AMERICAN SOCIETY of
GENE & CELL
THERAPY

A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Phase II Study of Hepatocyte Growth Factor in the Treatment of Critical Limb Ischemia

Yongquan Gu,[1] Shijun Cui,[1] Qi Wang,[2] Changjian Liu,[3] Bi Jin,[4] Wei Guo,[5] Changwei Liu,[6] Tongbin Chu,[7] Chang Shu,[8] Fuxian Zhang,[9] Chengquan Han,[10] and Yue Liu[10]

[1]Vascular Surgery Department, Xuan Wu Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China; [2]Vascular Surgery Department, First Hospital, Jilin University, Changchun, China; [3]Vascular Surgery Department, Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital, Nanjing, China; [4]Vascular Surgery Department, Wuhan Union Hospital, Wuhan, China; [5]Vascular Surgery Department, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China; [6]Vascular Surgery Department, Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Beijing, China; [7]Diabetic Foot Treatment Center, Second Hospital of Dalian Medical University, Dalian, China; [8]Vascular Surgery Department, Second Xiang Ya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, China; [9]Vascular Surgery Department, Shi Ji Tan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China; [10]R&D Center of Beijing Northland Biotechnology, Co., Beijing, China

NL003 is a plasmid engineered to simultaneously express two isoforms of hepatocyte growth factor. This phase II study was performed to assess the clinical safety and efficacy of intramuscular injection of NL003 in critical limb ischemia (CLI) patients for 6 months. Two hundred patients (Rutherford scale 4–5) were randomly assigned: placebo (n = 50), low-dose NL003 (n = 50), middle-dose NL003 (n = 50), or high-dose NL003 (n = 50). The drug was administered in the affected limb of 197 patients on days 0, 14, and 28. No significant differences in the incidence of adverse events (AEs) or serious AEs were found among the groups. At 6 months, pain severity was significantly reduced in all NL003 groups, but not in the placebo group (p < 0.05). The proportion of patients with complete ulcer healing in the high-dose group was significantly higher than that of the placebo group (p = 0.0095). There were no statistically significant differences in transcutaneous oxygen pressure (TcPO$_2$), ankle-brachial index (ABI), or toe-brachial index (TBI) value among the four groups throughout the study period. These results provide the first effective evidence of significant improvements in total healing of ulcers in treated legs, complete pain relief without analgesics, and safety for NL003 in patients with Rutherford stage 4–5.

INTRODUCTION

Critical limb ischemia (CLI) is one of the most severe conditions associated with peripheral arterial occlusive diseases (PAODs), manifesting as ischemic rest pain, ulceration, and gangrene in lower extremities, and belonging to category 4–6 of the Rutherford classification or Fontaine stage III–IV.[1] In CLI patients, macrovascular lesions induce decreased perfusion in the distal part of the lower limbs. Thus, the nutrient supply from the blood to the target tissue and microcirculation exchange is severely compromised. A number of CLI patients also undergo amputations in their affected lower limbs because of long-term chronic ischemia.[2] As in Western countries, CLI is a serious clinical phenomenon that is also widespread in China,

particularly among the senior citizen population. It is estimated that approximately 5 million Chinese individuals suffer from CLI.[3,4]

According to guidelines on the clinical investigation of medicinal products for the treatment of PAOD from the European Medicines Agency, the aims of primary treatment for CLI patients are to improve their quality of life via complete relief of pain while off analgesics (Rutherford 4 or Fontaine III) and to completely heal all necroses and ulcerations in the leg (Rutherford 5–6 or Fontaine IV).[5] Current treatment methods for CLI patients include endovascular intervention or surgical bypass; however, no alternative effective pharmacological therapies exist that can modify the disease conditions.[1,2,6] Therefore, a novel medical approach to treat CLI at fundamental levels is urgently needed.

Hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) is a well-known angiogenic, antifibrotic, and neurotropic factor that works through the c-Met/HGF signaling pathway. HGF expression is necessary for the proliferation, migration, and survival of epithelial and endothelial cells related to tissue repair of a variety of organs.[7] In humans, there are two isoforms of HGF: the proteins consist of 723 and 728 amino acids (HGF$_{723}$ and HGF$_{728}$), which are produced via alternative splicing. Shima et al.[8] reported that HGF$_{723}$ and HGF$_{728}$ are distinguishable in their biological activities in various cell types and in their physicochemical properties relating their solubility.[8] However, it was later discovered that coexpression of two HGF isoforms is better suited for optimum biological functionality, as evidenced by enhanced migration activities of human umbilical vein smooth muscle cells and mouse myoblast cell lines compared with those of a single isoform of HGF and vascular

Check for updates

Figure 1. Patient Enrollment
[a]Two patients voluntarily withdrew from the study without dosing. [b]One patient withdrew from the study after the first dosing because of an unavailable age.

