

Corresponding author(s): Timothy C. Wang Last updated by author(s): 2019/11/14

## Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see <u>Authors & Referees</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

#### Statistics

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section n/a Confirmed The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided

Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section A description of all covariates tested A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals) For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F, t, r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted Give P values as exact values whenever suitable. For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated

## Software and code

### nation about <u>availability of computer code</u>

Data collection Data analyzed in the present manuscript originates from bulk RNA sequencing and data processed at Columbia Genome Center, Further sources of data and software for data processing are indicated below.

on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the po

Data analysis

Sequencing data was processed using RTA (Illumina), bd2[sta]2 (version 2.0), coupled with adaptor trimming. Proudoalgement use carried out to a kalleto indec created from the mirror (BGR038 transcription using late) for 100 (18 miles) and transcript per kilobase million (TPA) per gene were computed from the kalleto output using the temport R package (Soneson et al., 2013). A negative binomial generalized linear model vasu used to set for differentially expressed genes between Sham and Scoppolamine treated that Cells as implemented in the DESeq2 R package (Love et al., 2014). Expression in TPM for select genes was illustrated in a heatmap using the phetaminar Package (Kole https://KRAIN. Propriect or/ginacage-perhatraps, 2015). Consensus signature between for sold that the segme expression in greater between Scoppolamine treated that gene expression inguisture between Scoppolamine and Sahm treated furth cells was examined using methodology as described by Subramanian et al. (PMAS. 2005). Select enrichment results were illustrated using custom R code. A list cell regulatory network was reverse engineered by AARChea PL (Lournaman et al. 2015) using 10.2 selected in RNA-seg gene expression profiles of the full linear AARChe was run with standard settings (using data processing inequality) (PII), with 100 booktrap interations using all gene symbols mapping to a set of 18.11 transcription factors that includes genes annotated in the Gene Ontology (CO) molecular function distables as G.0003700 (transcription factor activity), G.00004677 (DNA binding), G.00035282 (transcription regulator activity) or as G.00006677 (C) 0054484 (regulation of transcription). Thresholds for the tolerated DPI and mutual information of value were est to and 10-8, respectively. Mater regulator analysis was performed by interrogating the gene expression signature between scopplanmen and sham restricted turk cells using the tuff cell regulatory network and the most pressibility of the composition of the scopplant of the research but not yet de

manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research <u>guidelines for submitting code & software</u> for further informatic

Western blof (immunoblot):
EGFR, company Millipors Sigma, cathri. 07-847
pEGFR, company Millipors Sigma, cathri. 07-820
ERK. 1/21, company Cell Sigmaling, cathri. 78-820
ERK. 1/21, company Cell Sigmaling, cathri. 4691
peRK. company Cell Sigmaling, cathri. 4691
peXt. tompany Cell Sigmaling, cathri. 4691
peXt. tompany Cell Sigmaling, cathri. 4298
peXt. tompany Cell Sigmaling, cathri. 4298
DEX. tompany Cell Sigmaling, cathri. 4298
ACMANI. 1007-LID company Action. 47-170
M38, company Abcam, cathri. 126189
B.Actin, company Cell Sigmaling, cathri. 2038
B.Actin, company Cell Sigmaling, cathri. 4970

Antibodies for mouse studies were validated by comparison of positive and negative controls and positive results were venified with the manufacturer's information and referenced publications.

## Animals and other organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals: ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

Laboratory animals All studies were performed on adult C57BL/6J mice or genetically engineered mouse models as indicated in the Methods section; only adult mice aged 6-10 weeks at the time of sacrifice or tamoxifen induction were analyzed, both sexes were used. The study did not involve wild animals. Wild animals

Field-collected samples The study did not involve samples collected from the field

Ethics oversight All mouse studies were approved by the Columbia University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (directed by Mary Jo Shepherd, Execute Director of the Office of the IACUC, Columbia University). Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

# Flow Cytometry

# Plots

The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).

🔀 The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers)

All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.

