SUPPLEMENTARY APPENDIX

This supplementary appendix has been provided by the authors to give readers more information about their work.

- 1. Trial Organization: pp 2
- 2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria: pp 3
- 3. Measures in Study: pp 4-6
 - a) STOP-Bang questionnaire
 - b) Epworth Sleepiness Scale
 - c) Friedman Staging, Mallampati Classification and Thyromental Distance
 - d) Polysomnography
 - e) Oximetry
 - f) Patient Opioids Education Measure (POEM) (Not reported in main manuscript due to limitation on the number of words. Methods and results of POEM reported here in esupplements)
- 4. Additional Results: pp 7
- 5. Supplementary e tables: pp 8-12

e-Table 1. Number of Patients Using Opioid Medications and Doses.

- e-Table 2. Demographic Data of Subjects Grouped by Polysomnography Completion.
- e-Table 3. Demographic Data of Participants Grouped by Severity of Sleep Apnoea
- e-Table 4. The percentage of patients with CAI \geq 5 in the different types of opioids.
- e-Table 5. Internal validation of models.
- 6. References: pp 13

Trial Organization

Steering Committee: Frances Chung (Chair), Jean Wong, Geoff Bellingham, Gerald Lebovic, Clodagh Ryan.

Polysomnography Committee: Clodagh Ryan, Charles George.

Protocol Development: Jean Wong, Mandeep Singh, Peter Liao, Clodagh Ryan, Frances Chung.

Statisticians: Gerald Lebovic, Rida Waseem.

Investigators: (number of patients enrolled in each site are in parentheses). Toronto Western Hospital Pain Clinic (44) - Frances Chung. Toronto Rehabilitation Institute Pain Clinic (61) - Frances Chung, Andrea Furlan. Women College Hospital Pain Clinic (54) - Jean Wong, Anuj Bhatia. Mount Sinai Hospital Wasser Pain Clinic (89) - Frances Chung, Philip Peng. St. Joseph's Health Care Pain Clinic (84) - Geoff Bellingham.

Pain Team at each pain clinic:

Toronto Western Hospital - Anuj Bhatia, Philip Peng, Neilesh Soneji, Paul Tumber. Mount Sinai Hospital - Hance Clarke, David Evans, Allan Gordon, Philip Peng, Paul Tumber, Neilesh Soneji.

Toronto Rehabilitation Institute - John Flannery, Andrea D Furlan, Dinesh Kumbhare. Women College Hospital - Arsenio Avila, Anuj Bhatia, David Flamer, Michael Gofeld, Anwar Morgan. St. Joseph's Hospital - Physicians: Collin Clarke, Eldon Loh, Tom Miller, Katherine Ower, Qutaiba Tawfic, James Watson. Psychologists; Heather Getty, Marilyn Hill. Nurses: Cathy Lowery, Cathy Rohfritsch.

Research Staff: Emad Al Azazi, Asmita Bhoite, Rabia Jogezai, Halema Khan, Fatiha Mim, Sazzadul Islam.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria:

- (1) Age \geq 18 years.
- (2) Using opioids for >3 months for chronic, non-cancer pain with a stable daily dose for at least 4 weeks.

Exclusion criteria:

- (1) Patients with chronic pain secondary to a neoplasm or metastasis as goals of care are different in this patient population.
- (2) Patients requiring urgent sleep physician referral (within four weeks) due to serious medical conditions or safety critical-occupations according to the 2011 Canadian Thoracic Society (CTS) guidelines (e.g. unstable ischaemic heart disease, recent cerebrovascular disease, congestive heart failure, refractory systemic hypertension, pulmonary hypertension, hypercapnic respiratory failure or pregnancy).¹
- (3) Conditions potentially interfering with comprehension and delivery of informed consent or the educational intervention, such as neurological or psychiatric disorders.
- (4) Patients with a prior diagnosis of sleep-related breathing disorder within the last 3 years with treatment. Patients who may have had sleep studies 3 or more years earlier may be included, if they have been lost to follow-up by a sleep physician, are not on treatment or are non-compliant to treatment (mean CPAP nightly use <4 hours, or median nightly CPAP use <50% of total sleep time.</p>

Measures in Study

The eligible participants underwent different measures including the STOP-Bang questionnaire, the Epworth Sleepiness Scale, Mallampati score, Friedman staging, and thyromental distance. This was followed by an in-laboratory overnight polysomnogram and oximeter at home. This section describes some of the measures.

a) STOP-Bang Questionnaire²

Snoring?