endothelial growth factor (VEGF). Consistent with *in vitro* data, ischemic hindlimb rabbit and ischemic heart disease rat models have demonstrated that expression of both HGF$_{723}$ and HGF$_{728}$ can more efficiently induce angiogenic and/or anti-fibrotic activities than using single forms only: VEGF or HGF$_{728}$.[9,10]

NL003 (pCK-HGF-X7) is a plasmid DNA encoding a genomic cDNA hybrid human HGF sequence designed to simultaneously express two wild-type isoforms of HGF: HGF$_{723}$ and HGF$_{728}$.[9] Results from two phase I studies and one phase II study for CLI in China and the United States suggest that plasmid DNA injected via the intramuscular route is safe and well tolerated, and might have clinical benefits through reduced rest pain and improved complete wound closure.[11,12] This large-scale, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase II clinical trial was performed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of NL003 in CLI patients, and to provide rationales for clinical endpoints and an appropriate dose for phase III clinical trials.

RESULTS
Baseline Demographic and Medical History
As shown in Figure 1, 228 CLI patients provided written informed consent and were subjected to screening in accordance with the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Twenty-eight patients were excluded after failing the screening process. The eligible 200 patients were selected and then randomly assigned to the placebo (n = 50), low-dose (n = 50), middle-dose (n = 50), or high-dose group (n = 50). A total of 197 out of 200 patients (98.5%) received all three scheduled doses, but two patients in the low-dose group prior to the dosing and one patient in the high-dose group after the first dosing voluntarily withdrew. Finally, 172 patients (86%) completed the study. Table 1 summarizes the demographics, medical histories, and standard medical treatments of the participating patients. Of note, baseline characteristics were well balanced, and no significant differences were observed among the four groups.

Safety Endpoints
No unexpected severe adverse events (AEs) were observed during the 6-month study period after the study drug or placebo injection. A total of 434 AEs were reported in 164 patients (164/197, 83%). Of these, 337 cases (337/434, 77.6%) were resolved before the study ended; most AEs (268/434, 61.8%) were classified as mild. Seventeen injection-site reactions occurred in 13 patients (4 cases in 3 placebo patients, 5 cases in 3 low-dose patients, 4 cases in 4 middle-dose patients, and 4 cases in 3 high-dose patients) over the course of the trial. All 17 of these reactions were classified as mild, manifesting as pain, itching, erythema, rash, swelling, and/or bruising in the injection sites. All of these reactions were completely resolved during the follow-up period. At 6 months postinjection, 32 serious AEs (SAEs) were experienced in 30 out of 197 patients (15.2%) but were not related to the study drug. There were no statistically significant differences in the occurrence of AEs, SAEs, or injection-site reactions among the three NL003 and placebo groups.

Three cases of death were reported during the study period. All deaths were deemed unrelated to the drug injections. Two placebo patients died of stroke and heart failure at 95 and 32 days after the first treatment, respectively. One mortality case from the high-dose group was caused by acute myocardial infarction, which occurred 95 days postinjection.

Serum levels of the human HGF protein were measured using ELISA before injection and on days 0, 14, 28, 60, 90, and 180 after injection. Its level remained relatively stable throughout the follow-up period, without a noticeable change, and no HGF antibodies were detected (data not shown).

Efficacy Endpoints
Rest Pain
Rest pain is an important clinical symptom that seriously compromises the quality of life of CLI patients. The level of the rest pain was measured using the visual analog scale (VAS) (cm) on days 0, 14, 28, 60, 90, and 180. There were no significant differences in the baseline pain severity among the four groups (placebo, 4.63 ± 1.85; low dose, 4.95 ± 1.92; middle dose, 5.17 ± 1.75; high dose, 4.95 ± 2.30; p = 0.6133). As shown in Figure 2A, the pain severity in all NL003 groups significantly improved at 60, 90, and 180 days after the first treatment compared with that of the placebo group. Furthermore, the proportion of patients with complete pain reduction while off analgesics was evaluated on day 180 postinjection in patients

Table 1. Demographics

	Placebo (n = 50)	Low Dose (n = 48)	Medium Dose (n = 50)	High Dose (n = 49)	p Value
Age (year)	60.28 ± 13.04	56.38 ± 13.85	55.44 ± 12.82	54.94 ± 12.04	0.1703
Sex					0.3379
Male	40 (80.00%)	39 (81.25%)	45 (90.00%)	44 (89.80%)	
Female	10 (20.00%)	9 (18.75%)	5 (10.00%)	5 (10.20%)	
Race					0.5652
Chinese Han	50 (100.00%)	47 (97.92%)	49 (98.00%)	47 (95.92%)	
Other	0 (0.00%)	1 (2.08%)	1 (2.00%)	2 (4.08%)	
Prior limb procedures					
PTA and bypass	19 (38.00%)	28 (58.33%)	20 (40.00%)	17 (34.69%)	0.0882
Drug therapy for PAD	49 (98.00%)	46 (95.83%)	46 (92.00%)	45 (91.84%)	0.4826
Risk factors					
Hyperlipidemia	15 (30.00%)	14 (29.17%)	9 (18.00%)	14 (28.57%)	0.4716
Hypertension	21 (42.00%)	17 (35.42%)	16 (32.00%)	17 (34.69%)	0.7643
Diabetes	19 (38.00%)	18 (37.50%)	17 (34.00%)	17 (34.69%)	0.9698
Variety of disease					0.7908
ASO	33 (66.00%)	33 (68.75%)	30 (60.00%)	30 (61.22%)	
TAO	17 (34.00%)	15 (31.25%)	20 (40.00%)	19 (38.78%)	
Rutherford class					0.9452
Rutherford 4	24	22	21	23	
Rutherford 5	26	26	29	26	

