

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (http://bmjopen.bmj.com).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

# **BMJ Open**

## The impact of shift work characteristics, shift work schedules and sleep on burnout in police officers

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-030302
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	07-Mar-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Peterson, Scott; Monash Institute of Cognitive and Clinical Neuroscience, School of Psychological Sciences, Monash University Wolkow, Alexander; Monash University, Monash Institute of Cognitive and Clinical Neuroscience Lockley, Steven; Monash Institute of Cognitive and Clinical Neuroscience, School of Psychological Sciences, Monash University; Division of Sleep and Circadian Disorders, Departments of Medicine and Neurology, Brigham and Women's Hospital O'Brien, Conor; Brigham and Women's Hospital Department of Medicine, Division of Sleep and Circadian Disorders Qadri, Salim; Brigham and Women's Hospital, Division of Sleep and Circadian Disorders, Departments of Medicine and Neurology Sullivan, Jason; Brigham and Women's Hospital, Division of Sleep and Circadian Disorders, Departments of Medicine and Neurology Czeisler, Charles; Brigham and Women's Hospital, Division of Sleep and Circadian Disorders; Harvard Medical School, Division of Sleep Medicine Rajaratnam, Shantha M. W.; Monash University, Monash Institute of Cognitive and Clinical Neurosciences and School of Psychological Sciences; Harvard Medical School, Division of Sleep Medicine Barger, Laura; Brigham and Women's Hospital, Division of Sleep Medicine
Keywords:	MENTAL HEALTH, SLEEP MEDICINE, OCCUPATIONAL & INDUSTRIAL MEDICINE

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts **Title:** The impact of shift work characteristics, shift work schedules and sleep on burnout in police officers

**Authors:** Scott A. Peterson, BS<sup>1,†</sup>, Alexander P. Wolkow, PhD<sup>1,†</sup>, Steven W. Lockley, PhD<sup>1,</sup>
<sup>2,3</sup>, Conor S. O'Brien, BA<sup>2</sup>, Salim Qadri, BS<sup>2</sup>, Jason P. Sullivan, BS<sup>2</sup>, Charles A. Czeisler,
PhD, MD, FRCP<sup>2,3</sup>, Shantha M. W. Rajaratnam, PhD<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Laura K. Barger, PhD<sup>2,3,\*</sup>

## **Author Affiliations:**

<sup>1</sup>Monash Institute of Cognitive and Clinical Neuroscience, School of Psychological Sciences,

Monash University, Clayton, VIC, Australia

<sup>2</sup>Division of Sleep and Circadian Disorders, Departments of Medicine and Neurology,

Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>3</sup>Division of Sleep Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

†Scott A. Peterson and Alexander P. Wolkow contributed equally to this paper

## \*Corresponding Author:

Laura K. Barger, PhD

Division of Sleep and Circadian Disorders, Departments of Medicine and Neurology,

Brigham and Women's Hospital, 221 Longwood Avenue,

Boston MA 02115

Tel: (617) 732-4013; Fax: (617) 732-4015

Email: laura barger@hms.harvard.edu

Word count: 3,536

#### **Abstract**

burnout in police and whether sleep duration and sleepiness were associated with burnout. **Methods:** Police officers (n=3140) completed the Maslach Burnout Inventory (emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation, personal accomplishment) and self-reported shift schedules (irregular, rotating, fixed), shift characteristics (night, duration, frequency, work hours), sleep duration and sleepiness.

**Objectives:** To examine associations between shift work characteristics and schedules on

Results: Irregular schedules, long shifts (≥11 hours), mandatory overtime, short sleep and sleepiness were associated with increased risk of overall burnout in police. Police working a greater frequency of long shifts were more likely to have emotional exhaustion (adjusted odds ratio 1.91, 95% CI 1.35-2.72) than those not working long shifts. Night shifts were associated with depersonalisation (1.32, 1.05-1.66) compared to not working nights. Long work weeks (≥48 hours), compared to shorter weeks, were associated with low personal accomplishment (1.33, 1.12-1.58). Police working mandatory overtime had increased risk of emotional exhaustion (1.37, 1.14-1.65) than those who did not. Compared to fixed schedules, irregular schedules were associated with emotional exhaustion and depersonalisation (1.91, 1.44-2.54 and 1.39, 1.02-1.89, respectively). Police sleeping <6 hours were more likely to have emotional exhaustion (1.60, 1.33-1.93) than those sleeping longer, and excessive sleepiness was associated with emotional exhaustion (1.81, 1.50-2.18).

Conclusions: Irregular schedules and increased night shifts, sleep disturbances and work hours were related to higher burnout risk in police. Future research should evaluate work schedules in law enforcement that optimise shift duration and frequency, and increase consistency in scheduling and control over work hours to limit burnout in police.

Key words: mental health, sleep, burnout, shift work, police

## Strengths and limitations of this study

- The study examined burnout, shift work and sleep in a large sample of police officers (n=3140) from across North America.
- Shift work characteristics and schedules were closely examined in police, providing
  information on night shifts, long work shifts and long work weeks, overtime
  (voluntary and mandatory) and different work schedules (irregular, rotating and fixed)
- Sleep and shift information were based on self-reports, and thus may be subject to response bias.
- Due to the cross-sectional design of the study, associations between shift work, sleep and burnout can be inferred but not causality.



#### INTRODUCTION

A major source of occupational stress for police officers is shift work, which can involve day, night and long duration shifts and long work weeks, <sup>1 2</sup> as well as rotating, fixed and irregular shift schedules.<sup>3</sup> Chronic exposure to occupational stressors can lead to burnout, which is a psychological syndrome comprising three dimensions: Emotional exhaustion (EE), depersonalisation (DP), and a perceived lack of personal accomplishment (PA).<sup>4</sup> Burnout can have a negative impact on the individual worker, the people whom they serve, and their organisation, with consequences including reduced productivity and decision making,<sup>5</sup> increased absenteeism and risk of leaving the job.<sup>6</sup> Indeed, retirements due to psychological ill health account for 46% of medical retirements among police in the United Kingdom.<sup>7</sup> In North American police, sleep disorders have been associated with increased risk of mental health outcomes, including depression and anxiety, and EE and DP burnout dimensions.<sup>3</sup> In addition to sleep, other work-related factors may also have a negative influence on the health of personnel engaged in shift work, however, few studies have examined how specific characteristics of shift work impact burnout in police.

Police have traditionally worked 8- to 10-hour shifts, although increasingly, departments are implementing compressed weekly schedules which involve officers working 11-, 12- and 16-hour shifts. 13 Long shifts are related to increased sleepiness 8 and may reduce the opportunity for sleep, potentially impairing police officers' ability to recover from work demands. 9 Short sleep has been found to associate with burnout in shift working occupations, 10 including law enforcement, 11 although few studies have examined the impact of sleepiness on burnout specifically in police. Recovery between shifts may be further restricted by night work, which can cause sleep loss and circadian misalignment, and is shown in other healthcare occupations to confer a greater risk of burnout when compared to day shifts. 10 A high degree of burnout has also been associated with working more night shifts among physicians, 12 and

longer duration shifts and work weeks in nurses<sup>13</sup> <sup>14</sup> and emergency medical technicians (EMTs). <sup>15</sup> Although hours of work were not significantly associated with burnout in resident physicians, burnout was related to increased difficulty concentrating on work. <sup>16</sup> In police, officers who were continuously engaged in shift work were reported to have lower PA, but this study did not describe the relationship between regular shift work and EE or DP, nor did it distinguish between the different *shift types* in policing, <sup>19</sup> such as night and long duration shifts. Furthermore, police also work a variety of different schedules including irregular, rotating and fixed shifts, <sup>9</sup> and often do overtime which may be associated with burnout <sup>17</sup> but to date, the effect of work schedules and shift characteristics on burnout has not been systematically examined in police.

In this cross-sectional study, we examined whether characteristics of shifts (night, shift duration, frequency, weekly work and overtime hours) as well as shift schedules (irregular, rotating, fixed) were associated with burnout in police. Given that short sleep and sleepiness are also common stressors among police and potential consequences of shift work,<sup>3</sup> a secondary aim was to investigate associations between sleep duration and sleepiness on burnout risk in police.

## **METHODS**

#### **Participants**

Volunteers were invited to participate through meetings at police departments and advertisements in police magazines, newsletters and on police-focused websites. In total, 6022 sworn North American police officers expressed interest in the study. Of those, 4957 consented to participate in a survey, either online or, in a few departments, on-site (via pencil/paper). Participant recruitment and survey administration details have been described

previously.<sup>3</sup> The survey assessed demographics and health information and participants reported work and sleep hours in the past 4 weeks and completed the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI). Of the 4957 officers who participated, 3140 completed at least one burnout dimension subscale in the MBI and were eligible for analyses. All participants provided informed consent and were eligible for a prize drawing valued up to \$1000 USD.

## Measures

Burnout was assessed using the MBI–Human Services Survey,<sup>4</sup> which consists of 22 items that assess the three burnout dimensions: EE, DP, and PA. Participants rated the frequency with which they experienced each item on a 7-point Likert scale (0=never; 6=everyday). EE and DP were defined as dichotomous variables, in which a high or low-moderate risk score were determined (high EE  $\geq$ 27 points; high DP  $\geq$ 13 points). PA was defined as either high-moderate or low ( $\geq$ 39 points). Participants were considered to have high *overall burnout* if they had both high EE and DP, with or without low PA.<sup>16 18</sup>

Work information was determined using items from both the baseline and a concurrent monthly report. Participants were asked how frequently they worked night shifts (shifts involving  $\geq$ 6 hours on-duty between 10PM-8AM), with responses from "never or nearly never", "1-2 times per month", "3-4 times per month", "1-2 times per week", "3-4 times per week" and "nearly every day". To determine the frequency of long work shifts, participants reported the shift length they worked each week for the previous 4 weeks (i.e., 8-10, 11-15, 16-19, 20-23 or  $\geq$ 24 hours). Based on previous research in human service occupations, <sup>14</sup> *long work shifts* were classified as  $\geq$ 11 hours, from which officers were grouped into those working 0,  $\geq$ 0 to  $\leq$ 2,  $\geq$ 2 to  $\leq$ 4, and  $\geq$ 4 long shifts per week.

Participants' usual shift schedules were categorized as 'fixed', 'irregular', 'rotating' or 'other' schedules. Participants reporting that they "do not have a variable work schedule" were classified as having 'fixed' schedules. Schedules were categorized as 'rotating' if officers reported working shifts that rotated on a day-to-evening, day-to-night or evening-to-night basis. Irregular schedules were defined as shifts that rotated, but did not follow a consistent pattern, and 'other' comprised schedules that included non-day-to-day rotations (e.g., weekly/monthly rotations). Total work hours across the month were recorded using a validated questionnaire,¹9 with those recording ≥48 hours per week considered to be working *long work weeks*. Participants also recorded if they completed mandatory and/or voluntary overtime over the last 4 weeks, and the total number of hours they slept each week for the previous 4 weeks. For sleep duration, the combined total of the 4 weeks was used to calculate the average sleep duration per 24 hours, and short sleep was defined as <6 hours sleep per 24 hours. Daytime sleepiness was measured using the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS; range 0-24), and a score ≥11 indicated excessive sleepiness.²0

## Statistical analysis

Multiple logistic regression models were used for cross-sectional analysis of associations between shift work (shift work characteristics and schedules), sleep duration, sleepiness and burnout (Overall burnout, EE, DP, PA). The models were adjusted for age, Body Mass Index (BMI), gender, marital status, hypertension, exercise (per week), cigarette smoking (yes/no), caffeine and alcohol consumption (yes/no during last week), primary police activity (patrol officer, supervisor/manager, detective), years as police officer, and seniority. These potentially confounding factors were selected based on prior studies.<sup>3 21-24</sup> Each confounder was tested individually with the burnout outcome, and we only included those that were significant (p<0.10) in initial models. A backward elimination method was used to remove

those that were not significant in the final model. Unadjusted and adjusted odds ratio with 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) are reported. Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS (IBM Corp, Version 24.0, Armonk, NY) and significance set at p<0.05.

## Patient and public involvement

The current study was based on secondary data from a pre-existing data set. Therefore, patients and the general public were not involved in the development of the research questions, outcome measures or design of the study.

#### **RESULTS**

Police officers were aged  $38.5 \pm 8.3$  (mean  $\pm$  SD) years and had  $12.7 \pm 8.2$  years of experience in law enforcement. Female officers (16.7%) in our sample were slightly over-represented compared to police in North America (12.2%). The sample was predominantly white (Table 1), with racial and ethnic minority groups slightly under-represented compared to national police statistics. The prevalence of overweight or obesity based on BMI were over-represented in the sample (80%) (Table 1) compared to general population data (70%). Overall burnout was found in 17.7% of police. High EE and high DP were observed in 23.8% and 42.6% of the sample respectively, and low PA was observed in 24.1%. In the last month, 56.7% of police worked  $\geq 1$  night shift, 63.9% worked  $\geq 1$  long shift, and 41.2% had an irregular or rotating schedule. Mean total work hours were  $192.27 \pm 74.82$  hours per month, mean mandatory overtime was  $8.26 \pm 14.14$  hours per month, and mean voluntary overtime was  $12.98 \pm 19.83$  hours per month. Short sleep duration was observed in 30.4% of police, and 25.8% had excessive sleepiness (Table 1).

Characteristic	Data
n	3140
Age, mean (SD) y	38.48 (8.31)
Gender, n (%)	
Male	2609 (83.3)
Female	523 (16.7)
Not known	8 (0.3)
Body Mass Index, n (%)	
$< 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$	610 (19.4)
$\geq 25$ and $< 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$	1460 (46.5)
$\geq$ 30 and $<$ 35 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	781 (24.9)
$\geq$ 35 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	271 (8.6)
Not known	18 (0.6)
Race, n (%)	
White	2681 (85.4)
Black	257 (8.2)
Asian	34 (1.1)
Native American	21 (0.7)
Pacific Islander	1 (0.03)
Other	100 (3.2)
Not known	46 (1.5)
Ethnicity, n (%)	
Hispanic	162 (5.2)
Other	2806 (89.4)
Not known	172 (5.5)
Overtime hours per month, mean (SD)	
Mandatory	8.26 (14.14)
Voluntary	12.98 (19.83)
Total	20.50 (24.62)
Night shift frequency, n (%)	
Never or nearly never	1290 (41.1)
1-4 per week	612 (19.5)
1-4 per month	584 (18.6)
Nearly every day	615 (19.6)
Not known	615 (19.6) 39 (1.2)
Long work shifts per week (≥11 hours), n (%)	
None	390 (12.4)
>0 - <2	1029 (32.8)
≥2 - <4	496 (15.8)
≥4	482 (15.4)
Not known	743 (23.7)
Work hours per week, n (%)	
<48 hours	1820 (58.0)
≥48 hours	1260 (40.1)
Not known	60 (1.9)

Table 1. Continued.

Characteristic	Data
Usual shift schedule, n (%)	
Fixed	1822 (58.0)
Irregular	274 (8.7)
Rotating	782 (24.9)
Other	239 (7.6)
Not known	23 (0.7)
Average sleep duration over the last 4 weeks*, n (%)	
<6 h	956 (30.4)
≥6 h	1780 (56.7)
Not known	404 (12.9)
Daytime sleepiness, n (%)	
Normal (<11 ESS) daytime sleepiness	2195 (69.9)
Excessive (≥11 ESS) daytime sleepiness	809 (25.8)
Not known	136 (4.3)
Burnout, n <sup>‡</sup> (%)	
High EE	747 (23.8)
High DP	1338 (42.6)
Low PA	758 (24.1)
Overall burnout <sup>†</sup>	556 (17.7)
Police officers that had at least 1 dimension of burnout, n (%)	
No	1082 (34.5)
Yes	2058 (65.5)

<sup>\*</sup>per 24-hour period; †defined as high EE, high DP, with or without low PA; ‡The burnout characteristics are not mutually exclusive (e.g., a participant could have both high EE and Low PA); EE, emotional exhaustion; DP, depersonalisation; PA, personal accomplishment; ESS, Epworth Sleepiness Scale; SD, standard deviation.

## Shift characteristics and burnout

The odds of high EE increased in a dose-response manner as a function of the number of long shifts worked per week, with police completing  $\geq 4$  long shifts per week having the greatest risk (adjusted odds ratio 1.91, 95% CI 1.35-2.72), compared to no long shifts. A similar relationship was demonstrated for overall burnout, with police working >0 to <2 and  $\ge4$  long shifts per week more likely to have overall burnout (1.44, 95% CI 1.02-2.02 and 1.78, 95% CI 1.21-2.61, respectively) compared to no long shifts. Police working long shifts were also more likely to have low PA compared to no long shifts (Table 2); even one long shift per month increased the risk of burnout (1.4- to 1.5-fold increase; Table S5). Working ≥48 hours per week was associated with an increased risk of low PA (1.33, 95% CI 1.12-1.58) compared to shorter weeks, but not overall burnout or EE. Neither long shift frequency nor weekly work hours were related to DP. Compared to police who never/nearly never work night shifts, those working night shifts nearly every day were at an increased risk of high DP (1.32, 95% CI 1.05-1.66). Police reporting mandatory overtime had an increased risk of overall burnout (1.40, 95% CI 1.14-1.71), high EE (1.37, 95% CI 1.14-1.65) and DP (1.30, 95% CI 1.10-1.53), whereas voluntary overtime was only associated with high DP (1.18, 95% CI 1.01-1.39). Reporting any overtime (mandatory or voluntary) was also associated with overall burnout (1.36, 95% CI 1.06-1.75) and high DP (1.47, 95% CI 1.21-1.80). Overtime was not related to PA.

## Work schedules and burnout

Police working irregular schedules were at greatest risk of overall burnout (2.12, 95% CI 1.57-2.85), followed by high EE (1.91, 95% CI 1.44-2.54), and high DP (1.39, 95% CI 1.02-1.89) compared to those working fixed schedules. Compared to fixed schedules, police reporting rotating and 'other' schedules were more likely to have EE (1.30, 95% CI 1.06-1.59).

and 1.44, 95% CI 1.05-1.98, respectively), but these associations were weaker than those observed for irregular shifts. Furthermore, police working rotating schedules were less likely to have low PA (0.74, 95% CI 0.59-0.91) compared to fixed shifts. Rotating or 'other' schedules were not associated with overall burnout or DP, and irregular or 'other' shift schedules were not related to PA (Table 3).