Do you **Snore Loudly** (loud enough to be heard through closed doors or your bed-partner elbows you for snoring at night)?

Tired?

Do you often feel **Tired**, **Fatigued**, or **Sleepy** during the daytime (such as falling asleep during driving)?

Observed?

Has anyone Observed you Stop Breathing or Choking/Gasping during your sleep?

Pressure?

Do you have or are being treated for High Blood Pressure?

Body Mass Index more than 35 kg/m²?

Age older than 50 year old?

Neck size large? (Measured around Adams apple)

For male, is your shirt collar 17 inches or larger? For female, is your shirt collar 16 inches or larger?

Gender = Male?

Scoring Criteria: Low risk of OSA: Yes to 0-2 questions High risk of OSA: Yes to 3-4 questions Very high risk of OSA: Yes to 5-8 questions

Proprietary to University Health Network

Supplementary Appendix		
b) Epworth Sleepiness Scale	3	
Name:	Today's date:	
Your age (Yrs.):	Your sex (Male = M, Female = F):	
How likely are you to doze o	ff or fall asleep in the following situations, in	n contrast to feeling just tired?
This refer to your usual way	of life in recent times.	
Even if you haven't done sor you.	me of these things recently try to work out h	now they would have affected
Use the following scale to ch	noose the most appropriate number for eac	h situation:
	0 = would never doze 1 = slight chance of dozing 2 = moderate chance of dozing 3 = high chance of dozing	
It is imp	portant that you answer each question as b	est you can.
Situation		Chance of Dozing (0-3)
Sitting and reading		_
Watching TV		
Sitting, inactive in a public pl	lace (e.g. a theater or a meeting)	
As a passenger in a car for a	n hour without a break	_
Lying down to rest in the aft	ernoon when circumstance permit	_
Sitting and talking to someon	ne	_

Sitting quietly after a lunch without alcohol ______ Ina car, while stopped for a few minutes in the traffic _____

© M.W. Johns 1990-97

c) Friedman Staging, Mallampati score and Thyromental Distance

All three measures, Freidman staging, Mallampati score, and thyromental distance (distance from the thyroid notch to tip of jaw) predict ease of intubation.⁴ A Pearson correlation showed that there was a moderate association between Friedman and Mallampati classification, r= 0.5, P<0.0001. Therefore, in the study, only Mallampati classification was presented and used in the models.

d) Polysomnography

In-laboratory polysomnography was used to determine sleep-disordered breathing. Apnoea was defined as \geq 90% drop in air flow from baseline for \geq 10 sec. Apnoeas were further classified as obstructive, central or mixed in nature. The apnoea was defined as obstructive or central due to the presence or absence of respiratory effort, respectively. A mixed apnoea was scored if there was absent inspiratory effort in the initial portion of the event, followed by resumption of inspiratory effort in the second portion of the event. Hypopnoea was defined as \geq 30% reduction in airflow for \geq 10 seconds and a \geq 3% decrease in the SpO₂ or associated with an arousal. Hypopnoeas were not further classified in this study due to the difficulty in accurately differentiating central from obstructive. Sleep related hypoxemia was defined as greater than 30% of total sleep time at a SpO₂ of less than 90%.⁵

