	Charact	teristics	of p	oeople	with	OA	identified	in th	ie po	pulatior
--	-----	---------	---------	-----------	------	--------	------	----	------------	-------	-------	----------

Note: % by age groups was calculated using total population of each specific age group (e.g. for OA <35 years, the percentage was calculated using the number of females with OA as the numerator and the total number of people with OA as the denominator (13,975 + 13,638= 27,613). % female <35 years was calculated using the number of females in the age group < 35 years as the numerator (13,975) and the number of females with OA as the denominator (287,951).

## 203 Comorbidity Patterns by Sex

A similar pattern was observed regarding the number of comorbidities (with most people with OA having one comorbidity) and the ordering of the frequency of each of the comorbidities among females and males based on age-standardized prevalence rates (Table 2). Statistically significant differences among females and males were observed by the number of comorbidities, with females having higher rates overall (SRR = 1.26, 95% CI: 1.25-1.28). The number of comorbidities was also higher for females compared to males with SRR ranging from 1.15 (95% CI: 1.11-1.18) for three or more comorbidities to 1.48 (95% CI: 1.44-1.52) for two comorbidities (Table 2).

## 212 \_\_\_\_\_ Table 2 Frequency, percentage and age-standardized rate of comorbidities in people with OA

Comorbidity			% of	% of the OA cohort with	Age standardized Rate (per 1,000 population)			
		n	OA cohort	one or more of the eight	Female (95% CI)	Male (95% CI)	SRR (95% CI)	
				comorbidities				
	Hypertension	144,453	29.0	53.1	162.2 (160.9-163.5)	146.5 (145.1-148.0)	1.11 (1.09-1.12)	
Comorbid	Depression	99,103	19.9	36.5	264.1 (260.9-267.3)	152.6 (149.8-155.4)	1.73 (1.69-1.77)	
Conditions	Chronic obstructive pulmonary							
	disease	92,273	18.6	33.9	195.9 (193.0-198.8)	153.6 (150.9-156.3)	1.28 (1.25-1.30)	

#### **BMJ** Open

None of the 8 Comorbidities		225,568	45.4		503.7 (500.17- 507.22)	607.1 (603.56- 610.56)	0.83 (0.82-0.84)
OA with Comorbidities		271,794	54.6		496.3 (492.8-499.8)	392.9 (389.4-396.4)	1.26 (1.25-1.28)
Comorbidities	Three or more Comorbidities	37,912	7.6	13.9	42.3 (41.4-43.2)	36.9 (36.2-37.7)	1.15 (1.11-1.18)
Number of	Two Comorbidities	72,567	14.6	26.7	124.9 (122.8-127.1)	84.6 (82.9-86.2)	1.48 (1.44-1.52)
Number	One Comorbidity	161,315	32.4	59.4	329.1 (325.7-332.4)	271.5 (268.2-274.8)	1.21 (1.19-1.23)
	Cerebrovascular disease	5,974	1.2	2.2	4.0 (3.8-4.2)	7.9 (7.6-8.2)	0.51 (0.48-0.54)
	Myocardial infarction	4,969	1.0	1.8	4.3 (4.1-4.5)	4.7 (4.4-5.0)	0.91 (0.84-0.98)
	Peripheral vascular disease	15,044	3.0	5.5	12.6 (12.1-13.0)	17.8 (17.2-18.3)	0.71 (0.67-0.74)
	Congestive heart failure	27,905	5.6	10.3	24.4 (23.9-24.9)	25.4 (24.9-26.0)	0.96 (0.93-0.99)
	Diabetes	47,102	9.5	17.3	53.1 (52.0-54.2)	59.4 (58.4-60.4)	0.89 (0.87-0.92)

Note: % of OA cohort was calculated using OA population (271,794 + 225,568 = 497,362) as denominator and the population of each comorbidity as numerator. % of the OA cohort with one or more of the eight comorbidities was calculated using population with at least one comorbidity (n= 271,794) as denominator. CI denotes Confidential Interval. SRR denotes Standardized Rate Ratio between females and males (females/males). The eight comorbidities are those with validated case definitions for administrative health data.

Depression, COPD and hypertension remained as the three most prevalent comorbidities in both females and males after age-standardization. However, the prevalence of each of these comorbidities was higher in females compared to males (Table 2Females had significantly higher prevalence rates than males for depression (SRR 1.73, 95% CI: 1.69-1.77), COPD (SRR 1.28, 95% CI: 1.25-1.30) and hypertension (SRR 1.11, 95% CI: 1.09-1.12), respectively.

The prevalence of each of these three comorbidities differed significantly in females. For example the prevalence of depression in females was 264 cases per 1,000 population, 35% higher and statistically higher than for COPD (196 cases per 1,000 population) (SRR 1.35, 95% CI 1.32-1.37). In contrast, the prevalence of these three comorbidities among males were not significantly different. 

45 224 Common groupings of comorbidities in people with OA

As shown in Table 3, of the eight comorbidities in people with OA, the most frequent comorbidity was hypertension as a single comorbidity, found in 12.8% of people with OA (n=63,520). The most common grouping of two comorbidities was the coexistence of hypertension and depression (2.9%, n=14,609). The most common grouping with three comorbidities was depression, COPD and hypertension, (1.1%, n=5,262). People with OA having any combination of the top three comorbidities accounted for approximately 40% of people with OA. 

<sup>60</sup> 232 Table 3 Frequency of top 10 common groupings of comorbidities

		% of OA	% of OA with
Combinations of comorbidity	n	cohort)	comorbidities
Hypertension only	63,520	12.8	23.4
Depression only	46,226	9.3	17.0
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease only	34,464	6.9	12.7
Hypertension, Depression	14,609	2.9	5.4
Hypertension, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	13,653	2.7	5.0
Depression, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	13,584	2.7	5.0
Hypertension, Diabetes	11,784	2.4	4.3
Diabetes	11,054	2.2	4.1
Hypertension, Depression, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	5,262	1.1	1.9
Hypertension, Congestive Heart Failure	3,946	0.8	1.5

Note: % of OA cohort was calculated using OA population (271,794 + 225,568 = 497,362) as denominator and the population of each comorbidity as numerator. % of OA with comorbidities was calculated using population with at least one comorbidity at the diagnosis of OA (n= 271,794) as denominator.

## 233 Comorbidity patterns by age group

As shown in Figure 1, each of the eight comorbidities, with the exception of depression, was most common in people with OA over 65 years old. Hypertension was found in 44.3% of people with OA over 65 years of age (n=91,153 cases) compared to 5.3% (n=4,040 cases) in those <44 years old. The largest number of people with OA and depression were in the 45-64 years age cohort (n=47,061 cases), with the youngest age group (<44 years) having the highest percentage of cases with depression (24.6% compared to 21.9% in the middle age group (45-64 years) and 16.1% in the older age group ( $\geq =65$ years). The difference in the percentage of each of the eight comorbidities among the three age groups was statistically significant (p < 0.0001). The detailed age-sex stratified crude rates per 1,000 population is provided in Appendix 1. 

The number of comorbidities in people with OA increased with increasing age. The percentage of people with three or more comorbidities increased significantly from 1.5% in youngest age group (<44 years), to 4.7% in the middle age group (45-64 years), and to 13% in the older age group (>=65 years) (p<0.0001).

## **4. Discussion**

We estimated the prevalence of comorbid conditions in people with OA using
provincial administrative health data. Using validated case and comorbidity definitions, we
found that 54.6% of people with OA had at least one of the eight comorbidities, and 28.5%
had at least two. Depression, COPD and hypertension were the three most prevalent

comorbidities in both females and males after age-standardization. However, the prevalence of each of these comorbidities was significantly higher in females compared to males. People with any combination of these three comorbidities represented about 40% of the people with OA. In general, the number of comorbidities in people with OA increased with increasing age. Each of the eight comorbidities, except depression, was most common in people with  $OA \ge 65$  years. The largest number of people with OA and depression are in the middle age group (45-64 years), with the youngest age group (<44 years) having the highest percentage of cases with depression.

The estimated prevalence of comorbidities varies among studies due to differences in case definitions, the list of included chronic conditions, data sources and study population. We estimated that the prevalence of comorbidity among people with OA was 54.6% for one or more of the eight comorbid chronic conditions and 22.2% for two or more comorbid chronic conditions with OA. Our estimates of the prevalence of comorbidities in people with OA are higher than the prevalence of two or more and three or more chronic conditions among the general Canadian population (26.5% and 10.2%, respectively) as reported by Feely et al.(2017)<sup>27</sup>, and higher than the prevalence of 12.9% (two or more chronic diseases) and 3.9% (three or more chronic diseases) reported by Roberts et al.  $(2015)^{28}$ . In our study, among 205,978 OA cases in the age group over 65 years old, the prevalence of one or more comorbid chronic conditions was 33.2% (n=68,418) and the prevalence of two or more comorbid chronic conditions with OA was 19.0% (n=39,044). The estimated prevalence is higher than the estimates reported by Roberts et al. (2015), which showed that the prevalence of two or more chronic diseases was 31.3% and the prevalence of three or more chronic diseases was 11.3%. It has been reported previously that the prevalence of one or more comorbid condition among people with musculoskeletal conditions was more than twice than those without a musculoskeletal condition but with another chronic condition<sup>29</sup>. 

We identified depression, COPD and hypertension as frequent comorbid conditions among the people with OA. This was consistent with findings reported from the Canadian Primary Care Sentinel Surveillance Network showing that the prevalence of osteoarthritis has significant association with depression, COPD and hypertension<sup>2</sup>. Depression is emerging as a significant comorbidity in OA. Previous findings have reported that depression was highly prevalent in people with OA<sup>10, 30</sup>. A systemic review of depressive symptoms in people with OA, including 49 studies worldwide and representing 15,855
individuals, reported a frequency of depression of 19.9% among people with OA<sup>31</sup>, which
was similar to our estimates.

Depressed individuals are more likely to report chronic or more severe pain, and more than half of the patients with chronic pain are depressed. People living with OA are known to have fewer social contacts, limited physical activity, increased pain and disability <sup>32,33</sup>, worse surgical outcomes and reduced effectiveness of pain interventions <sup>34</sup>, which are all important predictors of depression <sup>35</sup>. However, current clinical practice guidelines for non-surgical management of OA do not include recommendations regarding mental health management  $^{36-39}$ . This emphasizes the need for treatments and management for depression to improve outcomes for people with OA<sup>40</sup>. It has been suggested that educating physicians about timely identification of psychological factors may be helpful to improve outcomes. In addition, self-care management could be integrated into OA management strategies as a way to reduce anxiety and depression, as well as resulting emotional and physical pain. Guidelines suggest that OA management should also integrate pharmacotherapy carefully and be cautious about the drug interactions and adverse side effects when treating OA, depression, anxiety and pain holistically<sup>30</sup>. Two or more of the comorbidities that we examined coexist in a substantial proportion of people with OA – approximately 22% in total. Obesity, which we were unable to study using administrative data is also prevalent amongst people with OA and a risk factor for developing OA<sup>41,42</sup>. From a clinical practice perspective, a physician has to consider the implications of prescribing non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medications for pain management, but this may worsen hypertension and have an associated increased risk of cardiovascular disease. However, without good pain management, it is difficult for patients with OA to engage in exercise programs which can help improve their muscular condition and potentially reduce obesity and hypertension. This is further complicated by the relationship of lower socioeconomic status with an increased risk of developing OA as demonstrated in the project for an Ontario Women's Health Evidence-based Report<sup>29</sup>. 

Furthermore, our analyses showed that depression not only was the most prevalent comorbidity after age-standardization in people with OA, but that rates of depression were significantly higher for females and younger people (< 44 years old). The study by Dibonaventura et al. (2011) reported that people under 65 years of age were still participating in the workforce, however OA pain resulted in significantly lower productivity and higher costs compared to those without OA pain<sup>8</sup>. They identified unique 

Page 13 of 27

### **BMJ** Open

treatment gaps for patients younger than 60 years old because the non-operative treatment options were ineffective in long-term management of OA symptoms, but young patients were too young or maybe unwilling to undergo definitive treatment such as total joint replacement<sup>43</sup>. Even for those patients who undergo total joint replacement, they were more likely to be dissatisfied about the treatment than older patients, and reported poorer outcomes including residual pain and stiffness <sup>44,45</sup>. A survey of orthopedic surgeons found that 84% perceived a need for better treatment for younger (<60 years old) physically active OA patients, and 68.4% perceived that there is a treatment gap for these patients<sup>43</sup>. Due to the different presentations of comorbidities and treatment options among young and old age groups, it is imperative to examine the impact of comorbidities on management strategies in an age-stratified OA cohort.

Most clinical practice guidelines focus on single conditions<sup>46</sup>. Fortin et al. (2011) concluded that even though the quality of the Canadian guidelines was good, their relevance for patients with two or more chronic conditions was limited<sup>47</sup>. Boyd et al. (2005) highlighted the lack of consideration of comorbidities in clinical practice guidelines may result in poor quality of care because the health care some patients received was not optimal<sup>48</sup>. Sharma et al., (2016) pointed out that the present literature fails to fully address the management strategies when dealing with comorbidities seen in people with OA<sup>30</sup>. Patient-centered care has been recommended in clinical practice guidelines with the aim of improving quality of care by focusing on the patient as a whole rather than on a single disease<sup>49</sup>. From a system perspective, patients with several comorbidities were also the main users of healthcare resources and services<sup>50</sup>. Patient-centered and coordinated care for these patients may decrease related health care use<sup>51</sup>. It was recommended that physicians consider these comorbidities in the management of people with OA. 

A strength of our study is the large population-based number of people with OA (n=497,362) and the investigation of a group of eight comorbidities that are clinically relevant to the management of people with OA. We applied case definitions for administrative health data to identify cases of OA and each of the comorbidities at the diagnosis of OA. A limitation of our study is that case identification based on administrative data may result in underreporting of cases and comorbidities. The case definitions for OA in administrative data research <sup>5</sup>, based on the physician claims and hospitalizations records, have been applied and validated with a sensitivity of 24%, high specificity of 98% and a positive predictive value of 54%<sup>11</sup>. In our study, we also included 

ACCS/NACRS to mitigate the issue of underestimations<sup>6</sup>. Nonetheless, the estimated number of OA cases using this approach is almost certainly an underestimate. Similarly, the algorithms for comorbidities may underestimate the prevalence of these comorbidities; the sensitivity for identifying depression is from 36% to 51%  $^{19}$ , for PVD is 39%  $^{18}$  and for COPD is 53%<sup>21</sup> in administrative data (Appendix 2). More importantly, the reported levels of comorbidity in patients with OA were measured at the time of OA diagnosis. New cases of comorbidity diagnosed after the OA diagnosis were not identified. Further, we limited our analysis to eight comorbidities of clinical relevance and for which there were case definitions in administrative data.

#### **5.** Conclusions

We found that, depression, COPD and hypertension were the three most prevalent comorbidities in people with OA, with rates significantly higher in females compared to males. Of particular note is that the largest number of people with OA and depression are in the age group between 45 and 64 years old, with the highest percentage of cases occurring in the younger age groups (<44 years). Our findings highlight the need to recognize that people with OA have high rates of comorbidities and this may affect optimal health care management of these patients. 

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the following team members and their contributions to the study: Behnam Sharif.

#### **Contributions**

DM, PF, KY were responsible for the conception and design of the research, acquisition of the data, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the article, and revision of the article for important intellectual content.

XL was responsible for the analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the article, and revision of the article for important intellectual content. 

CB, CB, DM, TN, JW and LL were responsible for the conception and design of the research, interpreting results of the research and revision of the article for important intellectual content. 

- All authors approved the final version of the manuscript to be submitted.

