

Corresponding author(s):	Nathan Lewis
Last updated by author(s):	Nov 8, 2019

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see <u>Authors & Referees</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

51	ta	ŤΙ	st	ICS

For all statistical analys	es, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.				
n/a Confirmed					
☐ ☐ The exact san	nple size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement				
A statement of	on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly				
The statistica Only common t	test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided ests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.				
A description	of all covariates tested				
A description	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons				
A full descript AND variation	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)				
For null hypor	thesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F , t , r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted a exact values whenever suitable.				
For Bayesian	analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings				
For hierarchic	al and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes				
Estimates of e	effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's <i>d</i> , Pearson's <i>r</i>), indicating how they were calculated				
ı	Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.				
Software and o	code				
Policy information abo	ut <u>availability of computer code</u>				
Data collection	We used data from UniProt, NCBI Gene, NCBI Protein and CHOgenome.org				
Data analysis	We used the open source COBRA Toolbox as well as COBRApy 0.15.4 for our analyses. Optimization was done with Gurobi 6.0 (64 bit) and Matlab 2015b				
For manuscripts utilizing cust	om algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers.				

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

All data that support the findings of this study, including the models and the Supplementary Jupyter Notebooks, are available in GitHub at https://github.com/LewisLabUCSD/MammalianSecretoryRecon

Field-spe	ecific re	porting				
Please select the or	ne below that is	the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.				
	В	ehavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences				
For a reference copy of t	the document with a	all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>				
Life scier	nces stu	ıdy design				
All studies must dis	close on these	points even when the disclosure is negative.				
Sample size	Sample size was	ze was chosen based on the number of clones of each cell line we had available in our laboratory				
Data exclusions	No data was exc	No data was excluded from this study				
Replication	Biological replic	ogical replicates were used in each of our culture experiments to verify reproducibility, which was successful				
Randomization	Randomization	domization was not relevant to our study since we did not do any work with animals or humans				
Blinding	Blinding was not relevant to our study since we did not do any work with animals or humans					
Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.						
Materials & exp	perimental sy	ystems Methods				
n/a Involved in th		n/a Involved in the study				
Antibodies		ChIP-seq				
Eukaryotic	cell lines	Flow cytometry				
Palaeontology MRI-based neuroimaging						
Animals and other organisms						
Human research participants						
Clinical dat	:a					
Eukaryotic c	ell lines					
Policy information a	about <u>cell lines</u>					
Cell line source(s))	In-house isogenic CHO-S cell lines from Thermo Fisher, CHO-K1 cells from ATCC				
Authentication		None of the cell lines were authenticated				
Mycoplasma conf	tamination	All cell lines were tested negative for mycoplasma contamination				

Commonly misidentified lines (See <u>ICLAC</u> register)

None