Patients were classified as having obstructive sleep apnoea if the obstructive apnoea-hypopnoea index and mixed index was \geq 5 events per hour and \geq 50% were scored as obstructive in origin. Patients were classified as having central sleep apnoea when the central apnoea index were \geq 5 events per hour and >50% were scored as central in origin. Sleep apnoea was deemed indeterminate when the total apnoeahypopnoea index was \geq 5 events per hour and the obstructive or central apnoea-hypopnoea indices were < 5 events per hour.

e) Oximetry

The wristwatch pulse oximeter (PULSOX-300, Konica Minolta) was worn by patients during the same night as they underwent polysomnography. The oximetry data was extracted from the overnight recording with a commercially available software program and the oximetry data will be analysed in a subsequent manuscript.

f) Patient Opioid Education Measure (POEM)

Patients were assessed on their opioid-related knowledge via the Patient Opioid Education Measure (POEM) at the first visit.⁶ They were educated on opioids via an education sheet, relevant pamphlet and video from Institute for Safe Medication Practices (ISMP) Canada. The Patient Opioid Education Measure was repeated around 6-8 weeks during subsequent pain clinic visit. If patients were not scheduled for pain clinic visit within 6-8 weeks' period by the pain physician, the study personnel called the patients to collect answers on the POEM questionnaire and treatment therapy.

Additional Results

Patient Opioid Education Measure (POEM)

To measure the patient's opioid-related knowledge, POEM was completed at three different times, pretraining, post-training, and at a follow-up visit. In the unadjusted model, the mean score at the pretraining period was 65.16; 95% CI 63.82- 66.49. At post-training it decreased significantly by an average of 4.50 (2.81- 6.18) and at the follow-up visit, it did not increase significantly by 1.86 (-0.18,3.90), compared to pre-training (baseline). Adjustment of age, gender and BMI in the model did not change the results in any meaningful way (analysis not shown). We were unable to demonstrate any improvement in knowledge on opioids via an education sheet, relevant pamphlet and video from Institute for Safe Medication Practices (ISMP) Canada.

Supplementary Tables

e-Table 1 Number of Patients Using Opioid Medications and Doses.*

Opioid Medication⁷	Number	Dose ^a	Morphine Milligram
			Equivalents per day ^a
Morphine ^b	34	91.6 (5-950)	91.6 (5-950)
Hydromorphone ^c	41	23.8 (0.3-124)	120.6 (1.5-620)
Oxycodone ^d	82	46.4 (5-260)	69.0 (7.5-390)
Tramadol ^e	33	194.7 (37-550)	22.9 (3.7-60)
Codeine ^f	25	141.6 (30-600)	18.7 (4.5-60)
Methadone	14	34.4 (4-140)	318.3 (16-1680)
Buprenorphine ^g	9	9.5 (5-20)	112.2 (20-200)
Fentanyl ^h	21	61.9 (12.5-200)	552.6 (90-1440)
Meperidine	1	30.0 (30)	3.0 (3)
Tapentadol	5	220.0 (100-400)	88.0 (40-160)

*Daily opioids doses were converted to approximate MME using the approach set forth by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.⁷

^a Doses are presented as mean (range) per day in milligram

^b Morphine and Hydrocodone

^c Hydromorphone, Dilaudid, Hydromorph Contin

^d Oxycodone, Oxycontin, Oxycocet, Percocet

^e Tramadol, Tramacet

^fCodeine, Tylenol # 1, Tylenol # 2, Tylenol # 3, Tylenol # 4

^g Buprenorphine: patch, tablet

^h Fentanyl: patch

e-Table 2. Demographic Data of Subjects Grouped by Polysomnography Completion.					
Variables*	Participants did not complete PSG	Participants completed PSG			
N=332	128	204			
Body mass index, mean (SD), kg/m ²	29.3±7.5	28.6±6.3			
Age, mean (SD), year	52.4±13.1	52±13.1			
Neck circumference, mean (SD), cm	38.2±4.8	38.8±5			
Male, no. (%)	46(35.9)	84(41.2)			
STOP-Bang score, mean (SD)	3.4±1.6	3.6±1.7			
Epworth Sleepiness Scale, mean (SD), score	7±5.4	8.5±5.4 ⁺			
Daytime SpO2, mean (SD), %	95.5±1.7	95.1±2.3			
Thyromental distance, mean (SD), cm	8.3±1.9	8.6±1.9			
Morphine Milligram Equivalents per day (MME) median (IQR), mg/24h	53.3(20-162.5)	70(30-165)			
Medical History, no. (%)					
Active smoker	31(24.2)	47(23)			
Asthma/COPD	17(13.2)	27(13.2)			

