

Supplementary Online Content

Calloway HE, Heilbronn CM, Gu JT, Pham TT, Barnes CH, Wong BJ-F. Functional outcomes, quantitative morphometry, and aesthetic analysis of articulated alar rim grafts in septorhinoplasty. *JAMA Facial Plast Surg*. Published online October 31, 2019. doi:10.1001/jamafacial.2019.1130

eTable 1. Characteristics of Patients and Functional Results

eTable 2. Results of Qualitative Aesthetic Analysis

This supplementary material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

eTable 1. Characteristics of Patients and Functional Results

| Characteristic | | Overall No./No. (%) | | |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------|---------|-----------------|
| Age, mean (range), y | | 38.2 (16-71) | | |
| Female | | 62/90 (68.9) | | |
| Revision operation | | 36/90 (40.0) | | |
| Preop NOSE Total Score, mean (SD) | | 70.4 (24.9) | | |
| Postop NOSE Total Score, mean (SD) | | 25.1 (25.4) | | |
| AARG Length x width, mean (SD) | | 19.6 (2.4) x 4.8 (1.6) | | |
| Pre and Post Op NOSE Scores (Paired T-Tests) | | | | |
| Maneuver | Pre-op NOSE Mean (SD) | Post-op NOSE Mean (SD) | P Value | 95% CI |
| SEG, n = 75 | 70.9 (24.2) | 25.9 (26.1) | .001 | (38.1 to 52.0) |
| No SEG, n = 15 | 66.7 (25.7) | 22. (23.4) | <.001 | (29.9 to 63.4) |
| LCT, n = 61 | 68.2 (28.7) | 25.7 (26.8) | <.001 | (33.9 to 51.2) |
| No LCT, n = 29 | 74.1 (14.7) | 24.1 (23.6) | <.001 | (40.9 to 59.1) |
| Large AARG, n = 45 | 76.3 (24.1) | 31.6 (26.4) | <.001 | (34.7 to 54.5) |
| Small AARG, n = 43 | 65.1 (23.7) | 19.1 (23.2) | <.001 | (37.6 to 54.5) |
| Total AARG, n = 90 | 70.5 (24.4) | 25.1 (25.4) | <.001 | (39.7 to 51.7) |
| Comparison of Group Differences in Pre and Post NOSE Scores (Independent Samples T-Tests) | | | | |
| | Mean (SD) | | P Value | (95% CI) |
| | SEG, n = 63 | No SEG, n = 14 | | |
| Pre-op NOSE | 70.7 (24.9) | 68.7 (25.7) | 0.77 | (-16.4 to 12.3) |
| Post-op NOSE | 25.9 (26.1) | 22.0 (23.4) | 0.6 | (-3.9 to 7.4) |
| | LCT, n = 50 | No LCT, n = 27 | | |
| Pre-op NOSE | 68.4 (28.8) | 74.1 (14.7) | 0.34 | (-6.1 to 17.5) |
| Post-op NOSE | 25.7 (26.7) | 24.1 (23.6) | 0.8 | (-14.1 to 10.9) |
| | Large AARG, n = 37 | Small AARG, n = 38 | | |
| Pre-op NOSE | 75.2 (23.3) | 64.6 (25.9) | 0.06 | (-21.7 to 0.6) |
| Post-op NOSE | 31.6 (26.4) | 19.0 (23.4) | *0.04 | (-24.6 to -0.6) |
| Characteristic | Overall No./No. (%) | | | |
| Age, mean (range), y | 38.2 (16-71) | | | |
| Female | 62/90 (68.9) | | | |
| Revision operation | 36/90 (40.0) | | | |
| Preop NOSE Total Score, mean (SD) | 70.4 (24.9) | | | |

| Postop NOSE Total Score, mean (SD) | 25.1 (25.4) | | | |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|---------|-----------------|
| AARG Length x width, mean (SD) | 19.6 (2.4) x 4.8 (1.6) | | | |
| Pre and Post Op NOSE Scores (Paired T-Tests) | | | | |
| Maneuver | Pre-op NOSE Mean (SD) | Post-op NOSE Mean (SD) | P Value | (95% CI) |
| SEG, n = 75 | 70.9 (24.2) | 25.9 (26.1) | .001 | (38.1 to 52.0) |
| No SEG, n = 15 | 66.7 (25.7) | 22. (23.4) | <.001 | (29.9 to 63.4) |
| LCT, n = 61 | 68.2 (28.7) | 25.7 (26.8) | <.001 | (33.9 to 51.2) |
| No LCT, n = 29 | 74.1 (14.7) | 24.1 (23.6) | <.001 | (40.9 to 59.1) |
| Large AARG, n = 45 | 76.3 (24.1) | 31.6 (26.4) | <.001 | (34.7 to 54.5) |
| Small AARG, n = 43 | 65.1 (23.7) | 19.1 (23.2) | <.001 | (37.6 to 54.5) |
| Total AARG, n = 90 | 70.5 (24.4) | 25.1 (25.4) | <.001 | (39.7 to 51.7) |
| Comparison of Group Differences in Pre and Post NOSE Scores (Independent Samples T-Tests) | | | | |
| | Mean (SD) | | P Value | (95% CI) |
| | SEG, n = 63 | No SEG, n = 14 | | |
| Pre-op NOSE | 70.7 (24.9) | 68.7 (25.7) | .77 | (-16.4 to 12.3) |
| Post-op NOSE | 25.9 (26.1) | 22.0 (23.4) | .6 | (-3.9 to 7.4) |
| | LCT, n = 50 | | | |
| | No LCT, n = 27 | | | |
| Pre-op NOSE | 68.4 (28.8) | 74.1 (14.7) | .34 | (-6.1 to 17.5) |
| Post-op NOSE | 25.7 (26.7) | 24.1 (23.6) | .8 | (-14.1 to 10.9) |
| | Large AARG, n = 37 | | | |
| | Small AARG, n = 38 | | | |
| Pre-op NOSE | 75.2 (23.3) | 64.6 (25.9) | .06 | (-21.7 to 0.6) |
| Post-op NOSE | 31.6 (26.4) | 19.0 (23.4) | *.04 | (-24.6 to -0.6) |

Supplement Table 2: Results of Qualitative Aesthetic Analysis

| Qualitative Aesthetic Analysis Intra-Class Correlaton Coefficients of 3 Raters | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| | ICC | p-value | | |
| Alar Furrow Pre | 0.88 | <0.001 | | |
| Alar Furrow Post | 0.704 | <0.001 | | |
| Alar Ridge Pre | 0.696 | <0.001 | | |
| Alar Ridge Post | 0.433 | 0.002 | | |
| Comparison of Pre and Post Operative Aesthetic Ratings for Each Rater and Attribute (Paired Samples T-Tests) | | | | |
| | Pre-op Mean (SD) | Post-op Mean (SD) | p-value | 95% CI |
| Alar Furrow HC | 2.08 (0.76) | 2.57 (0.60) | <0.001 | -0.68, -0.29 |
| Alar Furrow CH | 2.25 (0.62) | 2.65 (0.51) | <0.001 | -0.54, -0.27 |
| Alar Furrow CB | 1.86 (0.70) | 2.29 (0.57) | <0.001 | -0.59, -0.27 |
| Alar Ridge HC | 1.39 (0.59) | 1.58 (0.58) | 0.052 | -0.38, 0.001 |
| Alar Ridge CH | 1.75 (0.67) | 1.43 (0.55) | <0.001 | 0.16, 0.48 |
| Alar Ridge CB | 2.25 (0.73) | 2.19 (0.72) | 0.559 | -0.13, 0.24 |