## **CHEERS Checklist** Items to include when reporting economic evaluations of health interventions

The ISPOR CHEERS Task Force Report, Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS)—Explanation and Elaboration: A Report of the ISPOR Health Economic Evaluations Publication Guidelines Good Reporting Practices Task Force, provides examples and further discussion of the 24-item CHEERS Checklist and the CHEERS Statement. It may be accessed via the Value in Health or via the ISPOR Health Economic Evaluation Publication Guidelines - CHEERS: Good Reporting Practices webpage: http://www.ispor.org/TaskForces/EconomicPubGuidelines.asp

Section/item	Item No	Recommendation	Reported on page No/ line No
Title and abstract			
Title	1	Identify the study as an economic evaluation or use more specific terms such as "cost-effectiveness analysis", and describe the interventions compared.	Page i line 1
Abstract	2	Provide a structured summary of objectives, perspective, setting, methods (including study design and inputs), results (including base case and uncertainty analyses), and conclusions.	Page iii,
Introduction			•
Background and objectives	3	Provide an explicit statement of the broader context for the study.	
		Present the study question and its relevance for health policy of practice decisions.	Page 2, lines
Methods			•
Target population and subgroups	4	Describe characteristics of the base case population and subgroups analysed, including why they were chosen.	Page 2, line 18
Setting and location	5	State relevant aspects of the system(s) in which the decision(s) need(s) to be made.	Page 2 lines 2 Page 3, lines
Study perspective	6	Describe the perspective of the study and relate this to the costs being evaluated.	Page 3, lines Page 3, line 8
Comparators	7	Describe the interventions or strategies being compared and state why they were chosen.	Page 2-3 line :
Time horizon	8	State the time horizon(s) over which costs and consequences are being evaluated and say why appropriate.	Page 3, line 3
Discount rate	9	Report the choice of discount rate(s) used for costs and outcomes and say why appropriate.	Page 3 lines 9
Choice of health outcomes	10	Describe what outcomes were used as the measure(s) of benefit in the evaluation and their relevance for the type of analysis performed.	
Measurement of effectiveness	11a	Single study-based estimates: Describe fully the design features of the single effectiveness study and why the single study was a sufficient source of clinical effectiveness data.	Page 4 Slines 1 Page 9 lines 1



		11b	<i>Synthesis-based estimates:</i> Describe fully the methods used for identification of included studies and synthesis of clinical effectiveness data.	Page 3 lines 14-22
valua	urement and tion of preference outcomes	12	If applicable, describe the population and methods used to elicit preferences for outcomes.	N/A
Estim and co	ating resources osts	13a	Single study-based economic evaluation: Describe approaches used to estimate resource use associated with the alternative interventions. Describe primary or secondary research methods for valuing each resource item in terms of its unit cost. Describe any adjustments made to approximate to opportunity	N/A
		13b	costs.  Model-based economic evaluation: Describe approaches and data sources used to estimate resource use associated with model health states. Describe primary or secondary research methods for valuing each resource item in terms of its unit	
	ency, price date, onversion	14	cost. Describe any adjustments made to approximate to opportunity costs.  Report the dates of the estimated resource quantities and unit costs. Describe methods for adjusting estimated unit costs to the year of reported costs if necessary. Describe methods for converting costs into a common currency base and the	Page 6 lines 8-22 Page 7 lines 1-1
Choic	ce of model	15	exchange rate.  Describe and give reasons for the specific type of decision- analytical model used. Providing a figure to show model	Page 6 lines 11-12 Page 2 lines 21-22 Page 22 (Figure 1)
Assu	mptions	16	structure is strongly recommended.  Describe all structural or other assumptions underpinning the	Page 22 (Figure 1)
Analy	ytical methods	17	decision-analytical model.  Describe all analytical methods supporting the evaluation. This could include methods for dealing with skewed, missing, or censored data; extrapolation methods; methods for pooling data; approaches to validate or make adjustments (such as half	Page 6 lines 3-7
			cycle corrections) to a model; and methods for handling population heterogeneity and uncertainty.	Page 7 lines 10-14
Resu	lts			
Study	parameters	18	Report the values, ranges, references, and, if used, probability distributions for all parameters. Report reasons or sources for distributions used to represent uncertainty where appropriate. Providing a table to show the input values is strongly recommended.	Page 7 lines 17-23
Incre	mental costs and omes	19	For each intervention, report mean values for the main categories of estimated costs and outcomes of interest, as well as mean differences between the comparator groups. If applicable, report incremental cost-effectiveness ratios.	Page 7 lines 17-23 Page 8 lines 1-10 Page 18-19 Table 1 Page 21 Table 3
	acterising rtainty	20a	Single study-based economic evaluation: Describe the effects of sampling uncertainty for the estimated incremental cost and incremental effectiveness parameters, together with the impact	



	20b	of methodological assumptions (such as discount rate, study perspective).  Model-based economic evaluation: Describe the effects on the	
		results of uncertainty for all input parameters, and uncertainty related to the structure of the model and assumptions.	Page 8 lines 12-23
Characterising heterogeneity	21	If applicable, report differences in costs, outcomes, or cost- effectiveness that can be explained by variations between subgroups of patients with different baseline characteristics or	Page 8 lines 12-13 Page 9 lines 1-4
		other observed variability in effects that are not reducible by more information.	N/A
Discussion			
Study findings, limitations, generalisability, and current knowledge	22	Summarise key study findings and describe how they support the conclusions reached. Discuss limitations and the generalisability of the findings and how the findings fit with current knowledge.	Page 9 lines 6-11
Other			Page 9, lines 6-11 Page 12 lines 1-16
Source of funding	23	Describe how the study was funded and the role of the funder in the identification, design, conduct, and reporting of the analysis. Describe other non-monetary sources of support.	Page i lines 35-37
Conflicts of interest	24	Describe any potential for conflict of interest of study contributors in accordance with journal policy. In the absence of a journal policy, we recommend authors comply with	季
		International Committee of Medical Journal Editors recommendations.	Page ii lines 12-13

For consistency, the CHEERS Statement checklist format is based on the format of the CONSORT statement checklist

The ISPOR CHEERS Task Force Report provides examples and further discussion of the 24-item CHEERS Checklist and the CHEERS Statement. It may be accessed via the Value in Health link or via the ISPOR Health Economic Evaluation Publication Guidelines – CHEERS: Good Reporting Practices webpage: <a href="http://www.ispor.org/TaskForces/EconomicPubGuidelines.asp">http://www.ispor.org/TaskForces/EconomicPubGuidelines.asp</a>

The citation for the CHEERS Task Force Report is:

Husereau D, Drummond M, Petrou S, et al. Consolidated health economic evaluation reporting standards (CHEERS)—Explanation and elaboration: A report of the ISPOR health economic evaluations publication guidelines good reporting practices task force. Value Health 2013;16:231-50.