(Rutherford 4–5). As shown in Figure 2B, the proportion of patients with complete pain relief in all NL003 groups was significantly higher than that of the placebo group ($p < 0.05$; 6.38% in placebo versus 54.17%, 56.25%, or 48.94% in high-dose, middle-dose, or low-dose group, respectively). There were no significant differences in the proportion of patients with complete pain relief among the groups on day 0 (data not shown).

Ulcer Healing
Ulcer is one of the most visible clinical manifestations in patients with CLI. If ulcers were present prior to the first injection on day 0, photographs of each ulceration area were captured on days 14, 28, 60, 90, and 180 for comparison. As shown in Table 2, the percentages of patients achieving complete ulcer closure at 6 months posttreatment were 42.11%, 52.17%, and 66.67% for the low-dose, middle-dose, and high-dose groups, respectively, whereas the placebo group reported 27.27%. The rate of patients with complete ulcer healing in the high-dose group was significantly higher than that of the placebo group ($p = 0.0095$, placebo versus high-dose group), although there was no significant difference in the middle- or low-dose group when compared with the placebo group. Additionally, >50% ulcer healing, a clinically meaningful reduction,[13,14] was significantly observed in the high-, middle-, and low-dose groups. The mean change in ulcer size (cm^2) from day 0 to the last visit of each patient was similar among all groups.

Skin Perfusion
The skin perfusion was measured at 28, 60, 90, and 180 days postinjection with transcutaneous oxygen pressure ($TcPO_2$). The ratio of the $TcPO_2$ value in the foot to the chest was calculated as $TcPO_2$ dorsum foot/$TcPO_2$ chest. There were no statistically significant differences in $TcPO_2$ values among the four groups throughout the study period (Table 3).

Hemodynamic Assessments
Ankle-brachial index (ABI) and toe-brachial index (TBI) were measured on days 0, 28, 60, 90, and 180. Neither ABI nor TBI was significantly different across treatment groups at any given time (Table 3).

Amputations
A total of 16 patients (8.0%, 16/200) had amputations in their study limbs after the study drug injection (placebo or NL003). There were 10 major amputations in the study limbs of 10 patients (placebo, n = 2; low dose, n = 2; middle dose, n = 2; and high dose, n = 4) and 6 minor amputations in 6 patients (placebo, n = 1; low dose, n = 2; middle dose, n = 0; and high dose, n = 3). There were no significant differences in the amputation rate among the groups.

DISCUSSION
In the present phase II clinical trial, our findings suggest that the intramuscular injection of NL003 (pCK-HGF-X7) into the affected

the use of NV1FGF significantly reduced the risk for major amputation and death assessed at secondary endpoints compared with placebo, although there was no statistically significant improvement in ulcer healing, evaluated as the primary endpoint, over that of placebo. These data provided the basis for the initiation of a large phase III study in 525 patients, namely, TAMARIS. Unfortunately, the primary combined endpoint (time to major amputation or death) of the TAMARIS study was not achieved, nor were the secondary endpoints (all amputations, skin lesion status, pain intensity, and so on).[16] In two HGF trials published by Powell et al.,[12,17] HGF treatment significantly increased the mean change in $TcPO_2$ from baseline at 6 months in the high-dose group compared with placebo. Additionally, the change in TBI and VAS score from baseline in the HGF-treated patients was significantly improved at 6 months compared with that of placebo. However, there were no statistically significant differences in the incidence of complete wound healing, major amputation, or death at 6 months among the groups.[12,17] In another HGF study reported by Shigematsu et al.,[13] there was a significant improvement in ischemic ulcer from baseline in the HGF-treated group compared with that of the placebo group at 12 weeks, although there was no significant pain relief. Recently, a report by Kibbe et al.[14] showed the potential bioactivity of plasmids expressing two HGF isoforms, as documented by a significant improvement in tissue oxygenation and ulcer healing, although no effectiveness was observed for rest pain.[17]

limb of CLI patients not only is safe but also improves two important clinical parameters. The differences in pain severity and ulcer healing were significantly improved in all treated groups compared with the placebo group 6 months after the study drug initiation. Additionally, the administration of NL003 into muscles was safe and well tolerated while producing data consistent with a previous phase I study.[7]