**Table 2**. High burnout outcomes associated with shift work characteristics in police (n=3140)

Shift work characteristic, $n^{\dagger}$ Positive result in police with		lt in police with	Unadjusted		Adjusted¶	
Shift work characteristic, n	burnout, No./No. total (%)		OR (95% CI) <i>P</i> Value		OR (95% CI)	P Value
	High EE	Low-moderate EE				
Night shift frequency						
Never/nearly never	290/734 (39.5)	935/2220 (42.1)				
1-4 per month	154/734 (21.0)	435/2220 (19.6)	1.14 (0.91 - 1.43)	0.252	1.13 (0.90 - 1.43)	0.292
1-4 per week	141/734 (19.2)	411/2220 (18.5)	1.11 (0.88 - 1.40)	0.395	1.06 (0.84 - 1.35)	0.628
Nearly every day	149/734 (20.3)	439/2220 (19.8)	1.09 (0.87 - 1.37)	0.438	1.12 (0.88 - 1.41)	0.363
n	2	954				
Long work shifts per week (≥11 hours)						
0	69/575 (12.0)	296/1719 (17.2)				
>0 - <2	255/575 (44.3)	732/1719 (42.6)	1.49 (1.11 - 2.01)	0.008	1.48 (1.09 - 2.02)	0.013
≥2 <b>-</b> <4	123/575 (21.4)	355/1719 (20.7)	1.49 (1.07 - 2.07)	0.020	1.49 (1.05 - 2.11)	0.024
≥4	128/575 (22.3)	336/1719 (19.5)	1.63 (1.17 - 2.28)	0.004	1.91 (1.35 - 2.72)	< 0.001
n		294	,		,	
Long work weeks						
<48 hours	417/731 (40.9)	1303/2204 (59.1)				
≥48 hours	314/731 (43.0)	901/2204 (40.9)	1.09 (0.92 - 1.29)	0.324	1.14 (0.96 - 1.36)	0.141
n		935			(0.50)	***
Mandatory overtime						
No	305/653 (46.7)	1056/1911 (55.3)				
Yes	348/653 (53.3)	855/1911 (44.7)	1.41 (1.18 - 1.68)	< 0.001	1.37 (1.14 - 1.65)	0.001
n		564	1.11 (1.10 1.00)	-0.001	1.57 (1.11 1.05)	0.001
Voluntary overtime		301				
No	306/646 (47.4)	881/1935 (45.5)				
Yes	340/646 (52.6)	1054/1935 (54.5)	0.93 (0.78 - 1.11)	0.417	0.94 (0.78 - 1.12)	0.475
n		581	0.73 (0.76 - 1.11)	0.417	0.74 (0.76 - 1.12)	0.473
Any overtime (Mandatory or voluntary)	2	301				
No	143/618 (23.1)	487/1799 (27.1)				
Yes	475/618 (76.9)	1312/1799 (72.9)	1.23 (1.00 - 1.53)	0.055	1.21 (0.97 - 1.50)	0.095
n		417	1.23 (1.00 - 1.33)	0.055	1.21 (0.97 - 1.30)	0.093
n	High DP	Low-moderate DP				
Night shift frequency	111811 21	Edit modelate El				
Never/nearly never	481/1320 (36.4)	771/1700 (45.4)				
1-4 per month	285/1320 (21.6)	316/1700 (18.6)	1.45 (1.19 - 1.76)	< 0.001	1.21 (0.96 - 1.51)	0.101
1-4 per month 1-4 per week	254/1320 (19.2)	314/1700 (18.5)	1.30 (1.06 - 1.86)	0.011	1.07 (0.84 - 1.35)	0.592
Nearly every day	300/1320 (22.7)	299/1700 (17.6)	1.61 (1.32 - 1.96)	< 0.001	1.32 (1.05 - 1.66)	0.018
n		020	1.01 (1.32 - 1.90)	<0.001	1.32 (1.03 - 1.00)	0.018
n Long work shifts per week (≥11 hours)	3	020				
	151/1027 (14.7)	226/1216 (17.2)				
0	151/1027 (14.7)	226/1316 (17.2)	1 22 (1 05 1 (0)	0.010	1 20 (0 02 1 54)	0.157
>0 - <2	476/1027 (46.3)	535/1316 (40.7)	1.33 (1.05 - 1.69)	0.019	1.20 (0.93 - 1.54)	0.157
≥2 - <4	218/1027 (21.2)	268/1316 (20.4)	1.22 (0.93 - 1.60)	0.157	1.09 (0.81 - 1.45)	0.578
≥4	182/1027 (17.7)	287/1316 (21.8)	0.95 (0.72 - 1.25)	0.712	0.96 (0.71 - 1.29)	0.804
n	2	343				
Long work weeks						
<48 hours	789/1325 (59.5)	976/1674 (58.3)				
≥48 hours	536/1325 (40.5)	698/1674 (41.7)	0.95 (0.82 - 1.10)	0.492	0.98 (0.83 - 1.15)	0.779
n	2	999				
Mandatory overtime						
No	568/1199 (47.4)	814/1424 (57.2)				
Yes	631/1199 (52.6)	610/1424 (42.8)	1.48 (1.27 - 1.73)	< 0.001	1.30 (1.10 - 1.53)	0.002
n	2	623				
Voluntary overtime						
No	521/1196 (43.6)	694/1444 (48.1)				
Yes	675/1196 (56.4)	750/1444 (51.9)	1.20 (1.03 - 1.40)	0.021	1.18 (1.01 - 1.39)	0.042
n		640	` '		` '	
Any overtime (Mandatory or voluntary)	_					
No	235/1130 (20.8)	406/1345 (30.2)				
Yes	895/1130 (20.0)	939/1345 (69.8)	1.65 (1.37 - 1.98)	< 0.001	1.47 (1.21 - 1.80)	< 0.001
n		475	1.05 (1.57 1.70)	0.001	1.17 (1.21 1.00)	0.001

<sup>2</sup> Table 2. Continued

Shift work characteristic, $n^{\dagger, \ddagger}$		Positive result in police with high		Unadjusted		<b>Adjusted</b> ¶	
Sinit work characteristic, n ***	burnout, I	No./No. total (%)	OR (95% CI)	P Value	OR (95% CI)	P Value	
	Low PA	Moderate-high PA	-				
Night shift frequency							
Never/nearly never	313/752 (41.6)	895/2181 (41.0)					
1-4 per month	146/752 (19.4)	430/2181 (19.7)	0.97 (0.77 - 1.22)	0.799	0.98 (0.78 - 1.24)	0.874	
1-4 per week	150/752 (19.9)	411/2181 (18.8)	1.04 (0.83 - 1.31)	0.713	1.10 (0.87 - 1.39)	0.426	
Nearly every day	143/752 (18.9)	445/2181 (20.4)	0.92 (0.73 - 1.15)	0.467	1.01 (0.80 - 1.28)	0.936	
n		2933					
Long work shifts per week (≥11 hours)							
0	76/591 (12.9)	292/1685 (17.3)					
>0 - <2	250/591 (42.3)	733/1685 (43.5)	1.31 (0.98 - 1.75)	0.068	1.27 (0.95 - 1.71)	0.114	
≥2 <b>-</b> <4	133/591 (22.5)	340/1685 (20.2)	1.50 (1.09 - 2.08)	0.013	1.46 (1.05 - 2.03)	0.024	
_ ≥4	132/591 (22.3)	320/1685 (19.0)	1.59 (1.15 - 2.19)	0.005	1.43 (1.02 - 1.99)	0.036	
n	(22,0)	2276	-107 (-110 =117)				
Long work weeks		22,0					
<48 hours	399/750 (53.2)	1323/2168 (61.0)					
≥48 hours	351/750 (46.8)	845/2168 (39.0)	1.38 (1.17 - 1.63)	< 0.001	1.33 (1.12 - 1.58)	0.001	
	331/730 (40.8)	2918	1.36 (1.17 - 1.03)	<0.001	1.33 (1.12 - 1.36)	0.001	
n Mandatory overtime		2918					
-	270/652 (56.7)	072/1002 (51.2)					
No	370/653 (56.7)	973/1902 (51.2)	0.00 (0.67, 0.06)	0.015	0.05 (0.71 1.02)	0.075	
Yes	283/653 (43.3)	929/1902 (48.8)	0.80 (0.67 - 0.96)	0.015	0.85 (0.71 - 1.02)	0.075	
n		2555					
Voluntary overtime							
No	298/672 (44.3)	886/1899 (46.7)					
Yes	374/672 (55.7)	1013/1899 (53.3)	1.01 (0.92 - 1.31)	0.302	1.08 (0.90 - 1.29)	0.418	
n		2571					
Any overtime (Mandatory or voluntary)							
No	164/623 (26.3)	456/1786 (25.5)					
Yes	459/623 (73.7)	1130/1786 (74.5)	0.96 (0.78 - 1.18)	0.697	1.04 (0.84 - 1.29)	0.728	
n		2409					
	Overall burnout	No overall burnout	_				
Night shift frequency							
Never/nearly never	202/545 (37.1)	1085/2547 (42.6)					
1-4 per month	121/545 (22.2)	489/2547 (19.2)	1.32 (1.04 - 1.71)	0.025	1.25 (0.97 - 1.61)	0.092	
1-4 per week	110/545 (20.2)	473/2547 (18.6)	1.25 (0.97 - 1.61)	0.089	1.11 (0.85 - 1.14)	0.449	
Nearly every day	112/545 (20.6)	500/2547 (19.6)	1.20 (0.93 - 1.55)	0.154	1.12 (0.86 - 1.45)	0.407	
n	,	3092			,		
Long work shifts per week (≥11 hours)							
0	51/426 (12.0)	338/1967 (17.2)					
>0 - <2	191/426 (44.8)	835/1967 (42.5)	1.52 (1.09 - 2.12)	0.015	1.44 (1.02 - 2.02)	0.038	
≥2 - <4	88/426 (20.7)	408/1967 (20.7)	1.43 (0.98 - 2.08)	0.061	1.36 (0.93 - 2.00)	0.116	
<u>-2</u> ≥4	96/426 (22.5)	386/1967 (19.6)	1.65 (1.14 - 2.39)	0.001	1.78 (1.21 - 2.61)	0.003	
<u>-</u> '	70/120 (22.3)	2393	1.03 (1.11 2.57)	0.000	1.70 (1.21 2.01)	0.005	
Long work weeks		2373					
<48 hours	309/547 (56.5)	1503/2524 (59.5)					
		. ,	1 12 (0 04 1 27)	0.100	1 12 (0 04 1 27)	0.201	
≥48 hours	238/547 (43.5)	1021/2524 (40.5)	1.13 (0.94 - 1.37)	0.188	1.13 (0.94 - 1.37)	0.201	
n Manufactura and income		3071					
Mandatory overtime	222/406 (44.6)	1106/0170 (54.0)					
No	222/496 (44.8)	1196/2178 (54.9)	4.50 (4.54 4.50)	0.004	4.0 (4.4.4.4.7.1)	0.004	
Yes	274/496 (55.2)	982/2178 (45.1)	1.50 (1.24 - 1.83)	< 0.001	1.40 (1.14 - 1.71)	0.001	
n		2674					
Voluntary overtime							
No	225/489 (46.0)	1016/2201 (46.2)					
Yes	264/489 (54.0)	1185/2201 (53.8)	1.01 (0.83 - 1.23)	0.952	1.01 (0.83 - 1.24)	0.910	
n		2690					
Any overtime (Mandatory or voluntary)							
No	97/471 (20.6)	564/2048 (27.5)					
Yes	374/471 (79.4)	1484/2048 (72/5)	1.56 (1.31 - 1.87)	< 0.001	1.36 (1.06 - 1.75)	0.017	
n	. ()	2519			(		

EE, emotional exhaustion; DP, depersonalisation; PA, personal accomplishment; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; †The *n* presented represents the sample for each unadjusted analysis. The *n* for the adjusted analyses are in Supplement 1, Table S2; ‡Some participants did not provide sufficient information from which to determine their shift work characteristics. The *n* presented are from those who answered sufficiently; ¶Adjusted for age, BMI, gender, marital status, hypertension, cigarette use, caffeine use, alcohol, second job, primary activity, years as police officer, exercise frequency, and seniority. Variables included in each model are in Supplement 1, Table S2.

**Table 3.** High burnout outcomes associated with shift work schedules in police (n=3140)

Cl. 64	Positive result in po	lice with high burnout,	Unadjusted		Adjusted¶	
Shift work schedule, $n^{\dagger, \ddagger}$		. total (%)	OR (95% CI)	P Value	OR (95% CI)	P Value
	High EE	Low-moderate EE				
Fixed	382/743 (51.4)	1366/2227 (61.3)				
Irregular	96/743 (12.9)	168/2227 (7.5)	2.04(1.55 - 2.69)	< 0.001	1.91 (1.44 - 2.54)	< 0.001
Rotating	200/743 (26.9)	534/2227 (24.0)	1.34 (1.10 - 1.63)	0.004	1.30 (1.06 - 1.59)	0.011
Other	65/743 (8.7)	159/2227 (7.1)	1.46 (1.07 - 1.99)	0.016	1.44 (1.05 - 1.98)	0.024
n		2970				
	High DP	Low-moderate DP				
Fixed	740/1331 (55.6)	1038/1703 (61.0)				
Irregular	146/1331 (11.0)	117/1703 (6.9)	1.75 (1.35 - 2.27)	< 0.001	1.39 (1.02 - 1.89)	0.038
Rotating	343/1331 (25.8)	416/1703 (24.4)	1.16 (0.96 - 1.37)	0.096	0.97 (0.80 - 1.18)	0.830
Other	102/1331 (7.7)	132/1703 (7.8)	1.08 (0.82 - 1.43)	0.566	1.07 (0.78 - 1.45)	0.665
n		3034				
	Low PA	Moderate-high PA				
Fixed	481/754 (63.8)	1244/2196 (56.6)				
Irregular	73/754 (9.7)	192/2196 (8.7)	0.98 (0.74 - 1.31)	0.909	1.02 (0.76 - 1.36)	0.919
Rotating	152/754 (20.2)	584/2196 (26.6)	0.67 (0.55 - 0.83)	< 0.001	0.74 (0.59 - 0.91)	0.004
Other	48/754 (6.4)	176/2196 (8.0)	0.71 (0.50 - 0.99)	0.042	0.73 (0.52 - 1.02)	0.068
n	2950					
	Overall burnout	No overall burnout	•			
Fixed	279/553 (50.5)	1539/2555 (60.2)				
Irregular	82/553 (14.8)	192/2555 (7.5)	2.36 (1.77 - 3.14)	< 0.001	2.12 (1.57 - 2.85)	< 0.001
Rotating	146/553 (26.4)	632/2555 (24.7)	1.27 (1.02 - 1.59)	0.031	1.24 (0.99 - 1.56)	0.065
Other	46/553 (8.3)	192/2555 (7.5)	1.32 (0.94 - 1.87)	0.114	1.29 (0.91 - 1.84)	0.153
n		3108			, ,	

EE, emotional exhaustion; DP, depersonalisation; PA, personal accomplishment; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; †The *n* presented represents the sample for each unadjusted analysis. The *n* for the adjusted analyses are in Supplement 1, Table S2; ‡Some participants did not provide sufficient information from which to determine their shift work schedule. The *n* presented are from those who answered sufficiently; ¶Adjusted for age, BMI, gender, marital status, hypertension, cigarette use, caffeine use, alcohol use, second job, primary activity, years as police officer, exercise frequency, and seniority. Variables included in each model are in Supplement 1, Table S2.

## Sleep and burnout

Police who reported, on average, short sleep (<6 hours) were more likely to have overall burnout (1.49, 95% CI 1.21-1.81) and high EE (1.60, 95% CI 1.33-1.93) compared to those sleeping  $\geq 6$ hours. Short sleep was not associated with DP or PA (Table 4). Police with excessive sleepiness were more likely to have overall burnout (1.72, 95% CI 1.41-2.11), high EE (1.81, 95% CI 1.50-2.18), high DP (1.48, 95% CI 1.23-1.78) and less likely to have low PA (0.77, 95% CI 0.63-0.94) compared to police with normal sleepiness. 

**Table 4.** High burnout outcomes associated with sleep duration and sleepiness in police (n=3140)

		lice with high burnout,	Unadjuste		Adjusted	
Sleep characteristics, $n^{\dagger, \ddagger}$		. total (%)	OR (95% CI)	P Value	OR (95% CI)	P Value
	High EE	Low-moderate EE				
Sleep duration						
≥6 hours per night	371/654 (56.7)	1334/1956 (68.2)				
<6 hours per night	283/654 (43.3)	622/1956 (31.8)	1.64 (1.36 - 1.96)	< 0.001	1.60 (1.33 - 1.93)	< 0.001
n	2	2610				
Sleepiness						
<11 ESS	451/714 (63.2)	1652/2158 (76.6)				
≥11 ESS	263/714 (36.8)	506/2158 (23.4)	1.90 (1.59 - 2.28)	< 0.001	1.81 (1.50 - 2.18)	< 0.001
$\frac{-}{n}$		2872	,		,	
	High DP	Low-moderate DP				
Sleep duration						
≥6 hours per night	755/1206 (62.6)	989/1469 (67.3)				
<6 hours per night	451/1206 (37.4)	480/1469 (32.7)	1.23 (1.05 - 1.44)	0.011	1.13 (0.96 - 1.33)	0.151
n		2675	-1 (-111)		(	
Sleepiness						
<11 ESS	875/1286 (68.0)	1267/1639 (77.3)				
≥11 ESS	411/1286 (32.0)	372/1639 (22.7)	1.60 (1.38 - 1.89)	< 0.001	1.48 (1.23 - 1.78)	< 0.001
n		2925	-100 (1100 -1105)	*****		*****
	Low PA	Moderate-high PA				
Sleep duration						
≥6 hours per night	470/688 (68.3)	1224/1913 (64.0)				
<6 hours per night	218/688 (31.7)	689/1913 (36.0)	0.82 (0.68 - 0.99)	0.041	0.85 (0.70 - 1.03)	0.091
n		2601	0.02 (0.00 0.55)	0.0.1	0.00 (0.70 1.05)	0.071
Sleepiness	-					
<11 ESS	561/727 (77.2)	1519/2124 (71.5)				
≥11 ESS	166/727 (22.8)	605/2124 (28.5)	0.74 (0.61 - 0.91)	0.003	0.77 (0.63 - 0.94)	0.012
n		2851	0.7. (0.01 0.51)	0.002	0.77 (0.05 0.5 1)	0.012
	Overall burnout	No overall burnout	7			
Sleep duration						
≥6 hours per night	279/491 (56.8)	1497/2238 (66.9)				
<6 hours per night	212/491 (43.2)	741/2238 (33.1)	1.54 (1.26 - 1.87)	< 0.001	1.48 (1.21 - 1.81)	< 0.001
n		2729	1.5. (1.20 1.07)	0.001	1.10 (1.21 1.01)	0.001
Sleepiness	-					
<11 ESS	338/532 (63.5)	1852/2464 (75.2)				
≥11 ESS	194/532 (36.5)	612/2464 (24.8)	1.74 (1.42 - 2.12)	< 0.001	1.72 (1.41 - 2.11)	< 0.001
n		2996	2.7. (12 2.12)	0.001	()	0.001

EE, emotional exhaustion; DP, depersonalisation; PA, personal accomplishment; ESS, Epworth Sleepiness Scale; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; †The *n* presented represents the sample for each unadjusted analysis. The *n* for the adjusted analyses are in Supplement 1, Table S2; ‡Some participants did not provide sufficient information from which to determine their sleep duration and sleepiness. The *n* presented are from those who answered sufficiently; ¶Adjusted for age, BMI, gender, marital status, hypertension, cigarette use, caffeine use, alcohol use, second job, primary activity, years as police officer, exercise frequency, and seniority. Variables included in each model are in Supplement 1, Table S2.

#### **DISCUSSION**

In this sample of North American police officers, 17% exhibited overall burnout, which is higher than the 10% previously observed in office workers. 18 Two-thirds of the sample (65.5%) were also found to have at least one dimension of burnout, and this too exceeds levels reported in other human service occupations and the general population.<sup>27</sup> Long shifts, mandatory overtime, short sleep and sleepiness were each associated with significantly increased risk of overall burnout in police. Among the different schedules, officers working irregular shifts had a 2-fold higher risk of overall burnout, demonstrating the risk of burnout associated with this schedule for the first time in police. For individual dimensions of burnout, almost half (43%) of police had high depersonalisation, while nearly a quarter had high emotional exhaustion (24%) and low personal accomplishment (24%). The prevalence of DP was higher in this sample of police compared to the US general population (15%), and EE levels were similar to general population data (23%).<sup>27</sup> The risk of EE was higher in police working a greater frequency of long shifts, and working night shifts were associated with an increased risk of DP. Long work weeks were associated with low PA, and mandatory, but not voluntary, overtime increased EE. There was an increased likelihood of EE among police reporting short sleep, and an increased risk for each dimension of burnout in those with excessive sleepiness.

Police regularly completing long work shifts were at increased risk of overall burnout, EE and low PA. Previous studies in nurses have reported similar associations between increased hours of work on the most recent shift and high burnout. We expand on these findings by demonstrating how the risk of EE is dependent on the number of long shifts worked, with working  $\geq 4$  long shifts associated with nearly double the risk of EE. Working  $\geq 1$  long shift per

month and  $\geq 4$  long shifts per week were also associated with low PA and overall burnout, but the risk conferred by other shift frequencies (i.e., >0 to <2, 2 to <4 long shifts per week) was less consistent for these aspects of burnout. In contrast to a prior study, <sup>24</sup> working >48 hours per week was associated with low PA, which along with long shifts, were the only shift characteristics to increase the risk of low PA in police. This finding could reflect that police were working long hours but not experiencing a sense of accomplishment from their work in return.<sup>4</sup> Compared to weekly work hours, however, the associations between long work shifts and burnout were typically stronger and affected more burnout dimensions. This is an important detail, as it demonstrates how the distribution of work hours, not just total overall hours, influences burnout in police. This suggests the need for shorter and less compressed shifts that spread work hours across the week to reduce burnout. For instance, Amendola et al.<sup>8</sup> found that police working 10-hour shifts reported longer sleep and higher quality of work-life balance compared 8- or 12-hour shifts, although burnout was not examined in that study. Further research is needed to examine whether shortening shift lengths, as well as limiting the frequency of long work shifts, also reduces burnout in police as it has been shown in EMTs. 15

Mandatory overtime was associated with an increased risk of overall burnout, high EE, and DP among police. Conversely, voluntary overtime was not associated with EE or overall burnout, but was associated with high DP. A prior study in police failed to show a relationship between overtime (combined voluntary and mandatory) and burnout.<sup>17</sup> The results of our study suggest the control a police officer has over overtime may influence the impact of this shift characteristic on burnout, in particular overall burnout and EE. This interpretation is supported by research from Beckers *et al.*<sup>28</sup> in full-time workers who reported involuntary overtime was associated with

high emotional fatigue and low job satisfaction, and classified involuntary overtime workers as a burnout risk group.<sup>28</sup> In their study, Becker and colleagues<sup>28</sup> did not examine DP, but based on our findings in police, it is possible that voluntary (and mandatory) overtime may pose a risk to this dimension. Further research determining the specific durations of overtime associated with high burnout and which dimensions of burnout is needed to inform policies regarding the safe provision of work hours in excess of normal shift lengths.

