S1 Checklist. STROBE Checklist

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cohort studies*

	Item No	Recommendation
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract
The and abstract		Title page
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done
		and what was found
		Abstract, page 1
Introduction		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported
		Introduction, paragraphs 1-2
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses
J		Introduction, paragraph 3
Methods		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper
study design	·	Materials and methods, paragraph 1
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment,
~ • • • • • •		exposure, follow-up, and data collection
		Results, paragraph 1
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of
		participants. Describe methods of follow-up
		Materials and methods, paragraph 3-5
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and
		unexposed
		Non-matched study
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect
		modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable
		Materials and Methods, paragraphs 1-4
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of
measurement		assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is
		more than one group
		Materials and Methods, paragraphs 3-4
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias
		To address potential sampling bias, we recruited schistosome-negative controls
		from the same village and on the same day as the schistosome-positive women
		were enrolled, to minimise the differences between the two groups.
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at
		The study size calculation was performed for the larger study for which this
		cohort was recruited, described in Materials and Methods, paragraph 2 For
		this study, we included all women who were included in the larger study and
		attended at least one follow-up.
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable,
		describe which groupings were chosen and why
		Methods, paragraph 7
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding
		Materials and methods, paragraph 7
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions

	(c) Explain how missing data were addressed
	Data in Tables 1 and 2 was complete. In Table 3, we included missing data in
	the category "No answer".
	(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed
	Loss to follow-up number and reasons are reported in Results, paragraph 4
	(\underline{e}) Describe any sensitivity analyses
	Not applicable
13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially
	eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study,
	completing follow-up, and analysed
	Results, paragraph 1-2
	(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage
	Results, paragraph 2/Fig 1
	(c) Consider use of a flow diagram
	Results, Fig 1
14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and
	information on exposures and potential confounders
	Results, paragraph 3/Table 1
	(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest
	Data in Tables 1 and 2 was complete. In Table 3, we included missing data in
	the category "No answer".
	(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)
	Results, paragraph 4/Fig 2
15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time
	Results, paragraphs 5-9, Fig 3, Table 2 and 3
16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and
	their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were
	adjusted for and why they were included
	Not applicable
	(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized
	Results, paragraph 5
	(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a
	meaningful time period
	Not applicable
17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and
	sensitivity analyses
	Not applicable
	approximate
10	Summarica key regults with reference to study chiestives
10	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives Discussion paragraph 1.2
10	Discussion, paragraph 1-2 Discussion, paragraph 1-2
19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or
	imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias
20	Discussion, paragraph 6
20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations,
	multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence
2:	Discussion, paragraph 2-5
21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results
21	Discussion, paragraph 6

Other information

Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if
		applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based
		Entered into online submission form

^{*}Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.