Strategies have been explored, particularly for CLI as a proof-of-concept (POC) setting using genes, proteins, and cells over the last 25 years, with an aim to fundamentally cure the clinical symptoms through the induction of neovascularization. Despite intense efforts, most clinical studies conducted so far have produced unsatisfactory results. The phase II study reported by Nikol et al.[15] showed that

In patients administered NL003, our results showed that two important clinical parameters, namely, pain relief and ulcer healing, were improved in the high-dose NL003-treated group compared with the placebo group. The pain level was decreased in a dose-dependent manner, and the difference between the placebo and all NL003 treatment groups was statistically significant at 2, 3, and 6 months following the first injection. The effect on the reduction in pain severity of the three injections at 2-week intervals lasted for the entire 6-month period. However, there were no statistically significant

Table 2. Summary of Ulcer Healing during the 6-Month Follow-Up Period

Treatment Group	No. of Patients Having Ulcers at Baseline	No. of Completely Healed Patients at Last Visit (%)	No. of ≥50% Healed Patients at Last Visit (%)	Ulcers Changed in Size[a] (cm^2) (95% CI)
Placebo	22	6 (27.27)	9 (40.91)	−1.36 ± 3.32 (−2.91 to 0.20)
Low dose	19	8 (42.11)	15 (78.95)[b]	−1.75 ± 2.52 (−3.01 to 0.50)
Middle dose	23	12 (52.17)	15 (65.22)	0.92 ± 8.33 (−2.87 to 4.72)
High dose	18	12 (66.67)[c]	14 (77.78)[d]	0.71 ± 5.76 (−2.16 to 3.58)

[a]Ulcer changed in size = last ulcer measurement − baseline ulcer measurement.
[b]$p = 0.0251$ versus placebo.
[c]$p = 0.0243$ versus placebo.
[d]$p = 0.0266$ versus placebo.

Table 3. Parameters TcPO$_2$, ABI, and TBI

Treatment Group	Baseline	Day 28	Day 60	Day 90	Day 180
TcPO$_2$ Measurements (mm Hg)					
Placebo	22.62 ± 15.69	23.53 ± 15.26	26.93 ± 16.20	31.79 ± 17.89	35.46 ± 16.50
Low dose	19.48 ± 14.02	22.49 ± 16.76	22.84 ± 16.46	27.26 ± 19.81	28.12 ± 15.22
Middle dose	20.24 ± 15.19	26.23 ± 19.69	29.40 ± 16.90	30.45 ± 15.75	28.71 ± 16.12
High dose	24.61 ± 16.67	26.18 ± 19.95	27.14 ± 17.12	29.59 ± 14.71	29.78 ± 17.52
ABI Measurements (Index)					
Placebo	0.46 ± 0.32	0.46 ± 0.26	0.48 ± 0.27	0.57 ± 0.22	0.57 ± 0.27
Low dose	0.46 ± 0.37	0.37 ± 0.28	0.43 ± 0.27	0.51 ± 0.31	0.48 ± 0.33
Middle dose	0.41 ± 0.29	0.46 ± 0.23	0.55 ± 0.23	0.56 ± 0.24	0.60 ± 0.23
High dose	0.45 ± 0.28	0.48 ± 0.25	0.52 ± 0.24	0.57 ± 0.23	0.61 ± 0.24
TBI Measurements (Index)					
Placebo	0.12 ± 0.18	0.12 ± 0.19	0.16 ± 0.20	0.17 ± 0.19	0.18 ± 0.22
Low dose	0.08 ± 0.12	0.09 ± 0.15	0.10 ± 0.14	0.15 ± 0.23	0.15 ± 0.19
Middle dose	0.09 ± 0.19	0.09 ± 0.13	0.10 ± 0.14	0.14 ± 0.21	0.14 ± 0.20
High dose	0.08 ± 0.14	0.08 ± 0.15	0.11 ± 0.19	0.15 ± 0.23	0.18 ± 0.22

differences in TcPO$_2$, ABI, or TBI value among the four groups throughout the study period.