1 2		
3	382	DM (damarsha@ucalgary.ca) and XL (xiaoxili@ucalgary.ca) take responsibility for
4 5	383	the integrity of the work as a whole.
6 7 8	384	Role of the funding source
9 10	385	This research was funded through a Canadian Institute for Health Research (CIHR)
11	386	Operating Grant (Grant #: 126128) and the Arthur J.E. Child Chair in Rheumatology
12	387	Research. There were no study sponsors and the funding agencies had no involvement in
14 15	388	the study design, collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the
16 17	389	manuscript; or in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.
18 19	390	DM was supported by a Canada Research Chair and the Arthur J.E. Child Chair in
20 21	391	Rheumatology Research. XL was supported by the Arthur J.E. Child Chair in
21	392	Rheumatology Research, the Cumming School of Medicine Postdoctoral Scholarship and
23 24	393	the Postdoctoral Scholarship funded by the O'Brien Institute of Public Health and the
25 26	394	McCaig Institute for Bone and Joint Health.
27 28	395	Data availability
29 30	396	These data are not available because Alberta Health and Alberta Health Services are
31 32	397	the custodians of the data. The authors are not authorized to share them.
33 34 35	398	Competing interests
36 37	399	The authors confirm that there is no financial support or other benefits from
38	400	commercial sources for the work reported on in the manuscript. There are also no financial
39 40	401	interests that the authors may have which could create a potential conflict of interest with
41 42	402	regards to the work in the manuscript.
43		
44 45		
46 47		
48		
49 50		
50		
52		
53 54		
55		
56		
57 58		
59		
60		

3 4	403	Ref	erences
5 6	404	1.	Leite AA, Costa AJG, Lima B de AM de, Padilha AVL, Albuquerque EC de, Marques CDL.
7	405		Comorbidities in patients with osteoarthritis: frequency and impact on pain and physical function. Rev
8 9	406		Bras Reumatol. 2011;51(2):118-123. doi:10.1590/S0482-50042011000200002
10	407	2.	Birtwhistle R, Morkem R, Peat G, et al. Prevalence and management of osteoarthritis in primary care:
11 12	408		an epidemiologic cohort study from the Canadian Primary Care Sentinel Surveillance Network. Copen.
13	409		2015;3(3):E270-5. doi:10.9778/cmajo.20150018
14 15	410	3.	Bombardier C, Hawker G, Mosher D. The Impact of Arthritis in Canada: Today and over the next 30
15 16	411		Years.; 2011.
17	412	4.	Kadam UT, Jordan K, Croft PR. Clinical comorbidity in patients with osteoarthritis: a case-control
18 10	413		study of general practice consulters in England and Wales. Ann Rheum Dis. 2004;63(4):408-414.
20	414		doi:10.1136/ARD.2003.007526
21	415	5.	Kopec JA, Rahman MM, Berthelot J-M, et al. Descriptive epidemiology of osteoarthritis in British
22 23	416		Columbia, Canada. J Rheumatol. 2007;34(2):386-393.
24	417	6.	Marshall DA, Vanderby S, Barnabe C, et al. Estimating the Burden of Osteoarthritis to Plan for the
25	418		Future. Arthritis Care Res. 2015;67(10):1379-1386. doi:10.1002/acr.22612
26 27	419	7.	Tarride J-E, Haq M, O'Reilly DJ, et al. The excess burden of osteoarthritis in the province of Ontario,
28	420		Canada. Arthritis Rheum. 2012;64(4):1153-1161. doi:10.1002/art.33467
29 30	421	8.	Dibonaventura M dacosta, Gupta S, McDonald M, Sadosky A. Evaluating the health and economic
31	422		impact of osteoarthritis pain in the workforce: results from the National Health and Wellness Survey.
32	423		<i>BMC Musculoskelet Disord</i> . 2011;12:83. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-12-83
33 34	424	9.	Rosemann T, Joos S, Szecsenyi J, Laux G, Wensing M. Health service utilization patterns of primary
35	425		care patients with osteoarthritis. BMC Health Serv Res. 2007;7(1):169. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-7-169
36	426	10.	Kim KW, Han JW, Cho HJ, et al. Association Between Comorbid Depression and Osteoarthritis
37 38	427		Symptom Severity in Patients with Knee Osteoarthritis. J Bone Jt Surgery-American Vol.
39	428		2011;93(6):556-563. doi:10.2106/JBJS.1.01344
40 41	429	11.	Lix L, Yogendran M, Mann J. Defining and Validating Chronic Diseases: An Administrative Data
42	430	10	Approach and Update with ICD-10-CA.; 2008.
43	431	12.	Kopec JA, Rahman MM, Sayre EC, et al. Trends in physician-diagnosed osteoarthritis incidence in an
44 45	432		administrative database in British Columbia, Canada, 1996–1997 through 2005–2004. Arthruis Rheum.
46	433	12	2008,59(7).929-954. doi:10.1002/ait.25827
47 49	434	15.	rayiows: advancing the approach and aphancing the consistency. <i>Bas Swith Matheda</i> , 2014;5(4):271
40 49	435		285 doi:10.1002/irrm 1122
50	430	14	McCormick N. Lacoille D. Bhole V. Avina Zubieta IA. Validity of Myocardial Infarction Diagnoses
51 52	438	14.	in Administrative Databases: A Systematic Review Guo V ed PLoS One 2014;9(3):e02286
53	430 430		doi:10.1371/iournal.none.0002286
54	440	15	Quan H. Khan N. Hemmelgarn BR. et al. Validation of a Case Definition to Define Hypertension Using
55 56	441	15.	Administrative Data $Hypertension$ 2000-54(6):1422-1428
57	442		doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.109.139279
58 50	443	16	McCormick N Bhole V Lacaille D Avina-Zubieta IA Validity of Diagnostic Codes for Acute Stroke
60	444	10.	in Administrative Databases: A Systematic Review. <i>PLoS One.</i> 2015;10(8):e0135834.

1 2			
2	445		doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0135834
4	446	17	McCormick N Lacaille D Bhole V Avina-Zubieta IA Validity of heart failure diagnoses in
5 6	447	17.	administrative databases: a systematic review and meta-analysis <i>PLoS One</i> 2014;9(8):e104519
7	448		doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104519
8	449	18	Fan L Arruda-Olson AM Leibson CL et al Billing code algorithms to identify cases of peripheral
9 10	450	10.	artery disease from administrative data I Am Med Inform Assoc 2013:20(e2):e349-54
11	451		doi:10.1136/amiainl-2013-001827
12 12	452	19	Townsend L. Walkun IT. Crystal S. Olfson M. A systematic review of validated methods for identifying
13	453	17.	depression using administrative data <i>Pharmacoenidemiol Drug Saf</i> 2012:21:163-173
15	454		doi:10.1002/nds.2310
16 17	455	20	Leong A Dasgupta K Bernatsky S Lacaille D Avina-Zubieta A Rahme E Systematic Review and
18	456	20.	Meta-Analysis of Validation Studies on a Diabetes Case Definition from Health Administrative
19	457		Records Barengo NC ed PLoS One 2013:8(10):e75256 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075256
20 21	458	21	Smidth M. Sokolowski I. Kærsvang I. Vedsted P. Developing an algorithm to identify people with
22	459	21.	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) using administrative data <i>BMC Med Inform Decis</i>
23	460		Mak 2012:12(1):38 doi:10.1186/1472-6947-12-38
24 25	461	22	Chen G Khan N Walker R Quan H Validating ICD coding algorithms for diabetes mellitus from
26	462		administrative data Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2010;89(2):189-195 doi:10.1016/i.diabres.2010.03.007
27 28	463	23.	Ouan H. Sundararaian V. Halfon P. et al. Coding Algorithms for Defining Comorbidities in ICD-9-CM
28 29	464		and ICD-10 Administrative. <i>Med Care</i> , 2005;43(11):1130-1139.
30	465	24.	Boyle P. Parkin D. Statistical methods for registries. <i>Cancer Regist Princ Methods</i> , 1991:126-158.
31 32	466	25.	Statistics Canada. Alberta [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census.
33	467		doi:98-316-X2016001
34 25	468	26.	Bland JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. <i>BMJ</i> . 1995;310(6973):170.
35 36	469		doi:10.1136/bmj.310.6973.170
37	470	27.	Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K. Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease
38 39	471		Surveillance System. Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract. 2017;37(7):215-222.
40	472		doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.7.02
41	473	28.	Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic
42 43	474		disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada
44	475		Res policy Pract. 2015;35(6):87-94.
45 46	476	29.	Hawker GA, Badley EM, Jaglal S, et al. Musculoskeletal Condtitions. In: Project for an Ontario
40 47	477		Women's Health Evidence-Based Report: Volume 2: Toronto.; 2010.
48	478	30.	Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi Q, Gandhi R. Anxiety and depression in patients with osteoarthritis: impact
49 50	479		and management challenges. Open access Rheumatol Res Rev. 2016;8:103-113.
50	480		doi:10.2147/OARRR.S93516
52	481	31.	Stubbs B, Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in
53 54	482		osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Age Ageing. 2016;45(2):228-235.
55	483		doi:10.1093/ageing/afw001
56	484	32.	Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and
57 58	485		treatment, and depression among older adults with osteoarthritis. J Rheumatol. 2008;35(2):335-342.
59	486	33.	Hawker GA, Gignac MAM, Badley E, et al. A longitudinal study to explain the pain-depression link in
60	487		older adults with osteoarthritis. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2011;63(10):1382-1390.

2			
3 4	488		doi:10.1002/acr.20298
5	489	34.	Gleicher Y, Croxford R, Hochman J, Hawker G. A prospective study of mental health care for comorbid
6	490		depressed mood in older adults with painful osteoarthritis. BMC Psychiatry. 2011;11(1):147.
/ 8	491		doi:10.1186/1471-244X-11-147
9	492	35.	Rosemann T, Backenstrass M, Joest K, Rosemann A, Szecsenyi J, Laux G. Predictors of depression in
10	493		a sample of 1,021 primary care patients with osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2007;57(3):415-422.
11 12	494		doi:10.1002/art.22624
12	495	36.	Zhang W, Moskowitz RW, Nuki G, et al. OARSI recommendations for the management of hip and
14	496		knee osteoarthritis, part I: critical appraisal of existing treatment guidelines and systematic review of
15 16	497		current research evidence. Osteoarthr Cartil. 2007;15(9):981-1000. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2007.06.014
17	498	37.	Zhang W, Moskowitz RW, Nuki G, et al. OARSI recommendations for the management of hip and
18	499		knee osteoarthritis, Part II: OARSI evidence-based, expert consensus guidelines. Osteoarthr Cartil.
19 20	500		2008:16(2):137-162. doi:10.1016/i.joca.2007.12.013
20 21	501	38.	Zhang W. Nuki G. Moskowitz RW, et al. OARSI recommendations for the management of hip and
22	502		knee osteoarthritis: Part III: changes in evidence following systematic cumulative undate of research
23	503		published through January 2009 Osteoarthr Cartil 2010:18(4):476-499
24 25	504		doi:10.1016/LIOCA 2010.01.013
26	505	39	McAlindon TE Bannuru RR Sullivan MC et al OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management
27	506	57.	of knee osteoarthritis. Osteoarthr Cartil 2014:22(3):363-388. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2014.01.003
28 29	507	40	Lin EHB Katon W. Von Korff M. et al. Effect of Improving Depression Care on Pain and Functional
30	508	40.	Cutcomes Among Older Adults With Arthritis $IAMA = 2003;290(18):2428$
31	500		doi:10.1001/iama 200.18.2428
32 33	510	41	Eclean DT. Weight and esteenrthritic. <i>LPhaumatel Suppl.</i> 1005:42:7.0
34	511	41.	ANDERSON II FEISON DT FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH OSTEOARTHRITIS OF THE
35	512	42.	ANDERSON JJ, FELSON DI. FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH OSTEOARTHRITIS OF THE
30 37	512		(HANES D. A. LEvidenich 1089,129(1):170-180. doi:10.1002/oufordiournals.gia.s114020
38	514	12	(HAINES 1). Am J Epidemiol. 1988,128(1):179-189. doi:10.1095/0x101djournais.aje.a114959
39	515	43.	the tracture of the second of
40 41	516		treatment gap in management of early OA: International survey. <i>Knee Surgery, Sport Traumatol</i>
42	510		Arthrosc. 2014;22(2):363-378. doi:10.1007/s00167-013-2529-5
43	517	44.	Bourne RB, Chesworth BM, Davis AM, Manomed NN, Charron KDJ. Patient satisfaction after total
44 45	518		knee arthroplasty: who is satisfied and who is not? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2010;468(1):57-63.
46	519		doi:10.1007/s11999-009-1119-9
47	520	45.	Parvizi J, Nunley RM, Berend KR, et al. High level of residual symptoms in young patients after total
48 49	521		knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2014;472(1):133-137. doi:10.1007/s11999-013-3229-7
50	522	46.	Hughes LD, Mcmurdo MET, Guthrie B. Guidelines for people not for diseases: the challenges of
51	523		applying UK clinical guidelines to people with multimorbidity. J Heal Soc Behav J Public Heal Med J
52 53	524		Epidemiol Community Heal Ann Epidemiol Am J Epidemiol Age Ageing. 2013;36(42):1-10.
54	525		doi:10.1093/ageing/afs100
55	526	47.	Fortin M, Contant E, Savard C, Hudon C, Poitras M-E, Almirall J. Canadian guidelines for clinical
56 57	527		practice: an analysis of their quality and relevance to the care of adults with comorbidity. BMC Fam
58	528		Pract. 2011;12(1):74. doi:10.1186/1471-2296-12-74
59	529	48.	Boyd CM, Darer J, Boult C, Fried LP, Boult L, Wu AW. Clinical Practice Guidelines and Quality of
60	530		Care for Older Patients With Multiple Comorbid Diseases. JAMA. 2005;294(6):716.

1			
2 3	531		doi:10.1001/jama 294.6.716
4 5	532	49.	Dawes M. Co-morbidity: we need a guideline for each patient not a guideline for each disease. <i>Fam</i>
6	533		<i>Pract.</i> 2010;27:1-2. doi:10.1093/fampra/cmp106
7 8	534	50.	Huntley AL, Johnson R, Purdy S, Valderas JM, Salisbury C. Measures of multimorbidity and morbidity
9	535		burden for use in primary care and community settings: a systematic review and guide. Ann Fam Med.
10 11	536		2012;10(2):134-141. doi:10.1370/afm.1363
12	537	51.	Wolff JL, Starfield B, Anderson G. Prevalence, Expenditures, and Complications of Multiple Chronic
13 14	538		Conditions in the Elderly. Arch Intern Med. 2002;162(20):2269. doi:10.1001/archinte.162.20.2269
15	559		
16 17			
18			
19 20			
21			
22 23			
24			
25 26			
27 28			
20 29			
30 31			
32			
33 34			
35			
36 37			
38			
39 40			
41 42			
42 43			
44 45			
45 46			
47 48			
49			
50 51			
52			
53 54			
55			
56 57			
58			
59 60			



Figure 1 Percentage of specific comorbid conditions among the population with OA in each age group (<45, 45-64, and >=65 years). The difference by age group was statistically significant for each comorbid conditions (p<0.0001), as was the frequency of the number of comorbidities present per individual (p<0.0001).

Page 21	of 27
1	

Age Groups	OA with Hy (cou	ypertension unts)	ОА рор (соц	ulation unts)	Age-Sex Cru (per 1,000 p	ude Rates opulation)
	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
<45	2,068	1,972	39,591	36,917	52	ŗ
45-64	28,046	21,214	123,102	91,774	228	23
>=65	57,453	33,700	125,258	80,720	459	4
Total	87,567	56,886	287,951	209,411	304	2
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Age Groups	OA with E (cou	Depression unts)	OA pop (cou	ulation unts)	Age-Sex Cru (per 1,000 p	ude Rates opulation
<b>U</b> 1	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
<45	12,366	6,464	39,591	36,917	312	1
45-64	32,951	14,110	123,102	91,774	268	1
>=65	23,045	10,167	125,258	80,720	184	1
Total	87,567	56,886	287,951	209,411	304	2
				• ••		
Age Groups	OA wit (cou	h COPD unts)	OA pop (cou	ulation unts)	Age-Sex Cru (per 1,000 p	ude Rates
	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
<45	7,984	4,914	39,591	36,917	202	1
45-64	22,124	13,424	123,102	91,774	180	1
>=65	24,626	19,201	125,258	80,720	197	2
Total	87,567	56,886	287,951	209,411	304	2
			[		<u> </u>	
Age Groups	OA with 1 o (cou	comorbidity unts)	OA pop (cou	ulation unts)	Age-Sex Cru (per 1,000 p	ude Rates
	Female	, Male	Female	, Male	Female	Male
						iviaic

th OA

45-64

>=65

Total

<45

Age Groups

42,477

42,593

87,567

Female

4,268

OA with 2 comorbidities

(counts)

Male

27,967

25,825

56,886

1,956

123,102

125,258

287,951

Female

39,591

**OA** population

(counts)

91,774

80,720

209,411

Male

36,917

Female

**Age-Sex Crude Rates** 

(per 1,000 population)

Male

45-64	16,975	10,324	123,102	91,774	138	112
>=65	23,879	15,165	125,258	80,720	191	188
Total	87,567	56,886	287,951	209,411	304	272
Age Groups	OA with 3+ ( (co	comorbidities unts)	OA pop (coı	ulation unts)	Age-Sex Cru (per 1,000 p	ude Rates opulation)
	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
<45	757	379	39,591	36,917	19	10
45-64	5,970	4,099	123,102	91,774	48	45
>=65	15,467	11,240	125,258	80,720	123	139
Total	87,567	56,886	287,951	209,411	304	272

	ICD9-CM Diagnosis Codes Included	ICD10-CA Diagnosis Codes Included	Exclusions	Algorithm	References
Myocardial Infarction	410	121, 122	N/A	1 hospitalization for MI, with diagnoses codes in primary or secondary diagnosis fields.	McCormick et al., 2014 <sup>2</sup> Quan et al., 2005 <sup>22</sup>
Cerebrovascul ar Disease	3623,43301,43311,43321,43331,4 3381,43391,43401,43411,43491, 436,430,431,435	H341, 163, 164, 161, 160, G450, G451, G452, G453, G458, G459	43300, 43310, 43320, 43330, 43380, 43390, 43400, 43410, 43490, 437, 438, 165, 166, 167, 169, G45.4	1 hospital discharge (most responsible diagnosis) for different stroke conditions	Kokotailo & Hill, 2005 McCormick et al., 2015
Congestive Heart Failure	39891,40201,40211,40291,40401, 40403,40411,40413,40491,40493, 4254,4255,4257,4258,4259,428	143,150,1099,1110,1130,1132,1255,1420 ,1425,1426,1427,1428,1429,P290	r rei.	1 hospitalization or physician claim in diagnosis field	Schultz et al., 2013 McCormick et al., 2014 Quan et al., 2005 <sup>22</sup> Lee et al., 2005
Peripheral Vascular Disease	0930,4373,440,441,4431,4432,443 8,4439,4471,5571,5579,V434,443	I70,I71, I731,I738,I739,I771,I790,I792,K551,K 558,K559,Z958,Z959	N/A	1 hospitalization or physician claim in diagnosis field	Fan et al., 2013 <sup>17</sup>
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	4168,4169,490,491,492,493,494,4 95,496,500,501,502,503,504,505,5 064,5081,5088	J40,J41,J42,J43,J44,J45,J46,J47,J60,J 61,J62,J63,J64,J65,J66,J67,I278,I279, J684,J701,J703	N/A	1 hospitalization or physician claim in diagnosis field	Smidth et al. 2012 <sup>20</sup> Quan et al., 2005 <sup>22</sup>
Depression	2962,2963,2965,3004,309,311	F204,F313,F314,F315,F32,F33,F341, F412,F432	N/A	1 hospitalization or physician claim in diagnosis field	Townsend et al., 2012 <sup>18</sup> Lix et al., 2006 Martens et al., 2010

