

Table 2.

Results from seemingly unrelated Regression (SUR) demonstrating propensity for observing intergenerational contact patterns of encouragement of healthful eating, controlling for number of generations

	Sandwich Generation		Downward-Skipped		Upward-Skipped		Intergenerational Solidarity	
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2	Model 1	Model 2
Intercept	0.05 (0.13)	0.02 (0.07)	-0.05 (0.16)	-0.09 (0.27)	0.21 (0.24)	0.14 (0.25)	0.05 (0.05)	0.03 (0.05)
Ancestry								
Asian	0.19*** (0.04)	0.11 (0.07)	0.14 (0.08)	0.21 (0.16)	0.03 (0.13)	-0.01 (0.25)	0.10*** (0.03)	0.03 (0.05)
Italian	0.01 (0.03)	0.11* (0.05)	-0.03 (0.07)	0.04 (0.11)	-0.02 (0.11)	0.18 (0.17)	-0.003 (0.02)	0.04 (0.03)
Disease density	-0.09 (0.29)	0.55 (0.43)	0.61 (0.60)	1.11 (0.93)	0.07 (0.92)	1.55 (1.45)	0.02 (0.19)	0.26 (0.28)
Three generation	0.02 (0.05)	0.008 (0.05)	0.03 (0.11)	0.05 (0.10)	0.05 (0.17)	0.03 (0.17)	0.02 (0.03)	0.02 (0.03)
Family income	-0.005 (0.008)	-0.005 (0.007)	0.007 (0.02)	0.003 (0.02)	0.01 (0.02)	-0.009 (0.02)	-0.005 (0.005)	-0.005 (0.005)
% family female	0.03 (0.07)	0.05 (0.21)	0.10 (0.15)	0.16 (0.46)	-0.18 (0.24)	-0.13 (0.24)	-0.01 (0.05)	-0.007 (0.05)
Disease density by Ancestry								
Asian		1.53 (1.18)		-1.20 (2.65)		0.89 (4.01)		1.19 (0.79)
Italian		-1.34* (0.55)		-0.98 (1.24)		-2.78 (1.88)		-0.58 (0.37)
Adjusted R^2	0.222	0.312	-0.015	0.081	-0.072	-0.061	0.125	0.186

Notes. Reference group for ancestry = Anglo-Australian. McElroy R^2 for the system of models (i.e., all encouragement patterns) equaled 0.116 for Model 1 and 0.178 for Model 2.

* $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$