Interestingly, complete improvements in pain relief without analgesics and healing of all ulcers were observed in the high-dose group compared with the placebo group. These clinically important symptoms have especially been mentioned as primary endpoints in guidelines on the clinical investigation of PAOD published by the European Medicines Agency. These results have not previously been achieved in placebo-controlled CLI studies of other gene therapies using growth factors (HGF, FGF, or VEGF).[11–14,17–19] The dosing scheme and treatment regimen of this study are superior to those of other HGF studies. Regarding the dosing schemes, in the current study, the administration followed the dose-escalation scheme: 3 injections of 4 mg (total 12 mg in the low-dose group), 6 mg (total 18 mg in the middle-dose group), or 8 mg (total 24 mg in the high-dose group) per visit at 2-week intervals. In the HGF-STAT trial, the dosing scheme was employed with two or three injections of 0.4 mg or 4 mg per visit at the same interval (total 1.2 mg in the low-dose, 8 mg in the middle-dose, or 12 mg in the high-dose group).[19] In the VM202 trial, the prolonged dosing scheme for maintaining HGF level was employed with two or four injections of 4 mg per visit within the same interval (total 8 mg in the low-dose or 16 mg in the high-dose group). Second, the treatment regimen, including injection sites, differs among the three trials. In the current study, NL003 was injected into the target muscles surrounding occluded vessels using angiography-guided dosing. Unlike this regimen, the plasmid DNA was delivered into the planned area regardless of occlusion sites in the VM202 and HGF-STAT trials. For clinical efficacy in CLI patients, the dose-escalation scheme and angiography-guided dosing in this trial were far better options than the prolonged scheme and planned dosing. Our superior results over the other trials suggest that three injections of 8 mg of NL003 per visit with angiography-guided dosing could be a more suitable option to improve CLI conditions.

In conclusion, this phase II, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial provides the first effective evidence of significant improvements in total healing of ulcers in treated legs, complete pain relief, and safety for NL003 in patients with Rutherford category 4–5. Phase III clinical trials were approved by the Chinese Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in September 2017. These data support the performance of a phase III clinical trial in patients with CLI for the first new NL003 drug approval from the Chinese FDA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Design
This phase II clinical trial was a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study to evaluate the safety, tolerability, and efficacy of NL003 injected via the intramuscular route in patients with CLI (clinicaltrials.gov: NCT01548378). This study was conducted from March 2012 (first patient in) to June 2014 (last patient out). This study was approved by the China Food and Drug Administration (CFDA approval number: 2011L01681) and the institutional review boards of nine clinical sites in China. It adhered to Good Clinical Practice and the Declaration of Helsinki.

The patients who were eligible for the study were randomized 1:1:1:1 to placebo (0.9% saline), low-dose (12 mg), middle-dose (18 mg), and high-dose NL003 (24 mg) groups. These patients were followed for 6 months after the NL003 injection to assess safety, tolerability, and efficacy.

Table 4. Dosing Scheme

Treatment Group	Dose (mg)	Dose Level (per Injection)	Daily Dose (No. of Injections)		
			Day 0	Day 14	Day 28
Placebo (n = 50)	0	0.5 mL of saline	Saline (32)	Saline (32)	Saline (32)
Low dose (n = 50)	12	0.25 mg per 0.5 mL of NL003 or 0.5 mL of saline	4 mg (16) Saline (16)	4 mg (16) Saline (16)	4 mg (16) Saline (16)
Medium dose (n = 50)	18	0.25 mg per 0.5 mL of NL003 or 0.5 mL of saline	6 mg (24) Saline (8)	6 mg (24) Saline (8)	6 mg (24) Saline (8)
High dose (n = 50)	24	0.25 mg per 0.5 mL of NL003	8 mg (32)	8 mg (32)	8 mg (32)

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The enrolled patients in this study were CLI patients identified by the investigator as not suitable for vascular intervention or surgical procedures. Patients were enrolled if they were male or a nonpregnant, nonlactating female between 30 and 80 years of age and were diagnosed with CLI (Rutherford category 4–5). CLI was diagnosed if there was a resting ankle systolic pressure of ≤70 mm Hg, a resting toe systolic pressure of ≤50 mm Hg in the affected limb, or transcutaneous oxygen pressure of ≤30 mm Hg if ankle systolic pressure measurements were not feasible. The patients were also diagnosed with significant stenosis (≥75%) of one or more superficial femoral or infra-popliteal arteries as verified by angiography. In addition, the patients were willing to maintain current standard medications and ulcer management for CLI, and were diagnosed with no meaningful results in cancer evaluation.

Patients were excluded if they had undergone a successful revascularization procedure or sympathectomy within 3 months prior to study entry, required affected limb amputation within 4 weeks, were diagnosed with acute advanced CLI, had significant stenosis (≥75%) of the aorto-iliac artery, had active infection or deep ulceration exposing a bone or tendon in the target limb, or had an estimated life expectancy of less than 1 year. We also excluded patients with heart failure (New York Heart Association [NYHA] class 3–4), stroke, myocardial infarction, or unstable angina within 3 months from the screening; uncontrolled hypertension (>180 mm Hg of sustained systolic blood pressure or >110 mm Hg of diastolic blood pressure); advanced liver disease, including cirrhosis, jaundice, ascites, or bleeding varices; HIV positivity; active hepatitis B or C infection; clinically significant abnormal laboratory findings; proliferative retinopathy, malignancy history within 5 years or new findings of malignancy; current immunosuppressive medications, chemotherapy or radiation therapy; or a history of drug or alcohol abuse/dependency within 12 months. Furthermore, patients requiring >100 mg/day of acetylsalicylic acid, a Cox-2 inhibitor, or high-dose steroid, or who had participated in other clinical trials within the last 3 months were excluded.