Diabetes	250	E10, E11, E12, E13, E14	Physician claims with procedure code having a prefix of "X" (radiology/xray), "E" (labs) or "B" (dentist, ophthalmologist, chiropractic visits)	1 hospitalization for diabetes OR 2 physician claims in a 2-year period	Chen et al., 2010 <sup>21</sup> Leong et al., 2013 <sup>19</sup> Hux et al., 2002
Hypertension	401, 402, 403, 404, 405	110, 111, 112, 113, 115	Physician claims with procedure code having a prefix of "X" (radiology/xray), "E" (labs) or "B" (dentist, ophthalmologist, chiropractic visits)	1 hospitalization for hypertension OR 2 physician claims in a 2-year period	Quan et al., 2009 <sup>14</sup>
			evia		

	Item		Pages
	No	Recommendation	1 4605
Title and abstract	1	( <i>a</i> ) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	p1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced	Р2
		summary of what was done and what was found	
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the	P4
		investigation being reported	
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified	P4
		hypotheses	
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	P5-6
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates,	P5-6
		including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up,	
		and data collection	
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and	P5
		methods of selection of participants	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors,	P7
		potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give	
		diagnostic criteria, if applicable	
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and	P5-7
measurement		details of methods of assessment (measurement).	
		Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is	
		more than one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	P5
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	P6
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the	P7
		analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were	
Statistical methods	10	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including these used	D7
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe an statistical methods, including those used	Γ/
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups	P7
		and interactions	1 /
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	N/A
		(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking	N/A
		account of sampling strategy	
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	The sensitivity analysis
			using alterative OA case
			definitions has been
			conducted previously.
			Please refer to Marshall et
			al. (2015).
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of	P7-8
		study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for	

		eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study,	
		completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	N/A
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	N/A
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg	P7-8
		demographic, clinical, social) and information on	
		exposures and potential confounders	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for	N/A
		each variable of interest	
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary	P8-10
		measures	
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable,	P8-9
		confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg,	
		95% confidence interval). Make clear which	
		confounders were adjusted for and why they were	
		included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous	P7
		variables were categorized	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative	N/A
		risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups	The sensitivity analysis
		and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	using alterative OA case
			definitions has been
			conducted previously.
			Please refer to Marshall et
			al. (2015).
Discussion			
0 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 - 0 - 0			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	P10-11
Key results Limitations	18 19	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account	P10-11 P13-14
Key results Limitations	18 19	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both	P10-11 P13-14
Key results Limitations	18 19	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	P10-11 P13-14
Key results Limitations Interpretation	18 19 20	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results	P10-11 P13-14 P12-13
Key results Limitations Interpretation	18 19 20	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of	P10-11 P13-14 P12-13
Key results Limitations Interpretation	18 19 20	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant	P10-11 P13-14 P12-13
Key results Limitations Interpretation	18 19 20	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	P10-11 P13-14 P12-13
Key results Limitations Interpretation	18 19 20 21	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the	P10-11 P13-14 P12-13
Key results Limitations Interpretation Generalisability	18         19         20         21	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	P10-11 P13-14 P12-13 N/A
Key results Limitations Interpretation Generalisability Other information	18         19         20         21	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	P10-11 P13-14 P12-13 N/A
Key results Limitations Interpretation Generalisability Other information Funding	18         19         20         21         22	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	P10-11 P13-14 P12-13 N/A P15
Key results Limitations Interpretation Generalisability Other information Funding	18         19         20         21         22	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and if applicable for the original study	P10-11 P13-14 P12-13 N/A P15

\*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

**Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at

http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

For peer terien only

# **BMJ Open**

# Existing comorbidities in people with osteoarthritis: a retrospective analysis of a population-based cohort in Alberta, Canada

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-033334.R2
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	31-Oct-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Marshall, Deborah; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences Liu, Xiaoxiao; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences Barnabe, Cheryl; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Medicine; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences Yee, Karen; Alberta Health Services, Research Facilitation Faris, Peter; Alberta Health Services, Research Facilitation Barber, Claire; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Medicine; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences Mosher, Dianne; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Medicine; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences Mosher, Dianne; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences Noseworthy, Thomas; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Community Health Sciences Werle, Jason; University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Department of Surgery Lix, Lisa; University of Manitoba, Department of Community Health Sciences
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Public health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Health services research, Rheumatology
Keywords:	Osteoarthritis, Comorbidity, Depression, Hypertension < CARDIOLOGY, COPD, Administrative Health Data

# SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

1		
2		
3	1	Title: Existing comorbidities in people with osteoarthritis: a retrospective analysis of a
4	2	population-based cohort in Alberta, Canada
5		
6	3	Deborah A Marshall PhD <sup>1,2*</sup> , Xiaoxiao Liu PhD <sup>1</sup> , Cheryl Barnabe MD MSc <sup>1,2</sup> , Karen Yee MSc
7	4	MPH <sup>3</sup> , Peter Faris PhD <sup>3</sup> , Claire EH Barber MD PhD <sup>1,2</sup> , Dianne Mosher PhD <sup>1</sup> , Thomas
8	5	Noseworthv <sup>2</sup> , Jason Werle <sup>4</sup> , Lisa M, Lix <sup>5</sup>
9	6	
10	6	
11	7	<sup>1</sup> Department of Community Health Sciences, Cumming School of Medicine, University of
12	8	Calgary
13	9	
14	10	<sup>2</sup> Department of Medicine, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary
15	11	
16	12	<sup>3</sup> Research Facilitation, Alberta Health Services, Calgary
1/	13	
18	14	<sup>4</sup> Department of Surgery, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary
19	15	
20	16	<sup>5</sup> Department of Community Health Sciences, Manitoba, Winnipeg
21	17	
22	1/	
23	18	
24 25	10	
25	19	* Correspondence: Deborah A Marshall, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary.
20	20	3280 Hospital Drive NW, HRIC Building, Room 3C58, Calgary, AB. 12N 4Z6, Canada. E-mail
27	21	address: damarsha@ucalgary.ca
20	22	
30	23	
31	24	
32	24	
33	25	
34	26	Word Count
35	27	Abstract: 288
36	27	
37	28	Body: 3,396
38	29	Number of tables: 3
39	30	Number of figures: 1
40	20	
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
4/		
48 40		
49 50		
50		
52		
52		
54		
55		
56		
57		
58		
59		
60		

# 31 Abstract

32 Objectives: The purpose of this study is to estimate the prevalence of comorbidities among people
 33 with osteoarthritis (OA) using administrative health data.

**Design:** Retrospective cohort analysis

35 Setting: All residents in the province of Alberta Canada registered with the Alberta Health Care
 36 Insurance Plan (AHCIP) population registry.

Participants: 497,362 people with OA as defined by "having at least one OA-related hospitalization, or at least two OA-related physician visits or two ambulatory care visits within two years".

Primary outcome measures: We selected eight comorbidities based on literature review, clinical consultation and the availability of validated case definitions to estimate their frequencies at the time of diagnosis of OA. Sex-stratified age-standardized prevalence rates per 1,000 population of eight clinically relevant comorbidities were calculated using direct standardization with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). We applied  $\chi^2$  tests of independence with a Bonferroni correction to 

22 44 compare the percentage of comorbid conditions in each age group.

**Results:** 54.6% (n=271,794) of people meeting the OA case definition had at least one of the eight selected comorbidities. Females had a significantly higher rate of comorbidities compared to males (standardized rates ratio = 1.26, 95% CI: 1.25-1.28). Depression, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and hypertension were the most prevalent in both females and males after age-standardization, with 40% of all cases having any combination of these comorbidities. We observed a significant difference in the percentage of comorbidities among age groups, illustrated by the youngest age group (<45 years) having the highest percentage of cases with depression (24.6%), compared to a frequency of 16.1% in those >65 years. 

53
 53
 54
 55
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 59
 50
 50
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 50
 50
 50
 51
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 55
 56
 57
 57
 58
 59
 50
 50
 50
 51
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 55
 56
 57
 57
 57
 57
 58
 59
 50
 50
 51
 51
 51
 51
 52
 54
 54
 55
 55
 56
 57
 57
 58
 59
 50
 50
 51
 51
 51
 52
 54
 55
 55
 56
 57
 57
 58
 59
 50
 50
 51
 51
 51
 51
 51
 52
 52
 54
 54
 55
 55
 56
 57
 57
 58
 59
 50
 50
 51
 51
 52
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 58
 59
 50
 51
 51
 51
 51
 52
 54
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 5

**Keywords:** Osteoarthritis; comorbidity; depression; hypertension; COPD; administrative health

- 58 data

1 2		
3	61	Strengths and limitations of this study
4 5	62	• Strong methodological approach to identify cases of OA with a validated case
6	63	definition using five linked population-based administrative databases.
7	64	• However, case identification based on administrative data may result in
o 9	65	underreporting of cases and comorbidities.
10	66	• The age-standardized prevalence of eight comorbidities, selected on their clinical
11 12	67	relevance and the availability of validated case definitions for administrative health
13	68	data, was estimated among people with OA.
14 15	69	• We limited our analysis to eight comorbidities of clinical relevance.
15 16	70	• We stratified the analysis by sex and by age cohorts
17		
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
24		
25 26		
27		
28 20		
30		
31		
32 33		
34		
35 36		
37		
38		
39 40		
41		
42 43		
44		
45 46		
47		
48		
49 50		
51		
52 53		
54		
55 56		
57		
58		
59		

#### **1. Introduction**

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common form of arthritis, affecting 1 in 8 (13%) Canadians and representing a major cause of pain and disability in society<sup>1,2</sup>. OA affects people of all ages, but is more prevalent among females and older age groups<sup>3,4</sup>. Due to an aging population and an increase in obesity, the prevalence of OA is expected to continue to rise, predicted to affect one in four Canadians by 2040<sup>5,6</sup>. The high prevalence of OA in Canada has a substantial impact on quality of life and health care costs to individuals and health care systems. Quality of life was measured to be 10%-25% lower among people with OA relative to the general population<sup>7</sup> with an associated economic burden of \$33 billion (direct and indirect costs) in Canada in 2011<sup>3</sup>. The annual cost per individual with OA has been estimated to be two to three times higher compared to people without OA<sup>7</sup>, and are associated with more physician visits and hospitalizations<sup>8</sup>.

More recently, the characterization of comorbidities among people with OA has been explored due to the potential effect of comorbidities on routine clinical practice, clinical practice guidelines, healthcare utilization and costs<sup>9-10</sup>. In people with OA over the age of 50 years in England, the presence of comorbidities resulted in increased physical disability compared to those without OA, with the influence of comorbidities greater than that expected for OA alone or for each comorbidity in isolation<sup>4</sup>. Knowledge of the prevalence of comorbidities in people with OA raises important considerations for optimal OA treatment and management, to reduce pain and physical disability, enhance the quality of life, and decrease the burden of OA. Comorbidities add complexity to the management of patients with OA to provide patient-centred care, ensure appropriate management recommendations for health care programs and delivery. 

The purpose of this study is to estimate the prevalence of comorbidities at time of diagnosis among people with OA in the province of Alberta, Canada, using administrative health data. Our study fills the gap in knowledge regarding the patterns and burden of comorbidities in people with OA, particularly with regard to the link between OA and comorbidities associated with age. In addition, our study is unique in that we examine all of the commonly reported comorbidities simultaneously in a single study. This information is useful to consider in clinical practice guidelines and to assess the potential impact of comorbidities for clinical practice. 

2. Materials and Methods

2	
2	
1	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
10	
10	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
27	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
22	
22	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
20	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
ΔΔ	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
55	
50	
57	
58	
59	

60

# 103 Data sources

We used five linked Alberta, Canada provincial administrative databases between April
1, 1994 and March 31, 2013 to identify individuals with OA who accessed health care
services paid for by the provincial health care insurance plan, previously described
elsewhere in detail<sup>6</sup>. These databases included the Alberta Health Care Insurance Plan
(AHCIP) population registry, the Discharge Abstract Database (DAD), the Physician
Claims Database (claims), the Ambulatory Care Classification System (ACCS), and the
National Ambulatory Care Reporting System (NACRS).

AHCIP Population Registry captures individual level demographic data on all insured persons as of the last day of each fiscal year (March 31). All Albertans who are included in the AHCIP have a unique, 9-digit personal health number, which is used when accessing health care services, and served to link datasets prior to de-identification. Members of the Armed Forces and the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, federal penitentiary inmates, and Albertans who have opted out of the AHCIP are excluded.

DAD captures admission and inpatient care data for all hospitalized patients, including diagnostic codes, interventions, patient age and sex, and administrative information.
Among 25 DAD diagnostic code fields extracted from the hospital record, OA-related records were identified as those with the first 3 digits 715 or M15 to M19 based on the ninth (9) and tenth (10) revisions of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) codes, respectively.

 $\begin{array}{ccc} & 123 & \text{Claims captures OA-related physician visits, which were identified based on the} \\ 1 & 124 & \text{aforementioned ICD codes in any of the 3 diagnostic code fields.} \end{array}$ 

ACCS and NACRS contains data on hospital-based and community-based ambulatory care, including day surgery, outpatient and community-based clinics and emergency departments, and publicly funded hospital support services such as physiotherapy and occupational therapy. OA-related records were identified based on the presence of the aforementioned ICD codes in any of the 10 diagnostic code fields. NACRS was used since April 2010.

Ethics approval for this project was provided by the Conjoint Health Research Ethics
 Board at the University of Calgary (REB13-0100).

9 133 Patient and public involvement

No patients were involved in setting the research question, the design and conduct of the study. No patients were involved in the interpretation or writing up of results. There are no plans to disseminate the results of the research to study participants because this was admin health database analysis. We will make the publication available to the relevant patient community.

# 12 139 Case Definition of Osteoarthritis (OA)

Validated case definitions have been used in previous research related to OA using administrative data<sup>11,12</sup>. The sensitivity of algorithms based on both physician claims and hospitalizations records within 2-5 years ranged from 24% - 46%, along with specificity and positive predictive value ranging from 92% -98%, and 39% - 54% respectively<sup>11</sup>. In this study, OA cases were identified as individuals with at least one OA-related hospitalization (DAD), or at least two OA-related physician visits (claims) within two years, or at least two OA-related ambulatory care visits (ACCS/NACRS) within two years, assuming none of the physicians or ambulatory care visits had occurred on the same day<sup>11</sup>. For our study, the OA cohort refers to those Alberta residents registered with AHCIP who have a specified OA-related diagnostic code in any diagnostic code field position. The cohort inclusion date is the earliest date of the OA-related record identified from either the Claims, DAD or ACCS/NACRS files. 

# 3536 152 *Case Definitions of Comorbidities*

We identified specific comorbidities to explore in this analysis based on three criteria: 1) a high frequency of reported comorbidities in the published literature on OA; 2) the availability of validated case definitions for each comorbid condition; and, 3) expert input from our clinical co-investigators. We first conducted a scoping review of the literature <sup>13</sup>, aiming to examine the extent and range of comorbidities research among people with OA. We identified 20 studies from a range of countries (9 in North America, 8 in Europe, 1 in Asia, 1 in South American, 1 in Netherlands), using different study designs (9 cross-sectional, 5 retrospective cohort, 5 prospective cohort and 1 case control study) with a range of sample sizes (91 to 85,966,369 cases of OA). Based on the results of this review, we derived a list of comorbidities and presented it to our clinical co-investigators. On this basis, we identified 8 comorbidities to include in our analysis: hypertension, depression, COPD, diabetes, congestive heart failure (CHF), peripheral vascular disease (PVD), myocardial infarction (MI), and cerebrovascular disease (CEVD). We applied case definitions for each comorbidity to identify those present within 3 years prior to the OA

# **BMJ** Open

167 diagnosis. Detailed ICD 9 and ICD 10 diagnostic codes used to identify each comorbidity 168 are provided in Appendix  $1^{14-23}$ .

7 169 

# 169 Age-standardized comorbidity prevalence rate

The frequency of each comorbid condition in people meeting the case definition for OA was calculated, as was the frequency of the number of comorbidities present per individual: one comorbidity, two comorbidities, and three or more comorbidities. We stratified OA cases by sex, and by age at diagnosis (<35, 35-44, 45-54, 55-65, 65-74, and >=75 years). The crude rate was calculated as the number in each comorbidity group divided by the total number of OA cases. We calculated age-standardized comorbidity prevalence rates using the direct standardization method <sup>24</sup>. We used the 2016 Canadian population reported publicly by Statistics Canada<sup>25</sup> to age-standardize the estimates for females and males with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) calculated using the binomial approximation method <sup>24</sup>. To compare differences between females and males, standardized rate ratios (SRR) were estimated as the female age-standardized rate divided by the male age-standardized rate. We calculated 95% confidence intervals for the SRR based on the standard error for each sex, to test for a sex difference <sup>24</sup>. 