Increased frequency of night shifts was associated with high DP in police, but not overall burnout, EE or PA. Researchers have reported associations between increased night work and burnout in nurses, but only for overall burnout and EE. 12 29 Furthermore, the rate of night shifts per month was not found to associate with burnout among healthcare workers.<sup>29</sup> These inconsistencies may reflect differences among human service professions and perhaps their physical environments (e.g., lighting levels that affect mood and alertness)<sup>30</sup> that may impact the manifestation of burnout dimensions. For instance, healthcare workers typically develop higher rates of EE,31 whereas police report greater DP,32 as demonstrated in the current study. High DP reflects a critical relationship between people and their work, as it refers to negative feelings and attitudes toward one's clients. 4 Therefore, high DP in police could be due to the difficult nature of some of the people with whom police interact. In addition to sleep disruption with night work, police encounter more stressful events at night.<sup>33</sup> Sleep is further disrupted among people with untreated sleep disorders, and common sleep disorders are shown to increase the likelihood of police demonstrating anger towards suspects or citizens.<sup>3</sup> Thus, the combination of poor mood, sleep and difficult clients may explain the increased risk of DP associated with regular night work in police. Further research comparing police attitudes towards clients with whom they

interact at night compared to the day is needed to understand the mechanisms underpinning the increased risk of DP conferred by night shifts in police.

Police officers who reported short sleep had a greater risk of overall burnout and EE, while sleepiness increased the likelihood for all aspects of burnout. Similar sleepiness levels have been related to high burnout in medical students.<sup>23</sup> Our findings for sleep duration are also consistent with research showing short sleep over a month increases overall burnout in police.<sup>11</sup> Inadequate sleep may impair police officers' ability to recover from occupational demands, potentially explaining the heightened burnout risk in those reporting short sleep in this study. Achieving sufficient sleep can be difficult when working at night and/or long hours, as these shifts may limit sleep opportunities at night and involve attempts by the shiftworker to sleep at times of the day when alertness levels are high (and sleep propensity is low).<sup>34</sup> Indeed, when restricting the sample to police regularly completing long shifts, officers reporting short sleep and excessive sleepiness had a 2-fold increased risk of EE (Table S3). These findings highlight the health burden of sleepiness and sleep loss in law enforcement, and the need for interventions that address sleep in addition to optimising shift schedules.

Police working irregular shifts were more likely to have overall burnout, high EE and DP compared to officers working fixed shifts. Canadian workers completing non-standard schedules (shifts other than fixed 9AM-5PM) have also been found to have increased overall burnout and EE,<sup>35</sup> but to our knowledge, this is the only other study to have investigated the impact of irregular or non-standard schedules on burnout. A growing body of research suggests that greater variability in sleep duration and bedtimes predict worse mental health.<sup>36</sup> Workers with irregular

shifts are likely to have more variability in their sleep patterns,<sup>37</sup> which in turn, may explain the increased burnout risk among police engaged in this schedule. Considering 8.9% of our sample worked irregular shifts, our findings may have implications for arranging work schedules to limit irregular shifts to reduce burnout. Rotating shifts were also common among police in our study (24.9%), and associated with high EE and moderate-high PA. Conversely, nurses working fixed shifts compared to rotating schedules are reported to have greater EE and DP,<sup>38</sup> but not low PA, with those working fixed night and morning shifts showing the highest levels of EE and DP.<sup>39</sup> The hazards related to fixed night shifts are well documented,<sup>40</sup> although in the current study it was not possible to identify the types of fixed shifts police completed. There is also a diverse range of shift rotations in policing, which were grouped into one variable (i.e., rotating schedules) in our study. Different shift rotations and types of fixed shifts may have varying effects on burnout that require further examination.

A limitation of this study was the use of self-reported shift and sleep characteristics. Although some questions used to record police officers' work and sleep hours have been validated, <sup>19</sup> participants were required to report and recall this information for the prior month. A further limitation was the cross-sectional design. Future longitudinal studies using objective work and sleep measures are needed to examine the causality within our findings.

The results of this study among police contribute to a growing evidence-base in shift workers that indicates how the number of long shifts and night shifts, mandatory overtime and short sleep and sleepiness raise the risk of burnout. Importantly, we further demonstrate that irregular schedules present a significant risk for burnout. These findings support future research in police

that trials reducing the rate of long shifts and night shifts per week in vulnerable officers, and preventing irregular schedules where possible. Although shift work is unavoidable for industries such as law enforcement, optimising work hours in relation to control over overtime, consistency of schedules and reducing duration and frequency of night and long shifts should be explored as a way to lower the high level of burnout among police, leading to improved health for personnel, and enhanced performance for their department and communities whom they serve.

## **Contribution statement:**

SAP, APW, LKB, CSO, JPS, SQ, SWL, CAC and SMWR contributed to the design of the study. LKB, CSO, JPS, SQ, SWL, CAC and SMWR contributed to data collection. SAP, APW, LKB and SMWR conducted the data analysis. SAP, APW, LKB, CSO, JPS, SQ, SWL, CAC and SMWR contributed to the interpretation of the data, and the preparation and refinement of the final manuscript.

#### **Funding:**

This work was supported by grant 2004-FS-BX-0001 and grant 2010C-10002 from the National Institute of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, US Department of Justice; grants R01 OH008496 and R01 OH009403 from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; and grants from the ResMed Foundation. The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication. LKB, CAC, SWL, CSO and SQ were supported in part by R01OH010300.

#### **Data sharing statement:**

No additional data available.

## **Ethics approval:**

This study was approved by the Ethics Committees of Partners HealthCare and Monash University.

## **Competing interests statement:**

SAP, APW, JPS, SQ and CSO report no conflicts of interest. SMWR reports that he has served as a consultant through his institution to Philips Respironics, EdanSafe, National Transport Commission, Vanda Pharmaceuticals, Rail, Bus and Train Union, Tontine Group, Australian Workers' Union, Transport Accident Commission, Meda Consumer Healthcare, New South Wales Department of Education and Communities, and has through his institution received grants from Philips Respironics and Vanda Pharmaceuticals and reimbursements for conference travel expenses from Vanda Pharmaceuticals. SMWR currently serves as a consult to, and is a Program Leader for, the Cooperative Research Centre for Alertness, Safety and Productivity. SMWR institution has received equipment donations or other support from Compumedics, Philips Lighting, Optalert and Tyco Healthcare. SMWR is a former President of the Australasian Sleep Association and is a Director of the Sleep Health Foundation. SMWR has also served as an expert witness and/or consultant to shift work organizations. LKB reports research support from Cephalon, NFL charities, Sysco and San Francisco Bar Pilots. LKB has received consulting/lecture fees or served as a board member for Alertness Solution, Ceridian, Davis Joint Unified School Board, San Jose State University Foundation, Pugot Sound Pilots, Sygma and

Torvec. SWL has no conflicts of interests directly related to the research or results reported in this paper. SWL holds a process patent for 'Systems and methods for determining and/or controlling sleep quality', which is assigned to the Brigham and Women's Hospital per Hospital policy. SWL has also served as a paid expert for legal proceedings related to light, sleep and health. SWL is a Program Leader for the CRC for Alertness, Safety and Productivity, Australia. CAC reports grants from Cephalon Inc., grants from Mary Ann & Stanley Snider via Combined Jewish Philanthropies, grants from National Football League Charities, grants from Optum, grants from Philips Respironics, Inc., grants from ResMed Foundation, grants from San Francisco Bar Pilots, grants from Schneider Inc., grants from Sysco, grants from Cephalon, Inc. grants from Jazz Pharmaceuticals, grants from Takeda Pharmaceuticals, grants from Teva Pharmaceuticals Industries, Ltd., grants from Sanofi-Aventis, Inc., grants from Sepracor, Inc. grants from Wake Up Narcolepsy, personal fees from Bose Corporation, personal fees from Boston Celtics, personal fees from Boston Red Sox, personal fees from Columbia River Bar Pilots, personal fees from Institute of Digital Media and Child Development, personal fees from Klarman Family Foundation, personal fees from Samsung Electronics, personal fees from Quest Diagnostics, Inc. personal fees from Vanda Pharmaceuticals, personal fees from American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AADSM), personal fees from CurtCo Media Labs LLC, personal fees from Global Council on Brain Health/AARP, personal fees from Hawaii Sleep Health and Wellness Foundation, personal fees from Harvard School of Public Health (HSPH), personal fees from Maryland Sleep Society, personal fees from National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK), personal fees from National Sleep Foundation (NSF), personal fees from New England College of Optometry, personal fees from University of Michigan, personal fees from University of Washington, personal fees from Zurich Insurance Company,

Ltd, personal fees from Purdue Pharma, LP, personal fees from McGraw Hill, personal fees from Houghton Mifflin Harcourt/Penguin, personal fees from Koninklijke Philips Electronics, N.V., personal fees from Cephalon, Inc, personal fees from State of Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners, personal fees from Ganesco Inc., holds an equity interest in Vanda Pharmaceuticals, outside the submitted work. In addition, CAC holds a number of process patents in the field of sleep/circadian rhythms (e.g., photic resetting of the human circadian pacemaker).

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Vila B. Impact of long work hours on police officers and the communities they serve. *Am J Ind Med* 2006;49:972-80. doi: 10.1002/ajim.20333
- 3. Rajaratnam SM, Barger LK, Lockley SW, et al. Sleep disorders, health, and safety in police officers. *JAMA* 2011;306:2567-78.
- 4. Maslach CM, Jackson SE, Leiter MP. Maslach Burnout Inventory: Manual. 3rd Edition. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press 1996.
- 5. McGreedy K. Selection practices and the police role. *Police Chief* 1974;41:41-3.
- 6. Crowe RP, Bower JK, Cash RE, et al. Association of Burnout with Workforce-Reducing Factors among EMS Professionals. *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2018;22:229-36. doi: 10.1080/10903127.2017.1356411

- 7. Summerfield D. Metropolitan Police blues: protracted sickness absence, ill health retirement, and the occupational psychiatrist. *BMJ* 2011;342:d2127. doi: 10.1136/bmj.d2127
- 8. Amendola K, Weisburd D, Hamilton E, et al. The Impact of Shift Length in Policing on Performance, Health, Quality of Life, Sleep, Fatigue, and Extra-Duty Employment: National Institute of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, US Department of Justice, 2011.
- 9. Barger L, Lockley S, Rajaratnam S, et al. Neurobehavioral, health, and safety consequences associated with shift work in safety-sensitive professions. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep* 2009;9:155-64. doi: 10.1007/s11910-009-0024-7
- 10. Cheng W-J, Cheng Y. Night shift and rotating shift in association with sleep problems, burnout and minor mental disorder in male and female employees. *Occup Environ Med* 2017;74:483-88. doi: 10.1136/oemed-2016-103898
- 11. Yoo H, Franke WD. Sleep habits, mental health, and the metabolic syndrome in law enforcement officers. *J Occup Environ Med* 2013;55:99-103. doi: 10.1097/JOM.0b013e31826e294c
- 12. Embriaco N, Azoulay E, Barrau K, et al. High level of burnout in intensivists: prevalence and associated factors. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2007;175:686-92. doi: 10.1164/rccm.200608-1184OC
- 13. Dall'Ora C, Griffiths P, Ball J, et al. Association of 12 h shifts and nurses' job satisfaction, burnout and intention to leave: findings from a cross-sectional study of 12 European countries. *BMJ Open* 2015;5:e008331. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008331
- 14. Stimpfel AW, Sloane DM, Aiken LH. The longer the shifts for hospital nurses, the higher the levels of burnout and patient dissatisfaction. *Health Aff* 2012;31:2501-9. doi: 10.1377/hlthaff.2011.1377

- 15. Boudreaux E, Mandry C, Brantley PJ. Emergency medical technician schedule modification: impact and implications during short- and long-term follow-up. *Acad Emerg Med* 1998;5:128-33.
- 16. Fahrenkopf AM, Sectish TC, Barger LK, et al. Rates of medication errors among depressed and burnt out residents: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2008;336:488-91. doi: 10.1136/bmj.39469.763218.BE
- 17. Martinussen M, Richardsen AM, Burke RJ. Job demands, job resources, and burnout among police officers. *J Crim Justice* 2007;35:239-49. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrimjus.2007.03.001
- 18. Metlaine A, Sauvet F, Gomez-Merino D, et al. Association between insomnia symptoms, job strain and burnout syndrome: a cross-sectional survey of 1300 financial workers. *BMJ Open* 2017;7:e012816. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012816
- 19. Lockley SW, Cronin JW, Evans EE, et al. Effect of reducing interns' weekly work hours on sleep and attentional failures. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:1829-37. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa041404
- 20. Johns MW. Sensitivity and specificity of the multiple sleep latency test (MSLT), the maintenance of wakefulness test and the Epworth sleepiness scale: failure of the MSLT as a gold standard. *J Sleep Res* 2000;9:5-11.
- 21. De la Fuente Solana EI, Aguayo Extremera R, Vargas Pecino C, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of burnout syndrome among Spanish police officers. *Psicothema* 2013;25:488-93. doi: 10.7334/psicothema2013.81
- 22. Kou K-Y, Wu H-H. Assessing Emotional Exhaustion of Police Officers in Taiwan by Multivariate Analysis of Variance. *TEM Journal* 2016;5:347-52.

- 23. Wolf MR, Rosenstock JB. Inadequate Sleep and Exercise Associated with Burnout and Depression Among Medical Students. *Acad Psychiatry* 2017;41:174-79. doi: 10.1007/s40596-016-0526-y
- 24. Houdmont J, Randall R. Working hours and common mental disorders in English police officers. *Occup Med* 2016;66:713-18. doi: 10.1093/occmed/kqw166
- 25. Bureau of Labor Statistics. Current Population Survey (CPS): annual averages—household data, 2007.
- 26. Flegal KM, Kruszon-Moran D, Carroll MD, et al. Trends in Obesity Among Adults in the United States, 2005 to 2014. *JAMA* 2016;315:2284-91. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.6458
- 27. Shanafelt TD, Boone S, Tan L, et al. Burnout and satisfaction with work-life balance among US physicians relative to the general US population. *Arch Intern Med* 2012;172:1377-85. doi: 10.1001/archinternmed.2012.3199
- 28. Beckers DG, van der Linden D, Smulders PG, et al. Voluntary or involuntary? Control over overtime and rewards for overtime in relation to fatigue and work satisfaction. *Work Stress* 2008;22:33-50.
- 29. Wisetborisut A, Angkurawaranon C, Jiraporncharoen W, et al. Shift work and burnout among health care workers. *Occup Med* 2014;64:279-86. doi: 10.1093/occmed/kqu009
- 30. Bedrosian TA, Nelson RJ. Timing of light exposure affects mood and brain circuits. *Transl Psychiatry* 2017;7:e1017. doi: 10.1038/tp.2016.262
- 31. Grunfeld E, Whelan TJ, Zitzelsberger L, et al. Cancer care workers in Ontario: prevalence of burnout, job stress and job satisfaction. *CMAJ* 2000;163:166-69.
- 32. Schaufeli W, Enzmann D. The burnout companion to study and practice: A critical analysis.

  Philadelphia, PA. CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group 1998.

- 33. Ma CC, Andrew ME, Fekedulegn D, et al. Shift Work and Occupational Stress in Police Officers. *Saf Health Work* 2015;6:25-29. doi: 10.1016/j.shaw.2014.10.001
- 34. Arendt J. Shift work: coping with the biological clock. *Occup Med* 2010;60:10-20. doi: 10.1093/occmed/kqp162
- 35. Jamal M. Burnout, stress and health of employees on non-standard work schedules: a study of Canadian workers. *Stress Health* 2004;20:113-19. doi: 10.1002/smi.1012
- 36. Lemola S, Ledermann T, Friedman EM. Variability of sleep duration is related to subjective sleep quality and subjective well-being: an actigraphy study. *PloS one* 2013;8:e71292.
- 37. Sallinen M, Härmä M, Mutanen P, et al. Sleep–wake rhythm in an irregular shift system. *J Sleep Res* 2003;12:103-12.
- 38. Shamali M, Shahriari M, Babaii A, et al. Comparative Study of Job Burnout Among Critical Care Nurses With Fixed and Rotating Shift Schedules. *Nurs Midwifery Stud* 2015;4:e27766. doi: 10.17795/nmsjournal27766
- 39. Shahriari M, Shamali M, Yazdannik A. The relationship between fixed and rotating shifts with job burnout in nurses working in critical care areas. *Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res* 2014;19:360-65.
- 40. Folkard S. Do permanent night workers show circadian adjustment? A review based on the endogenous melatonin rhythm. *Chronobiol Int* 2008;25:215-24.

## **Supplement**

**Table S1**. High burnout outcomes associated with shift work characteristics in police (n=3140)

Shift made about the said as at	Desitive regult in police with humant No /No total (0/)		Unadjusted		Adjusted¶	
Shift work characteristic, $n^{\dagger}$	Positive result in police with b	Positive result in police with burnout, No./No. total (%)		P Value	OR (95% CI)	P Value
	High EE	Low-moderate EE				
Total hours of overtime			1.00 (1.00 - 1.01)	0.275	1.00 (1.00 - 1.01)	0.181
n	27	728				
	High DP	Low-moderate DP				
Total hours of overtime			1.00 (1.00 - 1.01)	0.104	1.00 (1.00 - 1.01)	0.182
_ <i>n</i>	27	788				
	Low PA	Moderate-high PA				
Total hours of overtime			1.00 (1.00 - 1.01)	0.024	1.00 (1.00 - 1.01)	0.031
n	27	717				
	Overall burnout	No overall burnout				
Total hours of overtime			1.00 (1.00 - 1.01)	0.169	1.00 (1.00 - 1.01)	0.163
_ <i>n</i>	28	345				

EE, emotional exhaustion; DP, depersonalisation; PA, personal accomplishment; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; †The *n* presented represents the sample for each unadjusted analysis. The *n* for the adjusted analyses are in Supplement 1, Table S2; ‡Some participants did not provide sufficient information from which to determine their overtime. The *n* presented are from those who answered sufficiently; ¶Adjusted for age, BMI, gender, marital status, hypertension, cigarette use, caffeine use, alcohol, second job, primary activity, years as police officer, exercise frequency, and seniority. Variables included in each model are in Supplement 1, Table S2.