Study Drug and Injections

NL003 was manufactured by Beijing Northland Biotech (Beijing, China) in accordance with Good Manufacturing Practice. The drug product of NL003 was supplied in a glass vial containing 2 mg in 1 mL of 0.9% normal saline and was stored between −10°C and −25°C. The placebo was used with 0.9% normal saline. The liquid form of NL003 was indistinguishable from the saline for placebo. For injections, the drug product was diluted to a concentration of 0.5 mg/mL using saline.

At each injection visit (days 0, 14, and 28), the patients received 32 injections (0.5 mL/site) intramuscularly in the calf of the target limb, unilaterally (Table 4). The injections were performed from the proximal to the distal part of the calf in accordance with the verification of muscle compartments with occluded arteries by angiography. The injection sites were no closer than 2 cm apart.

Efficacy Endpoints

Coprimary endpoints of efficacy evaluation were the differences in pain severity (Rutherford 4–5) and ulcer sizes (Rutherford 5 only) between baseline and 6 months after the study drug initiation.

Secondary endpoints included the change in skin perfusion measured by transcutaneous oxygen pressure ($TcPO_2$), ankle-brachial index (ABI), toe-brachial index (TBI), amputation rate, and mortality from baseline to 6-month follow-up. ABI, TBI, and $TcPO_2$ were performed at the screening period and 28, 60, 90, and 180 days postinjection. In addition, according to EMA guidance, the clinical endpoints of the medicinal product for CLI treatment were the proportions of patients with complete relief of rest pain without analgesics (Rutherford 4–5) and with complete healing of all ischemic ulcers in the treated leg (Rutherford 5 only). Pain severity measured by a VAS was conducted at screening, day 0 before the treatments, and at 14, 28, 60, 90, and 180 days after study drug or placebo administration. Ulcer size was measured on days 0, 14, 28, 60, 90, and 180.

Safety Endpoints

The safety and tolerability of NL003 injected via the intramuscular route in CLI patients were evaluated during the 6-month follow-up. Over the course of the study, the assessment of adverse events, ophthalmologic examination using retinal fundoscopy, cancer screening, physical examination, and various laboratory tests were conducted. The evaluation of adverse events was performed according to the frequency of adverse events and their relationship with the study drug, injection procedure, and underlying disease. To assess the changes in the levels of NL003 plasmid DNA, whole blood was collected from each patient on days 0, 14, and 28 preinjection and days 14, 28, 60, 90, and 180 postinjection. Changes in the level of plasma HGF were evaluated at days 0, 14, and 28 before the injection and at days 60, 90, and 180 after the injection using an ELISA kit (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA).

Sample Size Calculation

The sample size was calculated based on the VAS change from baseline using PASS Version 15.0. The effect sizes of the primary endpoints referred to the mean change in the VAS value in the treatment group from CLI. The statistical power was 90%, and the two-sided significance level was 0.05. The allocation ratio was 1:1:1:1 for the four groups (low-, middle-, and high-dose, and placebo groups). In the case of VAS, the mean changes were -1.9 and 0.06 in the treatment and placebo groups, respectively. The SD was assumed to be 3 in each group. Assuming the common SD was 3 and the high-dose/middle-dose/low-dose groups had the same mean of -1.9 and the placebo group mean was 0.06, the total sample size needed was 152 patients. Considering the likely dropout rate and other conditions, 200 patients were determined for the reasonable sample size in this study.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were done with SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) in the Statistical Analysis Department, Beijing Panacea Technology. All efficacy and safety analyses were conducted based on the full analysis and safety population, that is, including all patients randomized and treated with the investigational product at least once. The last observation carried forward method imputed missing values of the VAS information. The statistical significance level was set to 0.05. Bivariate associations were assessed using the chi-square or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables. Continuous variables were analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's adjusted multiple comparison. For VAS analysis, patients who scored 0 points at screening could not provide valid data. Furthermore, for the last visit for pain relief analysis, patients who had been using analgesic at the day 180 VAS assessment were excluded.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2019.10.017.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Y.G. designed and conducted the trial and wrote the manuscript. S.C., Q.W., Changjian Liu, B.J., W.G., Changwei Liu, T.C., C.S., and F.Z. conducted the trial. C.H. and Y.L. participated in the post-trial data processing.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no competing interests. C.H. and Y.L. are employees of the sponsor.

REFERENCES

1. Norgren, L., Hiatt, W.R., Dormandy, J.A., Nehler, M.R., Harris, K.A., and Fowkes, F.G.; TASC II Working Group (2007). Inter-society consensus for the management of peripheral arterial disease (TASC II). J. Vasc. Surg. 45 (Suppl S), S5–S67.