We calculated the percentage of females and males in each age group and the percentage of OA cases for each age group by sex. The percentage of comorbidities among OA population was calculated as the number of cases with specific comorbidity divided by the OA population. The percentage of comorbidities among those with comorbidities was calculated using the population with one or more of the eight comorbidities as denominator. We also calculated the frequency of common groupings of these comorbidities in people with OA. 

We applied  $\chi^2$  tests of independence with a Bonferroni correction<sup>26</sup> to compare the percentage of specific comorbid conditions among the population with OA in each age group (<45, 45-64, and >=65 years). The null hypothesis is that there is no difference in the percentage of comorbidities across age groups, which is rejected when the calculated  $\gamma^2$  is greater than the critical value for a specific number of degrees of freedom and an altered significance level of 0.005 after Bonferroni correction. All analyses were conducted with R version 3.5.1 and Excel 2013. 

**3. Results** 

198	We identified 497,362 cases of OA, 57.9% of whom were females (Table 1). More
199	than half of the OA cases had at least one of the eight comorbidities $(54.6\%, n=271,794)$
200	(Table 2). A total of 161,315 (32.4%) people with OA had only one comorbidity, with
201	14.6% (n=72,567) having two, and 7.6% (n=37,912) having three or more of the
202	comorbidities. Hypertension was the most frequent comorbidity (29%, n=144,453),
203	followed by depression (19.9%, n=99,103), COPD (18.6%, n=92,273), diabetes (9.5%,
204	n=47,102), and CHF (5.6%, n=27,905). PVD (3.0%), CEVD (1.2%) and MI (1.0%) were

the least frequent comorbidities.

# 206 Table 1 Characteristics of people with OA identified in the population

		Female						
Population	(years)	n	% by age groups	% (Female)	n	% by age groups	% (Male)	Total
	<35	13,975	50.6	4.9	13,638	49.4	6.5	27,613
	35-44	25,616	52.4	8.9	23,279	47.6	11.1	48,895
People meeting	45-54	57,574	57.6	20.0	42,445	42.4	20.3	100,019
OA case	55-64	65,528	57.1	22.8	49,329	42.9	23.6	114,857
definition	65-74	59,346	57.7	20.6	43,527	42.3	20.8	102,873
	75+	65,912	63.9	22.9	37,193	36.1	17.8	103,105
	Total	287,951	57.9	100.0	209,411	42.1	100.0	497,362

Note: % by age groups was calculated using total population of each specific age group (e.g. for OA <35 years, the percentage was calculated using the number of females with OA as the numerator and the total number of people with OA as the denominator (13,975 + 13,638= 27,613). % female <35 years was calculated using the number of females in the age group < 35 years as the numerator (13,975) and the number of females with OA as the denominator (287,951).

# 207 Comorbidity Patterns by Sex

A similar pattern was observed regarding the number of comorbidities (with most people with OA having one comorbidity) and the ordering of the frequency of each of the comorbidities among females and males based on age-standardized prevalence rates (Table 2). Statistically significant differences among females and males were observed by the number of comorbidities, with females having higher age-standardized rates overall (SRR = 1.26, 95% CI: 1.25-1.28). The number of comorbidities was also higher for females compared to males with SRR ranging from 1.15 (95% CI: 1.11-1.18) for three or more comorbidities to 1.48 (95% CI: 1.44-1.52) for two comorbidities (Table 2).

# 216 Table 2 Frequency, percentage and age-standardized rate of comorbidities in people with OA

57 !							
58			% of	% of the OA	Age standard	lized Rate (per 1.000 po	pulation)
59	Comorbidity	n	OA	cohort with			
60			cohort	one or more	Female (95% CI)	Male (95% CI)	SRR (95% CI)

					-		
				of the eight			
				comorbidities			
	Hypertension	144,453	29.0	53.1	162.2 (160.9-163.5)	146.5 (145.1-148.0)	1.11 (1.09-1.12)
	Depression	99,103	19.9	36.5	264.1 (260.9-267.3)	152.6 (149.8-155.4)	1.73 (1.69-1.77)
	Chronic obstructive pulmonary						
<b>6</b>	disease	92,273	18.6	33.9	195.9 (193.0-198.8)	153.6 (150.9-156.3)	1.28 (1.25-1.30)
Comorbia	Diabetes	47,102	9.5	17.3	53.1 (52.0-54.2)	59.4 (58.4-60.4)	0.89 (0.87-0.92)
Conditions	Congestive heart failure	27,905	5.6	10.3	24.4 (23.9-24.9)	25.4 (24.9-26.0)	0.96 (0.93-0.99)
	Peripheral vascular disease	15,044	3.0	5.5	12.6 (12.1-13.0)	17.8 (17.2-18.3)	0.71 (0.67-0.74)
	Myocardial infarction	4,969	1.0	1.8	4.3 (4.1-4.5)	4.7 (4.4-5.0)	0.91 (0.84-0.98)
	Cerebrovascular disease	5,974	1.2	2.2	4.0 (3.8-4.2)	7.9 (7.6-8.2)	0.51 (0.48-0.54)
N	One Comorbidity	161,315	32.4	59.4	329.1 (325.7-332.4)	271.5 (268.2-274.8)	1.21 (1.19-1.23)
Comorbiditios	Two Comorbidities	72,567	14.6	26.7	124.9 (122.8-127.1)	84.6 (82.9-86.2)	1.48 (1.44-1.52)
Comorbiaities	Three or more Comorbidities	37,912	7.6	13.9	42.3 (41.4-43.2)	36.9 (36.2-37.7)	1.15 (1.11-1.18)
OA with Comorbidities		271,794	54.6		496.3 (492.8-499.8)	392.9 (389.4-396.4)	1.26 (1.25-1.28)
None of the 8 C				503.7 (500.17-	607.1 (603.56-		
None of the 8 C	225,568	45.4		507.22)	610.56)	0.83 (0.82-0.84)	

Note: % of OA cohort was calculated using OA population (271,794 + 225,568 = 497,362) as denominator and the population of each comorbidity as numerator. % of the OA cohort with one or more of the eight comorbidities was calculated using population with at least one comorbidity (n= 271,794) as denominator. CI denotes Confidential Interval. SRR denotes Standardized Rate Ratio between females and males (females/males). The eight comorbidities are those with validated case definitions for administrative health data.

Depression, COPD and hypertension remained as the three most prevalent comorbidities in both females and males after age-standardization. However, the prevalence of each of these comorbidities was higher in females compared to males (Table 2Females had significantly higher prevalence rates than males for depression (SRR 1.73, 95% CI: 1.69-1.77), COPD (SRR 1.28, 95% CI: 1.25-1.30) and hypertension (SRR 1.11, 95% CI: 1.09-1.12), respectively.

223 The prevalence of each of these three comorbidities differed significantly in females.

Example the age-standardized prevalence of depression in females was 264 cases per

225 1,000 population, 35% higher and statistically higher than for COPD (196 cases per 1,000

population) (SRR 1.35, 95% CI 1.32-1.37). In contrast, the prevalence of these three

227 comorbidities among males were not significantly different.

<sup>53</sup><sub>54</sub> 228 Common groupings of comorbidities in people with OA

As shown in Table 3, of the eight comorbidities in people with OA, the most frequent comorbidity was hypertension as a single comorbidity, found in 12.8% of people with OA (n=63,520). The most common grouping of two comorbidities was the coexistence of hypertension and depression (2.9%, n=14,609). The most common grouping with three comorbidities was depression, COPD and hypertension, (1.1%, n=5,262). People with OA having any combination of the top three comorbidities accounted for approximately 40% of people with OA. Table 3 Frequency of top 10 common groupings of comorbidities 

		% of OA	% of OA with
Combinations of comorbidity	n	cohort)	comorbidities
Hypertension only	63,520	12.8	23.4
Depression only	46,226	9.3	17.0
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease only	34,464	6.9	12.7
Hypertension, Depression	14,609	2.9	5.4
Hypertension, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	13,653	2.7	5.0
Depression, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	13,584	2.7	5.0
Hypertension, Diabetes	11,784	2.4	4.3
Diabetes	11,054	2.2	4.1
Hypertension, Depression, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	5,262	1.1	1.9
Hypertension, Congestive Heart Failure	3,946	0.8	1.5

Note: % of OA cohort was calculated using OA population (271,794 + 225,568 = 497,362) as denominator and the population of each comorbidity as numerator. % of OA with comorbidities was calculated using population with at least one comorbidity at the diagnosis of OA (n= 271,794) as denominator.

# 237 Comorbidity patterns by age group

As shown in Figure 1, each of the eight comorbidities, with the exception of depression, was most common in people with OA over 65 years old. Hypertension was found in 44.3% of people with OA over 65 years of age (n=91,153 cases) compared to 5.3% (n=4,040 cases) in those <44 years old. The largest number of people with OA and depression were in the 45-64 years age cohort (n=47,061 cases), with the youngest age group (<44 years) having the highest percentage of cases with depression (24.6% compared to 21.9% in the middle age group (45-64 years) and 16.1% in the older age group (>=65 years). The difference in the percentage of each of the eight comorbidities among the three age groups was statistically significant (p<0.0001). The detailed age-sex stratified crude rates per 1,000 population is provided in Appendix 2.

The number of comorbidities in people with OA increased with increasing age. The percentage of people with three or more comorbidities increased significantly from 1.5% in youngest age group (<44 years), to 4.7% in the middle age group (45-64 years), and to 13% in the older age group (>=65 years) (p<0.0001).

# **4. Discussion**

We estimated the prevalence of comorbid conditions in people with OA using provincial administrative health data. Using validated case and comorbidity definitions, we found that 54.6% of people with OA had at least one of the eight comorbidities, and 22.2% had at least two. Depression, COPD and hypertension were the three most prevalent comorbidities in both females and males after age-standardization. However, the prevalence of each of these comorbidities was significantly higher in females compared to males. People with any combination of these three comorbidities represented about 40% of the people with OA. In general, the number of comorbidities in people with OA increased with increasing age. Each of the eight comorbidities, except depression, was most common in people with  $OA \ge 65$  years. The largest number of people with OA and depression are in the middle age group (45-64 years), with the youngest age group (<44 years) having the highest percentage of cases with depression.

The estimated prevalence of comorbidities varies among studies due to differences in case definitions, the list of included chronic conditions, data sources and study population. We estimated that the prevalence of comorbidity among people with OA was 54.6% for one or more of the eight comorbid chronic conditions and 22.2% for two or more comorbid chronic conditions with OA. Our estimates of the prevalence of comorbidities in people with OA are higher than the prevalence of two or more and three or more chronic conditions among the general Canadian population (26.5% and 10.2%, respectively) as reported by Feely et al.(2017)<sup>27</sup>, and higher than the prevalence of 12.9% (two or more chronic diseases) and 3.9% (three or more chronic diseases) reported by Roberts et al.  $(2015)^{28}$ . In our study, among 205,978 OA cases in the age group over 65 years old, the prevalence of one or more comorbid chronic conditions was 33.2% (n=68,418) and the prevalence of two or more comorbid chronic conditions with OA was 19.0% (n=39,044). The estimated prevalence of comorbid chronic conditions in people with OA is higher than the estimates reported by Roberts et al. (2015), which showed that the prevalence of two or more chronic diseases in the general population over 65 years old was 31.3% and the prevalence of three or more chronic diseases was 11.3%. It has been reported previously that the prevalence of one or more comorbid condition among people with musculoskeletal conditions was more than twice than those without a musculoskeletal condition but with another chronic condition<sup>29</sup>. 

We identified depression, COPD and hypertension as frequent comorbid conditions

among the people with OA. This was consistent with findings reported from the Canadian

Primary Care Sentinel Surveillance Network showing that the prevalence of osteoarthritis

has significant association with depression, COPD and hypertension<sup>2</sup>. Depression is

emerging as a significant comorbidity in OA. Previous findings have reported that

depression was highly prevalent in people with OA<sup>10, 30</sup>. A systemic review of depressive symptoms in people with OA, including 49 studies worldwide and representing 15,855 individuals, reported a frequency of depression of 19.9% among people with OA<sup>31</sup>, which was similar to our estimates. Depressed individuals are more likely to report chronic or more severe pain, and more than half of the patients with chronic pain are depressed. People living with OA are known to have fewer social contacts, limited physical activity, increased pain and disability <sup>32,33</sup>, worse surgical outcomes and reduced effectiveness of pain interventions <sup>34</sup>, which are all important predictors of depression <sup>35</sup>. However, current clinical practice guidelines for non-surgical management of OA do not include recommendations regarding mental health management <sup>36–39</sup>. This emphasizes the need for treatments and management for depression to improve outcomes for people with OA<sup>40</sup>. It has been suggested that educating physicians about timely identification of psychological factors may be helpful to improve outcomes. In addition, self-care management could be integrated into OA management strategies as a way to reduce anxiety and depression, as well as resulting emotional and physical pain. Guidelines suggest that OA management should also integrate pharmacotherapy carefully and be cautious about the drug interactions and adverse side effects when treating OA, depression, anxiety and pain holistically<sup>30</sup>. Two or more of the comorbidities that we examined coexist in a substantial proportion of people with OA – approximately 22% in total. Obesity, which we were unable to study using administrative data is also prevalent amongst people with OA and a risk factor for developing OA<sup>41,42</sup>. From a clinical practice perspective, a physician has to consider the implications of prescribing non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medications for pain management, but this may worsen hypertension and have an associated increased risk of cardiovascular disease. However, without good pain management, it is difficult for patients with OA to engage in exercise programs which can help improve their muscular condition and potentially reduce obesity and hypertension. This is further complicated by the relationship of lower socioeconomic status with an increased risk of developing OA as demonstrated in the project for an Ontario Women's Health Evidence-based Report<sup>29</sup>.  Page 13 of 28

### **BMJ** Open

Furthermore, our analyses showed that depression not only was the most prevalent comorbidity after age-standardization in people with OA, but that rates of depression were significantly higher for females and younger people (< 44 years old). The study by Dibonaventura et al. (2011) reported that people under 65 years of age were still participating in the workforce, however OA pain resulted in significantly lower productivity and higher costs compared to those without OA pain<sup>8</sup>. They identified unique treatment gaps for patients younger than 60 years old because the non-operative treatment options were ineffective in long-term management of OA symptoms, but young patients were too young or maybe unwilling to undergo definitive treatment such as total joint replacement<sup>43</sup>. Even for those patients who undergo total joint replacement, they were more likely to be dissatisfied about the treatment than older patients, and reported poorer outcomes including residual pain and stiffness <sup>44,45</sup>. A survey of orthopedic surgeons found that 84% perceived a need for better treatment for younger (<60 years old) physically active OA patients, and 68.4% perceived that there is a treatment gap for these patients<sup>43</sup>. Due to the different presentations of comorbidities and treatment options among young and old age groups, it is imperative to examine the impact of comorbidities on management strategies in an age-stratified OA cohort.

Most clinical practice guidelines focus on single conditions<sup>46</sup>. Fortin et al. (2011) concluded that even though the quality of the Canadian guidelines was good, their relevance for patients with two or more chronic conditions was limited<sup>47</sup>. Boyd et al. (2005) highlighted the lack of consideration of comorbidities in clinical practice guidelines may result in poor quality of care because the health care some patients received was not optimal<sup>48</sup>. Sharma et al., (2016) pointed out that the present literature fails to fully address the management strategies when dealing with comorbidities seen in people with OA<sup>30</sup>. Patient-centered care has been recommended in clinical practice guidelines with the aim of improving quality of care by focusing on the patient as a whole rather than on a single disease<sup>49</sup>. From a system perspective, patients with several comorbidities were also the main users of healthcare resources and services<sup>50</sup>. Patient-centered and coordinated care for these patients may decrease related health care use<sup>51</sup>. It was recommended that physicians consider these comorbidities in the management of people with OA. 

56348A strength of our study is the large population-based number of people with OA57349(n=497,362) and the investigation of a group of eight comorbidities that are clinically59350relevant to the management of people with OA. These eight comorbidities among people

with OA has been reported in previous studies, but only on an individual basis or in groups of a subset of these comorbidities. Our study is the first one to include all of these comorbidities, which is necessary to understand the clinical context for managing these patients. Furthermore, our analysis also delineates the patterns of occurrence and co-occurrence of these comorbidities regarding the prevalence of comorbidities that is relevant for planning and delivery of health services for this growing population of people with OA. We applied case definitions for administrative health data to identify cases of OA and each of the comorbidities at the diagnosis of OA. A limitation of our study is that case identification based on administrative data may result in underreporting of cases and comorbidities. The case definitions for OA in administrative data research <sup>5</sup>, based on the physician claims and hospitalizations records, have been applied and validated with a sensitivity of 24%, high specificity of 98% and a positive predictive value of  $54\%^{11}$ . In our study, we also included ACCS/NACRS to mitigate the issue of underestimations<sup>6</sup>. Nonetheless, the estimated number of OA cases using this approach is almost certainly an underestimate. Similarly, the algorithms for comorbidities may underestimate the prevalence of these comorbidities; the sensitivity for identifying depression is from 36% to 51%<sup>19</sup>, for PVD is 39%<sup>18</sup> and for COPD is 53%<sup>21</sup> in administrative data (Appendix 2). More importantly, the reported levels of comorbidity in patients with OA were measured at the time of OA diagnosis. New cases of comorbidity diagnosed after the OA diagnosis were not identified. Further, we limited our analysis to eight comorbidities of clinical relevance and for which there were case definitions in administrative data. 

