1	Nucleoside diphosphate kinase escalates A to C mutations in MutT deficient strains of
2	Escherichia coli
3	
4	Running Title: Role of NDK in genome instability
5	
6	Indu Kapoor ¹ , Elhassan Ali Fathi Emam ¹ , Abhirup Shaw ¹ and Umesh Varshney ^{1,2*}
7	¹ Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, 560012,
8	India, and ² Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research, Bangalore, 560064, India
9	
10	*Correspondence to: Umesh Varshney,
11	Phone: +918022932686, Fax: +918023602697,
12	E-mail: varshney@iisc.ac.in, uvarshney@gmail.com
13	
14	Keywords: dNTP, 8-oxo-dGTP (8-O-dGTP), MutT, NDK, mutation rate and mutation

15 frequency.

16 Supplementary Methods

17 **DNA oligomers**

- 18 Sequences of DNA oligomers are provided in Table S1.
- **19 Generation of strains**

20 *E. coli* CC101 $\Delta mutT \Delta ndk$

21 *E. coli* CC101 $\Delta mutT$:: kan strain was used as the parent to generate additional Δndk :: kan mutation. The kanamycin marker cassette was excised (cured) from CC101 $\Delta mutT$::kan strain using pCP20 22 23 plasmid. The plasmid harbors the gene sequence for FLP recombinase, which recognizes the FRT sites present in the sequence flanking the marker cassette and removes the DNA region between 24 the FRT sites (1). The CC101 $\Delta mutT$ cured strain was selected by its sensitivity to kanamycin and 25 verified by colony PCR using the primers *Eco mutT* Up and *Eco mutT* Dn, flanking *mutT* locus. 26 To obtain CC101 Δndk ::kan $\Delta mutT$ strain, P1 phage lysate was raised on JW2502-1 Δndk ::kan 27 strain and used to transduce E. coli CC101 $\Delta mutT$ cured strain followed by plating on LB Kan 28 29 plate. Transductants were confirmed by colony PCR using the primers *Eco_ndk*_KO_Fp and *Eco_ndk*_KO_Rp, flanking the *ndk* locus. 30

31 *E. coli* CC101 $\triangle mutT \triangle ribA$

Using *E. coli* CC101 $\Delta mutT$ strain cured for the antibiotic marker as a parent, *ribA* locus was disrupted by pKD46 mediated recombination (2). The linear fragment corresponding to $\Delta ribA::cm$ was created by amplification of chloramphenicol cassette from pKD3 using *Eco_ribA_*KO_Fp and *Eco_ribA_*KO_Rp primers containing 36 nucleotides flanking sequence of *ribA* gene. *E. coli* CC101 $\Delta mutT$ / pKD46 was grown at 30 °C in the presence of 0.2% arabinose and processed at O.D. 0.6 for electrocompetent cell preparation. Cells were electroporated with ~300ng of linear fragment at 1.8 kV, 200 Ω and 25 µF in a 0.1 cm cuvette, incubated for recovery at 37 °C in the

39	LB medium supplemented with 0.25 mg/ml riboflavin and selected for the knockouts on LB Cm
40	plate containing 0.25 mg/ml riboflavin. Knockouts were verified by PCR amplification of ribA
41	locus using flanking primers (Eco_ribA_Up and Eco_ribA_Dn) and internal primers
42	(<i>Eco_ribA_</i> IN_Fp and <i>Eco_ribA_</i> IN_Rp).

Supplementary References 43

- 1. Cherepanov PP, Wackernagel W. 1995. Gene disruption in Escherichia coli: Tc^R and Km^R 44
- cassettes with the option of Flp-catalyzed excision of the antibiotic-resistance determinant. 45
- Gene 158:9-14. PMID: 7789817 46

- 2. Datsenko KA, Wanner BL. 2000. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in Escherichia 47
- coli K-12 using PCR products. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 97:6640-6645. PMID: 10829079 48

