## Effect of axial length and age on the visual outcome of patients with idiopathic epiretinal membrane after pars plana vitrectomy

Sakiko Minami<sup>1a,2,3</sup>, Hajime Shinoda<sup>1a</sup>, Yuta Shigeno<sup>1a</sup>, Norihiro Nagai<sup>1ab</sup>, Toshihide Kurihara<sup>1a</sup>, Kazuhiro Watanabe<sup>1a</sup>, Hideki Sonobe<sup>1a</sup>, Hitoshi Takagi<sup>3</sup>, Kazuo Tsubota<sup>1a</sup>, Yoko Ozawa<sup>\*1ab</sup>

1a Department of Ophthalmology, Keio University, School of Medicine; 1b Laboratory of Retinal Cell, Biology Department of Ophthalmology, Keio University, School of Medicine; 2 Inagi Municipal Hospital; 3 Department of Ophthalmology, St. Marianna University School of Medicine.

\*Corresponding author: Yoko Ozawa, M.D., Ph.D. Laboratory of Retinal Cell Biology Department of Ophthalmology Keio University School of Medicine 35 Shinanomachi, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160-8582, Japan Phone: +81-3-5363-3869, Fax: +81-3-5363-3869 E-mail: ozawa@a5.keio.jp



### **Supplementary Figure 1**

#### **Supplementary Figure 1**

# Scatter plots between best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), age and axial length (AL)

Pearson correlation coefficient. There were correlations between age and BCVA at 1 month (a, r=0.276, P=0.007), age and BCVA at 3 months (b, r=0.353, P=0.007), AL and BCVA at 1 month (c, r=-0.289, P=0.005), AL and BCVA at 3 months (d, r=-0.311, P=0.018), and age and AL (e, r=-0.428, P<0.001). BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity; AL, axial length.



#### **Supplementary Figure 2**

#### Supplementary Figure 2.

### Mean best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) in the patients with age < and $\geq$ 69 years old

Mann-Whitney U test was performed for comparing mean BCVA at each time point between the groups. In patients with age  $\geq$  69, the mean BCVAs were significantly worse at 1 week and 1- and 3-months postoperatively. Generalized mixed model analysis was performed for comparing the preoperative data with the 1-week and 1and 3-month postoperative data. BCVA improved at 1 week after surgery in patients aged < 69 years old, while it was better than the preoperative value at 1 and 3 months after surgery in both groups. Data are shown as mean ± standard error. \*\*P < 0.01 for comparisons between the groups. ††P < 0.01 for comparisons between preoperative and postoperative values at each time point.