# 40 372 **5. Conclusions**

We found that, depression, COPD and hypertension were the three most prevalent comorbidities in people with OA, with rates significantly higher in females compared to males. Of particular note is that the largest number of people with OA and depression are in the age group between 45 and 64 years old, with the highest percentage of cases occurring in the younger age groups (<44 years). Our findings highlight the need to recognize that people with OA have high rates of comorbidities and this may affect optimal health care management of these patients. 

55 380 Acknowledgements

<sup>57</sup> 381 The authors would like to acknowledge the following team members and their
<sup>58</sup> 382 contributions to the study: Behnam Sharif.

1 2		
3 4	383	Contributions
5 6 7	384	DM, PF, KY were responsible for the conception and design of the research,
7 8	385	acquisition of the data, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the article, and revision
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	386	of the article for important intellectual content.
	387	XL was responsible for the analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the article, and
	388	revision of the article for important intellectual content.
	389	CB, CB, DM, TN, JW and LL were responsible for the conception and design of the
	390	research, interpreting results of the research and revision of the article for important
18 19	391	intellectual content.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	392	All authors approved the final version of the manuscript to be submitted.
	393	DM (damarsha@ucalgary.ca) and XL (xiaoxili@ucalgary.ca) take responsibility for
	394	the integrity of the work as a whole.
	395	Role of the funding source
	396	This research was funded through a Canadian Institute for Health Research (CIHR)
	397	Operating Grant (Grant #: 126128) and the Arthur J.E. Child Chair in Rheumatology
33	398	Research. There were no study sponsors and the funding agencies had no involvement in
34 35	399	the study design, collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the
36 37	400	manuscript; or in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.
38 39	401	DM was supported by a Canada Research Chair and the Arthur J.E. Child Chair in
40 41	402	Rheumatology Research. XL was supported by the Arthur J.E. Child Chair in
42	403	Rheumatology Research, the Cumming School of Medicine Postdoctoral Scholarship and
43 44	404	the Postdoctoral Scholarship funded by the O'Brien Institute of Public Health and the
45 46	405	McCaig Institute for Bone and Joint Health.
47 48 40	406	Data availability
49 50	407	These data are not available because Alberta Health and Alberta Health Services are
51 52	408	the custodians of the data. The authors are not authorized to share them.
53 54 55	409	Competing interests
56 57	410	The authors confirm that there is no financial support or other benefits from
58 59 60	411	commercial sources for the work reported on in the manuscript. There are also no financial

412 interests that the authors may have which could create a potential conflict of interest with

413 regards to the work in the manuscript.

- 415 Figure 1 Percentage of specific comorbid conditions among the population with OA in each
- 416 age group (<45, 45-64, and >=65 years). The difference by age group was statistically
- 417 significant for each comorbid conditions (p<0.0001), as was the frequency of the number of
- 418 comorbidities present per individual (p<0.0001).

for peer teries only

2 3	410	ъć	
4	419	Kefe	erences
5 6	420	1.	Leite AA, Costa AJG, Lima B de AM de, Padilha AVL, Albuquerque EC de, Marques CDL.
7	421		Comorbidities in patients with osteoarthritis: frequency and impact on pain and physical function. <i>Rev</i>
8	422		Bras Reumatol. 2011;51(2):118-123. doi:10.1590/S0482-50042011000200002
9 10	423	2.	Birtwhistle R, Morkem R, Peat G, et al. Prevalence and management of osteoarthritis in primary care:
11	424		an epidemiologic cohort study from the Canadian Primary Care Sentinel Surveillance Network. Copen.
12 13	425		2015;3(3):E270-5. doi:10.9778/cmajo.20150018
14	426	3.	Bombardier C, Hawker G, Mosher D. The Impact of Arthritis in Canada: Today and over the next 30
15	427		Years.; 2011.
16 17	428	4.	Kadam UT, Jordan K, Croft PR. Clinical comorbidity in patients with osteoarthritis: a case-control
18	429		study of general practice consulters in England and Wales. Ann Rheum Dis. 2004;63(4):408-414.
19 20	430		doi:10.1136/ARD.2003.007526
20 21	431	5.	Kopec JA, Rahman MM, Berthelot J-M, et al. Descriptive epidemiology of osteoarthritis in British
22	432		Columbia, Canada. J Rheumatol. 2007;34(2):386-393.
23 24	433	6.	Marshall DA, Vanderby S, Barnabe C, et al. Estimating the Burden of Osteoarthritis to Plan for the
25	434		Future. Arthritis Care Res. 2015;67(10):1379-1386. doi:10.1002/acr.22612
26	435	7.	Tarride J-E, Haq M, O'Reilly DJ, et al. The excess burden of osteoarthritis in the province of Ontario,
27	436		Canada. Arthritis Rheum. 2012;64(4):1153-1161. doi:10.1002/art.33467
29	437	8.	Dibonaventura M dacosta, Gupta S, McDonald M, Sadosky A. Evaluating the health and economic
30	438		impact of osteoarthritis pain in the workforce: results from the National Health and Wellness Survey.
31 32	439		BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2011;12:83. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-12-83
33	440	9.	Rosemann T, Joos S, Szecsenyi J, Laux G, Wensing M. Health service utilization patterns of primary
34 25	441		care patients with osteoarthritis. BMC Health Serv Res. 2007;7(1):169. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-7-169
36	442	10.	Kim KW, Han JW, Cho HJ, et al. Association Between Comorbid Depression and Osteoarthritis
37	443		Symptom Severity in Patients with Knee Osteoarthritis. J Bone Jt Surgery-American Vol.
38 39	444		2011;93(6):556-563. doi:10.2106/JBJS.I.01344
40	445	11.	Lix L, Yogendran M, Mann J. Defining and Validating Chronic Diseases: An Administrative Data
41	446		Approach and Update with ICD-10-CA.; 2008.
42 43	447	12.	Kopec JA, Rahman MM, Sayre EC, et al. Trends in physician-diagnosed osteoarthritis incidence in an
44	448		administrative database in British Columbia, Canada, 1996–1997 through 2003–2004. Arthritis Rheum.
45 46	449		2008;59(7):929-934. doi:10.1002/art.23827
40 47	450	13.	Pham MT, Rajić A, Greig JD, Sargeant JM, Papadopoulos A, McEwen SA. A scoping review of scoping
48	451		reviews: advancing the approach and enhancing the consistency. Res Synth Methods. 2014;5(4):371-
49 50	452		385. doi:10.1002/jrsm.1123
50	453	14.	McCormick N, Lacaille D, Bhole V, Avina-Zubieta JA. Validity of Myocardial Infarction Diagnoses
52	454		in Administrative Databases: A Systematic Review. Guo Y, ed. PLoS One. 2014;9(3):e92286.
53 54	455		doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0092286
55	456	15.	Quan H, Khan N, Hemmelgarn BR, et al. Validation of a Case Definition to Define Hypertension Using
56	457		AdministrativeData.Hypertension.2009;54(6):1423-1428.
57 58	458		doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.109.139279
59	459	16.	McCormick N, Bhole V, Lacaille D, Avina-Zubieta JA. Validity of Diagnostic Codes for Acute Stroke
60	460		in Administrative Databases: A Systematic Review. PLoS One. 2015;10(8):e0135834.