**Table S2.** Variables included in the models used to examine associations between shift characteristics shift schedules sleep and burnout outcomes

Shift work and sleep characteristics, n <sup>‡</sup>	Emotional Exhaustion	Depersonalisation	Personal Accomplishment	Burnout (High EE+DP)
Night shift frequency	Gender, cigarette use, seniority	Age, cigarette use, second job, primary activity, years in law enforcement, seniority	Age, BMI <sup>†</sup> , cigarette use, caffeine use, exercise	Age, cigarette use, seniority
n	2882	2440	2837	3015
Long work shifts	Gender, cigarette use, exercise, seniority	Age, cigarette use, caffeine use, primary activity, years in law enforcement, seniority	Age, caffeine use, exercise	Gender, cigarette use, seniority
n	2220	2250	2252	2328
Long work weeks	Gender, cigarette use, seniority	Age, cigarette use, second job, primary activity, seniority	Age, BMI <sup>†</sup> , cigarette use, exercise	Age, cigarette use, seniority
n	2862	2479	2873	2994
Shift rotation	Gender, cigarette use, seniority	Age, cigarette use, second job, primary activity, years in law enforcement, seniority	Age, BMI <sup>†</sup> , cigarette use, caffeine use, exercise	Age, cigarette use, years in law enforcement, seniority
n	2894	2451	2889	2992
Mandatory OT hours	Gender, cigarette use, seniority	Age, cigarette use, second job, primary activity, years in law enforcement, seniority	Age, BMI <sup>†</sup> , cigarette use, primary activity, exercise	Age, cigarette use, seniority
n	2512	2353	2515	2622
Voluntary OT hours	Gender, cigarette use, seniority	Age, cigarette use, primary activity, seniority	Age, BMI <sup>†</sup> , cigarette use, primary activity, seniority	Age, cigarette use, seniority
n	2531	2587	2508	2639
Total OT hours	Gender, cigarette use, seniority	Age, cigarette use, primary activity, years in law enforcement, seniority	Age, cigarette use, exercise	Age, cigarette use, seniority
n	2675	2713	2690	2791
Sleepiness	Gender, cigarette use, exercise, seniority	Age, cigarette use, second job, primary activity, seniority	Age, BMI <sup>†</sup> , cigarette use, exercise	Age, cigarette use, seniority
n	2772	2375	2800	2921
Sleep duration	Gender, cigarette use, seniority	Age, cigarette use, caffeine use, primary activity, exercise, seniority	Age, BMI <sup>†</sup> , cigarette use, primary activity, exercise	Age, primary activity, seniority
n	2554	2595	2560	2663

n 2554 2595 2560 2663

EE, emotional exhaustion; DP, depersonalization; OT, overtime; BMI, body mass index; †BMI was a continuous variable; ‡The *n* presented represents the sample for the adjusted analyses.

**Table S3.** High burnout outcomes associated with sleep and sleepiness in police regularly working night shifts (1-4 nights per week or night shifts nearly every day; n=1199)

5 - 6	Positive result in police with high		ooliga with high	Unadjusted		Adjusted¶	
7	Sleep characteristic, $n^{\dagger}$	burnout, No./No. total (%)		OR (95% CI)	P	OR (95% CI)	P
8 -					Value		Value
9		High EE	Low-moderate EE	_			
10	Sleep duration						
11	≥6 hours per night	140/273 (51.3)	451/775 (58.2)				
12	<6 hours per night	133/273 (48.7)	324/775 (41.8)	1.32 (1.00 - 1.74)	0.048	1.37 (1.03 - 1.82)	0.029
13	n	l	048				
14	Sleepiness						
15	<11 ESS	159/278 (57.2)	601/822 (73.1)				
16	≥11 ESS	119/278 (42.8)	221/822 (26.9)	2.04 (1.53 - 2.70)	< 0.001	2.02 (1.51 - 2.70)	< 0.001
17-	n		100				
18		High DP	Low-moderate DP	-			
19	Steep duration	202/515 (56.0)	217/557 (56.0)				
20	≥6 hours per night	293/515 (56.9)	317/557 (56.9)	1.00 (0.70 1.20)	0.005	1.02 (0.70 1.22)	0.067
21	<6 hours per night	222/515 (43.1)	240/557 (43.1)	1.00 (0.79 - 1.28)	0.995	1.02 (0.79 - 1.32)	0.867
	n Cl	n					
23	Sleepiness	207/522 ((1.4)	146/500 (75.6)				
24	<11 ESS	327/533 (61.4)	446/590 (75.6)	1.05 (1.51. 2.52)	رم مرم ا	2.06 (1.50, 2.60)	c0 001
25	≥11 ESS	206/533 (38.6)	144/590 (24.4) 123	1.95 (1.51 - 2.52)	< 0.001	2.06 (1.58 - 2.68)	< 0.001
26	n	Low PA					
	Sleep duration	LOW PA	Moderate-high PA	-			
28	≥6 hours per night	161/274 (58.8)	444/786 (56.5)				
29	6 hours per night	113/274 (41.2)	342/786 (43.5)	0.91 (0.69 - 1.20)	0.513	0.90 (0.67 - 1.19)	0.447
30	n		060	0.91 (0.09 - 1.20)	0.313	0.90 (0.07 - 1.19)	0.447
	Sleepiness	1	000				
32	<11 ESS	210/281 (74.7)	551/826 (66.7)				
33	≥11 ESS	71/281 (25.3)	275/826 (33.3)	.068 (0.50 - 0.92)	0.012	0.70 (0.51 - 0.95)	0.024
34		` /	107	.000 (0.50 0.52)	0.012	0.70 (0.31 0.73)	0.024
35		Overall burnout	No overall burnout				
36	Sleep duration		1 to o voicin outflour	- (			
37	≥6 hours per night	111/207 (53.6)	511/890 (57.4)				
38		96/207 (46.4)	379/890 (42.6)	1.17 (0.86 - 1.58)	0.322	1.25 (0.92 - 1.71)	0.154
39	1 &	` ,	097	(0.00 1.00)	v.z <b></b>	(0.22 1.71)	J.10 .
	Sleepiness	-					
41	<11 ESS	121/214 (56.5)	667/934 (71.4)				
42		93/214 (43.5)	267/934 (28.6)	1.92 (1.42 - 2.61)	< 0.001	2.04 (1.49 - 2.79)	< 0.001
43	n		148	()		( )	

EE, emotional exhaustion; DP, depersonalisation; PA, personal accomplishment; ESS, Epworth Sleepiness Scale; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; †The *n* presented represents the sample for each unadjusted analysis. The *n* for the adjusted analyses are in Supplement 1, Table S7; ‡Some participants did not provide sufficient information from which to determine their sleep duration and sleepiness. The *n* presented are from those who answered sufficiently; ¶Adjusted for age, BMI, gender, marital status, hypertension, cigarette use, caffeine use, alcohol use, second job, primary activity, years as police officer, exercise frequency, and seniority. Variables included in each model are in Supplement 1, Table S7.

**Table S4.** High burnout outcomes associated with sleep and sleepiness in police regularly working long work shifts (>2 long work shifts per week; n=978)

6		Positive result in	nolise with high	Unadjusted		Adjusted¶		
7 S	lleep characteristic, n <sup>†</sup>	burnout, No./No.		OR (95% CI)	P	OR (95% CI)	P Value <0.001	
8					Value		<u>Value</u>	
9	ar ar e	High EE	Low-moderate EE	_				
11 12	leep duration ≥6 hours per night <6 hours per night	105/228 (46.1) 123/228 (53.9) 845	398/617 (64.5) 219/617 (35.5)	2.13 (1.56 - 2.90)	<0.001	2.02 (1.46 - 2.78)	<0.001	
14 S 15 16 17 n	sieepiness <11 ESS ≥11 ESS	139/234 (59.4) 95/234 (40.6) 898	502/664 (75.6) 162/664 (24.4)	2.12 (1.55 - 2.90)	< 0.001	1.99 (1.43 - 2.77)	<0.001	
10		High DP	Low-moderate DP	_				
19 <sup>S</sup> 20 21 "	leep duration  ≥6 hours per night  <6 hours per night	198/371 (53.4) 169/371 (46.6) 857	317/486 (65.2) 173/487 (34.8)	1.64 (1.24 - 2.16)	<0.001	1.46 (1.09 - 1.97)	0.012	
22 S 23 24 25 n	Sleepiness <11 ESS >11 ESS	244/384 (63.5) 140/384 (36.5) 907	403/523 (77.1) 120/523 (22.9)	1.93 (1.44 - 2.58)	<0.001	1.97 (1.46 - 2.66)	<0.001	
26		Low PA	Moderate-high PA					
20 29 30 <sub>n</sub>		159/252 (63.1) 93/252 (36.9) 833	340/581 (58.5) 241/581 (41.5)	0.83 (0.61 - 1.12)	0.216	0.88 (0.65 - 1.20)	0.428	
32	Sleepiness	107/250 (74.0)	120/620 (60.7)					
33 34 <i>n</i>	<11 ESS ≥11 ESS	187/250 (74.8) 63/250 (25.2) 880	439/630 (69.7) 191/630 (30.3)	0.77 (0.56 - 1.08)	0.131	0.79 (0.57 - 1.12)	0.184	
35		Overall burnout	No overall burnout					
	leep duration							
37 38 39 <i>n</i>		77/169 (45.6) 92/169 (54.5) 875	444/706 (62.9) 262/706 (37.1)	2.03 (1.44 - 2.84)	<0.001	1.92 (1.35 - 2.72)	< 0.001	
40 S	Sleepiness							
41 42 43 <i>n</i>	<11 ESS ≥11 ESS	101/175 (57.7) 74/175 (42.3) 929	560/754 (74.3) 194/754 (25.7)	2.12 (1.50 - 2.98)	< 0.001	2.03 (1.43 - 2.89)	< 0.001	
			5 1 11 1		1			

EE, emotional exhaustion; DP, depersonalisation; PA, personal accomplishment; ESS, Epworth Sleepiness Scale; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; †The *n* presented represents the sample for each unadjusted analysis. The *n* for the adjusted analyses are in Supplement 1, Table S7; ‡Some participants did not provide sufficient information from which to determine their sleep duration and sleepiness. The *n* presented are from those who answered sufficiently; ¶Adjusted for age, BMI, gender, marital status, hypertension, cigarette use, caffeine use, alcohol use, second job, primary activity, years as police officers, exercise frequency, and seniority. Variables included in each model are in Supplement 1, Table S7.

**Table S5.** High burnout outcomes associated with working at least 1 long shift in the past 4 weeks (n=3140)

5 - 6	Worked >0 Long Shifts	Positive result in police with high burnout,		Unadjusted	l	Adjusted	Ī
7	in Past 4 Weeks, n <sup>†</sup>			OR (95% CI)	P Value	OR (95% CI)	P Value
8 - 9		High EE	Low-moderate EE				
10	No	69/575 (12.0)	296/1719 (17.2)				
11	Yes	506/575 (88.0)	1423/1719 (82.8)	1.53 (1.52 - 2.02)	0.003	1.54 (1.51 - 2.06)	0.004
12	n	2	2294				
13		High DP	Low-moderate DP				
14	No	151/1027 (14.7)	226/1316 (17.2)				
15	Yes	876/1027 (85.3)	1090/1316 (82.8)	1.20 (0.96 - 1.51)	0.107	1.12 (0.88 - 1.41)	0.365
16	n		2343				
17		Low PA	Moderate-high PA				
18	No	76/591 (12.9)	292/1685 (17.3)				
19	Yes	515/591 (87.1)	1393/1685 (82.7)	1.42 (1.08 - 1.86)	0.011	1.35 (1.03 - 1.78)	0.033
20	n		2276				
21- 22		Overall burnout	No overall burnout				
22	No	51/426 (12.0)	338/1967 (17.2)				
23 24	Yes	375/426 (88.0)	1629/1967 (82.8)	1.53 (1.11 - 2.09)	0.009	1.43 (1.04 - 1.98)	0.029
25		2	2393				

EE, emotional exhaustion; DP, depersonalisation; PA, personal accomplishment; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; †The *n* presented represents the sample for each unadjusted analysis. The *n* for the adjusted analyses are in Supplement 1, Table S7; ‡Some participants did not provide sufficient information from which to determine their long shifts. The *n* presented are from those who answered sufficiently; ¶Adjusted for age, BMI, gender, marital status, hypertension, cigarette use, caffeine use, alcohol use, second job, primary activity, years as police officers, exercise frequency, and seniority. Variables included in each model are in Supplement 1, Table S7.

**Table S6.** Variables included in models used to examine associations between sleep and sleepiness, shift schedules and burnout outcomes in police regularly working night shifts, extended shifts or fixed shift schedules

	TIXEU SIIII SCHEU		T	T
Shift work and	Emotional	Depersonalisation	Personal	Burnout (High
sleep	Exhaustion		Accomplishment	EE+DP)
characteristics,				
n <sup>‡</sup>				
(Night shift	Seniority, gender	Age, marital status,	Age, †BMI, exercise	Primary activity,
sample)		years in law		seniority
Sleep duration		enforcement, seniority		-
n	1040	1051	1050	1087
(Night shift	Gender, cigarette	Age, years in law	Age, cigarette use,	Age, primary
sample)	use, seniority	enforcement	exercise	activity, seniority
Sleepiness	_			
n	1092	1109	1096	1136
(Extended shift	Gender, seniority	Age, blood pressure,	Age, cigarette use,	Age, seniority
sample)		primary activity,	exercise	
Sleep duration		seniority		
n	813	782	827	842
(Extended shift	Gender, seniority	Age, exercise	Age, cigarette use,	Seniority
sample)			exercise	
Sleepiness				
n	856	904	876	887
>0 Long shifts in	Gender, Cigarette	Age, cigarette use,	Age, caffeine use,	Cigarette use,
past 4 weeks	use, seniority	caffeine use, primary	exercise	seniority
_		activity, years in law		
		enforcement, seniority		
n	2230	2250	2252	2330

EE, emotional exhaustion; DP, depersonalization; OT, overtime; BMI, body mass index;  $^{\dagger}$ BMI was a continuous variable;  $^{\ddagger}$ The n presented represents the sample for the adjusted analyses.

# STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cross-sectional studies*

	Item No	Recommendation	Reported on Page No.
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Page 2
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what	Page 2
		was done and what was found	
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Pages 4-5
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Page 5
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Pages 5-6 with additional details presented in Ref#3
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Pages 5-6 with additional details presented in Ref#
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	Pages 5-6 with additional details presented in Ref#
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	Pages 6-7
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Pages 6-7
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Pages 5-6
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Pages 5-6
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	Pages 6-7
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	Pages 7-8
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	Page 7
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	Pages 9-10, reported in Table 1; Pages 13-15, reported in Table 2; Page 16, reported in Table 3; Page 18, reported
		(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling	in Table 4.

		strategy	
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	N/A
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	Pages 5-6
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Pages 5-6
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Not included
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	Pages 8-10, Reported in Table
	•	(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Pages 9-10, Reported in Table
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	Pages 8-10, Reported in Table
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	Pages 11-18, and reported in tables 2-4
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	Pages 11-18, and reported in tables 2-4
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	N/A
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	Supplement 1, Pages 1-6
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Page 19
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	Page 23
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	Pages 18-24
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Pages 18-24
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	Page 24

<sup>\*</sup>Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

**Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

# **BMJ** Open

# The associations between shift work characteristics, shift work schedules, sleep and burnout in North American police officers: a cross-sectional study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-030302.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	06-Aug-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Peterson, Scott; Turner Institute for Brain and Mental Health, School of Psychological Sciences, Monash University Wolkow, Alexander; Turner Institute for Brain and Mental Health, School of Psychological Sciences, Monash University; Paramedic Health and Wellbeing Research Unit, Monash University Lockley, Steven; Turner Institute for Brain and Mental Health, School of Psychological Sciences, Monash University; Division of Sleep and Circadian Disorders, Departments of Medicine and Neurology, Brigham and Women's Hospital O'Brien, Conor; Brigham and Women's Hospital Department of Medicine, Division of Sleep and Circadian Disorders Qadri, Salim; Brigham and Women's Hospital, Division of Sleep and Circadian Disorders, Departments of Medicine and Neurology Sullivan, Jason; Brigham and Women's Hospital, Division of Sleep and Circadian Disorders, Departments of Medicine and Neurology Czeisler, Charles; Brigham and Women's Hospital, Division of Sleep and Circadian Disorders; Harvard Medical School, Division of Sleep Medicine Rajaratnam, Shantha M. W.; Turner Institute for Brain and Mental Health, School of Psychological Sciences, Monash University; Harvard Medical School, Division of Sleep Medicine Barger, Laura; Brigham and Women's Hospital, Division of Sleep Medicine Barger, Laura; Brigham and Women's Hospital, Division of Sleep Medicine
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Occupational and environmental medicine
Secondary Subject Heading:	Mental health
Keywords:	MENTAL HEALTH, SLEEP MEDICINE, OCCUPATIONAL & INDUSTRIAL MEDICINE



**Title:** The associations between shift work characteristics, shift work schedules, sleep and burnout in North American police officers: a cross-sectional study

**Authors:** Scott A. Peterson, BS<sup>1,†</sup>, Alexander P. Wolkow, PhD<sup>1, 2,†</sup>, Steven W. Lockley, PhD<sup>1, 3, 4</sup>, Conor S. O'Brien, BA<sup>3</sup>, Salim Qadri, BS<sup>3</sup>, Jason P. Sullivan, BS<sup>3</sup>, Charles A. Czeisler, PhD, MD, FRCP<sup>3, 4</sup>, Shantha M. W. Rajaratnam, PhD<sup>1, 3, 4</sup>, Laura K. Barger, PhD<sup>3, 4, 4</sup>

### **Author Affiliations:**

<sup>1</sup>Turner Institute for Brain and Mental Health, School of Psychological Sciences, Monash University, Clayton, VIC, Australia

<sup>2</sup>Paramedic Health and Wellbeing Research Unit, Monash University, Frankston, VIC,

Australia

<sup>3</sup>Division of Sleep and Circadian Disorders, Departments of Medicine and Neurology,

Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>4</sup>Division of Sleep Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

†Scott A. Peterson and Alexander P. Wolkow contributed equally to this paper

# \*Corresponding Author:

Laura K. Barger, PhD

Division of Sleep and Circadian Disorders, Departments of Medicine and Neurology,

Brigham and Women's Hospital, 221 Longwood Avenue,

Boston MA 02115

Tel: (617) 732-4013; Fax: (617) 732-4015

Email: laura barger@hms.harvard.edu

Word count: 4,020



# **Abstract**

**Objectives:** To examine associations between shift work characteristics and schedules on burnout in police and whether sleep duration and sleepiness were associated with burnout. **Methods:** Police officers (n=3140) completed the Maslach Burnout Inventory (emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation, personal accomplishment) and self-reported shift schedules (irregular, rotating, fixed), shift characteristics (night, duration, frequency, work hours), sleep duration and sleepiness.

Results: Irregular schedules, long shifts (≥11 hours), mandatory overtime, short sleep and sleepiness were associated with increased risk of overall burnout in police. Police working a greater frequency of long shifts were more likely to have emotional exhaustion (adjusted odds ratio 1.91, 95% CI 1.35-2.72) than those not working long shifts. Night shifts were associated with depersonalisation (1.32, 1.05-1.66) compared to not working nights. Police working mandatory overtime had increased risk of emotional exhaustion (1.37, 1.14-1.65) than those who did not. Compared to fixed schedules, irregular schedules were associated with emotional exhaustion and depersonalisation (1.91, 1.44-2.54 and 1.39, 1.02-1.89, respectively). Police sleeping <6 hours were more likely to have emotional exhaustion (1.60, 1.33-1.93) than those sleeping longer, and excessive sleepiness was associated with emotional exhaustion (1.81, 1.50-2.18).

Conclusions: Irregular schedules and increased night shifts, sleep disturbances and work hours were related to higher burnout risk in police. Future research should evaluate work schedules in law enforcement that optimise shift duration and frequency, and increase consistency in scheduling and control over work hours to limit burnout in police.

Key words: mental health, sleep, burnout, shift work, police

# Strengths and limitations of this study

- The study examined burnout, shift work and sleep in a large sample of police officers (n=3140) from across North America.
- Shift work characteristics and schedules were closely examined in police, providing
  information on night shifts, long work shifts and long work weeks, overtime
  (voluntary and mandatory) and different work schedules (irregular, rotating and fixed)
- Sleep and shift information were based on self-reports, and thus may be subject to response bias.
- Due to the cross-sectional design of the study, associations between shift work, sleep and burnout can be inferred but not causality.



### INTRODUCTION

A major source of occupational stress for police officers is shift work, which can involve day, night and long duration shifts and long work weeks, <sup>12</sup> as well as rotating, fixed and irregular shift schedules.<sup>3</sup> Chronic exposure to occupational stressors can lead to burnout, which is a psychological syndrome comprising three dimensions: Emotional exhaustion (EE), depersonalisation (DP), and a perceived lack of personal accomplishment (PA).<sup>4</sup> Burnout can have a negative influence on the individual worker, the people whom they serve, and their organisation, with consequences including reduced productivity and decision making,<sup>5</sup> increased absenteeism and risk of leaving the job.<sup>6</sup> Indeed, retirements due to psychological ill health account for 46% of medical retirements among police in the United Kingdom.<sup>7</sup> In North American police, sleep disorders have been associated with increased risk of mental health outcomes, including depression and anxiety, and EE and DP burnout dimensions.<sup>3</sup> In addition to sleep, other work-related factors may also have a negative influence on the health of personnel engaged in shift work, however, few studies have examined how specific characteristics of shift work relate to burnout in police.