DNA oligomer	Sequence (5' to 3'), restriction site is underlined			
<i>Eco_ndk_</i> NcoI_Fp	TGTAA <u>CCATGG</u> CTATTGAACG			
<i>Eco_ndk_</i> BglII_Rp	AAA <u>AGATCT</u> ACGGGTGCGCGG			
<i>Eco_ndk_</i> BglII_Rp_stop	ATT <u>AGATCT</u> TTAACGGGTGCGCG			
<i>Eco_ndk_</i> KO_Fp	ATGGATGACGTGGGGCAAATCG			
<i>Eco_ndk_</i> KO_Rp	CAGGTGTGACTAATTGTTCAGACATATGCTATTC			
<i>Eco_mutT_</i> KO_Up	TACAAGCAGTGCCATGGCCCCTG			
<i>Eco_mutT_</i> KO_Dn	GATGCGGCGAAAACGCCTTATCTG			
<i>Eco_ribA_</i> KO_Fp	TAAACGTGTGGCAGAAGCCAAACTGCCAACCCCATGTGT AGGCTGGAGCTGCTTCG			
<i>Eco_ribA_</i> KO_Rp	TTATTTGTTCAGCAAATGGCCCATTTTCTCGGCTTTCCAT ATGAATATCCTCCTTA			
<i>Eco_ribA_</i> Up	TGTGCCATTCCGTGAACGAT			
<i>Eco_ribA_</i> Dn	GCTTGCCGGTTATTTGCTT			
<i>Eco_ribA_</i> IN_Fp	TGACGCCCTGTTCAGCTTGC			
<i>Eco_ribA_</i> IN_Rp	CATTGACGCCAAGGAGTTTG			

dy.
l

MG1655 $\Delta mutT$					
	pBAD	pBAD_ Eco_mutT	pBAD_ Eco_ndk		
1.	4.31e-005	1.50e-006	1.89e004		
2.	3.80e-005	1.08e-006	1.47e-004		
3.	1.77e-005	7.63e-007	1.10e-004		
4.	1.21e-005	5.88e-007	1.00e-004		
Analysis (95% CI of median)					
Actual confidence level	100%	100%	100%		
Lower confidence limit	1.21e-005	5.88e-007	1.00e-004		
Median	2.78e-005	9.21e-007	1.28e-004		
Upper confidence limit	4.31e-005	1.50e-006	1.89e-004		

51 Table S2: Mutation frequency (Rif^r) values and analysis for Fig. 3.

CC101 $\Delta mutT$					
	pBAD	pBAD_ Eco_mutT	pBAD_ Eco_ndk		
1.	8.91e-006	2.38e-008	8.33e-006		
2.	7.54e-006	0.00e+000	8.00e-006		
3.	5.94e-006	0.00e+000	7.25e-006		
4.	5.70e-006	0.00e+000	6.05e-006		
5.	5.26e-006	0.00e+000	5.71e-006		
6.	5.16e-006	0.00e+000	5.69e-006		
7.	4.20e-006	0.00e+000	5.09e-006		
8.	4.07e-006	0.00e+000	4.62e-006		
9.	3.29e-006	0.00e+000	4.58e-006		
10.	2.12e-006	0.00e+000	3.16e-006		
Analysis (95% CI of median)					
Actual confidence level	97.85%	97.85%	97.85%		
Lower confidence limit	3.290e-006	0.0	4.580e-006		
Median	5.210e-006	0.0	5.70e-06		
Upper confidence limit	7.540e-006	0.0	8.000e-006		

53 Table S3: Mutation frequency (A to C) values and analysis for Fig. 4.

	Experiment 1		Experiment 2	
	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CC101} \\ \Delta mutT \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CC101} \\ \Delta mutT \ \Delta ndk \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CC101} \\ \Delta mutT \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CC101} \\ \Delta mutT \ \Delta ndk \end{array}$
1.	8.46e-006	2.11e-006	2.25e-005	4.93e-006
2.	7.63e-006	2.00e-006	1.67e-005	4.64e-006
3.	6.86e-006	1.26e-006	8.89e-006	4.59e-006
4.	6.84e-006	1.13e-006	7.50e-006	3.60e-006
5.	3.46e-006	1.03e-006	6.23e-006	2.81e-006
6.	3.37e-006	9.82e-007	6.15e-006	2.09e-006
7.	2.86e-006	9.34e-007	6.14e-006	1.87e-006
8.	2.79e-006	7.41e-007	5.23e-006	1.77e-006
9.	2.77e-006	7.03e-007	4.87e-006	1.71e-006
10.	2.13e-006	6.62e-007	4.68e-006	1.19e-006
Analysis (95% CI of median)				
Actual confidence level	97.85%	97.85%	97.85%	97.85%
Lower confidence limit	2.77e-006	7.03e-007	4.87e-006	1.71e-006
Median	3.41e-006	1.00e-006	6.19e-006	2.45e-006
Upper confidence limit	7.63e-006	2.00e-006	1.67e-005	4.64e-006