<ol> <li>462 17. McCormick N, Lacaille D, Bhole V, Avina-Zubieta JA. Validity of heart failure diagnoses in administrative databases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>PLoS One</i>. 2014;9(8):e104519.</li> <li>464 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104519</li> <li>465 18. Fan J, Arruda-Olson AM, Leibson CL, et al. Billing code algorithms to identify cases of peripheral artery disease from administrative data. <i>J Am Med Inform Assoc</i>. 2013;20(e2):e349-54. doi:10.1136/minipih-2013-01827</li> <li>466 19. Townsend I, Walkup JT, Crystal S, Olfson M. A systematic review of validated methods for identifying depression using administrative data. <i>Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.</i> 2012;21:163-173. doi:10.1002/pds.2310</li> <li>12. Leong A, Dasgupta K, Bernatsky S, Lacaille D, Avina-Zubieta A, Rahme F. Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Validation Studies on a Diabetes Case Definition from Health Administrative Records. Barengo NC, ed. <i>PLoS One</i>. 2013;8(10):e75256. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075256</li> <li>474 21. Smidth M, Sokolowski I, Karsvang L, Vedsted P. Developing an algorithm to identify people with Chronic Obstructive Fulmonary Disease (COFD) using administrative data. <i>BMC Med Inform Decis Mak</i>. 2012;12(1):8. doi:10.1186/e1472-6947-12-38</li> <li>477 22. Chen G, Khan N, Walker R, Quan H. Validating ICD coding algorithms for diabetes mellitus from administrative data. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract</i>. 2010;89(2):189-195. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2010.03.007</li> <li>478 and multistica anthods for registrics. <i>Cancer Regist Princ Methods</i>. 1991;126-158.</li> <li>481 24. Boyle P, Parkin D. Statistical methods for registrics. <i>Cancer Regist Princ Methods</i>. 1991;126-158.</li> <li>482 25. Statistics Canada. Alberta [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. doi:10.214305/hneqb;37.702</li> <li>486 27. Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K, Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease Surveillance System. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada</i>. <i>Res po</i></li></ol>	3	461		doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0135834
<ul> <li>administrative databases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>PLoS One</i>. 2014;9(8):e104519.</li> <li>doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104519</li> <li>Fan J, Arruda-Olson AM, Leibson CL, et al. Billing code algorithms to identify cases of peripheral artery disease from administrative data. <i>J Am Med Inform Assoc</i>. 2013;20(e2):e349-54.</li> <li>doi:10.1136/amiajnl-2013-001827</li> <li>Townsend L, Walkup JT, Crystal S, Ol'Son M. A systematic review of validated methods for identifying depression using administrative data. <i>Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf</i>. 2012;21:163-173.</li> <li>doi:10.1002/pds.2310</li> <li>Leong A, Dasgupta K, Bernatsky S, Lacaille D, Avina-Zubieta A, Rahme E. Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Validation Studies on a Diabetes Case Definition from Health Administrative Records. Barengo NC, ed. <i>PLoS One</i>. 2013;8(10):e75256 doi:10.10371/journal.pone.0075256</li> <li>Smidth M, Sokolowski I, Kersvang L, Vedsted P. Developing an algorithm to identify people with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPU) using administrative data. <i>BMC Med Inform Decis Mak</i>. 2012;12(1):38. doi:10.1186/1472-6947-12-38</li> <li>Chen G, Khan N, Walker R, Quan II, Validating ICD coding algorithms for diabetes mellitus from administrative data. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract</i>. 2010;89(2):189-195. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2010.03.007</li> <li>Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding Algorithms for Defining Comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 Administrative. <i>Med Care</i>. 2005;43(11):1130-1139.</li> <li>Boyle P, Parkin D. Sattistical methods for grigistics. <i>Cancer Regist Princ Methods</i>. 1991;126-158.</li> <li>Statistics Canada. Alberta [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. doi:98-316-52016001</li> <li>Band JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. <i>BMJ</i>. 1995;310(6973):170. doi:10.1136/bnjj.310.6973.170</li> <li>Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic d</li></ul>	4 5	462	17.	McCormick N, Lacaille D, Bhole V, Avina-Zubieta JA. Validity of heart failure diagnoses in
7       464       doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104519         9       465       18.       Fan J, Arruda-Olson AM, Leibson CL, et al. Billing code algorithms to identify cases of peripheral artery disease from administrative data. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2013;20(e2):e349-54.         11       466       19.       Townsend L, Walkup JT, Crystal S, Olfson M. A systematic review of validated methods for identifying depression using administrative data. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2012;21:163-173.         12       468       19.       Townsend L, Walkup JT, Crystal S, Olfson M. A systematic review of validated methods for identifying depression using administrative data. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2012;21:163-173.         13       doi:10.1002/pds.2310       Leong A, Dasgupta K, Bernatsky S, Lacaille D, Avina-Zubieta A, Rahme E. Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Validation Studies on a Diabetes Case Definition from Health Administrative Records. Barengo NC, ed. PLoS One. 2013;81(0):e75256. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075256         14       475       Smidth M, Sokolowski I, Kærsvang L, Vedsted P. Developing an algorithm to identify people with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) using administrative data. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2012;12(1):38. doi:10.1136/1472-6947-12-38         24       77       22.       Chen G, Khan N, Walker R, Quan H. Validating ICD coding algorithms for diabetes mellitus from administrative data. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2010;89(2):189-195. doi:10.1016/j.diabetes.2010.03.007         24       77       22.       Chen G, Khan N, Walker R, Quan H. Validating ICD coding algorithms for diabetes mel	6	463		administrative databases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2014;9(8):e104519.
<ul> <li>465</li> <li>18. Fan J, Arruda-Olson AM, Leibson CL, et al. Billing code algorithms to identify cases of peripheral artery disease from administrative data. <i>J Am Med Inform Assoc.</i> 2013;20(e2):e349-54. doi:10.1136/amigial-2013-001827</li> <li>466</li> <li>19. Townsend L, Walkup JT, Crystal S, Olfson M. A systematic review of validated methods for identifying depression using administrative data. <i>Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.</i> 2012;21:163-173. doi:10.1002/pds.2310</li> <li>10. Leong A, Dasgupta K, Bernatsky S, Lacaille D, Avina-Zubieta A, Rahme E. Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Validation Studies on a Diabetes Case Definition from Health Administrative Records. Barengo NC, ed. <i>PLoS One.</i> 2013;8(10):e75256. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075256</li> <li>474</li> <li>21. Smidth M, Sokolowski I, Kærsvang L, Vedsted P. Developing an algorithm to identify people with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) using administrative data. <i>BMC Med Inform Decis Mak</i>. 2012;12(1):38. doi:10.1186/1472-6947-12-38</li> <li>475</li> <li>21. Chen G, Khan N, Walker R, Quan H, Validating ICD coding algorithms for diabetes mellitus from administrative data. <i>Dubetes Res Clin Pract.</i> 2010;89(2):189-195. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2010.03.007</li> <li>479</li> <li>23. Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding Algorithms for Defining Comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 Administrative. <i>Med Care.</i> 2005;43(11):1130-1139.</li> <li>481</li> <li>24. Boyle P, Parkin D. Statistical methods for registries. <i>Cancer Regist Princ Methods.</i> 1991:126-158.</li> <li>25. Statistic Canada. Alberta [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. doi:98-316-X2016001</li> <li>484</li> <li>26. Bland JM, Alman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. <i>BMJ.</i> 1995;310(6973):170. doi:10.2145/36(bi:71.70</li> <li>485</li> <li>27. Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K. Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease Surveilla</li></ul>	7	464		doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104519
<ul> <li>466 artery disease from administrative data. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2013;20(e2):e349-54.</li> <li>467 doi:10.1136/amiginJ-2013-001827</li> <li>468 19. Townsend L, Walkup JT, Crystal S, Olfson M. A systematic review of validated methods for identifying depression using administrative data. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2012;21:163-173.</li> <li>470 doi:10.1002/pds.2310</li> <li>471 20. Leong A, Dasgupta K, Bernatsky S, Lacaille D, Avina-Zubieta A, Rahme E. Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Validation Studies on a Diabetes Case Definition from Health Administrative Records. Barengo NC, ed. PLoS One. 2013;8(10):e75266. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075256</li> <li>471 421. Smidth M, Sokolowski J, Kærsvang L, Vedsted P. Developing an algorithm to identify people with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) using administrative data. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2012;12(1):38. doi:10.1186/1472-6947-12-38</li> <li>477 20. Chen G, Khan N, Walker R, Quan H. Validating ICD coding algorithms for diabetes mellitus from administrative data. Diabetes Res (Cin Pract. 2005;43(11):1130-1139.</li> <li>481 24. Boyle P, Parkin D. Statistical methods for registries. Cancer Regist Princ Methods. 1991:126-158.</li> <li>482 25. Statistics Canada. Alberta [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. doi:98-316-X201001</li> <li>484 26. Bland JM, Altman DG, Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. BMJ. 1995;310(6973):170.</li> <li>485 47. Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K, Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease Surveillance System. Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract. 2017;37(7):215-222. doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.7.02</li> <li>489 28. Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract. 2017;37(7):215-222. doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.7.02</li> <li>489 28. Ro</li></ul>	8 9	465	18.	Fan J, Arruda-Olson AM, Leibson CL, et al. Billing code algorithms to identify cases of peripheral
<ul> <li>doi:10.1136/amiajnl-2013-001827</li> <li>doi:10.1136/amiajnl-2013-001827</li> <li>doi:10.1002/pds.2310</li> <li>Loong A, Dasgupta K, Bernatsky S, Lacaille D, Avina-Zubieta A, Rahme F. Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Validation Studies on a Diabetes Case Definition from Health Administrative Records. Barengo NC, ed. <i>PLoS One</i>. 2013;8(10):e75256. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075256</li> <li>Smidth M, Sokolowski J, Kersvang L, Vedsted P. Developing an algorithm to identify people with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) using administrative data. <i>BMC Med Inform Decis</i> <i>Mak</i>. 2012;12(1):38. doi:10.1186/1472-6947-12-38</li> <li>Chen G, Khan N, Walker R, Quan H, Validating ICD coding algorithms for diabetes mellitus from administrative data. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract</i>. 2010;89(2):189-195. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2010.03.007</li> <li>Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding Algorithms for Defining Comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 Administrative. <i>Med Care</i>. 2005;43(11):1130-1139.</li> <li>Boyle P, Parkin D. Statistical methods for registres. <i>Cancer Regist Princ Methods</i>. 1991;126-158.</li> <li>Statistics Canada. Alberta [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. doi:98-316-X2016001</li> <li>Bland JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. <i>BMJ</i>. 1995;310(6973):170. doi:10.1136/bmj.310.6973.170</li> <li>Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract</i>. 2015;35(6):87-94.</li> <li>Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract</i>. 2015;35(6):87-94.</li> <li>Bland JM, Altuna DF, Multiple Significance ests: Rheumatol <i>Res Nev</i>. 2016;8:103-113. doi:10.2147/OARRR.S93516</li> <li>Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi Q, Gandhi R. Anxiety and d</li></ul>	10	466		artery disease from administrative data. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2013;20(e2):e349-54.
468       19.       Townsend L, Walkup JT, Crystal S, Olfson M. A systematic review of validated methods for identifying         469       depression using administrative data. <i>Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.</i> 2012;21:163-173.         470       doi:10.1002/pds.2310         471       20.       Leong A, Dasgupta K, Bernatsky S, Lacaille D, Avina-Zubieta A, Rahme E. Systematic Review and         471       20.       Leong A, Dasgupta K, Bernatsky S, Lacaille D, Avina-Zubieta A, Rahme E. Systematic Review and         472       Meta-Analysis of Validation Studies on a Diabetes Case Definition from Health Administrative         472       Records. Barengo NC, ed. <i>PLoS One.</i> 2013;8(10):e75256. doi:10.1371/journal pone.0075256         474       21.       Smidth M, Sokolowski I, Kærsvang I, Vedsted P. Developing an algorithm to identify people with         275       Chen G, Khan N, Walker R, Quan H. Validating ICD coding algorithms for diabetes mellitus from         476 <i>Mak.</i> 2012;12(1):38. doi:10.1186/1472-6947-12-38         287       477       22.         29       Chen G, Khan N, Walker R, Quan H. Validating ICD coding algorithms for diabetes mellitus from         477       23.       Quan H, Sundarrajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding Algorithms for Defining Comorbidities in ICD-9-CM         480       and ICD-10 Administrative. <i>Med Care.</i> 2005;43(11):1130-1139.         481       24.       Boyle P, Parkin D. Statistical methods for regist	11	467		doi:10.1136/amiajnl-2013-001827
<ul> <li>469 depression using administrative data. <i>Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Sqf.</i> 2012;21:163-173. doi:10.1002/pds.2310</li> <li>77 471 20. Leong A, Dasgupta K, Bernatsky S, Lacalle D, Avina-Zubieta A, Rahme E. Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Validation Studies on a Diabetes Case Definition from Health Administrative Records. Barengo NC, ed. <i>PLoS One.</i> 2013;8(10):e75256. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075256</li> <li>774 21. Smidth M, Sokolowski I, Kærsvang I, Vedsted P. Developing an algorithm to identify people with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) using administrative data. <i>BMC Med Inform Decls Mak.</i> 2012;12(1):38. doi:10.1186/1472-6947-12-38</li> <li>77 22. Chen G, Khan N, Walker R, Quan H, Validating ICD coding algorithms for diabetes mellitus from administrative data. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract.</i> 2010;89(2):189-195. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2010.03.007</li> <li>79 23. Quan H, Sundarrajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding Algorithms for Defining Comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 Administrative. <i>Med Care.</i> 2005;43(11):1130-1139.</li> <li>481 24. Boyle P, Parkin D. Statistical methods for registries. <i>Cancer Regist Princ Methods.</i> 1991;126-158.</li> <li>71 482 25. Statistics Canada. Alberta [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. doi:98-316-X2016001</li> <li>484 26. Bland JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. <i>BMJ.</i> 1995;310(6973):170. doi:10.1136/bmj.310.6973.170.</li> <li>72. Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K. Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease Surveillance System. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract.</i> 2017;37(7):215-222. doi:10.24095/fnpcdp.37.7.02</li> <li>489 28. Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract.</i> 2016;8:103-113. doi:10.2147/OARRR.S93516</li> <li>491 30. Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi</li></ul>	12	468	19.	Townsend L, Walkup JT, Crystal S, Olfson M. A systematic review of validated methods for identifying
<ul> <li>doi:10.1002/pds.2310</li> <li>471 20. Leong A, Dasgupta K, Bernatsky S, Lacaille D, Avina-Zubieta A, Rahme E. Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Validation Studies on a Diabetes Case Definition from Health Administrative Records. Barengo NC, ed. <i>PLoS One</i>. 2013;8(10):e75256. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075256</li> <li>474 21. Smidth M, Sokolowski I, Kærsvang L, Vedsted P. Developing an algorithm to identify people with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) using administrative data. <i>BMC Med Inform Decis</i> <i>Mak</i>. 2012;12(1):38. doi:10.1186/1472-6947-12-38</li> <li>477 22. Chen G, Khan N, Walker R, Quan H. Validating ICD coding algorithms for diabetes mellitus from administrative data. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract</i>. 2010;89(2):189-195. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2010.03.007</li> <li>478 administrative data. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract</i>. 2010;89(2):189-195. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2010.03.007</li> <li>480 and ICD-10 Administrative. <i>Med Care</i>. 2005;43(11):1130-1139.</li> <li>481 24. Boyle P, Parkin D. Statistical methods for registries. <i>Cancer Regist Princ Methods</i>. 1991;126-158.</li> <li>482 25. Statistics Canada. Alberta [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. doi:98-316-X2016001</li> <li>484 26. Bland JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. <i>BMJ</i>. 1995;310(6973):170. doi:10.1136/bmj.310.6973.170</li> <li>485 27. Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K. Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease Surveillance System. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada. Res policy Pract</i>. 2017;37(7):215-222. doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.7.02</li> <li>489 28. Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada</i> <i>Res policy Pract</i>. 2015;35(6):87-94.</li> <li>492 29. Hawker GA, Badley EM, Jaglal S, et al. Musculoskeletal Conditions. In: <i>Project for an Ontario</i> <i>Women's Health Evidence-Based Report: Volume 2: Toronto</i>.; 2010.&lt;</li></ul>	14	469		depression using administrative data. <i>Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.</i> 2012;21:163-173.
<ul> <li>471 20. Leong A, Dasgupta K, Bernatsky S, Lacaille D, Avina-Zubieta A, Rahme E. Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Validation Studies on a Diabetes Case Definition from Health Administrative Records. Barengo NC, ed. <i>PLoS One</i>. 2013;8(10):e75256. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075256</li> <li>473 21. Smidth M, Sokolovski I, Karsvang L, Vedsted P. Developing an algorithm to identify people with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) using administrative data. <i>BMC Med Inform Decis</i> <i>Mak</i>. 2012;12(1):38. doi:10.1186/1472-6947-12-38</li> <li>477 22. Chen G, Khan N, Walker R, Quan H, Validating ICD coding algorithms for diabetes mellitus from administrative data. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract</i>. 2010;89(2):189-195. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2010.03.007</li> <li>479 23. Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding Algorithms for Defining Comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 Administrative. <i>Med Care</i>. 2005;43(11):1130-1139.</li> <li>481 24. Boyle P, Parkin D. Statistical methods for registries. <i>Cancer Regist Princ Methods</i>, 1991;126-158.</li> <li>482 25. Statistics Canada. Alberta [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. doi:98-316-X2016001</li> <li>484 26. Bland JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. <i>BMJ</i>. 1995;310(6973):170. doi:10.1136/bmj.310.6973.170</li> <li>485 27. Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K. Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease Surveillance System. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract</i>. 2017;37(7):215-222. doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.702</li> <li>489 28. Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada</i> <i>Res policy Pract</i>. 2015;35(6):87-94.</li> <li>491 492 29. Hawker GA, Badley EM, Jaglal S, et al. Musculoskeletal Conditions. In: <i>Project for an Ontario</i> <i>Womer's Health Evidence-Basee Report: Volume 2: Toronto</i>. ; 2010.&lt;</li></ul>	15	470		doi:10.1002/pds.2310
18472Meta-Analysis of Validation Studies on a Diabetes Case Definition from Health Administrative Records. Barengo NC, ed. <i>PLoS One.</i> 2013;8(10):e75256. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.007525611473Records. Barengo NC, ed. <i>PLoS One.</i> 2013;8(10):e75256. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.00752561247421.Smidth M, Sokolowski I, Kærsvang L, Vedsted P. Developing an algorithm to identify people with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) using administrative data. <i>BMC Med Inform Decis</i> <i>Mak.</i> 2012;12(1):38. doi:10.1186/1472-6947-12-3812Chen G, Khan N, Walker R, Quan H, Validating ICD coding algorithms for diabetes mellitus from administrative data. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract.</i> 2010;89(2):189-195. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2010.03.00713723.Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding Algorithms for Defining Comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 Administrative. <i>Med Care.</i> 2005;43(11):1130-1139.14824.Boyle P, Parkin D. Statistical methods for registries. <i>Cancer Regist Princ Methods.</i> 1991:126-158.14825.Statistics Canada. Alberta [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. doi:98-316-X2016001148426.Bland JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. <i>BMJ.</i> 1995;310(6973):170. doi:10.1136/bmj.310.6973.170148627.Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K. Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease Surveillance System. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract.</i> 2017;37(7):215-222. doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.7.02148928.Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. <i>Heal Pro</i>	10	471	20.	Leong A, Dasgupta K, Bernatsky S, Lacaille D, Avina-Zubieta A, Rahme E. Systematic Review and
<ul> <li>473 Records. Barengo NC, ed. <i>PLoS One</i>. 2013;8(10):e75256. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075256</li> <li>474 21. Smidth M, Sokolowski I, Kærsvang L, Vedsted P. Developing an algorithm to identify people with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) using administrative data. <i>BMC Med Inform Decis</i> <i>Mak</i>. 2012;12(1):38. doi:10.1186/1472-6947-12-38</li> <li>477 22. Chen G, Khan N, Walker R, Quan H. Validating ICD coding algorithms for diabetes mellitus from administrative data. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract</i>. 2010;89(2):189-195. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2010.03.007</li> <li>479 23. Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding Algorithms for Defining Comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 Administrative. <i>Med Care</i>. 2005;43(11):1130-1139.</li> <li>481 24. Boyle P, Parkin D. Statistical methods for registries. <i>Cancer Regist Princ Methods</i>. 1991:126-158.</li> <li>482 25. Statistics Canada. Alberta [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. doi:98-316-X2016001</li> <li>484 26. Bland JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. <i>BMJ</i>. 1995;310(6973):170. doi:10.1136/bmj.310.6973.170</li> <li>486 27. Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K. Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease Surveillance System. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract</i>. 2017;37(7):215-222. doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.7.02</li> <li>488 28. Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada</i> <i>Res policy Pract</i>. 2015;35(6):87-94.</li> <li>492 29. Hawker GA, Badley EM, Jaglal S, et al. Musculoskeletal Conditions. In: <i>Project for an Ontario</i> <i>Women's Health Evidence-Based Report: Volume 2: Toronto</i>. ; 2010.</li> <li>30. Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi Q, Gandhi R. Anxiety and depression in patients with osteoarthritis: impact and management challenges. <i>Open access Rheumatol Res Rev</i>. 2016;8:103-113.</li></ul>	18	472		Meta-Analysis of Validation Studies on a Diabetes Case Definition from Health Administrative
<ol> <li>474 21. Smidh M, Sokolowski I, Kærsvang L, Vedsted P. Developing an algorithm to identify people with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) using administrative data. <i>BMC Med Inform Decis</i> <i>Mak</i>. 2012;12(1):38. doi:10.1186/1472-6947-12-38</li> <li>477 22. Chen G, Khan N, Walker R, Quan H. Validating ICD coding algorithms for diabetes mellitus from administrative data. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract</i>. 2010;89(2):189-195. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2010.03.007</li> <li>479 23. Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding Algorithms for Defining Comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 Administrative. <i>Med Care</i>. 2005;43(11):1130-1139.</li> <li>481 24. Boyle P, Parkin D. Statistical methods for registrics. <i>Cancer Regist Princ Methods</i>. 1991:126-158.</li> <li>482 25. Statistics Canada. Alberta [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. doi:98-316-X2016001</li> <li>484 26. Bland JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. <i>BMJ</i>. 1995;310(6973):170. doi:10.1136/bmj.310.6973.170</li> <li>486 27. Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K. Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease Surveillance System. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract</i>. 2017;37(7):215-222. doi:10.24095/hpedp.37.702</li> <li>488 20. Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract</i>. 2015;35(6):87-94.</li> <li>492 29. Hawker GA, Badley EM, Jaglal S, et al. Musculoskeletal Conditions. In: <i>Project for an Ontario Women's Health Evidence-Based Report: Volume 2: Toronto</i>. ; 2010.</li> <li>494 30. Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi Q, Gandhi R. Anxiety and depression in patients with ostcoarthritis: impact and management challenges. <i>Open access Rheumatol Res Rev</i>. 2016;8:103-113. doi:10.2147/0ARRR.S93516</li> <li>497 31. Stubbs B, Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressiv</li></ol>	19 20	473		Records. Barengo NC, ed. <i>PLoS One</i> . 2013;8(10):e75256. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075256
<ul> <li>475 Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) using administrative data. <i>BMC Med Inform Decis</i> <i>Mak</i>. 2012;12(1):38. doi:10.1186/1472-6947-12-38</li> <li>477 22. Chen G, Khan N, Walker R, Quan H. Validating ICD coding algorithms for diabetes mellitus from administrative data. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract</i>. 2010;89(2):189-195. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2010.03.007</li> <li>479 23. Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding Algorithms for Defining Comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 Administrative. <i>Med Care</i>. 2005;43(11):1130-1139.</li> <li>481 24. Boyle P, Parkin D. Statistical methods for registries. <i>Cancer Regist Princ Methods</i>. 1991:126-158.</li> <li>482 25. Statistics Canada. Alberta [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. doi:98-316-X2016001</li> <li>484 26. Bland JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. <i>BMJ</i>. 1995;310(6973):170. doi:10.1136/bmj.310.6973.170</li> <li>486 27. Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K. Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease Surveillance System. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract</i>. 2017;37(7):215-222. doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.702</li> <li>489 28. Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract</i>. 2015;35(6):87-94.</li> <li>492 29. Hawker GA, Badley EM, Jaglal S, et al. Musculoskeletal Conditions. In: <i>Project for an Ontario Women's Health Evidence-Based Report: Volume 2: Toronto</i>. ; 2010.</li> <li>494 30. Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi Q, Gandhi R. Anxiety and depression in patients with osteoarthritis: impact and management challenges. <i>Open access Rheumatol Res Rev</i>. 2016;8:103-113. doi:10.2147/OARRR.S93516</li> <li>497 31. Stubbs B, Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Age Ageing</i>. 2016;45(2):228-235. doi:10.1093/ageing/afw001<!--</td--><td>20</td><td>474</td><td>21.</td><td>Smidth M, Sokolowski I, Kærsvang L, Vedsted P. Developing an algorithm to identify people with</td></li></ul>	20	474	21.	Smidth M, Sokolowski I, Kærsvang L, Vedsted P. Developing an algorithm to identify people with
<ul> <li>476 Mak. 2012;12(1):38. doi:10.1186/1472-6947-12-38</li> <li>477 22. Chen G, Khan N, Walker R, Quan H. Validating ICD coding algorithms for diabetes mellitus from administrative data. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2010;89(2):189-195. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2010.03.007</li> <li>479 23. Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding Algorithms for Defining Comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 Administrative. Med Care. 2005;43(11):1130-1139.</li> <li>481 24. Boyle P, Parkin D. Statistical methods for registries. Cancer Regist Princ Methods. 1991:126-158.</li> <li>482 25. Statistics Canada. Alberta [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. doi:98-316-X2016001</li> <li>484 26. Bland JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. BMJ. 1995;310(6973):170. doi:10.1136/bmj.310.6973.170</li> <li>486 27. Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K. Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease Surveillance System. Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada. Res policy Pract. 2017;37(7):215-222. doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.702</li> <li>489 28. Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada 491 Res policy Pract. 2015;35(6):87-94.</li> <li>492 29. Hawker GA, Badley EM, Jaglal S, et al. Musculoskeletal Conditions. In: Project for an Ontario Women's Health Evidence-Based Report: Volume 2: Toronto. ; 2010.</li> <li>494 30. Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi Q, Gandhi R. Anxiety and depression in patients with osteoarthritis: impact and management challenges. Open access Rheumatol Res Rev. 2016;8:103-113. doi:10.2147/OARRR.S93516</li> <li>497 31. Stubbs B, Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Age Ageing. 2016;45(2):228-235. doi:10.1093/ageing/afw001</li> <li>500 32. Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping a</li></ul>	22	475		Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) using administrative data. BMC Med Inform Decis
<ul> <li>Chen G, Khan N, Walker R, Quan H. Validating ICD coding algorithms for diabetes mellitus from administrative data. <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract.</i> 2010;89(2):189-195. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2010.03.007</li> <li>Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding Algorithms for Defining Comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 Administrative. <i>Med Care.</i> 2005;43(11):1130-1139.</li> <li>Boyle P, Parkin D. Statistical methods for registries. <i>Cancer Regist Princ Methods</i>, 1991:126-158.</li> <li>Statistics Canada. Alberta [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. doi:98-316-X2016001</li> <li>Bland JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. <i>BMJ</i>. 1995;310(6973):170. doi:10.1136/bmj.310.6973.170</li> <li>Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K. Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease Surveillance System. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada. Res policy Pract.</i> 2017;37(7):215-222. doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.7.02</li> <li>Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada</i> <i>Res policy Pract.</i> 2015;35(6):87-94.</li> <li>Hawker GA, Badley EM, Jaglal S, et al. Musculoskeletal Conditions. In: <i>Project for an Ontario</i> <i>Women's Health Evidence-Based Report: Volume 2: Toronto.</i> ; 2010.</li> <li>Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi Q, Gandhi R. Anxiety and depression in patients with osteoarthritis: impact and management challenges. <i>Open access Rheumatol Res Rev.</i> 2016;8:103-113. doi:10.2147/OARRR.S93516</li> <li>Stubbs B, Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Age Ageing.</i> 2016;45(2):228-235. doi:10.1093/ageing/afw001</li> <li>Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and</li> </ul>	23 24	476		Mak. 2012:12(1):38. doi:10.1186/1472-6947-12-38
26478administrative data. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2010;89(2):189-195. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2010.03.0072747923.Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding Algorithms for Defining Comorbidities in ICD-9-CM30480and ICD-10 Administrative. Med Care. 2005;43(11):1130-1139.3148124.Boyle P, Parkin D. Statistical methods for registries. Cancer Regist Princ Methods. 1991:126-158.3248225.Statistics Canada. Alberta [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census.33483doi:98-316-X20160013448426.Bland JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. BMJ. 1995;310(6973):170.36485doi:10.1136/bmj.310.6973.1703748627.Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K. Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease38847Surveillance System. Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract. 2017;37(7):215-222.488doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.7.0248928.Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic490disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada491Res policy Pract. 2015;35(6):87-94.49229.Hawker GA, Badley EM, Jaglal S, et al. Musculoskeletal Condititions. In: Project for an Ontario493Women's Health Evidence-Based Report: Volume 2: Toronto. ; 2010.49430.Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi Q, Gandhi R. Anxiety and depression in patients with osteoarthritis: impact495and managem	24 25	477	22.	Chen G. Khan N. Walker R. Ouan H. Validating ICD coding algorithms for diabetes mellitus from
<ul> <li>Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding Algorithms for Defining Comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 Administrative. Med Care. 2005;43(11):1130-1139.</li> <li>481</li> <li>24. Boyle P, Parkin D. Statistical methods for registries. Cancer Regist Princ Methods. 1991:126-158.</li> <li>25. Statistics Canada. Alberta [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. doi:98-316-X2016001</li> <li>484</li> <li>26. Bland JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. BMJ. 1995;310(6973):170. doi:10.1136/bmj.310.6973.170</li> <li>486</li> <li>27. Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K. Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease Surveillance System. Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract. 2017;37(7):215-222. doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.7.02</li> <li>489</li> <li>28. Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract. 2015;35(6):87-94.</li> <li>492</li> <li>29. Hawker GA, Badley EM, Jaglal S, et al. Musculoskeletal Conditions. In: Project for an Ontario Women's Health Evidence-Based Report: Volume 2: Toronto. ; 2010.</li> <li>494</li> <li>30. Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi Q, Gandhi R. Anxiety and depression in patients with osteoarthritis: impact and management challenges. Open access Rheumatol Res Rev. 2016;8:103-113. doi:10.2147/OARRR.S93516</li> <li>497</li> <li>31. Stubbs B, Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Age Ageing. 2016;45(2):228-235. doi:10.1093/ageing/afw001</li> <li>500</li> <li>32. Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and</li> </ul>	26	478		administrative data, <i>Diabetes Res Clin Pract</i> , 2010;89(2);189-195, doi:10.1016/i.diabres.2010.03.007
<ul> <li>480 and ICD-10 Administrative. Med Care. 2005;43(11):1130-1139.</li> <li>481 24. Boyle P, Parkin D. Statistical methods for registries. Cancer Regist Princ Methods. 1991:126-158.</li> <li>482 25. Statistics Canada. Alberta [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. doi:98-316-X2016001</li> <li>484 26. Bland JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. <i>BMJ</i>. 1995;310(6973):170.</li> <li>485 doi:10.1136/bmj.310.6973.170</li> <li>486 27. Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K. Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease Surveillance System. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract</i>. 2017;37(7):215-222.</li> <li>488 doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.702</li> <li>489 28. Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada</i> 491 <i>Res policy Pract</i>. 2015;35(6):87-94.</li> <li>492 29. Hawker GA, Badley EM, Jaglal S, et al. Musculoskeletal Conditions. In: <i>Project for an Ontario Women's Health Evidence-Based Report: Volume 2: Toronto</i>. ; 2010.</li> <li>494 30. Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi Q, Gandhi R. Anxiety and depression in patients with osteoarthritis: impact and management challenges. <i>Open access Rheumatol Res Rev.</i> 2016;8:103-113. doi:10.2147/OARRR.S93516</li> <li>497 31. Stubbs B, Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Age Ageing.</i> 2016;45(2):228-235. doi:10.1093/ageing/afw001</li> <li>500 32. Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and</li> </ul>	27 28	479	23.	Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding Algorithms for Defining Comorbidities in ICD-9-CM
<ul> <li>481 24. Boyle P, Parkin D. Statistical methods for registries. <i>Cancer Regist Princ Methods</i>. 1991:126-158.</li> <li>482 25. Statistics Canada. Alberta [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census. doi:98-316-X2016001</li> <li>483 484 26. Bland JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. <i>BMJ</i>. 1995;310(6973):170. doi:10.1136/bmj.310.6973.170</li> <li>486 27. Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K. Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease Surveillance System. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract</i>. 2017;37(7):215-222. doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.7.02</li> <li>488 doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.7.02</li> <li>489 28. Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract</i>. 2015;35(6):87-94.</li> <li>492 29. Hawker GA, Badley EM, Jaglal S, et al. Musculoskeletal Condtitions. In: <i>Project for an Ontario Women's Health Evidence-Based Report: Volume 2: Toronto</i>. ; 2010.</li> <li>494 30. Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi Q, Gandhi R. Anxiety and depression in patients with osteoarthritis: impact and management challenges. <i>Open access Rheumatol Res Rev</i>. 2016;8:103-113. doi:10.2147/OARRR.S93516</li> <li>497 31. Stubbs B, Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Age Ageing</i>. 2016;45(2):228-235. doi:10.1093/ageing/afw001</li> <li>500 32. Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and</li> </ul>	29	480		and ICD-10 Administrative. <i>Med Care</i> . 2005;43(11):1130-1139.
48225.Statistics Canada. Alberta [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census.33483doi:98-316-X201600148426.Bland JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. <i>BMJ</i> . 1995;310(6973):170.485doi:10.1136/bmj.310.6973.17048627.Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K. Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease39487Surveillance System. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract.</i> 2017;37(7):215-222.488doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.7.0241148928.489chices multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada</i> 490disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada</i> 491 <i>Res policy Pract.</i> 2015;35(6):87-94.49229.49430.495and management challenges. <i>Open access Rheumatol Res Rev.</i> 2016;8:103-113.496doi:10.2147/OARRR.S9351649731.514985249753Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in54498554995632.5632.57Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and	30	481	24.	Boyle P, Parkin D. Statistical methods for registries. <i>Cancer Regist Princ Methods</i> . 1991:126-158.
<ul> <li>483</li> <li>483</li> <li>484</li> <li>484</li> <li>26. Bland JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. <i>BMJ</i>. 1995;310(6973):170.</li> <li>485</li> <li>486</li> <li>487</li> <li>488</li> <li>27. Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K. Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease</li> <li>487</li> <li>488</li> <li>488</li> <li>489</li> <li>488</li> <li>489</li> <li>489</li> <li>489</li> <li>489</li> <li>490</li> <li>490</li> <li>490</li> <li>491</li> <li>492</li> <li>491</li> <li>492</li> <li>492</li> <li>492</li> <li>493</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>495</li> <li>495</li> <li>496</li> <li>496</li> <li>497</li> <li>416</li> <li>417/20ARRR.S93516</li> <li>417/20ARRR.S93516</li> <li>418</li> <li>418</li> <li>418</li> <li>419</li> <li>410</li> <li>410</li> <li>411</li> <li>411</li> <li>411</li> <li>412</li> <li>411</li> <li>412</li> <li>411</li> <li>412</li> <li>412</li> <li>412</li> <li>413</li> <li>414</li> <li>414</li> <li>414</li> <li>415</li> <li>414</li> <li>415</li> <li>414</li> <li>414</li> <li>414</li> <li>414</li> <li>414</li> <li>415</li> <li>414</li> <li>414</li> <li>414</li> <li>414</li> <li>415</li> <li>414</li> <li>414</li> <li>414</li> <li>415</li> <li>414</li> <li>414</li> <li>414</li> <li>414</li> <li>414</li> <li>414</li> <li>414</li> <li>414</li> <li>414&lt;</li></ul>	31	482	25.	Statistics Canada. Alberta [Province] and Canada [Country] (table). Census Profile. 2016 Census.
34 3548426.Bland JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. <i>BMJ</i> . 1995;310(6973):170.36 37485doi:10.1136/bmj.310.6973.17037 38 3948627.Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K. Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease Surveillance System. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract</i> . 2017;37(7):215-222.40 41 42 489488doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.7.0241 439 44928.Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada</i> 490 491 49244 491 491 492 49229.Hawker GA, Badley EM, Jaglal S, et al. Musculoskeletal Conditions. In: <i>Project for an Ontario</i> <i>Women's Health Evidence-Based Report: Volume 2: Toronto.</i> ; 2010.48 494 495 495 495 495 496 495Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi Q, Gandhi R. Anxiety and depression in patients with osteoarthritis: impact and management challenges. Open access Rheumatol Res Rev. 2016;8:103-113. doi:10.2147/OARRR.S9351652 54 54497 498 498 498 49831.54 54498 498 49832.54 54500 432.32.54 5632.Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and	33	483		doi:98-316-X2016001
36485doi:10.1136/bmj.310.6973.1703748627.Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K. Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease39487Surveillance System. Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract. 2017;37(7):215-222.40488doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.7.024148928.Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic42490disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada44491Res policy Pract. 2015;35(6):87-94.4549229.Hawker GA, Badley EM, Jaglal S, et al. Musculoskeletal Conditions. In: Project for an Ontario46493Women's Health Evidence-Based Report: Volume 2: Toronto. ; 2010.4849430.Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi Q, Gandhi R. Anxiety and depression in patients with osteoarthritis: impact49495and management challenges. Open access Rheumatol Res Rev. 2016;8:103-113.5049731.Stubbs B, Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in53498osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Age Ageing. 2016;45(2):228-235.55499doi:10.1093/ageing/afw0015650032.Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and	34 25	484	26.	Bland JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. <i>BMJ</i> . 1995;310(6973):170.
<ul> <li>486 27. Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K. Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease</li> <li>487 Surveillance System. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract.</i> 2017;37(7):215-222.</li> <li>488 doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.7.02</li> <li>489 28. Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada</i></li> <li>490 disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada</i></li> <li>491 <i>Res policy Pract.</i> 2015;35(6):87-94.</li> <li>492 29. Hawker GA, Badley EM, Jaglal S, et al. Musculoskeletal Conditions. In: <i>Project for an Ontario</i></li> <li>493 <i>Women's Health Evidence-Based Report: Volume 2: Toronto.</i>; 2010.</li> <li>484 494 30. Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi Q, Gandhi R. Anxiety and depression in patients with osteoarthritis: impact</li> <li>495 and management challenges. <i>Open access Rheumatol Res Rev.</i> 2016;8:103-113.</li> <li>496 doi:10.2147/OARRR.S93516</li> <li>497 31. Stubbs B, Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in</li> <li>50 osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Age Ageing.</i> 2016;45(2):228-235.</li> <li>50 doi:10.1093/ageing/afw001</li> <li>50 32. Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and</li> </ul>	35 36	485		doi:10.1136/bmj.310.6973.170
38487Surveillance System. Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract. 2017;37(7):215-222.40488doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.7.024148928.Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic42490disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada44491Res policy Pract. 2015;35(6):87-94.4549229.Hawker GA, Badley EM, Jaglal S, et al. Musculoskeletal Conditions. In: Project for an Ontario46493Women's Health Evidence-Based Report: Volume 2: Toronto. ; 2010.4849430.Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi Q, Gandhi R. Anxiety and depression in patients with osteoarthritis: impact49495and management challenges. Open access Rheumatol Res Rev. 2016;8:103-113.51496doi:10.2147/OARRR.S935165249731.Stubbs B, Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in53498osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Age Ageing. 2016;45(2):228-235.55499doi:10.1093/ageing/afw0015650032.51Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and	37	486	27.	Feely A, Lix LM, Reimer K. Estimating multimorbidity prevalence with the Canadian Chronic Disease
39488doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.7.024148928.Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic42490disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada44491Res policy Pract. 2015;35(6):87-94.4549229.Hawker GA, Badley EM, Jaglal S, et al. Musculoskeletal Conditions. In: Project for an Ontario46493Women's Health Evidence-Based Report: Volume 2: Toronto. ; 2010.4849430.Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi Q, Gandhi R. Anxiety and depression in patients with osteoarthritis: impact49495and management challenges. Open access Rheumatol Res Rev. 2016;8:103-113.51496doi:10.2147/OARRR.S935165249731.54498osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Age Ageing. 2016;45(2):228-235.55499doi:10.1093/ageing/afw0015650032.51Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and	38	487		Surveillance System. Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada Res policy Pract. 2017;37(7):215-222.
<ul> <li>41 489 28. Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. <i>Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada</i></li> <li>44 491 <i>Res policy Pract.</i> 2015;35(6):87-94.</li> <li>45 492 29. Hawker GA, Badley EM, Jaglal S, et al. Musculoskeletal Conditions. In: <i>Project for an Ontario</i></li> <li>47 493 <i>Women's Health Evidence-Based Report: Volume 2: Toronto.</i>; 2010.</li> <li>48 494 30. Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi Q, Gandhi R. Anxiety and depression in patients with osteoarthritis: impact</li> <li>49 495 and management challenges. <i>Open access Rheumatol Res Rev.</i> 2016;8:103-113.</li> <li>496 doi:10.2147/OARRR.S93516</li> <li>52 497 31. Stubbs B, Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in</li> <li>53 6498 osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Age Ageing.</i> 2016;45(2):228-235.</li> <li>55 499 doi:10.1093/ageing/afw001</li> <li>56 500 32. Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and</li> </ul>	39 40	488		doi:10.24095/hpcdp.37.7.02
<ul> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>490</li> <li>43</li> <li>490</li> <li>44</li> <li>491</li> <li>491</li> <li>491</li> <li>492</li> <li>492</li> <li>492</li> <li>492</li> <li>493</li> <li>493</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>30.</li> <li>494</li> <li>495</li> <li>495</li> <li>496</li> <li>495</li> <li>496</li> <li>497</li> <li>496</li> <li>497</li> <li>496</li> <li>497</li> <li>497</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>499</li> <li>490</li> <li>491</li> <li>492</li> <li>492</li> <li>493</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>495</li> <li>496</li> <li>497</li> <li>497</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>499</li> <li>499</li> <li>499</li> <li>490</li> <li>491</li> <li>491</li> <li>492</li> <li>493</li> <li>494</li> <li>495</li> <li>496</li> <li>497</li> <li>497</li> <li>498</li> <li>499</li> <li>499</li> <li>490</li> <li>490</li> <li>491</li> <li>492</li> <li>493</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>495</li> <li>497</li> <li>498</li> <li></li></ul>	41	489	28.	Roberts KC, Rao DP, Bennett TL, Loukine L, Jayaraman GC. Prevalence and patterns of chronic
<ul> <li>44 491 Res policy Pract. 2015;35(6):87-94.</li> <li>45 492 29. Hawker GA, Badley EM, Jaglal S, et al. Musculoskeletal Conditions. In: Project for an Ontario</li> <li>46 493 Women's Health Evidence-Based Report: Volume 2: Toronto.; 2010.</li> <li>48 494 30. Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi Q, Gandhi R. Anxiety and depression in patients with osteoarthritis: impact</li> <li>49 495 and management challenges. Open access Rheumatol Res Rev. 2016;8:103-113.</li> <li>51 496 doi:10.2147/OARRR.S93516</li> <li>52 497 31. Stubbs B, Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in</li> <li>53 498 osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Age Ageing. 2016;45(2):228-235.</li> <li>55 499 doi:10.1093/ageing/afw001</li> <li>56 500 32. Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and</li> </ul>	42 43	490		disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. Heal Promot chronic Dis Prev Canada
<ul> <li>45</li> <li>492</li> <li>49</li> <li>493</li> <li>493</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>495</li> <li>495</li> <li>496</li> <li>496</li> <li>497</li> <li>496</li> <li>497</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>499</li> <li>491</li> <li>498</li> <li>491</li> <li>492</li> <li>493</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>495</li> <li>495</li> <li>496</li> <li>497</li> <li>496</li> <li>497</li> <li>497</li> <li>498</li> <li>499</li> <li>499</li> <li>499</li> <li>491</li> <li>498</li> <li>499</li> <li>491</li> <li>498</li> <l< td=""><td>43 44</td><td>491</td><td></td><td><i>Res policy Pract.</i> 2015;35(6):87-94.</td></l<></ul>	43 44	491		<i>Res policy Pract.</i> 2015;35(6):87-94.
<ul> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>493</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>30. Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi Q, Gandhi R. Anxiety and depression in patients with osteoarthritis: impact 49</li> <li>495</li> <li>496</li> <li>496</li> <li>497</li> <li>31. Stubbs B, Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in 53</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>54</li> <li>499</li> <li>499</li> <li>498</li> <li< td=""><td>45</td><td>492</td><td>29.</td><td>Hawker GA, Badley EM, Jaglal S, et al. Musculoskeletal Conditions. In: Project for an Ontario</td></li<></ul>	45	492	29.	Hawker GA, Badley EM, Jaglal S, et al. Musculoskeletal Conditions. In: Project for an Ontario
<ul> <li>48 494 30. Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi Q, Gandhi R. Anxiety and depression in patients with osteoarthritis: impact and management challenges. <i>Open access Rheumatol Res Rev.</i> 2016;8:103-113.</li> <li>51 496 doi:10.2147/OARRR.S93516</li> <li>52 497 31. Stubbs B, Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Age Ageing.</i> 2016;45(2):228-235.</li> <li>55 499 doi:10.1093/ageing/afw001</li> <li>56 500 32. Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and</li> </ul>	46 47	493		Women's Health Evidence-Based Report: Volume 2: Toronto. ; 2010.
49 50495 and management challenges. Open access Rheumatol Res Rev. 2016;8:103-113.51496 doi:10.2147/OARRR.S9351652497 53 5453 54498 osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Age Ageing. 2016;45(2):228-235.55 55499 doi:10.1093/ageing/afw0015650032.51Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and	48	494	30.	Sharma A, Kudesia P, Shi Q, Gandhi R. Anxiety and depression in patients with osteoarthritis: impact
5065149651496524975349754498544985549960:10.1093/ageing/afw001565005032.51Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and	49	495		and management challenges. Open access Rheumatol Res Rev. 2016;8:103-113.
<ul> <li>497 31. Stubbs B, Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Age Ageing</i>. 2016;45(2):228-235.</li> <li>499 doi:10.1093/ageing/afw001</li> <li>50 32. Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and</li> </ul>	50 51	496		doi:10.2147/OARRR.S93516
<ul> <li>498 osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Age Ageing. 2016;45(2):228-235.</li> <li>499 doi:10.1093/ageing/afw001</li> <li>56 500 32. Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and</li> </ul>	52	497	31.	Stubbs B, Aluko Y, Myint PK, Smith TO. Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in
5464554995650032.Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and	53	498		osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Age Ageing. 2016;45(2):228-235.
56 500 32. Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and	54 55	499		doi:10.1093/ageing/afw001
	56	500	32.	Sale JEM, Gignac M, Hawker G. The relationship between disease symptoms, life events, coping and
57 50 501 treatment, and depression among older adults with osteoarthritis. J Rheumatol. 2008:35(2):335-342.	57 58	501	-	treatment, and depression among older adults with osteoarthritis. <i>J Rheumatol</i> . 2008;35(2):335-342.
59 502 33. Hawker GA, Gignac MAM, Badley E, et al. A longitudinal study to explain the pain-depression link in	58 59	502	33.	Hawker GA, Gignac MAM, Badley E, et al. A longitudinal study to explain the pain-depression link in
60 503 older adults with osteoarthritis. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2011;63(10):1382-1390.	60	503		older adults with osteoarthritis. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2011;63(10):1382-1390.