Police have traditionally worked 8- to 10-hour shifts in the United States, although increasingly, departments are implementing compressed weekly schedules which involve officers working 11-, 12- and 16-hour shifts. 138 Long shifts are related to increased sleepiness and may reduce the opportunity for sleep, potentially impairing police officers' ability to recover from work demands. Short sleep has been found to associate with burnout in shift working occupations, including law enforcement, although few studies have examined the relationship between sleepiness and burnout specifically in police. Recovery between shifts may be further restricted by night work, which can cause sleep loss and circadian misalignment, and is shown in other healthcare occupations to confer a greater risk of burnout when compared to day shifts. A high degree of burnout has also been associated

with working more night shifts among physicians, <sup>12</sup> and longer duration shifts and work weeks in nurses <sup>13</sup> <sup>14</sup> and emergency medical technicians (EMTs). <sup>15</sup> Although hours of work were not significantly associated with burnout in resident physicians, burnout was related to increased difficulty concentrating on work. <sup>16</sup> In police, officers who were continuously engaged in shift work were reported to have lower PA, but this study did not describe the relationship between regular shift work and EE or DP, nor did it distinguish between the different *shift types* in policing, <sup>17</sup> such as night and long duration shifts. Furthermore, police also work a variety of different schedules including irregular, rotating and fixed shifts, <sup>9</sup> and often do overtime which may be associated with burnout <sup>18</sup> but to date, the potential relationship between work schedules and shift characteristics on burnout has not been systematically examined in police.

In this cross-sectional study, we examined whether characteristics of shifts (night, shift duration, frequency, weekly work and overtime hours) as well as shift schedules (irregular, rotating, fixed) were associated with burnout in police. Given that short sleep and sleepiness are also common stressors among police and potential consequences of shift work,<sup>3</sup> a secondary aim was to investigate associations between sleep duration and sleepiness on burnout risk in police.

#### **METHODS**

# **Participants**

Volunteers were invited to participate through meetings at police departments and advertisements in police magazines, newsletters and on police-focused websites. In total, 6022 sworn North American police officers expressed interest in the study. Of those, 4957 consented to participate in a survey, either online or, in a few departments, on-site (via

pencil/paper). Participant recruitment and survey administration details have been described previously.<sup>3</sup> The survey assessed demographics and health information and participants reported work and sleep hours in the past 4 weeks and completed the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI). Of the 4957 officers who participated, 3140 completed at least one burnout dimension subscale in the MBI and were eligible for analyses. All participants provided informed consent and were eligible for a prize drawing valued up to \$1000 USD.

### Measures

Burnout was assessed using the MBI–Human Services Survey,<sup>4</sup> which consists of 22 items that assess the three burnout dimensions: EE, DP, and PA. Participants rated the frequency with which they experienced each item on a 7-point Likert scale (0=never; 6=everyday). EE and DP were defined as dichotomous variables, in which a high (versus low-moderate) risk score for these dimensions were determined (high  $EE \ge 27$  points; high  $DP \ge 13$  points). PA was also a dichotomous variable, but it was scored in the opposite direction to EE and DP, in which low (versus high-moderate) scores indicated low PA ( $\le 31$  points). Participants were considered to have high *overall burnout* if they had both high EE and DP, with or without low PA.<sup>19</sup>

Work information was determined using items from both the baseline and a concurrent monthly report. Participants were asked how frequently they worked night shifts (shifts involving  $\geq 6$  hours on-duty between 10PM-8AM), with responses from "never or nearly never", "1-4 times per month", "1-4 times per week", and "nearly every day". To determine the frequency of long work shifts, participants reported the shift length they worked each week for the previous 4 weeks (i.e., 8-10, 11-15, 16-19, 20-23 or  $\geq 24$  hours). Based on previous research in human service occupations,  $^{14}$  long work shifts were classified as  $\geq 11$ 

hours, from which officers were grouped into those working 0, >0 to  $<2, \ge 2$  to <4, and  $\ge 4$  long shifts per week.

Participants' usual shift schedules were categorized as 'fixed', 'irregular', 'rotating' or 'other' schedules. Participants reporting that they "do not have a variable work schedule" were classified as having 'fixed' schedules. Schedules were categorized as 'rotating' if officers reported working shifts that rotated on a day-to-evening, day-to-night or evening-tonight basis. Irregular schedules were defined as shifts that rotated, but did not follow a consistent pattern, and 'other' comprised schedules that included non-day-to-day rotations (e.g., weekly/monthly rotations). Total work hours across the month were recorded using a validated questionnaire, <sup>20</sup> with those recording ≥48 hours per week considered to be working long work weeks. This categorisation was based on prior benchmarking literature in the US which defines long work weeks as 48 or more hours per week,<sup>21</sup> and research which has shown this cut-off to be associated with burnout.<sup>22</sup> Participants also recorded if they completed mandatory and/or voluntary overtime over the last 4 weeks, and the total number of hours they slept each week for the previous 4 weeks. For sleep duration, the combined total of the 4 weeks was used to calculate the average sleep duration per 24 hours, and short sleep was defined as <6 hours sleep per 24 hours. Daytime sleepiness was measured using the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS; range 0-24), and a score ≥11 indicated excessive sleepiness.<sup>23</sup>

#### Statistical analysis

Multiple logistic regression models were used for cross-sectional analysis of associations between shift work (shift work characteristics and schedules), sleep duration, sleepiness and burnout (Overall burnout, EE, DP, PA). A multicollinearity assessment using variance

inflation factor, correlation coefficient and tolerance values showed no collinearity in the predictor variables (Supplement 1, Table S1 and Table S2). The models were adjusted for age, Body Mass Index (BMI), gender, marital status, hypertension, exercise (per week), cigarette smoking (yes/no), caffeine and alcohol consumption (yes/no during last week), primary police activity (patrol officer, supervisor/manager, detective), years as police officer, and seniority. These potentially confounding factors were selected based on prior studies.<sup>3 24</sup>-<sup>27</sup> Each confounder was tested individually with the burnout outcome, and we only included those that were significant (p<0.10) in initial models.<sup>28</sup> A backwards elimination method was then used to remove those that were not significant at the <0.05 level when all variables were included in a single model. Factors that remained were used in the first step of a hierarchical logistic regression model. The predictor variables were then added in the second step of the model that included the selected confounding factors. Model fit was assessed using the omnibus test and goodness-of-fit indices (-2 log likelihood). Unadjusted and adjusted odds ratio with 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) are reported. Our analysis included several multilevel predictors, such as night shift frequency and shift work schedules. For night shift frequency, we hypothesised a priori that a greater frequency of night shifts would be more strongly associated with burnout compared to lower frequencies. Therefore, we compared never/nearly never working night shifts to working night shifts nearly every day and 1-4 times per week to examine if high frequency compared to low frequency of night shifts were associated with worse burnout. Furthermore, we hypothesised a priori that more variable shift work would be related to high burnout, and thus compared fixed shifts to all other categories of shift work (i.e., *irregular*, *rotating* and *other*) to examine whether greater variation in schedules predicted higher burnout. Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS (IBM Corp, Version 24.0, Armonk, NY) and significance set at p < 0.05.

# Patient and public involvement

The current study was based on secondary data from a pre-existing data set. Therefore, patients and the general public were not involved in the development of the research questions, outcome measures or design of the study.

# **RESULTS**

Police officers were aged  $38.5 \pm 8.3$  (mean  $\pm$  SD) years and had  $12.7 \pm 8.2$  years of experience in law enforcement. Female officers (16.7%) in our sample were slightly over-represented compared to police in North America  $(12.2\%).^{29}$  The sample was predominantly white (Table 1), with racial and ethnic minority groups slightly under-represented compared to national police statistics.  $^{29}$  The prevalence of overweight or obesity based on BMI were over-represented in the sample (80.0%) (Table 1) compared to general population data  $(70\%).^{30}$  Overall burnout was found in 17.7% of police. High EE and high DP were observed in 23.8% and 42.6% of the sample respectively, and low PA was observed in 40.7%. In the last month, 56.7% of police worked  $\geq 1$  night shift, 63.9% worked  $\geq 1$  long shift, and 33.6% had an irregular or rotating schedule. Mean total work hours were  $192.27 \pm 74.82$  hours per month, mean mandatory overtime was  $8.26 \pm 14.14$  hours per month, and mean voluntary overtime was  $12.98 \pm 19.83$  hours per month. Short sleep duration was observed in 30.4% of police, and 25.8% had excessive sleepiness (Table 1).

Characteristic	Data
n	3140
Age, mean (SD) years	38.48 (8.31)
Gender, n (%)	
Male	2609 (83.3)
Female	523 (16.7)
Not known	8 (0.3)
Body Mass Index, n (%)	
$< 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$	610 (19.4)
$\geq 25 \text{ and} < 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$	1460 (46.5)
$\geq 30 \text{ and} < 35 \text{ kg/m}^2$	781 (24.9)
$\geq$ 35 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	271 (8.6)
Not known	18 (0.6)
Race, n (%)	
White	2681 (85.4)
Black	257 (8.2)
Asian	34 (1.1)
Native American	21 (0.7)
Pacific Islander	1 (0.03)
Other	100 (3.2)
Not known	46 (1.5)
Ethnicity, n (%)	
Hispanic	162 (5.2)
Other	2806 (89.4)
Not known	172 (5.5)
Overtime hours per month, mean (SD)	
Mandatory	8.26 (14.14)
Voluntary	12.98 (19.83)
Total	20.50 (24.62)
Night shift frequency, n (%)	
Never or nearly never	1290 (41.1)
1-4 per week	612 (19.5)
1-4 per month	584 (18.6)
Nearly every day	615 (19.6)
Not known	39 (1.2)
Long work shifts per week (≥11 hours), n (%)	615 (19.6) 39 (1.2)
None	390 (12.4)
>0 - <2	1029 (32.8)
≥2 - <4	496 (15.8)
_ ≥4	482 (15.4)
Not known	743 (23.7)
Work hours per week, n (%)	( )
<48 hours	1820 (58.0)
≥48 hours	1260 (40.1)
Not known	60 (1.9)

 Table 1. Continued.

Characteristic	Data
Usual shift schedule, n (%)	
Fixed	1822 (58.0)
Irregular	274 (8.7)
Rotating	782 (24.9)
Other	239 (7.6)
Not known	23 (0.7)
Average sleep duration over the last 4 weeks*, n (%)	
<6 h	956 (30.4)
≥6 h	1780 (56.7)
Not known	404 (12.9)
Daytime sleepiness, n (%)	
Normal (<11 ESS) daytime sleepiness	2195 (69.9)
Excessive (≥11 ESS) daytime sleepiness	809 (25.8)
Not known	136 (4.3)
Burnout, n <sup>‡</sup> (%)	
High EE	747 (23.8)
High DP	1338 (42.6)
Low PA	1278 (40.7)
Overall burnout <sup>†</sup>	556 (17.7)
Police officers that had at least 1 dimension of burnout, n (%)	
No	1072 (34.1)
Yes	2068 (65.9)

<sup>\*</sup>per 24-hour period; †defined as high EE, high DP, with or without low PA; ‡The burnout characteristics are not mutually exclusive (e.g., a participant could have both high EE and Low PA); EE, emotional exhaustion; DP, depersonalisation; PA, personal accomplishment; ESS, Epworth Sleepiness Scale; SD, standard deviation.

# Shift characteristics and burnout

The odds of high EE increased in a dose-response manner as a function of the number of long shifts worked per week, with police completing  $\geq 4$  long shifts per week having the greatest risk (adjusted odds ratio 1.91, 95% CI 1.35-2.72), compared to no long shifts. A similar relationship was demonstrated for overall burnout, with police working >0 to <2 and  $\ge4$  long shifts per week more likely to have overall burnout (1.44, 95% CI 1.02-2.02 and 1.78, 95% CI 1.21-2.61, respectively) compared to no long shifts (Table 2); even one long shift per month increased the risk of burnout (1.4- to 1.5-fold increase; Table S3, Table S4 and Table S5). Working  $\geq$ 48 hours per week was associated with reduced odds of low PA (0.83, 95%) CI 0.71-0.97) compared to shorter weeks. Neither long shift frequency nor weekly work hours were related to DP. Compared to police who never/nearly never work night shifts, those working night shifts nearly every day were at an increased risk of high DP (1.32, 95%) CI 1.05-1.66). Working night shifts 1 to 4 times per week was associated with lower odds of low PA (0.79, 95% CI 0.64-0.98) compared to never working nights. Police reporting mandatory overtime had an increased risk of overall burnout (1.40, 95% CI 1.14-1.71), high EE (1.37, 95% CI 1.14-1.65) and DP (1.24, 95% CI 1.04-1.47), whereas voluntary overtime was only associated with high DP (1.19, 95% CI 1.02-1.40). Reporting any overtime (mandatory or voluntary) was also associated with overall burnout (1.36, 95% CI 1.06-1.75) and high DP (1.45, 95% CI 1.20-1.76). Overtime was not related to PA.

# Work schedules and burnout

Police working irregular schedules were at greatest risk of overall burnout (2.12, 95% CI 1.57-2.85), followed by high EE (1.91, 95% CI 1.44-2.54), and high DP (1.39, 95% CI 1.02-1.89) compared to those working fixed schedules. Compared to fixed schedules, police reporting rotating and 'other' schedules were more likely to have EE (1.30, 95% CI 1.06-1.59).

and 1.44, 95% CI 1.05-1.98, respectively), but these associations were weaker than those observed for irregular shifts. Furthermore, police working rotating schedules were more likely to have low PA (1.38, 95% CI 1.15-1.64) compared to fixed shifts. Rotating or 'other' schedules were not associated with overall burnout or DP, and irregular or 'other' shift schedules were not related to PA (Table 3).



**Table 2**. High burnout outcomes associated with shift work characteristics in police (n=3140)

Chift would about the site of	Positive resul	t in police with	Unadjuste	ed	Adjusted	¶
Shift work characteristic, $n^{\dagger}$		./No. total (%)	OR (95% CI)	P Value	OR (95% CI)	P Value
	High EE	Low-moderate EE				
Night shift frequency						
Never/nearly never	290/734 (39.5)	935/2220 (42.1)				
1-4 per month	154/734 (21.0)	435/2220 (19.6)	1.14 (0.91 - 1.43)	0.252	1.13 (0.90 - 1.43)	0.292
1-4 per week	141/734 (19.2)	411/2220 (18.5)	1.11 (0.88 - 1.40)	0.395	1.06 (0.84 - 1.35)	0.628
Nearly every day	149/734 (20.3)	439/2220 (19.8)	1.09 (0.87 - 1.37)	0.438	1.12 (0.88 - 1.41)	0.363
n	25	954				
Long work shifts per week (≥11 hours)						
0	69/575 (12.0)	296/1719 (17.2)				
>0 - <2	255/575 (44.3)	732/1719 (42.6)	1.49 (1.11 - 2.01)	0.008	1.48 (1.09 - 2.02)	0.013
≥2 - <4	123/575 (21.4)	355/1719 (20.7)	1.49 (1.07 - 2.07)	0.020	1.49 (1.05 - 2.11)	0.024
≥4	128/575 (22.3)	336/1719 (19.5)	1.63 (1.17 - 2.28)	0.004	1.91 (1.35 - 2.72)	< 0.001
n	2:	294				
Long work weeks						
<48 hours	417/731 (40.9)	1303/2204 (59.1)				
≥48 hours	314/731 (43.0)	901/2204 (40.9)	1.09 (0.92 - 1.29)	0.324	1.14 (0.96 - 1.36)	0.141
n	2	935				
Mandatory overtime						
No	305/653 (46.7)	1056/1911 (55.3)				
Yes	348/653 (53.3)	855/1911 (44.7)	1.41 (1.18 - 1.68)	< 0.001	1.37 (1.14 - 1.65)	0.001
n		564				
Voluntary overtime						
No	306/646 (47.4)	881/1935 (45.5)				
Yes	340/646 (52.6)	1054/1935 (54.5)	0.93 (0.78 - 1.11)	0.417	0.94 (0.78 - 1.12)	0.475
n		581	,		,	
Any overtime (Mandatory or voluntary)						
No	143/618 (23.1)	487/1799 (27.1)				
Yes	475/618 (76.9)	1312/1799 (72.9)	1.23 (1.00 - 1.53)	0.055	1.21 (0.97 - 1.50)	0.095
n		417	( ,		(*** ****)	
	High DP	Low-moderate DP				
Night shift frequency						
Never/nearly never	481/1320 (36.4)	771/1700 (45.4)				
1-4 per month	285/1320 (21.6)	316/1700 (18.6)	1.45 (1.19 - 1.76)	< 0.001	1.21 (0.96 - 1.51)	0.101
1-4 per week	254/1320 (19.2)	314/1700 (18.5)	1.30 (1.06 - 1.86)	0.011	1.07 (0.84 - 1.35)	0.592
Nearly every day	300/1320 (22.7)	299/1700 (17.6)	1.61 (1.32 - 1.96)	< 0.001	1.32 (1.05 - 1.66)	0.018
n		020			,	
Long work shifts per week (≥11 hours)						
0	151/1027 (14.7)	226/1316 (17.2)				
>0 - <2	476/1027 (46.3)	535/1316 (40.7)	1.33 (1.05 - 1.69)	0.019	1.20 (0.93 - 1.54)	0.157
≥2 - <4	218/1027 (21.2)	268/1316 (20.4)	1.22 (0.93 - 1.60)	0.157	1.09 (0.81 - 1.45)	0.578
	182/1027 (17.7)	287/1316 (21.8)	0.95 (0.72 - 1.25)	0.712	0.96 (0.71 - 1.29)	0.804
$\frac{-}{n}$		343	0.50 (0.72 1.20)	0.,12	0.50 (0.71 1.25)	0.00.
Long work weeks	2.	3 13				
<48 hours	789/1325 (59.5)	976/1674 (58.3)				
≥48 hours	536/1325 (40.5)	698/1674 (41.7)	0.95 (0.82 - 1.10)	0.492	0.98 (0.83 - 1.15)	0.779
n		999	0.75 (0.82 - 1.10)	0.472	0.76 (0.65 - 1.15)	0.117
Mandatory overtime	2.					
No	568/1199 (47.4)	814/1424 (57.2)				
Yes	631/1199 (52.6)	610/1424 (42.8)	1.48 (1.27 - 1.73)	< 0.001	1.24 (1.04 - 1.47)	0.014
			1.46 (1.27 - 1.73)	<0.001	1.24 (1.04 - 1.47)	0.014
n Voluntary overtime	21	623				
Voluntary overtime	501/1107 (42.7)	(04/1444 (40.1)				
No V	521/1196 (43.6)	694/1444 (48.1)	1.20 (1.02 - 1.40)	0.021	1.10 (1.00 1.40)	0.020
v 46	675/1196 (56.4)	750/1444 (51.9) 640	1.20 (1.03 - 1.40)	0.021	1.19 (1.02 - 1.40)	0.030
Yes		6/11)				
n	20	040				
n Any overtime (Mandatory or voluntary)						
n	235/1130 (20.8) 895/1130 (79.2)	406/1345 (30.2) 939/1345 (69.8)	1.65 (1.37 - 1.98)	<0.001	1.45 (1.20 - 1.76)	<0.001