2. Mohler, E., 3rd, and Giri, J.; ACC; AHA (2008). Management of peripheral arterial disease patients: comparing the ACC/AHA and TASC-II guidelines. Curr. Med. Res. Opin. 24, 2509–2522.

3. Fowkes, F.G.R., Rudan, D., Rudan, I., Aboyans, V., Denenberg, J.O., McDermott, M.M., Norman, P.E., Sampson, U.K.A., Williams, L.J., Mensah, G.A., and Criqui, M.H. (2013). Comparison of global estimates of prevalence and risk factors for peripheral artery disease in 2000 and 2010: a systematic review and analysis. Lancet 382, 1329–1340.

4. Farber, A., and Eberhardt, R.T. (2016). The current state of critical limb ischemia: a systematic review. JAMA Surg. 151, 1070–1077.

5. Note for guidance on clinical investigation of medicinal products for the treatment of peripheral arterial occlusive disease. European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Products, Committee for Proprietary Medicinal Products (EMEA CPMP), April 25, 2002, CPMP/EWP/714/98. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/scientific-guideline/note-guidance-clinical-investigation-medicinal-products-treatment-peripheral-arterial-occlusive_en.pdf.

6. Hirsch, A.T., Haskal, Z.J., Hertzer, N.R., Bakal, C.W., Creager, M.A., Halperin, J.L., Hiratzka, L.F., Murphy, W.R., Olin, J.W., Puschett, J.B., et al.; American Association for Vascular Surgery/Society for Vascular Surgery; Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions; Society for Vascular Medicine and Biology; Society of Interventional Radiology; ACC/AHA Task Force on Practice Guidelines (2006). ACC/AHA Guidelines for the Management of Patients with Peripheral Arterial Disease (lower extremity, renal, mesenteric, and abdominal aortic): a collaborative report from the American Associations for Vascular Surgery/Society for Vascular Surgery, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society for Vascular Medicine and Biology, Society of Interventional Radiology, and the ACC/AHA Task Force on Practice Guidelines (writing committee to develop guidelines for the management of patients with peripheral arterial disease)–summary of recommendations. J. Vasc. Interv. Radiol. 17, 1383–1397, quiz 1398.

7. Gu, Y., Zhang, J., Guo, L., Cui, S., Li, X., Ding, D., Kim, J.M., Ho, S.H., Hahn, W., and Kim, S. (2011). A phase I clinical study of naked DNA expressing two isoforms of hepatocyte growth factor to treat patients with critical limb ischemia. J. Gene Med. 13, 602–610.

8. Shima, N., Tsuda, E., Goto, M., Yano, K., Hayasaka, H., Ueda, M., and Higashio, K. (1994). Hepatocyte growth factor and its variant with a deletion of five amino acids are distinguishable in their biological activity and tertiary structure. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 200, 808–815.

9. Pyun, W.B., Hahn, W., Kim, D.S., Yoo, W.S., Lee, S.D., Won, J.H., Rho, B.S., Park, Z.Y., Kim, J.M., and Kim, S. (2010). Naked DNA expressing two isoforms of hepatocyte growth factor induces collateral artery augmentation in a rabbit model of limb ischemia. Gene Ther. 17, 1442–1452.

10. Hahn, W., Pyun, W.B., Kim, D.S., Yoo, W.S., Lee, S.D., Won, J.H., Shin, G.J., Kim, J.M., and Kim, S. (2011). Enhanced cardioprotective effects by coexpression of two isoforms of hepatocyte growth factor from naked plasmid DNA in a rat ischemic heart disease model. J. Gene Med. 13, 549–555.

11. Henry, T.D., Hirsch, A.T., Goldman, J., Wang, Y.L., Lips, D.L., McMillan, W.D., Duval, S., Biggs, T.A., and Keo, H.H. (2011). Safety of a non-viral plasmid-encoding dual isoforms of hepatocyte growth factor in critical limb ischemia patients: a phase I study. Gene Ther. 18, 788–794.

12. Powell, R.J., Goodney, P., Mendelsohn, F.O., Moen, E.K., and Annex, B.H.; HGF-0205 Trial Investigators (2010). Safety and efficacy of patient specific intramuscular injection of HGF plasmid gene therapy on limb perfusion and wound healing in patients with ischemic lower extremity ulceration: results of the HGF-0205 trial. J. Vasc. Surg. 52, 1525–1530.

13. Shigematsu, H., Yasuda, K., Iwai, T., Sasajima, T., Ishimaru, S., Ohashi, Y., Yamaguchi, T., Ogihara, T., and Morishita, R. (2010). Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial of hepatocyte growth factor plasmid for critical limb ischemia. Gene Ther. 17, 1152–1161.