PSG = Polysomnography; IQR = interquartile range; Daytime SpO₂ = oxyhaemoglobin saturation; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Plus-minus values are means \pm SD. Numbers and percentages are for categorical variables. T-test or Wilcoxon rank-sum test and chi-square analysis were conducted to examine differences in the characteristic for participants who completed PSG and did not. *P <0.05.

	Sleep Apnoea Severity					
CHARACTERISTICS*	Ν	All patients	No Sleep Apnoea (AHI<5)	Mild Sleep Apnoea (AHI 5 - <15)	Moderate Sleep Apnoea (AHI 15 - <30)	Severe Sleep Apnoea (AHI ≥30)
No of patients	204	204	84	55	28	37
Body Mass Index, mean (SD), kg/m ²	204	28.6±6.4	27.3±6.2	28.6±4.9	29.8±7.5	30.5±7.3 ⁺
Age, mean (SD), year	204	52±13.1	46.9±13.4	55.1±10.7	54.3±14.1	57.4±10.9 [±]
Neck circumference, mean (SD), cm	202	38.8±5	37.5±4.9	38.5±4.4	40.7±5.6	40.7±4.7 ⁺
Male, no. (%)	204	84(41.2)	26(31)	22(40)	14(50)	22(59.5)+
STOP-Bang score, mean (SD)	202	3.6±1.7	2.9±1.6	3.4±1.4	4.5±1.8	4.5±1.3 [±]
Epworth Sleepiness Scale score, mean (SD)	201	8.5±5.4	7.5±5.3	8.3±5.4	8.3±5.4	10.9±4.8 ⁺
Daytime SpO ₂ , mean (SD), %	194	95.1±2.3	95.9±1.9	95.2±1.8	94.1±2.5	93.9±2.8‡
Morphine Milligram equivalents per day (MME), median (IQR), mg/24h	203	70 (30-165)	60 (24.5-120)	60 (22.5-180)	80 (30-180)	95 (30-193)
Thyromental distance, mean (SD), cm	202	8.6±1.9	8.7±1.8	8.4±1.9	8.4±1.8	9±2
Mallampati score, no						
<3 & ≥3	204	99 & 105	47 & 37	27 & 28	11 & 17	14 & 23
SLEEP PARAMETERS						
Apnoea-hypopnoea index, median (IQR), events/hour	204	6.4 (2.3-19.1)	1.5 (0.8-3)	8 (5.9-11.4)	19.1 (17.3-24.9)	49.8 (39.8-70.8)‡
Obstructive apnoea index, median (IQR), events/hour	204	4.6 (1.5-13.5)	1.3(0.5-2.5)	5.6 (4.6-9.4)	16.3 (12.8-19.6)	32.7 (20.4-43.2)‡
Central apnoea index, median (IQR), events/hour	204	0.4(0-1.9)	0.05(0-0.45)	0.8(0.2-1.8)	2.3(0.2-6.3)	4.5(0.4-38.3)*
Mixed apnoea index, median (IQR), events/hour	204	0(0-0)	0.0(0-0)	0(0-0)	0(0-0)	0.2(0-1.5)*
Hypopnoea index, median (IQR), events/hour	203	4.09 (1.3-10.6)	1.3 (0.5-2.5)	5.6 (4.2-8.6)	13.4 (6.4-18)	21.3 (8.8-35.5)‡
Mean SpO ₂ , mean (SD), %	204	94.2±4.9	95±2.1	93.9±2.4	93.7±1.9	93.3±2.1 [‡]
Min SpO ₂ , mean (SD), %	204	86.2±6.4	90.1±3.5	86.5±4.6	81.8±7.5	80.5±6.5 [‡]
CT 90, median (IQR), %	203	0.2 (0-4.7)	0 (0-0.1)	0.3 (0-3.7)	1.9 (0.7-7.7)	4.8 (1.1-16.3)‡
Oxygen Desaturation, median (IQR), Index (≥3%)	196	9.1 (2.5-26.4)	1.8 (1-4)	9.5 (5.8-14.4)	22.5 (15.2-34.6)	49.1 (33.9-69.4)‡