<sup>2</sup> Table 2. Continued

Shift work characteristic, $n^{\dagger, \ddagger}$		in police with high	Unadjuste		Adjusted	
Sinit work characteristic, nov		o./No. total (%)	OR (95% CI)	P Value	OR (95% CI)	P Value
	Low PA	Moderate-high PA				
Night shift frequency						
Never/nearly never	532/1259 (42.3)	676/1674 (40.4)				
1-4 per month	247/1259 (19.6)	329/1674 (19.7)	0.95 (0.78 - 1.17)	0.645	0.94 (0.76 - 1.15)	0.544
1-4 per week	220/1259 (17.5)	341/1674 (20.4)	0.82 (0.67 - 1.01)	0.056	0.79 (0.64 - 0.98)	0.029
Nearly every day	260/1259 (20.7)	328/1674 (19.6)	1.01 (0.83 - 1.23)	0.943	0.92 (0.75 - 1.12)	0.401
n	2	2933				
Long work shifts per week (≥11 hours)						
0	167/962 (17.4)	201/1314 (15.3)				
>0 - <2	426/962 (44.3)	557/1314 (42.4)	0.92 (0.72 - 1.17)	0.500	0.90 (0.69 - 1.16)	0.399
≥2 - <4	193/962 (20.1)	280/1314 (21.3)	0.83 (0.63 - 1.09)	0.183	0.84 (0.63 - 1.12)	0.234
≥4	176/962 (18.3)	276/1314 (21.0)	0.77 (0.58 - 1.02)	0.063	0.77 (0.57 - 1.04)	0.085
n		2276				
Long work weeks						
<48 hours	770/1248 (61.7)	952/1670 (57.0)				
≥48 hours	478/1248 (38.3)	718/1670 (43.0)	0.82 (0.71 - 0.96)	0.011	0.83 (0.71 - 0.97)	0.017
n		2918	0.02 (0.71 0.50)	0.011	0.05 (0.71 0.57)	0.017
Mandatory overtime		2,710				
No No	562/1092 (51.5)	781/1463 (53.4)				
Yes			1.09 (0.02 1.26)	0.337	1.01.(0.96 1.19)	0.935
	530/1092 (48.5)	682/1463 (46.6)	1.08 (0.92 - 1.26)	0.337	1.01 (0.86 - 1.18)	0.933
n V-1		2555				
Voluntary overtime	50.4/4.000 (45.0)	- ((0 // 1=0 //1= 0)				
No	524/1093 (47.9)	660/1478 (47.9)				
Yes	569/1093 (52.1)	818/1478 (55.3)	0.88 (0.75 - 1.03)	0.098	0.89 (0.76 - 1.05)	0.156
n	2	2571				
Any overtime (Mandatory or voluntary)						
No	263/1030 (25.5)	357/1379 (25.9)				
Yes	767/1030 (74.5)	1022/1379 (74.5)	1.02 (0.85 - 1.23)	0.844	0.96 (0.80 - 1.17)	0.704
n		2409				
	Overall burnout	No overall burnout				
Night shift frequency						
Never/nearly never	202/545 (37.1)	1085/2547 (42.6)				
1-4 per month	121/545 (22.2)	489/2547 (19.2)	1.32 (1.04 - 1.71)	0.025	1.25 (0.97 - 1.61)	0.092
1-4 per week	110/545 (20.2)	473/2547 (18.6)	1.25 (0.97 - 1.61)	0.089	1.11 (0.85 - 1.14)	0.449
Nearly every day	112/545 (20.6)	500/2547 (19.6)	1.20 (0.93 - 1.55)	0.154	1.12 (0.86 - 1.45)	0.407
n		3092			(****)	
Long work shifts per week (≥11 hours)						
0	51/426 (12.0)	338/1967 (17.2)				
>0 - <2	191/426 (44.8)	835/1967 (42.5)	1.52 (1.09 - 2.12)	0.015	1.44 (1.02 - 2.02)	0.038
≥2 - <4	88/426 (20.7)	408/1967 (20.7)	1.43 (0.98 - 2.08)	0.013	1.36 (0.93 - 2.00)	0.036
		386/1967 (19.6)			1.78 (1.21 - 2.61)	
≥4	96/426 (22.5)		1.65 (1.14 - 2.39)	0.008	1./8 (1.21 - 2.01)	0.003
n	4	2393				
Long work weeks	200/5/5/5/5	1.500/0.501 (50.5)				
<48 hours	309/547 (56.5)	1503/2524 (59.5)				
≥48 hours	238/547 (43.5)	1021/2524 (40.5)	1.13 (0.94 - 1.37)	0.188	1.13 (0.94 - 1.37)	0.201
n	3	3071				
Mandatory overtime						
No	222/496 (44.8)	1196/2178 (54.9)				
Yes	274/496 (55.2)	982/2178 (45.1)	1.50 (1.24 - 1.83)	< 0.001	1.40 (1.14 - 1.71)	0.001
n		2674	. ,		. ,	
Voluntary overtime		1016/2201 (46.2)				
Voluntary overtime No	225/489 (46.0)	1010/2201 (40 7.)				
No	225/489 (46.0) 264/489 (54.0)	1016/2201 (46.2) 1185/2201 (53.8)	1.01 (0.83 - 1.23)	0.952	1 01 (0 83 - 1 24)	0.910
No Yes	264/489 (54.0)	1185/2201 (53.8)	1.01 (0.83 - 1.23)	0.952	1.01 (0.83 - 1.24)	0.910
No Yes n	264/489 (54.0)		1.01 (0.83 - 1.23)	0.952	1.01 (0.83 - 1.24)	0.910
No Yes  n  Any overtime (Mandatory or voluntary)	264/489 (54.0)	1185/2201 (53.8) 2690	1.01 (0.83 - 1.23)	0.952	1.01 (0.83 - 1.24)	0.910
No Yes n	264/489 (54.0)	1185/2201 (53.8)	1.01 (0.83 - 1.23) 1.56 (1.31 - 1.87)	0.952 <0.001	1.01 (0.83 - 1.24) 1.36 (1.06 - 1.75)	0.910

EE, emotional exhaustion; DP, depersonalisation; PA, personal accomplishment; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; †The *n* presented represents the sample for each unadjusted analysis. The *n* for the adjusted analyses are in Supplement 1, Table S6; ‡Some participants did not provide sufficient information from which to determine their shift work characteristics. The *n* presented are from those who answered sufficiently; ¶Adjusted for age, BMI, gender, marital status, hypertension, cigarette use, caffeine use, alcohol, second job, primary activity, years as police officer, exercise frequency, and seniority. Variables included in each model are in Supplement 1, Table S6, and model fit is reported in Supplement 1, Table S7.



**Table 3.** High burnout outcomes associated with shift work schedules in police (n=3140)

Cl. 64	Positive result in po	lice with high burnout,	Unadjusted	Unadjusted Adjusted			
Shift work schedule, $n^{\dagger, \ddagger}$		. total (%)	OR (95% CI)	P Value	OR (95% CI)	P Value	
	High EE	Low-moderate EE					
Fixed	382/743 (51.4)	1366/2227 (61.3)					
Irregular	96/743 (12.9)	168/2227 (7.5)	2.04(1.55 - 2.69)	< 0.001	1.91 (1.44 - 2.54)	< 0.001	
Rotating	200/743 (26.9)	534/2227 (24.0)	1.34 (1.10 - 1.63)	0.004	1.30 (1.06 - 1.59)	0.011	
Other	65/743 (8.7)	159/2227 (7.1)	1.46 (1.07 - 1.99)	0.016	1.44 (1.05 - 1.98)	0.024	
n		2970					
	High DP	Low-moderate DP					
Fixed	740/1331 (55.6)	1038/1703 (61.0)					
Irregular	146/1331 (11.0)	117/1703 (6.9)	1.75 (1.35 - 2.27)	< 0.001	1.39 (1.02 - 1.89)	0.038	
Rotating	343/1331 (25.8)	416/1703 (24.4)	1.16 (0.96 - 1.37)	0.096	0.97 (0.80 - 1.18)	0.830	
Other	102/1331 (7.7)	132/1703 (7.8)	1.08 (0.82 - 1.43)	0.566	1.07 (0.78 - 1.45)	0.665	
n		3034					
	Low PA	Moderate-high PA					
Fixed	695/1271 (54.7)	1030/1679 (61.3)					
Irregular	107/1271 (8.4)	158/1679 (9.4)	1.00 (0.77 - 1.31)	0.978	0.97 (0.74 - 1.27)	0.845	
Rotating	366/1271 (28.8)	370/1679 (22.0)	1.47 (1.23 - 1.74)	< 0.001	1.38 (1.15 - 1.64)	< 0.001	
Other	103/1271 (8.1)	121/1679 (7.2)	1.26 (0.95 - 1.67)	0.104	1.27 (0.96 - 1.69)	0.100	
n	2	2950					
	Overall burnout	No overall burnout	•				
Fixed	279/553 (50.5)	1539/2555 (60.2)					
Irregular	82/553 (14.8)	192/2555 (7.5)	2.36 (1.77 - 3.14)	< 0.001	2.12 (1.57 - 2.85)	< 0.001	
Rotating	146/553 (26.4)	632/2555 (24.7)	1.27 (1.02 - 1.59)	0.031	1.24 (0.99 - 1.56)	0.065	
Other	46/553 (8.3)	192/2555 (7.5)	1.32 (0.94 - 1.87)	0.114	1.30 (0.91 - 1.84)	0.153	
n	3	3108			. ,		

EE, emotional exhaustion; DP, depersonalisation; PA, personal accomplishment; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; †The *n* presented represents the sample for each unadjusted analysis. The *n* for the adjusted analyses are in Supplement 1, Table S6; ‡Some participants did not provide sufficient information from which to determine their shift work schedule. The *n* presented are from those who answered sufficiently; ¶Adjusted for age, BMI, gender, marital status, hypertension, cigarette use, caffeine use, alcohol use, second job, primary activity, years as police officer, exercise frequency, and seniority. Variables included in each model are in Supplement 1, Table S6, and model fit is reported in Supplement 1, Table S7.

## Sleep and burnout

Police who reported, on average, short sleep (<6 hours) were more likely to have overall burnout (1.49, 95% CI 1.21-1.81), high EE (1.60, 95% CI 1.33-1.93) and low PA (1.24, 95% CI 1.05-1.47) compared to those sleeping ≥6 hours. Short sleep was not associated with DP (Table 4). Police with excessive sleepiness were more likely to have overall burnout (1.72, 95% CI 1.41-2.11), high EE (1.81, 95% CI 1.50-2.18) and high DP (1.48, 95% CI 1.23-1.78) compared to police with normal sleepiness (Table 4).

To the state of th

**Table 4.** High burnout outcomes associated with sleep duration and sleepiness in police (n=3140)

Class sharestaristics of *	Positive result in po	lice with high burnout,	Unadjuste	d	Adjusted	
Sleep characteristics, $n^{\dagger, \ddagger}$		total (%)	OR (95% CI)	P Value	OR (95% CI)	P Value
	High EE	Low-moderate EE				
Sleep duration						
≥6 hours per night	371/654 (56.7)	1334/1956 (68.2)				
<6 hours per night	283/654 (43.3)	622/1956 (31.8)	1.64 (1.36 - 1.96)	< 0.001	1.60 (1.33 - 1.93)	< 0.001
n	2	610				
Sleepiness						
<11 ESS	451/714 (63.2)	1652/2158 (76.6)				
≥11 ESS	263/714 (36.8)	506/2158 (23.4)	1.90 (1.59 - 2.28)	< 0.001	1.81 (1.50 - 2.18)	< 0.001
n		872				
	High DP	Low-moderate DP				
Sleep duration						
≥6 hours per night	755/1206 (62.6)	989/1469 (67.3)				
<6 hours per night	451/1206 (37.4)	480/1469 (32.7)	1.23 (1.05 - 1.44)	0.011	1.13 (0.96 - 1.33)	0.151
n	2	675				
Sleepiness						
<11 ESS	875/1286 (68.0)	1267/1639 (77.3)				
≥11 ESS	411/1286 (32.0)	372/1639 (22.7)	1.60 (1.38 - 1.89)	< 0.001	1.48 (1.23 - 1.78)	< 0.001
n	2	925				
	Low PA	Moderate-high PA				
Sleep duration						
≥6 hours per night	670/1077 (62.2)	1024/1524 (67.2)				
<6 hours per night	407/1077 (37.8)	500/1524 (32.8)	1.24 (1.06 - 1.46)	0.009	1.24 (1.05 - 1.47)	0.011
n	2	601				
Sleepiness						
<11 ESS	867/1224 (70.8)	1213/1627 (74.6)				
≥11 ESS	357/1224 (29.2)	414/1627 (25.4)	1.21 (1.02 - 1.43)	0.027	1.18 (0.99 - 1.41)	0.061
n		851	_			
	Overall burnout	No overall burnout				
Sleep duration						
≥6 hours per night	279/491 (56.8)	1497/2238 (66.9)				
<6 hours per night	212/491 (43.2)	741/2238 (33.1)	1.54 (1.26 - 1.87)	< 0.001	1.49 (1.21 - 1.81)	< 0.001
n		729				
Sleepiness						
<11 ESS	338/532 (63.5)	1852/2464 (75.2)				
≥11 ESS	194/532 (36.5)	612/2464 (24.8)	1.74 (1.42 - 2.12)	< 0.001	1.72 (1.41 - 2.11)	< 0.001
n		996			, ,	

EE, emotional exhaustion; DP, depersonalisation; PA, personal accomplishment; ESS, Epworth Sleepiness Scale; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; †The *n* presented represents the sample for each unadjusted analysis. The *n* for the adjusted analyses are in Supplement 1, Table S6; ‡Some participants did not provide sufficient information from which to determine their sleep duration and sleepiness. The *n* presented are from those who answered sufficiently; ¶Adjusted for age, BMI, gender, marital status, hypertension, cigarette use, caffeine use, alcohol use, second job, primary activity, years as police officer, exercise frequency, and seniority. Variables included in each model are in Supplement 1, Table S6, and model fit is reported in Supplement 1, Table S7.

#### **DISCUSSION**

In this sample of North American police officers, 17% exhibited overall burnout, which is higher than the 10% previously observed in office workers. 19 Two-thirds of the sample (65.9%) were also found to have at least one dimension of burnout, and this too exceeds levels reported in other human service occupations and the general population.<sup>31</sup> Long shifts, mandatory overtime, short sleep and sleepiness were each associated with significantly increased risk of overall burnout in police. Among the different schedules, officers working irregular shifts had a 2-fold higher risk of overall burnout, demonstrating the risk of burnout associated with this schedule for the first time in police. For individual dimensions of burnout, almost half of police had high depersonalisation (43%), the same was true for low personal accomplishment (41%), while nearly a quarter had high emotional exhaustion (24%). The prevalence of DP was higher in this sample of police compared to the US general population (15%), and EE levels were similar to general population data (23%).<sup>31</sup> The risk of EE was higher in police working a greater frequency of long shifts, and working night shifts nearly every day were associated with an increased risk of DP. Long work weeks were associated with reduced odds of low PA, and mandatory, but not voluntary, overtime increased EE. There was an increased likelihood of EE and PA among police reporting short sleep, and an increased risk for EE and DP in those with excessive sleepiness.

Police regularly completing long work shifts were at increased risk of overall burnout and high EE. Previous studies in nurses have reported similar associations between increased hours of work on the most recent shift and high burnout.<sup>13</sup> <sup>14</sup> We expand on these findings by demonstrating how the risk of EE is dependent on the number of long shifts worked, with

working  $\geq 4$  long shifts associated with nearly double the risk of EE. Working  $\geq 1$  long shift per month and ≥4 long shifts per week were also associated with high EE and overall burnout, but the risk conferred by other shift frequencies (i.e., >0 to <2, 2 to <4 long shifts per week) was less consistent for these aspects of burnout. Working ≥48 hours per week was associated with reduced odds of low PA in police, which contrasts research in physicians using a similar definition of weekly work hours,<sup>22</sup> as well as a prior study in UK police that defined long work weeks using a slightly higher threshold (≥49 hours per week).<sup>27</sup> Long work weeks and night shifts (1 to 4 per week) were the only shift characteristics in this study associated with reduced odds of low PA. Although these findings were unexpected, they may highlight certain aspects of shift work in policing that allow personnel to feel effective and a sense of accomplishment in their work, but further research that explores the relationships between PA and weekly night shifts (e.g., comparing 1-2 and 3-4 night shifts per week) and work hours (e.g.,  $\geq$ 49 or  $\geq$ 60 hours) in more detail is needed to confirm these findings. Compared to weekly work hours, however, the associations between long work shifts and burnout were positive, typically stronger and affected more burnout dimensions. This is an important detail, as it demonstrates how the distribution of work hours, not just total overall hours, influences burnout in police. This suggests the need for shorter and less compressed shifts that spread work hours across the week to reduce burnout. For instance, Amendola et al.<sup>8</sup> found that police working 10-hour shifts reported longer sleep and higher quality of work-life balance compared 8- or 12-hour shifts, although burnout was not examined in that study. Further research is needed to examine whether shortening shift lengths, as well as limiting the frequency of long work shifts, also reduces burnout in police as it has been shown in EMTs.<sup>15</sup>

Mandatory overtime was associated with an increased risk of overall burnout, high EE, and DP among police. Conversely, voluntary overtime was not associated with EE or overall burnout, but was associated with high DP. A prior study in police failed to show a relationship between overtime (combined voluntary and mandatory) and burnout. <sup>18</sup> The results of our study suggest the control a police officer has over overtime may influence how closely this shift characteristic is associated with burnout, in particular overall burnout and EE. This interpretation is supported by research from Beckers *et al.*<sup>32</sup> in full-time workers who reported involuntary overtime was associated with high emotional fatigue and low job satisfaction, and classified involuntary overtime workers as a burnout risk group. In their study, Becker and colleagues<sup>32</sup> did not examine DP, but based on our findings in police, it is possible that voluntary (and mandatory) overtime may pose a risk to this dimension. Further research determining the specific durations of overtime associated with high burnout and which dimensions of burnout is needed to inform policies regarding the safe provision of work hours in excess of normal shift lengths.

Increased frequency of night shifts was associated with high DP in police, but not overall burnout or EE. Research has reported associations between increased night work and burnout in healthcare personnel, but only for overall burnout and EE.<sup>12 33</sup> Furthermore, the rate of night shifts per month was not found to associate with burnout among healthcare workers.<sup>33</sup> These inconsistencies may reflect differences among human service professions and perhaps their physical environments (e.g., lighting levels that affect mood and alertness)<sup>34</sup> that may impact the manifestation of burnout dimensions. For instance, healthcare workers typically develop higher rates of EE,<sup>35</sup> whereas police report greater DP,<sup>36</sup> as demonstrated in the current study. High DP reflects a critical relationship between people and their work, as it refers to negative feelings and

attitudes toward one's clients.<sup>4</sup> Therefore, high DP in police could be due to the difficult nature of some of the people with whom police interact. In addition to sleep disruption with night work, police encounter more stressful events at night.<sup>37</sup> Sleep is further disrupted among people with untreated sleep disorders, and common sleep disorders are shown to increase the likelihood of police demonstrating anger towards suspects or citizens.<sup>3</sup> Thus, the combination of poor mood, sleep and difficult clients may explain the increased risk of DP associated with regular night work in police. Further research comparing police attitudes towards clients with whom they interact at night compared to the day is needed to understand the mechanisms underpinning the increased risk of DP conferred by night shifts in police.

Police officers who reported short sleep had a greater risk of overall burnout, high EE and low PA, while sleepiness increased the likelihood for EE, DP and overall burnout. Similar sleepiness levels have been related to high burnout in medical students. <sup>26</sup> Our findings for sleep duration are also consistent with research showing short sleep over a month increases overall burnout in police. <sup>11</sup> Inadequate sleep may impair police officers' ability to recover from occupational demands, potentially explaining the heightened burnout risk in those reporting short sleep in this study. Achieving sufficient sleep can be difficult when working at night and/or long hours, as these shifts may limit sleep opportunities at night and involve attempts by the shift worker to sleep at times of the day when alertness levels are high (and sleep propensity is low). <sup>38</sup> Indeed, when restricting the sample to police regularly completing long shifts, officers reporting short sleep and excessive sleepiness had a 2-fold increased risk of EE (Table S8, Table S4 and Table S5). These findings highlight the health burden of sleepiness and sleep loss in law enforcement, and the need for interventions that address sleep in addition to optimising shift schedules.

Police working irregular shifts were more likely to have overall burnout, high EE and DP compared to officers working fixed shifts. Canadian workers completing non-standard schedules (shifts other than fixed 9AM-5PM) have also been found to have increased overall burnout and EE, 39 but to our knowledge, this is the only other study to have investigated the relationship between irregular or non-standard schedules and burnout. A growing body of research suggests that greater variability in sleep duration and bedtimes predict worse mental health. 40 Workers with irregular shifts are likely to have more variability in their sleep patterns, 41 which in turn, may explain the increased burnout risk among police engaged in this schedule. Considering 8.7% of our sample worked irregular shifts, our findings may have implications for arranging work schedules to limit irregular shifts to reduce burnout. Rotating shifts were also common among police in our study (24.9%), and associated with high EE and low PA. Conversely, nurses working fixed shifts compared to rotating schedules are reported to have greater EE and DP, 42 but not low PA, with those working fixed night and morning shifts showing the highest levels of EE and DP.<sup>43</sup> The hazards related to fixed night shifts are well documented, <sup>44</sup> although in the current study it was not possible to specifically identify the types of fixed shifts police completed (e.g., fixed night vs fixed day shifts). Despite this limitation, when we restricted the analysis to police only working fixed shifts, those reporting a greater frequency of night shifts had an increased risk of DP (Table S9, Table S10 and Table S11), which aligns with previous findings for fixed night work.<sup>43</sup> In addition to the different types of fixed shifts, there is also a diverse range of shift rotations in policing, which were grouped into one variable (i.e., rotating schedules) in our study. Specific shift rotations and types of fixed shifts may have varying effects on burnout, thus underspecifying these schedules in the current study may limit the interpretation of our findings. Further research that utilises detailed objective shift work information will allow for a more nuanced approach when it comes to examining interactions between different shift schedules and burnout in police and other emergency services.