14. Kibbe, M.R., Hirsch, A.T., Mendelsohn, F.O., Davies, M.G., Pham, H., Saucedo, J., Marston, W., Pyun, W.B., Min, S.K., Peterson, B.G., et al. (2016). Safety and efficacy of plasmid DNA expressing two isoforms of hepatocyte growth factor in patients with critical limb ischemia. Gene Ther. 23, 306–312.

15. Nikol, S., Baumgartner, I., van Belle, E., Diehm, C., Visona, A., Capogrossi, M.C., Ferreira-Maldent, N., Gallino, A., Wyatt, M.G., Wijesinghe, L.D., et al. (2008). Therapeutic angiogenesis with intramuscular NV1FGF improves amputation-free survival in patients with critical limb ischemia. Mol. Ther. *16*, 972–978.

16. Belch, J., Hiatt, W.R., Baumgartner, I., Driver, I.V., Nikol, S., Norgren, L., and Van Belle, E.; TAMARIS Committees and Investigators (2011). Effect of fibroblast growth factor NV1FGF on amputation and death: a randomised placebo-controlled trial of gene therapy in critical limb ischaemia. Lancet *377*, 1929–1937.

17. Powell, R.J., Simons, M., Mendelsohn, F.O., Daniel, G., Henry, T.D., Koga, M., Morishita, R., and Annex, B.H. (2008). Results of a double-blind, placebo-controlled study to assess the safety of intramuscular injection of hepatocyte growth factor plasmid to improve limb perfusion in patients with critical limb ischemia. Circulation *118*, 58–65.

18. Morishita, R., Aoki, M., Hashiya, N., Makino, H., Yamasaki, K., Azuma, J., Sawa, Y., Matsuda, H., Kaneda, Y., and Ogihara, T. (2004). Safety evaluation of clinical gene therapy using hepatocyte growth factor to treat peripheral arterial disease. Hypertension *44*, 203–209.

19. Suda, H., Murakami, A., Kaga, T., Tomioka, H., and Morishita, R. (2014). Beperminogene perplasmid for the treatment of critical limb ischemia. Expert Rev. Cardiovasc. Ther. *12*, 1145–1156.

Supplemental Information

A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled

Phase II Study of Hepatocyte Growth Factor

in the Treatment of Critical Limb Ischemia

Yongquan Gu, Shijun Cui, Qi Wang, Changjian Liu, Bi Jin, Wei Guo, Changwei Liu, Tongbin Chu, Chang Shu, Fuxian Zhang, Chengquan Han, and Yue Liu

Supplement Materials

Graph 1 Amputation Free Comparative Data

amputation free comparative data

	placebo	low-dose	middle-dose	high-dose
amputation free	47	46	48	43
minor amputations	1	2	0	3
major amputations	2	2	2	4

■ major amputations ■ minor amputations ■ amputation free

Graph 2 Legend of Injection Site

Legend of injections selection:32 injection sites marked with "x" were selected totally for each patients. Each adjacent 8 injection sites were grouped, and the gap between injection sites was less than 2 cm.

Graph 3 Ulcer Healing on Days 0, 90 and 180

Photographs of ulceration area of two patients(A and B) were captured on days 0,90 and 180 for comparison

A:

D0   D90   D180

B:

D0   D90   D180

Table 1 Difference test between groups of anticoagulants or not

Using of anticoagulants	Placebo	Low dose	Medium dose	High dose
No	37	36	39	34
Yes	13	14	11	16
Statistic value	1.3191			
P-value	0.7246			

Table 2　Difference test between groups of drug combination

Using of anticoagulants and antiplatelet	Placebo	Low dose	Medium dose	High dose
No	41	40	44	42
Yes	9	10	6	8
Statistic value	1.2702			
P-value	0.7362			

Table 3	rate of complete pain relief in ASO patients		
group	N	Completely relief of pain, n (%)	P
Placebo	31	2(6.45)	
Low dose	33	16(48.48)	0.0005
Middle dose	30	16(53.33)	$<$0.0001
High dose	30	15(50.00)	0.0007

Table 4 rate of complete pain relief in TAO patients			
group	N	Completely relief of pain, n (%)	P
Placebo	15	1(6.67)	
Low dose	14	7(50.00)	0.014
Middle dose	18	11(61.11)	0.003
High dose	18	11(61.11)	0.003

Table5 rate of complete ulcer healing in ASO patients			
group	N	Completely healed of ulceration, n (%)	P
Placebo	14	2(14.29)	
Low dose	10	4(40.00)	0.3408
Middle dose	10	5(50.00)	0.0850
High dose	5	3(60.00)	0.0844

Table 6 rate of complete ulcer healing in TAO patients			
group	N	Completely healed of ulceration, n (%)	P
Placebo	8	4(50.00)	
Low dose	9	4(44.44)	1.0
Middle dose	13	7(53.85)	1.0
High dose	13	9(69.23)	0.6458