IQR = interquartile range; AHI = apnoea-hypopnoea index; Daytime SpO₂ = oxyhaemoglobin saturation; CT90 = Cumulative time SpO₂ <90%; * Plus-minus values are means ± SD. Numbers and percentages are for categorical variables. One-way analysis of variance or Kruskal-Wallis test and chi-square analysis were conducted, as appropriate to examine differences in the characteristics for severity of sleep apnoea.

⁺ P <0.05.

[‡] P <0.001.

e-Table 4. The percentage of patients with CAI ≥5 in the different types of opioids.				
	CAI <5	CAI ≥5		
Opioids	n (%)	n (%)		
Ν	171	32		
Morphine	27(15.8)	7(21.9)		
Hydromorphone	35(20.5)	6(18.8)		
Oxycodone	65(38)	16(50)		
Tramadol	32(18.7)	1(3.1) ⁺		
Codeine	23(13.4)	2(6.3)		
Methadone	10(5.8)	4(12.5)		
Buprenorphine	9(5.3)	0(0)		
Fentanyl Patch	14(8.2)	7(21.9) *		

CAI = central apnoea index. †P<0.05.

e-Table 5. Internal validation of models.				
Model	Statistic	Original	Corrected	Optimism
AHI ≥ 15	Somers D	0.5724	0.5155	0.0569
	Brier's	0.1694	0.1847	-0.0153
AHI≥5	Somers D	0.5007	0.4366	0.0641
	Brier's	0.1981	0.2133	-0.0153
CAI≥5	Somers D	0.5936	0.5637	0.0298
	Brier's	0.1049	0.1129	-0.0081
Central Sleep	Somers D	0.6946	0.6885	0.0061
Apnoea	Brier's	0.0703	0.0753	-0.0050

AHI = apnoea-hypopnoea index; CAI = central apnoea index.

References

1. Fleetham J, Ayas N, Bradley D, et al. Canadian Thoracic Society 2011 guideline update: diagnosis and treatment of sleep disordered breathing. *Can Respir J.* 2011; 18:25–47.

2. Chung F, Subramanyam R, Liao P, et al. High STOP-Bang score indicates a high probability of obstructive sleep apnoea. *Br J Anaesth.* 2012; 108:768-75.

3. Johns MW. A new method for measuring daytime sleepiness. the Epworth sleepiness scale. Sleep 1991; 14: 540-

4. Butler PJ, Dhara SS. Prediction of difficult laryngoscopy: an assessment of the thyromental distance and

Mallampati predictive tests. Anaesth Intensive Care. 1992; 20:139-42.

5. Berry RB, Brooks R, Gamaldo C, et al. *The AASM Manual for the Scoring of Sleep and Associated Events: Rules, Terminology and Technical Specifications.* Version 2.0. Darien, IL: American Academy of Sleep Medicine, 2012.

6. Wallace LS, Wexler RK, Miser WF, McDougle L, Haddox JD. Development and validation of the Patient Opioid Education Measure. *J Pain Res.* 2013; 6: 663-81.

Dowell D, Haegerich TM, Chou R. CDC Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain - United States, 2016.
MMWR Recomm Rep. 2016;65(1):1-49.