In addition to subjective shift information, a further limitation of this study was the use of self-reported sleep characteristics. Although some questions used to record police officers' work and sleep hours have been validated,<sup>20</sup> participants were required to report and recall this information for the prior month. An additional limitation was the cross-sectional design. Future longitudinal studies using objective work and sleep measures are needed to examine the causality within our findings.

The results of this study among police contribute to a growing evidence-base in shift workers that indicates how the number of long shifts and night shifts, mandatory overtime and short sleep and sleepiness raise the risk of burnout. Importantly, we further demonstrate that irregular schedules present a significant risk for burnout. These findings support future research in police that trials reducing the rate of long shifts and night shifts per week in vulnerable officers, and preventing irregular schedules where possible. Although shift work is unavoidable for industries such as law enforcement, optimising work hours in relation to control over overtime, consistency of schedules and reducing duration and frequency of night and long shifts should be explored as a way to lower the high level of burnout among police, leading to improved health for personnel, and enhanced performance for their department and communities whom they serve.

#### **Contribution statement:**

SAP, APW, LKB, CSO, JPS, SQ, SWL, CAC and SMWR contributed to the design of the study. LKB, CSO, JPS, SQ, SWL, CAC and SMWR contributed to data collection. SAP, APW, LKB and SMWR conducted the data analysis. SAP, APW, LKB, CSO, JPS, SQ, SWL, CAC and SMWR contributed to the interpretation of the data, and the preparation and refinement of the final manuscript.

#### **Funding:**

This work was supported by grant 2004-FS-BX-0001 and grant 2010C-10002 from the National Institute of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, US Department of Justice; grants R01 OH008496 and R01 OH009403 from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; and grants from the ResMed Foundation. The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication. LKB, CAC, SWL, CSO and SQ were supported in part by R01OH010300.

### **Data sharing statement:**

No additional data available.

### **Ethics approval:**

This study was approved by the Ethics Committees of Partners HealthCare (2015P001357/BHW) and Monash University (2736).

# **Competing interests statement:**

SAP, APW, JPS, SQ and CSO report no conflicts of interest. SMWR reports that he has served as a consultant through his institution to Philips Respironics, EdanSafe, National Transport Commission, Vanda Pharmaceuticals, Rail, Bus and Train Union, Tontine Group, Australian Workers' Union, Transport Accident Commission, Meda Consumer Healthcare, New South Wales Department of Education and Communities, and has through his institution received grants from Philips Respironics and Vanda Pharmaceuticals and reimbursements for conference travel expenses from Vanda Pharmaceuticals. SMWR currently serves as a consult to, and is a Program Leader for, the Cooperative Research Centre for Alertness, Safety and Productivity. SMWR institution has received equipment donations or other support from Compumedics, Philips Lighting, Optalert and Tyco Healthcare. SMWR is a former President of the Australasian Sleep Association and is a Director of the Sleep Health Foundation. SMWR has also served as an expert witness and/or consultant to shift work organizations. LKB reports research support from Cephalon, NFL charities, Sysco and San Francisco Bar Pilots. LKB has received consulting/lecture fees or served as a board member for Alertness Solution, Ceridian, Davis Joint Unified School Board, San Jose State University Foundation, Pugot Sound Pilots, Sygma and Torvec. SWL has no conflicts of interests directly related to the research or results reported in this paper. SWL holds a process patent for 'Systems and methods for determining and/or controlling sleep quality', which is assigned to the Brigham and Women's Hospital per Hospital policy. SWL has also served as a paid expert for legal proceedings related to light, sleep and health. SWL is a Program Leader for the CRC for Alertness, Safety and Productivity, Australia. CAC reports grants from Cephalon Inc., grants from Mary Ann & Stanley Snider via Combined Jewish Philanthropies, grants from National Football League Charities, grants from Optum,

grants from Philips Respironics, Inc., grants from ResMed Foundation, grants from San Francisco Bar Pilots, grants from Schneider Inc., grants from Sysco, grants from Cephalon, Inc. grants from Jazz Pharmaceuticals, grants from Takeda Pharmaceuticals, grants from Teva Pharmaceuticals Industries, Ltd, grants from Sanofi-Aventis, Inc, grants from Sepracor, Inc, grants from Wake Up Narcolepsy, personal fees from Bose Corporation, personal fees from Boston Celtics, personal fees from Boston Red Sox, personal fees from Columbia River Bar Pilots, personal fees from Institute of Digital Media and Child Development, personal fees from Klarman Family Foundation, personal fees from Samsung Electronics, personal fees from Quest Diagnostics, Inc, personal fees from Vanda Pharmaceuticals, personal fees from American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AADSM), personal fees from CurtCo Media Labs LLC, personal fees from Global Council on Brain Health/AARP, personal fees from Hawaii Sleep Health and Wellness Foundation, personal fees from Harvard School of Public Health (HSPH), personal fees from Maryland Sleep Society, personal fees from National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK), personal fees from National Sleep Foundation (NSF), personal fees from New England College of Optometry, personal fees from University of Michigan, personal fees from University of Washington, personal fees from Zurich Insurance Company, Ltd, personal fees from Purdue Pharma, LP, personal fees from McGraw Hill, personal fees from Houghton Mifflin Harcourt/Penguin, personal fees from Koninklijke Philips Electronics, N.V., personal fees from Cephalon, Inc, personal fees from State of Washington Board of Pilotage Commissioners, personal fees from Ganesco Inc., holds an equity interest in Vanda Pharmaceuticals, outside the submitted work. In addition, CAC holds a number of process patents in the field of sleep/circadian rhythms (e.g., photic resetting of the human circadian pacemaker).

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Vila B. Impact of long work hours on police officers and the communities they serve. *Am J Ind Med* 2006;49:972-80. doi: 10.1002/ajim.20333
- 3. Rajaratnam SM, Barger LK, Lockley SW, et al. Sleep disorders, health, and safety in police officers. *JAMA* 2011;306:2567-78.
- 4. Maslach CM, Jackson SE, Leiter MP. Maslach Burnout Inventory: Manual. 3rd Edition. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press 1996.
- 5. McGreedy K. Selection practices and the police role. *Police Chief* 1974;41:41-3.
- 6. Crowe RP, Bower JK, Cash RE, et al. Association of Burnout with Workforce-Reducing Factors among EMS Professionals. *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2018;22:229-36. doi: 10.1080/10903127.2017.1356411
- 7. Summerfield D. Metropolitan Police blues: protracted sickness absence, ill health retirement, and the occupational psychiatrist. *BMJ* 2011;342:d2127. doi: 10.1136/bmj.d2127
- 8. Amendola K, Weisburd D, Hamilton E, et al. The Impact of Shift Length in Policing on Performance, Health, Quality of Life, Sleep, Fatigue, and Extra-Duty Employment: National Institute of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, US Department of Justice, 2011.
- 9. Barger L, Lockley S, Rajaratnam S, et al. Neurobehavioral, health, and safety consequences associated with shift work in safety-sensitive professions. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep* 2009;9:155-64. doi: 10.1007/s11910-009-0024-7

- 10. Cheng W-J, Cheng Y. Night shift and rotating shift in association with sleep problems, burnout and minor mental disorder in male and female employees. *Occup Environ Med* 2017;74:483-88. doi: 10.1136/oemed-2016-103898
- 11. Yoo H, Franke WD. Sleep habits, mental health, and the metabolic syndrome in law enforcement officers. *J Occup Environ Med* 2013;55:99-103. doi: 10.1097/JOM.0b013e31826e294c
- 12. Embriaco N, Azoulay E, Barrau K, et al. High level of burnout in intensivists: prevalence and associated factors. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2007;175:686-92. doi: 10.1164/rccm.200608-1184OC
- 13. Dall'Ora C, Griffiths P, Ball J, et al. Association of 12 h shifts and nurses' job satisfaction, burnout and intention to leave: findings from a cross-sectional study of 12 European countries. *BMJ Open* 2015;5:e008331. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008331
- 14. Stimpfel AW, Sloane DM, Aiken LH. The longer the shifts for hospital nurses, the higher the levels of burnout and patient dissatisfaction. *Health Aff* 2012;31:2501-9. doi: 10.1377/hlthaff.2011.1377
- 15. Boudreaux E, Mandry C, Brantley PJ. Emergency medical technician schedule modification: impact and implications during short- and long-term follow-up. *Acad Emerg Med* 1998;5:128-33.
- 16. Fahrenkopf AM, Sectish TC, Barger LK, et al. Rates of medication errors among depressed and burnt out residents: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2008;336:488-91. doi: 10.1136/bmj.39469.763218.BE
- 17. Burke RJ, Mikkelsen A. Burnout Among Norwegian Police Officers: Potential Antecedents and Consequences. *Int J Stress Manag* 2006;13:64-83.

- 18. Martinussen M, Richardsen AM, Burke RJ. Job demands, job resources, and burnout among police officers. *J Crim Justice* 2007;35:239-49. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrimjus.2007.03.001
- 19. Metlaine A, Sauvet F, Gomez-Merino D, et al. Association between insomnia symptoms, job strain and burnout syndrome: a cross-sectional survey of 1300 financial workers. *BMJ Open* 2017;7:e012816. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012816
- 20. Lockley SW, Cronin JW, Evans EE, et al. Effect of reducing interns' weekly work hours on sleep and attentional failures. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:1829-37. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa041404
- 21. Alterman T, Luckhaupt SE, Dahlhamer JM, et al. Prevalence rates of work organization characteristics among workers in the U.S.: data from the 2010 National Health Interview Survey. Am J Ind Med. 2013;56(6):647-659.
- 22. Saha I, Mitra S. Does emotional intelligence upon interaction with perceived stress, moderate burnout among resident doctors? A study from West Bengal, India. Int J Community Med Public Health 2018;5:4382-87.
- 23. Johns MW. Sensitivity and specificity of the multiple sleep latency test (MSLT), the maintenance of wakefulness test and the Epworth sleepiness scale: failure of the MSLT as a gold standard. *J Sleep Res* 2000;9:5-11.
- 24. De la Fuente Solana EI, Aguayo Extremera R, Vargas Pecino C, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of burnout syndrome among Spanish police officers. *Psicothema* 2013;25:488-93. doi: 10.7334/psicothema2013.81
- 25. Kou K-Y, Wu H-H. Assessing Emotional Exhaustion of Police Officers in Taiwan by Multivariate Analysis of Variance. *TEM Journal* 2016;5:347-52.

- 26. Wolf MR, Rosenstock JB. Inadequate Sleep and Exercise Associated with Burnout and Depression Among Medical Students. *Acad Psychiatry* 2017;41:174-79. doi: 10.1007/s40596-016-0526-y
- 27. Houdmont J, Randall R. Working hours and common mental disorders in English police officers. *Occup Med* 2016;66:713-18. doi: 10.1093/occmed/kqw166
- 28. Ranganathan P, Pramesh CS, Aggarwal R. Common pitfalls in statistical analysis: Logistic regression. Perspect Clin Res. 2017;8:148-51.
- 29. Bureau of Labor Statistics. Current Population Survey (CPS): annual averages—household data, 2007.
- 30. Flegal KM, Kruszon-Moran D, Carroll MD, et al. Trends in Obesity Among Adults in the United States, 2005 to 2014. *JAMA* 2016;315:2284-91. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.6458
- 31. Shanafelt TD, Boone S, Tan L, et al. Burnout and satisfaction with work-life balance among US physicians relative to the general US population. *Arch Intern Med* 2012;172:1377-85. doi: 10.1001/archinternmed.2012.3199
- 32. Beckers DG, van der Linden D, Smulders PG, et al. Voluntary or involuntary? Control over overtime and rewards for overtime in relation to fatigue and work satisfaction. *Work Stress* 2008;22:33-50.
- 33. Wisetborisut A, Angkurawaranon C, Jiraporncharoen W, et al. Shift work and burnout among health care workers. *Occup Med* 2014;64:279-86. doi: 10.1093/occmed/kqu009
- 34. Bedrosian TA, Nelson RJ. Timing of light exposure affects mood and brain circuits. *Transl Psychiatry* 2017;7:e1017. doi: 10.1038/tp.2016.262
- 35. Grunfeld E, Whelan TJ, Zitzelsberger L, et al. Cancer care workers in Ontario: prevalence of burnout, job stress and job satisfaction. *CMAJ* 2000;163:166-69.

- 36. Schaufeli W, Enzmann D. The burnout companion to study and practice: A critical analysis.

  Philadelphia, PA. CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group 1998.
- 37. Ma CC, Andrew ME, Fekedulegn D, et al. Shift Work and Occupational Stress in Police Officers. *Saf Health Work* 2015;6:25-29. doi: 10.1016/j.shaw.2014.10.001
- 38. Arendt J. Shift work: coping with the biological clock. *Occup Med* 2010;60:10-20. doi: 10.1093/occmed/kqp162
- 39. Jamal M. Burnout, stress and health of employees on non-standard work schedules: a study of Canadian workers. *Stress Health* 2004;20:113-19. doi: 10.1002/smi.1012
- 40. Lemola S, Ledermann T, Friedman EM. Variability of sleep duration is related to subjective sleep quality and subjective well-being: an actigraphy study. *PloS one* 2013;8:e71292.
- 41. Sallinen M, Härmä M, Mutanen P, et al. Sleep–wake rhythm in an irregular shift system. *J Sleep Res* 2003;12:103-12.
- 42. Shamali M, Shahriari M, Babaii A, et al. Comparative Study of Job Burnout Among Critical Care Nurses With Fixed and Rotating Shift Schedules. *Nurs Midwifery Stud* 2015;4:e27766. doi: 10.17795/nmsjournal27766
- 43. Shahriari M, Shamali M, Yazdannik A. The relationship between fixed and rotating shifts with job burnout in nurses working in critical care areas. *Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res* 2014;19:360-65.
- 44. Folkard S. Do permanent night workers show circadian adjustment? A review based on the endogenous melatonin rhythm. *Chronobiol Int* 2008;25:215-24.

## **Supplement**

**Table S1.** Multicollinearity statistics for all shift work and sleep predictor variables included in the multiple logistic regression analysis

Predictor variable	Multicollinearity Statistics				
Fredictor variable	Tolerance	Variance Inflation Factor			
Emotional Exhaustion					
Night shift frequency	0.932	1.073			
Long work shifts	0.884	1.131			
Long work weeks	0.860	1.163			
Mandatory overtime	0.525	1.904			
Voluntary overtime	0.455	2.197			
Any overtime	0.352	2.840			
Shift rotation	0.977	1.023			
Sleep duration	0.947	1.056			
Sleepiness	0.982	1.019			
Depersonalisation					
Night shift frequency	0.936	1.068			
Long work shifts	0.888	1.127			
Long work weeks	0.859	1.164			
Mandatory overtime	0.528	1.893			
Voluntary overtime	0.458	2.181			
Any overtime	0.354	2.821			
Shift rotation	0.978	1.023			
Sleep duration	0.951	1.051			
Sleepiness	0.984	1.017			
Personal Accomplishment					
Night shift frequency	0.940	1.064			
Long work shifts	0.885	1.130			
Long work weeks	0.862	1.161			
Mandatory overtime	0.532	1.881			
Voluntary overtime	0.462	2.165			
Any overtime	0.357	2.798			
Shift rotation	0.977	1.023			
Sleep duration	0.954	1.049			
Sleepiness	0.986	1.014			
Burnout (High EE+DP)					
Night shift frequency	0.934	1.071			
Long work shifts	0.883	1.133			
Long work weeks	0.858	1.165			
Mandatory overtime	0.527	1.898			
Voluntary overtime	0.455	2.198			
Any overtime	0.350	2.854			
Shift rotation	0.979	1.022			
Sleep duration	0.950	1.053			
Sleepiness	0.983	1.018			

EE, emotional exhaustion; DP, depersonalization.

Table S2. Pearson correlation coefficient between shift work and sleep predictor variables

	Long work shifts	Long work weeks	Mandatory overtime	Voluntary overtime	Any overtime	Shift rotation	Sleep Duration	Sleepiness
Night shift frequency	0.076	0.053	0.155	0.034	0.132	0.026	0.146	0.099
Long work shifts		0.324	0.094	0.106	0.115	-0.027	0.057	0.031
Long work weeks			0.068	0.244	0.195	-0.051	0.056	0.008
Mandatory overtime				-0.033	0.539	0.102	0.101	0.059
Voluntary overtime					0.609	-0.012	0.013	0.006
Any overtime		0,				0.063	0.071	0.021
Shift rotation							0.105	0.011
Sleep duration								0.074

**Table S3.** High burnout outcomes associated with working at least 1 long shift in the past 4 weeks (n=3140)

5 <del>-</del>	Worked >0 Long Shifts	Positive result in po	olice with high burnout,	Unadjusted	I	Adjusted	I
7	in Past 4 Weeks, $n^{\dagger, \ddagger}$	_	No./No. total (%)  OR (		P Value	OR (95% CI)	P Value
8 - 9		High EE	Low-moderate EE				
10	No	69/575 (12.0)	296/1719 (17.2)				
11	Yes	506/575 (88.0)	1423/1719 (82.8)	1.53 (1.52 - 2.02)	0.003	1.54 (1.51 - 2.06)	0.004
12	n	2	2294				
13		High DP	Low-moderate DP				
14	No	151/1027 (14.7)	226/1316 (17.2)				
15	Yes	876/1027 (85.3)	1090/1316 (82.8)	1.20 (0.96 - 1.51)	0.107	1.12 (0.88 - 1.41)	0.365
16	n	2	2343				
17		Low PA	Moderate-high PA				
18	No	167/962 (17.4)	201/1314 (15.3)				
19	Yes	795/962 (82.6)	1113/1314 (84.7)	0.86 (0.69 - 1.08)	0.187	0.85 (0.67 - 1.08)	0.1.87
20	n		2276				
21- 22		Overall burnout	No overall burnout				
22	No	51/426 (12.0)	338/1967 (17.2)				
24	Yes	375/426 (88.0)	1629/1967 (82.8)	1.53 (1.11 - 2.09)	0.009	1.43 (1.04 - 1.98)	0.029
25			2393				

EE, emotional exhaustion; DP, depersonalisation; PA, personal accomplishment; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; †The *n* presented represents the sample for each unadjusted analysis. The *n* for the adjusted analyses are in Supplement 1, Table S4; ‡Some participants did not provide sufficient information from which to determine their long shifts. The *n* presented are from those who answered sufficiently; ¶Adjusted for age, BMI, gender, marital status, hypertension, cigarette use, caffeine use, alcohol use, second job, primary activity, years as police officers, exercise frequency, and seniority. Variables included in each model are in Supplement 1, Table S4 and model fit is reported in Supplement 1, Table S5.

**Table S4.** Variables included in models used to examine associations between sleep and sleepiness, shift schedules and burnout outcomes in police regularly working long shifts (≥2 per week) more than 1 long shift in the past 4 weeks

Shift work and	Emotional	Depersonalisation	Personal	Burnout (High
sleep	Exhaustion	_	Accomplishment	EE+DP)
characteristics,				
$n^{\ddagger}$				
(Extended shift	Gender, seniority	Age, blood pressure,	Second job	Age, seniority
sample)		primary activity,		
Sleep duration		seniority		
n	813	782	691	842
(Extended shift	Gender, seniority	Age, exercise	Cigarette use, second	Seniority
sample)			job	
Sleepiness				
n	856	904	713	887
>0 Long shifts in	Gender, Cigarette	Age, cigarette use,	Age, BMI <sup>†</sup> , blood	Cigarette use,
past 4 weeks	use, seniority	caffeine use, primary	pressure, caffeine	seniority
		activity, years in law	use, exercise	
		enforcement, seniority	,	
n	2230	2250	2134	2330

EE, emotional exhaustion; DP, depersonalization; OT, overtime; BMI, body mass index;  $^{\dagger}$ BMI was a continuous variable;  $^{\dagger}$ The n presented represents the sample for the adjusted analyses.