1 2			
2 3	504		doi:10.1002/act.20298
4	505	34	Gleicher V Croxford R Hochman I Hawker G A prospective study of mental health care for comorbid
5	505	54.	depressed mood in older adults with painful osteoarthritis <i>BMC</i> Psychiatry 2011:11(1):147
7	507		doi:10.1186/1471.244X_11_147
8	508	35	Rosemann T. Backenetrass M. Joest K. Rosemann A. Szecsenvi I. Laux G. Predictors of depression in
9 10	500	55.	a comple of 1.021 primery core potients with esteenthritic. Arthuitic Phaum 2007:57(2):415.422
11	510		doi:10.1002/art 22624
12	511	36	Zhang W. Moskowitz P.W. Nuki G. et al. OAPSI recommendations for the management of hin and
13 14	512	30.	knee esteenthritic part I: critical appraical of existing treatment guidelines and systematic review of
15	512		where osteoarthinnis, part 1. entical appraisal of existing treatment guidennes and systematic review of
16	517	27	Zhang W. Moskowitz BW. Nuki C. et al. OADSI recommondations for the monogement of him and
17	514	57.	Zhang w, Moskowitz Kw, Nuki G, et al. OARSI recommendations for the management of the and
19	515		knee osteoartintus, Part II. OAKSI evidence-based, expert consensus guidelines. <i>Osteoartin Cartit.</i>
20	517	20	2008,10(2):157-102. doi:10.1010/j.joca.2007.12.015
21 22	517 510	38.	Znang w, Nuki G, Moskowitz Rw, et al. OARSI recommendations for the management of hip and
23	510		knee osteoarthritis: Part III: changes in evidence following systematic cumulative update of research
24 25	519		published through January 2009. Osteoarthr Cartil. 2010;18(4):476-499.
25 26	520	20	doi:10.1016/J.JOCA.2010.01.013
27	521	39.	McAlindon TE, Bannuru RR, Sullivan MC, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management
28	522	10	of knee osteoarthritis. Osteoarthr Cartil. 2014;22(3):363-388. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2014.01.003
29 30	523	40.	Lin EHB, Katon W, Von Korff M, et al. Effect of Improving Depression Care on Pain and Functional
31	524		Outcomes Among Older Adults With Arthritis. JAMA. 2003;290(18):2428.
32	525		doi:10.1001/jama.290.18.2428
33 34	526	41.	Felson DT. Weight and osteoarthritis. <i>J Rheumatol Suppl</i> . 1995;43:7-9.
35	527	42.	ANDERSON JJ, FELSON DT. FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH OSTEOARTHRITIS OF THE
36 27	528		KNEE IN THE FIRST NATIONAL HEALTH AND NUTRITION EXAMINATION SURVEY
38	529		(HANES I). Am J Epidemiol. 1988;128(1):179-189. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a114939
39	530	43.	Li CS, Karlsson J, Winemaker M, Sancheti P, Bhandari M. Orthopedic surgeons feel that there is a
40 41	531		treatment gap in management of early OA: international survey. Knee Surgery, Sport Traumatol
42	532		Arthrosc. 2014;22(2):363-378. doi:10.1007/s00167-013-2529-5
43	533	44.	Bourne RB, Chesworth BM, Davis AM, Mahomed NN, Charron KDJ. Patient satisfaction after total
44 45	534		knee arthroplasty: who is satisfied and who is not? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2010;468(1):57-63.
46	535		doi:10.1007/s11999-009-1119-9
47	536	45.	Parvizi J, Nunley RM, Berend KR, et al. High level of residual symptoms in young patients after total
48 ⊿q	537		knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2014;472(1):133-137. doi:10.1007/s11999-013-3229-7
50	538	46.	Hughes LD, Mcmurdo MET, Guthrie B. Guidelines for people not for diseases: the challenges of
51	539		applying UK clinical guidelines to people with multimorbidity. J Heal Soc Behav J Public Heal Med J
52 53	540		Epidemiol Community Heal Ann Epidemiol Am J Epidemiol Age Ageing. 2013;36(42):1-10.
54	541		doi:10.1093/ageing/afs100
55	542	47.	Fortin M, Contant E, Savard C, Hudon C, Poitras M-E, Almirall J. Canadian guidelines for clinical
56 57	543		practice: an analysis of their quality and relevance to the care of adults with comorbidity. BMC Fam
58	544		Pract. 2011;12(1):74. doi:10.1186/1471-2296-12-74
59	545	48.	Boyd CM, Darer J, Boult C, Fried LP, Boult L, Wu AW. Clinical Practice Guidelines and Quality of
60	546		Care for Older Patients With Multiple Comorbid Diseases. JAMA. 2005;294(6):716.
2			
----------	------------	-----	--
5 4	547		doi:10.1001/jama.294.6.716
5	548	49.	Dawes M. Co-morbidity: we need a guideline for each patient not a guideline for each disease. <i>Fam</i>
6 7	549	- 0	<i>Pract</i> . 2010;27:1-2. doi:10.1093/fampra/cmp106
8	550	50.	Huntley AL, Johnson R, Purdy S, Valderas JM, Salisbury C. Measures of multimorbidity and morbidity
9	551		burden for use in primary care and community settings: a systematic review and guide. Ann Fam Med.
10	552 552	51	2012;10(2):134-141. doi:10.1370/atm.1363
12	555	51.	Wolff JL, Starfield B, Anderson G. Prevalence, Expenditures, and Complications of Multiple Chronic
13 14	554		Conditions in the Elderly. Arch Intern Med. 2002;162(20):2269. doi:10.1001/archinte.162.20.2269
14	222		
16			
17 10			
10			
20			
21 22			
23			
24			
25 26			
27			
28			
29 30			
31			
32 33			
34			
35			
36 37			
38			
39 40			
40 41			
42			
43 44			
45			
46			
47 48			
49			
50 51			
52			
53			
54 55			
56			
57			
58 59			
60			