55 Table S4: Lac⁺ reversion frequency values and analysis for Figs. 5A and B.

	Experiment 1		Experiment 2	
	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CC101} \\ \Delta mutT \ \Delta ribA \end{array}$	CC101 ΔmutT ΔribA Δndk	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CC101} \\ \Delta mutT \ \Delta ribA \end{array}$	CC101 ΔmutT ΔribA Δndk
1.	5.17e-005	6.50e-006	3.66e-005	6.96e-006
2.	4.67e-005	5.44e-006	3.65e-005	6.78e-006
3.	3.65e-005	5.38e-006	2.24e-005	3.96e-006
4.	3.21e-005	5.19e-006	1.81e-005	3.96e-006
5.	3.09e-005	4.60e-006	1.39e-005	3.92e-006
6.	1.85e-005	3.39e-006	1.28e-005	3.55e-006
7.	1.57e-005	3.30e-006	1.08e-005	3.32e-006
8.	1.20e-005	2.84e-006	7.46e-006	2.88e-006
9.	8.15e-006	2.68e-006	7.38e-006	2.86e-006
10.	7.41e-006	2.66e-006	3.95e-006	1.52e-006
11.	4.37e-006	2.12e-006		
	Analysis (95% CI of median)			
Actual confidence level	98.83%	98.83%	97.85%	97.85%
Lower confidence limit	7.41e-006	2.66e-006	7.38e-006	2.86e-006
Median	1.85e-005	3.39e-006	1.33e-005	3.73e-006
Upper confidence limit	4.67e-005	5.44e-006	3.65e-005	6.78e-006

57 Table S5: Lac⁺ reversion frequency values and analysis for Figs. 6A and B.

	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CC101} \\ \Delta mutT \Delta ribA \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CC101} \\ \Delta mutT \Delta ribA \\ \Delta ndk \end{array}$	
1.	1.00e-006	4.13e-007	
2.	8.44e-007	2.56e-007	
3.	7.24e-007	2.36e-007	
4.	6.36e-007	1.82e-007	
5.	5.04e-007	1.57e-007	
6.	4.32e-007	1.41e-007	
7.	3.89e-007	1.40e-007	
8.	3.55e-007	1.17e-007	
9.	3.43e-007	9.63e-008	
10.	3.32e-007	8.73e-008	
11.	2.43e-007	3.83e-008	
Analysis (95% CI of median)			
Actual confidence level	98.83%	98.83%	
Lower confidence limit	3.32e-007	8.73e-008	
Median	4.32e-007	1.41e-007	
Upper confidence limit	8.44e-007	2.56e-007	

59 Table S6: Lac⁺ reversion rates and analysis for Fig. 6C.

Figure S1



Fig. S1: Verification of *ndk* deletion in CC101 $\Delta mutT$ strain. (A) Unmarked deletion of *mutT* gene was confirmed by the amplification of *mutT* locus, using the flanking primers, which amplifies 180 bp DNA from the CC101 $\Delta mutT$ strain as opposed to the 1380 bp DNA from the parent ($\Delta mutT::kan$) strain. (B) Deletion of *ndk* by transducing $\Delta ndk::kan$ allele in CC101 $\Delta mutT$ strain was verified by the amplification of *ndk* locus, using the flanking primers, where the wild type and *ndk::kan* alleles resulted in 801 bp and 1594 bp long amplicons, respectively.

Figure S2



Fig. S2: Validation of CC101 $\Delta mutT\Delta ribA$ and CC101 $\Delta mutT\Delta ribA\Delta ndk$ strains. Disruption of *ribA* gene was checked by the amplification of the locus, (**A**) using the flanking primers (amplifies 1248 bp and 745 bp amplicons from the knockout and the parent strains, respectively), (**B**) using the internal primers (results in no amplification versus an amplicon of 180 bp from the knockout and the parent strains, respectively). (**C**) Deletion of *ndk* gene in CC101 $\Delta mutT\Delta ribA$ strain was examined by the amplification of *ndk* locus, using the flanking primers (801 and 1594 bp long amplicons for the wild type and *ndk::kan* alleles resulted in 801 bp and 1594 bp long amplicons for the wild type and *ndk::kan* alleles, respectively.