**Table S5.** Model fit assessment for models used to examine associations between sleep, sleepiness, shift schedules and burnout outcomes in police regularly working long shifts (≥2 per week) and more than 1 long shift in the past 4 weeks

			Block 1 model vs Baseline model			Block 2 model vs Block 1 model		Block 2 model vs Baseline model	
Predictor variable	Baseline -2 log- likelihood	Block 1 -2 log- likelihood	χ²	P Value	Block 2 -2 log- likelihood	χ²	P Value	χ²	P Value
Emotional Exhaustion									
Sleep Duration (Table S8)	955.246	926.646	28.600	< 0.001	908.051	18.594	< 0.001	47.194	< 0.001
Sleepiness (Table S8)	992.275	964.570	27.705	< 0.001	947.997	16.573	< 0.001	44.278	< 0.001
At least 1 long shift (Table S3)	2526.519	2458.661	67.858	< 0.001	2449.703	8.959	0.003	76.816	< 0.001
Depersonalisation									
Sleep Duration (Table S8)	1074.158	1033.530	40.628	< 0.001	1027.265	6.265	0.012	46.894	< 0.001
Sleepiness (Table S8)	1232.672	1203.538	29.134	< 0.001	1183.564	19.974	< 0.001	49.108	< 0.001
At least 1 long shift (Table S3)	3094.782	2989.169	105.613	< 0.001	2988.346	0.824	0.364	106.437	< 0.001
Personal Accomplishment									
Sleep Duration (Table S8)	915.170	910.236	4.934	0.026	910.222	0.014	0.907	4.948	0.084
Sleepiness (Table S8)	957.902	948.042	9.860	0.007	947.864	0.178	0.673	10.038	0.018
At least 1 long shift (Table S3)	2905.861	2845.281	60.580	< 0.001	2843.543	1.738	0.187	62.318	< 0.001
Overall Burnout									
Sleep Duration (Table S8)	838.781	814.448	24.334	0.001	801.083	13.365	< 0.001	37.698	< 0.001
Sleepiness (Table S8)	872.535	855.789	16.746	0.010	840.539	15.250	< 0.001	31.996	< 0.001
At least 1 long shift (Table S3)	2204.559	2163.002	41.557	< 0.001	2157.926	5.076	0.024	46.633	< 0.001
					2157.926				

**Table S6.** Variables included in the models used to examine associations between shift characteristics, shift schedules, sleep, and burnout outcomes

Shift work and **Emotional Depersonalisation Personal** Burnout (High EE+DP) sleep **Exhaustion** Accomplishment characteristics,  $n^{\ddagger}$ Night shift Gender, cigarette Age, cigarette use, Age, BMI<sup>†</sup>, cigarette Age, cigarette use, second job, primary frequency use, seniority use, caffeine use, seniority activity, years in law exercise enforcement, seniority 2882 2881 3015 n Long work shifts Age, caffeine use, Gender, cigarette Age, cigarette use, Gender, cigarette use, exercise, caffeine use, primary exercise use, seniority seniority activity, years in law enforcement, seniority 2134 n 2220 2250 2328 Long work Gender, cigarette Age, cigarette use, Age, BMI<sup>†</sup>, cigarette Age, cigarette use, weeks use, seniority second job, primary seniority use, exercise activity, seniority 2873 2994 2862 2479 Shift schedule Gender, cigarette Age, cigarette use, Age, BMI<sup>†</sup>, cigarette Age, cigarette use, use, seniority second job, primary years in law use, caffeine use, activity, years in law enforcement, exercise enforcement, seniority seniority 2894 2992 2451 2898 n Age, cigarette use, Age, cigarette use, Mandatory OT Gender, cigarette Age, BMI<sup>†</sup>, cigarette use, seniority second job, primary seniority use, primary activity, activity, years in law exercise enforcement, seniority 2521 2512 2353 2622 n Voluntary OT Gender, cigarette Age, cigarette use, Age, cigarette use, Age, BMI<sup>†</sup>, cigarette use, seniority primary activity, seniority use, primary activity, seniority seniority 2531 2587 2536 2639 n Gender, cigarette Age, cigarette use, Any OT Age, cigarette use, Age, cigarette use, use, seniority primary activity, exercise seniority years in law enforcement, seniority 2368 2378 2470 2407 **Sleepiness** Gender, cigarette Age, cigarette use, Age, BMI<sup>†</sup>, cigarette Age, cigarette use, use, exercise, second job, primary seniority use, exercise seniority activity, seniority 2772 2375 2667 2921 n Sleep duration Gender, cigarette Age, cigarette use, Age, BMI<sup>†</sup>, cigarette Age, primary use, seniority caffeine use, primary activity, seniority use, primary activity, activity, exercise, exercise seniority 2554 2595 2537 2663

EE, emotional exhaustion; DP, depersonalization; OT, overtime; BMI, body mass index;  ${}^{\dagger}$ BMI was a continuous variable;  ${}^{\sharp}$ The n presented represents the sample for the adjusted analyses.

**Table S7.** Model fit assessment for models used to examine associations between shift work characteristics, shift schedules, sleep and burnout outcomes

		Block 1 model vs Baseline				Block 2 model vs	s Block 1 model	Block 2 model vs Baseline model	
Predictor variable	Baseline -2 log- likelihood	Block 1 -2 log- likelihood	$\chi^2$	P Value	Block 2 -2 log- likelihood	$\chi^2$	P Value	$\chi^2$	P Value
Emotional Exhaustion									
Night shift frequency	3242.398	3177.483	64.915	< 0.001	3176.031	1.451	0.694	66.367	< 0.001
Long work shifts per week (≥11 hours)	2518.501	2437.941	80.560	< 0.001	2424.368	13.573	0.004	94.133	< 0.001
Long work weeks	3224.288	3163.277	61.011	< 0.001	3161.114	2.162	0.141	63.173	< 0.001
Mandatory overtime	2855.519	2803.785	51.734	< 0.001	2792.186	11.599	0.001	63.333	< 0.001
Voluntary overtime	2851.474	2803.191	48.282	< 0.001	2802.681	0.510	0.475	48.792	< 0.001
Any overtime (Mandatory or voluntary)	2695.674	2650.557	45.117	< 0.001	2647.720	2.836	0.092	47.953	< 0.001
Shift schedule	3264.646	3200.499	64.147	< 0.001	3176.911	23.588	< 0.001	87.735	< 0.001
Sleep duration	2884.430	2829.733	54.696	< 0.001	2805.322	24.411	< 0.001	79.108	< 0.001
Sleepiness	3124.160	3046.472	77.688	< 0.001	3009.107	37.365	< 0.001	115.053	< 0.001
Depersonalisation									
Night shift frequency	3360.466	3252.568	107.898	< 0.001	3245.833	6.734	0.081	114.632	< 0.001
Long work shifts per week (≥11 hours)	3094.782	2989.169	105.613	< 0.001	2984.924	4.245	0.236	109.858	< 0.001
Long work weeks	3415.808	3316.551	99.258	< 0.001	3316.472	0.078	0.779	99.336	< 0.001
Mandatory overtime	3243.362	3134.813	108.549	< 0.001	3128.779	6.034	0.014	114.583	< 0.001
Voluntary overtime	3568.120	3475.359	92.761	< 0.001	3470.640	4.719	0.030	97.480	< 0.001
Any overtime (Mandatory or voluntary)	3322.268	3216.873	105.395	< 0.001	3202.430	14.443	< 0.001	119.838	< 0.001
Shift schedule	3376.754	3267.995	108.759	< 0.001	3263.216	4.779	0.189	113.538	< 0.001
Sleep duration	3579.600	3464.638	114.963	< 0.001	3462.581	2.056	0.152	117.019	< 0.001
Sleepiness	3273.321	3180.016	93.305	< 0.001	3163.182	16.833	< 0.001	110.138	< 0.001
Personal Accomplishment									
Night shift frequency	3933.344	3862.300	71.044	< 0.001	3857.460	4.841	0.184	75.885	< 0.001
Long work shifts per week (≥11 hours)	2905.861	2845.281	60.580	< 0.001	2841.977	3.304	0.347	63.884	< 0.001
Long work weeks	3922.667	3854.019	68.648	< 0.001	3848.346	5.674	0.017	74.322	< 0.001
Mandatory overtime	3441.816	3381.423	60.393	< 0.001	3381.416	0.007	0.935	60.400	< 0.001
Voluntary overtime	3459.090	3392.836	66.254	< 0.001	3390.823	2.012	0.156	68.266	< 0.001
Any overtime (Mandatory or voluntary)	3246.670	3183.680	62.990	< 0.001	3183.536	0.144	0.704	63.134	< 0.001
Shift schedule	3959.849	3895.533	64.316	< 0.001	3881.181	14.352	0.002	78.668	< 0.001
Sleep duration	3443.452	3390.407	53.045	< 0.001	3384.026	6.380	0.012	59.425	< 0.001
Sleepiness	3643.751	3580.752	62.999	< 0.001	3577.116	3.505	0.061	66.635	< 0.001
Overall Burnout									
Night shift frequency	2828.199	2767.204	60.995	< 0.001	2764.317	2.888	0.409	63.883	< 0.001
Long work shifts per week (≥11 hours)	2203.759	2156.970	46.789	< 0.001	2147.794	9.176	0.027	55.965	< 0.001
Long work weeks	2825.977	2768.128	57.849	< 0.001	2766.499	1.629	0.202	59.478	< 0.001
Mandatory overtime	2522.921	2471.633	51.289	< 0.001	2461.084	10.549	0.001	61.837	< 0.001
Voluntary overtime	2509.058	2462.021	47.038	< 0.001	2462.008	0.013	0.910	47.051	< 0.001
Any overtime (Mandatory or voluntary)	2386.497	2342.340	44.158	< 0.001	2336.122	6.218	0.013	50.375	< 0.001
Shift schedule	2825.181	2759.886	65.295	< 0.001	2736.336	23.549	< 0.000	88.845	< 0.001
Sleep duration	2527.726	2473.363	54.363	< 0.001	2458.897	14.466	< 0.001	68.829	< 0.001
Sleepiness	2748.475	2693.100	55.375	< 0.001	266.405	26.694	< 0.001	82.070	< 0.001

**Table S8.** High burnout outcomes associated with sleep and sleepiness in police regularly working long work shifts (≥2 long work shifts per week; n=978)

5 <del>-</del>		Positive result in	naliaa with hiah	Unadjusted		Adjusted¶	
7 8 —	Sleep characteristic, $n^{\dagger,\ddagger}$	burnout, No./No.	_	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> Value	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> Value
9		High EE	Low-moderate EE				
10 <sup>5</sup> 11 12	Sleep duration  ≥6 hours per night  <6 hours per night	105/228 (46.1) 123/228 (53.9) 845	398/617 (64.5) 219/617 (35.5)	2.13 (1.56 - 2.90)	<0.001	2.02 (1.46 - 2.78)	< 0.001
14 <sup>5</sup> 15 16	Sleepiness <11 ESS ≥11 ESS n	139/234 (59.4) 95/234 (40.6) 898	502/664 (75.6) 162/664 (24.4)	2.12 (1.55 - 2.90)	<0.001	1.99 (1.43 - 2.77)	<0.001
10		High DP	Low-moderate DP	_			
19 <sup>°</sup> 20 21 ,	Sleep duration ≥6 hours per night <6 hours per night n	198/371 (53.4) 169/371 (46.6) 857	317/486 (65.2) 173/487 (34.8)	1.64 (1.24 - 2.16)	<0.001	1.46 (1.09 - 1.97)	0.012
22 ; 23 ; 24 ; 25 ;	Sleepiness <11 ESS >11 ESS	244/384 (63.5) 140/384 (36.5) 907	403/523 (77.1) 120/523 (22.9)	1.93 (1.44 - 2.58)	<0.001	1.97 (1.46 - 2.66)	<0.001
26		Low PA	Moderate-high PA	_			
28 29 30 ;		188/317 (59.3) 129/317 (40.7) 833	311/516 (60.3) 205/516 (39.7)	1.04 (0.78 - 1.38)	0.783	1.02 (0.74 - 1.40)	0.907
	Sleepiness	245/252/50	270 (527 (71 0)				
32 33 34 <u>1</u>	<11 ESS ≥11 ESS	247/353 (70.) 106/353 (30.0) 880	379/527 (71.9) 148/527 (28.1)	1.01 (0.82 - 1.48)	0.533	1.08 (0.77 - 1.50)	0.673
35		Overall burnout	No overall burnout				
37 38 39		77/169 (45.6) 92/169 (54.5) 875	444/706 (62.9) 262/706 (37.1)	2.03 (1.44 - 2.84)	<0.001	1.92 (1.35 - 2.72)	<0.001
40 41	Sleepiness <11 ESS	101/175 (57.7)	560/754 (74.3)				
41 42 43 <u>/</u>	≥11 ESS	74/175 (42.3) 929	194/754 (25.7)	2.12 (1.50 - 2.98)	<0.001	2.03 (1.43 - 2.89)	<0.001

EE, emotional exhaustion; DP, depersonalisation; PA, personal accomplishment; ESS, Epworth Sleepiness Scale; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; †The *n* presented represents the sample for each unadjusted analysis. The *n* for the adjusted analyses are in Supplement 1, Table S4; ‡Some participants did not provide sufficient information from which to determine their sleep duration and sleepiness. The *n* presented are from those who answered sufficiently; ¶Adjusted for age, BMI, gender, marital status, hypertension, cigarette use, caffeine use, alcohol use, second job, primary activity, years as police officers, exercise frequency, and seniority. Variables included in each model are in Supplement 1, Table S4, and model fit is reported in Supplement 1, Table S5.

**Table S9.** High burnout outcomes associated with night shift frequency in police working fixed shifts (n=1822)

N7 1 1 1 0 0 0 + +	Positive result in police with high burnout,		Unadjusted		Adjusted¶	
Night shift frequency, $n^{\dagger, \ddagger}$	-	No./No. total (%)		P Value	OR (95% CI)	P Value
	High EE	Low-moderate EE				
Never/nearly never	170/378 (45.0)	614/1357 (45.2)	<del>-</del>			
1-4 per month	63/378 (16.7)	245/1357 (18.1)	0.93 (0.67 - 1.29)	0.656	0.90 (0.65 - 1.26)	0.550
1-4 per week	44/378 (11.6)	180/1357 (13.3)	0.88 (0.61 - 1.28)	0.510	0.92 (0.63 - 1.35)	0.666
Nearly every day	101/378 (26.7)	318/1357 (23.4)	1.15 (0.87 - 1.52)	0.338	1.17 (0.87 - 1.57)	0.290
n		735				
	High DP	Low-moderate DP				
Never/nearly never	279/733 (38.1)	518/1033 (50.1)	<del>-</del>			
1-4 per month	138/733 (18.8)	176/1033 (17.0)	1.46 (1.12 - 1.90)	0.006	1.33 (1.00 - 1.78)	0.051
1-4 per week	97/733 (13.2)	134/1033 (13.0)	1.34 (1.00 - 1.81)	0.053	1.19 (0.86 - 1.65)	0.301
Nearly every day	219/733 (29.9)	205/1033 (19.8)	1.98 (1.56 - 2.52)	< 0.001	1.65 (1.27 - 2.16)	< 0.001
n	1	766	, , ,		· · · · · ·	
	Low PA	Moderate-high PA				
Never/nearly never	301/688 (43.8)	465/1024 (45.4)	<del>-</del>			
1-4 per month	124/688 (18.0)	178/1024 (17.4)	1.08 (0.82 - 1.41)	0.596	1.05 (0.80 - 1.38)	0.728
1-4 per week	79/688 (11.5)	146/1024 (14.3)	0.84 (0.61 - 1.14)	0.257	0.77 (0.56 - 1.06)	0.113
Nearly every day	184/688 (26.7)	235/1024 (22.9)	1.21 (0.95 - 1.54)	0.122	1.10 (0.86 - 1.41)	0.465
n		712				
	Overall burnout	No overall burnout	1/0			
Never/nearly never	115/275 (41.8)	700/1530 (45.8)	· (~)			
1-4 per month	52/275 (18.9)	265/1530 (17.3)	1.19 (0.84 - 1.71)	0.329	1.13 (0.78 - 1.62)	0.528
1-4 per week	34/275 (12.4)	204/1530 (13.3)	1.01 (0.67 - 1.53)	0.946	1.03 (0.68 - 1.56)	0.891
Nearly every day	74/275 (26.9)	361/1530 (23.6)	1.25 (0.91 - 1.72)	0.173	1.25 (0.90 - 1.73)	0.186
n		805				

EE, emotional exhaustion; DP, depersonalisation; PA, personal accomplishment; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; †The *n* presented represents the sample for each unadjusted analysis. The *n* for the adjusted analyses are in Supplement 1, Table S10; ‡Some participants did not provide sufficient information from which to determine their night shifts. The *n* presented are from those who answered sufficiently; ¶Adjusted for age, BMI, gender, marital status, hypertension, cigarette use, caffeine use, alcohol use, second job, primary activity, years as police officers, exercise frequency, and seniority. Variables included in each model are in Supplement 1, Table S10 and model fit is reported in Supplement 1, Table S11.

**Table S10.** Variables included in models used to examine associations between night shift frequency in police working fixed shifts

Shift work and sleep characteristics, $n^{\ddagger}$	Emotional Exhaustion	Depersonalisation	Personal Accomplishment	Burnout (High EE+DP)
Night shift	Gender, cigarette	Age, caffeine use,	Age, BMI†, exercise	Gender, seniority
frequency	use, seniority	second job		
n	1683	1494	1688	1753

EE, emotional exhaustion; DP, depersonalisation.

**Table S11.** Model fit assessment for associations between night shift frequency and burnout in police working fixed shifts

			Block 1 m Baseline r				model vs 1 model		2 model vs ne model
Burnout Outcome	Baseline -2 log- likelihood	Block 1 -2 log- likelihood	$\chi^2$	P Value	Block 2 -2 log- likelihood	χ²	P Value	χ²	P Value
Emotional Exhaustion	1765.283	1725.032	40.251	< 0.001	1722.576	2.456	0.483	42.707	< 0.001
Depersonalization Personal	2043.728 2274.339	2003.702 2247.300	40.026 27.039	<0.001 <0.001	1989.114 2242.950	14.588 4.349	0.002 0.226	54.614 31.388	<0.001 0.001
Accomplishment Overall Burnout	1509.658	1488.810	20.847	0.004	1.486.948	1.862	0.602	22.709	0.012

## STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cross-sectional studies*

	Item No	Recommendation	Reported on Page No.
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Page 1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what	Page 3
		was done and what was found	1 age 3
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Pages 5-6
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Page 6, 9
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Pages 6-8 with additional details presented in Ref#3
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Pages 6-8 with additional details presented in Ref#
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	Pages 6-7 with additional details presented in Ref#
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	Pages 7-8
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Pages 7-8
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Pages 6-7
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Pages 6-7
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	Pages 7-9
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	Pages 8-9
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	Page 7, 8, 13, 24, 25
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	Pages 11-12, reported in Table 1; Pages 15-16, reported in Table 2; Page 18, reported in Table 3; Page 20, reported in Table 4.

		(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling	N/A
		strategy (a) Describe and constituity analysis	NT/A
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	N/A
Results	124	(a) December of the december o	D
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers	Pages 6-7
		potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follows up, and applying	
		in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	Pages 6-7
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Not included
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical,	Pages 10-12,
Descriptive data	14	social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	Reported in Table
			1
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of	Pages 10-12,
		interest	Reported in Table
			1
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	Pages 10-12,
			Reported in Tabl
			1
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted	Pages 13-20, and
		estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear	reported in tables
		which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	2-4
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were	Pages 13-20, and
		categorized	reported in tables
			2-4
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute	N/A
		risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions,	Supplement 1,
		and sensitivity analyses	Pages 1-10
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Page 21
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential	Page 25-26
		bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any	
		potential bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives,	Pages 21-26
		limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and	
		other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Pages 21-26
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present	Page 27
		study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present	
		article is based	

<sup>\*</sup>Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

**Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at

http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

Toto Beet Extended and a second