A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

# Methodology

Small intestinal cripts were isolated from prosimal murine jejunum (Haber et al., 2017). Harvested pieces were minced, washed in ice-cold DRBs, subjected to EDTA-chelation (ID mM solution, exchanged three times) and mild shaking at 4°C. An additional step of mild piecher jesus (DRBS), Wiss Solution following EDTA chelation was used to nonzeas cryot cell welds single-cell suspensions were then obtained from enriched cryots and will by incubation in culture media containing ROCK inhibitor for 45 min at 3°TC, followed by mild mechanical dissociation using a syringe with a 21 Genedle (Sate et al., 2009). The suspension was then fiftered through a 40 jum mesh and dissociation using a syringe with a 21 Genedle (Sate et al., 2009). The suspension was then fiftered through a 40 jum mesh and dissociation and cell count determined using a hemosytometer. Stainings were performed with floropropher conjugated artibilities in 2°TE PSPSDs solution for 25 min on ice in the dark. Live/dead staining has been achieved by addition of DAPI right before data acquisition.

Instrument BD Fortessa flow cytometer (analysis), FACS Aria II (sorting)

FACS Diva Software and FlowJo V10 have been employed to process and analyze data

Cell population abundance Rostsort fraction analysis has not been performed; RT-PCR and sequencing analysis of the respective sorted cell populations has been performed to ensure specificity and purity of sorting.

Gating strategy

FSC/SSC gating was employed to enrich subsequent gates for single cells and exclude doublets from analysis; subsequent live dead separation was achieved by addition of DAP prior to analysis or sorting (cells below 10.3 were considered viable); Epc/APC gating include cells 10.3 or higher (FpC-API-high), the reporter-positive call population (FPC-A/TECA # 530-APC). ATTEX APP 250-APC was determined by simultaneous analysis of negative controls and sorting of positive and negative populations for RT-PCR analysis. Color compensation controls were used for the initial setup of gating strategy. An exemplifying gating strategy is included in Supplementary Fig. 3C.

Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information

Policy information about <u>availability of data</u>

All manuscripts must include <u>a data availability statement</u>. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable
- Accession codes, unjoine identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A lest of figures that have associated raw data

All relevant data are available from the authors upon request. The RNA sequencing data reported in this study are available from Gene Expression Omnibus with accession code GSE188365 [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/queny/acc.cg/?acc/GSE188365]. The source data underlying Fig. 18-0. Fig. 2C-E. Fig. 3, Fig. 48-E. Fig. 58-D. Fig. 6A-D. Supplementary Fig. 3A, D. E. Supplementary Fig. 3A-E. Supplementary Fig. 3A, E. Supplementary Fig

## Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection. Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

l	life sciences study design						
Ä	All studies must dis	udies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.					
	Sample size	No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample size. Mouse experiments were performed on at least n = 3 biological replicates, on average including 4-5 mice per group and experiment.					
	Data exclusions	Data was only excluded from the analysis in cases of outliers. Full data is provided in the Source Data file.					
	Replication	All attempts at replication were successful. All graphs represent data with at least three biological replicates, all images represent findings reproduced at least twice in the laboratory. Where applicable, technical replicates have been indicated in the respective Method section or Figure legend.					
	Randomization	Animal experiments were performed on littermates randomly allocated to different experimental groups.					
	Blinding	The investigators were blinded for image and quantitative analysis.					

## Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

Ma	terials & experimental systems	Methods	
n/a	Involved in the study	n/a Involved in the study	
	Antibodies	ChIP-seq	
$\boxtimes$	Eukaryotic cell lines	Flow cytometry	
$\boxtimes$	Palaeontology	MRI-based neuroimaging	
	Animals and other organisms		
$\boxtimes$	Human research participants		
$\boxtimes$	Clinical data		

### Antibodies

Antibodies used

Immunohistochemistry, immunofluorescence, flow cytometry: DCAMKLI (DCLKI), company Abcam. cat-nr. 31704 (Upcompan Longmapy Daloc, cata-nr. 2016) ChgA. company Abcam. cat-nr. 12160 MSR, company Abcam. cat-nr. 12160 MSR, company Abcam. cat-nr. 12206 Beta-lli-houlini, company Abcam. cat-nr. 12207 APC anti-mouse Company Bollegend, cat-nr. 2007 DAPI, company BD Pharmingen, cat-nr. 364907 npany Biolegend, cat-nr. 118214 . 564907