Page 21 of 28

BMJ Open





Figure 1 Percentage of specific comorbid conditions among the population with OA in each age group (<45, 45-64, and >=65 years). The difference by age group was statistically significant for each comorbid conditions (p<0.0001), as was the frequency of the number of comorbidities present per individual (p<0.0001).

## Appendix 1 Case definitions for eight comorbid conditions

	ICD9-CM Diagnosis Codes Included	ICD10-CA Diagnosis Codes Included	Exclusions	Algorithm	References
Myocardial Infarction	410	121, 122	N/A	1 hospitalization for MI, with diagnoses codes in primary or secondary diagnosis fields.	McCormick et al., 2014 <sup>13</sup> Quan et al., 2005 <sup>22</sup>
Cerebrovascul ar Disease	3623,43301,43311,43321,43331,4 3381,43391,43401,43411,43491, 436,430,431,435	H341, 163, 164, 161, 160, G450, G451, G452, G453, G458, G459	43300, 43310, 43320, 43330, 43380, 43390, 43400, 43410, 43490, 437, 438, 165, 166, 167, 169, G45.4	1 hospital discharge (most responsible diagnosis) for different stroke conditions	Kokotailo & Hill, 2005 McCormick et al., 2015 <sup>15</sup>
Congestive Heart Failure	39891,40201,40211,40291,40401, 40403,40411,40413,40491,40493, 4254,4255,4257,4258,4259,428	143,150,1099,1110,1130,1132,1255,1420 ,1425,1426,1427,1428,1429,P290	r reli	1 hospitalization or physician claim in diagnosis field	Schultz et al., 2013 McCormick et al., 2014 <sup>16</sup> Quan et al., 2005 <sup>22</sup> Lee et al., 2005
Peripheral Vascular Disease	0930,4373,440,441,4431,4432,443 8,4439,4471,5571,5579,V434,443	I70,I71, I731,I738,I739,I771,I790,I792,K551,K 558,K559,Z958,Z959	N/A	1 hospitalization or physician claim in diagnosis field	Fan et al., 2013 <sup>17</sup>
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	4168,4169,490,491,492,493,494,4 95,496,500,501,502,503,504,505,5 064,5081,5088	J40,J41,J42,J43,J44,J45,J46,J47,J60,J 61,J62,J63,J64,J65,J66,J67,I278,I279, J684,J701,J703	N/A	1 hospitalization or physician claim in diagnosis field	Smidth et al. 2012 <sup>20</sup> Quan et al., 2005 <sup>22</sup>
Depression	2962,2963,2965,3004,309,311	F204,F313,F314,F315,F32,F33,F341, F412,F432	N/A	1 hospitalization or physician claim in diagnosis field	Townsend et al., 2012 <sup>18</sup> Lix et al., 2006 Martens et al., 2010

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Page	23	of	28
------	----	----	----

2						
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Diabetes	250	E10, E11, E12, E13, E14	Physician claims with procedure code having a prefix of "X" (radiology/xray), "E" (labs) or "B" (dentist, ophthalmologist, chiropractic visits)	1 hospitalization for diabetes OR 2 physician claims in a 2-year period	Chen et al., 2010 <sup>21</sup> Leong et al., 2013 <sup>19</sup> Hux et al., 2002
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Hypertension	401, 402, 403, 404, 405	110, 111, 112, 113, 115	Physician claims with procedure code having a prefix of "X" (radiology/xray), "E" (labs) or "B" (dentist, ophthalmologist, chiropractic visits)	1 hospitalization for hypertension OR 2 physician claims in a 2-year period	Quan et al., 2009 <sup>14</sup>
21   22 2   23 2   24 25   26 27   28 29   30 31   31 32   33 34   35 36   37 38   39 40   41 42   43						

Age Groups	OA with Hy (cou	OA pop (cou	oulation unts)	Age-Sex Crude Rates (per 1,000 population)		
	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
<45	2,068	1,972	39,591	36,917	52	5
45-64	28,046	21,214	123,102	91,774	228	23
>=65	57,453	33,700	125,258	80,720	459	41
Total	87,567	56,886	287,951	209,411	304	27
Age Groups	OA with E (cou	Depression Ints)	OA pop (cou	ulation unts)	Age-Sex Cr (per 1,000 p	ude Rates opulation)
<b>U</b> .	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
<45	12,366	6,464	39,591	36,917	312	17
45-64	32,951	14,110	123,102	91,774	268	15
>=65	23,045	10,167	125,258	80,720	184	12
Total	87,567	56,886	287,951	209,411	304	27
	OA wit	h COPD	OA population		Age-Sex Crude Rates	
Age Groups	Female	Male	Female	Male	Eemale	Male
<45	7,984	4,914	39,591	36.917	202	13
45-64	22,124	13.424	123,102	91.774	180	14
>=65	24,626	19,201	125,258	80,720	197	23
Total	87,567	56,886	287,951	209,411	304	27
	OA with 1 c	comorbidity	OA population		Age-Sex Crude Rates (per 1,000 population)	
Age Groups	(counts)		(col	unts)		
	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
<45	13,016	9,437	39,591	36,917	329	25
45-64	42,477	27,967	123,102	91,774	345	30
>=65	42,593	25,825	125,258	80,720	340	32
Total	87,567	56,886	287,951	209,411	304	27
	OA with 2 co	omorbidities	ОА рор	ulation	Age-Sex Cr	ude Rates
Age Groups	(coı	ints)	(counts)		(per 1,000 population)	
	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male

ific tion) of a h OA

Page	25	of	28	

BMJ Open

45-64	16,975	10,324	123,102	91,774	138	112
>=65	23,879	15,165	125,258	80,720	191	188
Total	87,567	56,886	287,951	209,411	304	272
Age Groups	OA with 3+ c (cou	comorbidities unts)	OA population (counts)		Age-Sex Crude Rates (per 1,000 population)	
	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
<45	757	379	39,591	36,917	19	10
45-64	5,970	4,099	123,102	91,774	48	45
>=65	15,467	11,240	125,258	80,720	123	139
	07 5 67	56 886	287 951	209 411	304	27
Total	87,567	30,880	207,551	205,111	501	=,

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
0	
10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
10	
20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
29	
20	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
20	
20	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47 17	
4/	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

	Item No	Recommendation	Pages
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used	pl
	-	term in the title or the abstract	P
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced	P2
		summary of what was done and what was found	
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the	P4
-		investigation being reported	
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified	P4
-		hypotheses	
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	P5-6
Setting	5	Describe the setting locations and relevant dates	P5-6
Secting	C	including periods of recruitment exposure follow-up	
		and data collection	
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria and the sources and	Р5
1 uniterpunto	0	methods of selection of participants	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes exposures predictors	Р7
	,	potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give	- /
		diagnostic criteria, if applicable	
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest give sources of data and	P5-7
measurement	C	details of methods of assessment (measurement).	
		Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is	
		more than one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Р5
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	P6
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the	P7
		analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were	
		chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used	P7
		to control for confounding	
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups	P7
		and interactions	
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	N/A
		( <i>d</i> ) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking	N/A
		account of sampling strategy	
		( <u>e</u> ) Describe any sensitivity analyses	The sensitivity analysis
			using alterative OA case
			definitions has been
			conducted previously.
			Please refer to Marshall et.
			al. (2015).
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of	P7-8

study-eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for

**BMJ** Open

		eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study,	
		completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	N/A
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	N/A
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg	P7-8
		demographic, clinical, social) and information on	
		exposures and potential confounders	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for	N/A
		each variable of interest	
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary	P8-10
		measures	
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable,	P8-9
		confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg,	
		95% confidence interval). Make clear which	
		confounders were adjusted for and why they were	
		included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous	Р7
		variables were categorized	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative	N/A
		risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups	The sensitivity analys
-		and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	using alterative OA ca
			definitions has been
			conducted previously
			Please refer to Marshall
			al. (2015).
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	P10-11
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account	P13-14
		sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both	
		direction and magnitude of any potential bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results	P12-13
		considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of	
. <b>F</b>			
		analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant	
		analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the	N/A
Generalisability	21	analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	N/A
Generalisability Other information	21	analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	N/A
Generalisability Other information Funding	21	analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for	N/A P15
Generalisability Other information Funding	21	analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study	N/A P15

\*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at

http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

For peer teriew only