FAM181A and FAM181B, two new TEAD interactors.

Fedir Bokhovchuk^{1#}, Yannick Mesrouze^{1#}, Clara Delaunay¹, Typhaine Martin¹, Frédéric Villard², Marco Meyerhofer¹, Patrizia Fontana¹, Catherine Zimmermann¹, Dirk Erdmann¹, Pascal Furet³, Clemens Scheufler², Tobias Schmelzle¹ and Patrick Chène^{1*}.

¹Disease Area Oncology, ²Chemical Biology & Therapeutics and ³Global Discovery Chemistry, Novartis Institutes for Biomedical Research, Basel, Switzerland.

Supplementary Table

	FAM181A ¹⁹⁰⁻²⁰⁵ :TEAD4 ²¹⁷⁻⁴³⁴	FAM181B ²²⁰⁻²³⁵ :TEAD4 ²¹⁷⁻⁴³⁴
	PDB 6SEN	PDB 6SEO
	Data collection ^a	1
Space group	C ₂	H32
Cell (Å, deg)	a=66.5, b=132.1, c=62.0, β=115.9	a=b=135.9, c=88.7
Resolution (Å)	1.61 (1.73-1.61) ^b	2.52 (2.64-2.52) ^b
R _{merge, all} (%)	3.8 (83.5)	11.2 (224.9)
Mean I/ $\sigma(I)$	14.3 (1.4)	15.5 (1.1)
Completeness spherical (%)	77.0 (20.1)	72.5 (28.0)
Completeness ellipsoidal (%)	93.7 (63.4)	95.0 (81.7)
Multiplicity	3.4 (3.5)	10.1 (10.2)
	Refinement ^c	
Resolution (Å)	25 - 1.65	30 - 2.55
No. reflections	47141	7693
R _{work} / R _{free} (%)	19.0 / 21.9	18.3 / 23.2
R.m.s deviations bond lengths (Å)	0.010	0.010
R.m.s deviations bond angles (deg)	1.09	1.2
Complex molecules in AU	2	1
Myristate	Covalent ^d	Covalent ^d

Supplementary Table 1. Crystallographic data collection and refinement statistics for the FAM181A/B:TEAD4 complexes. ^a. Values as reported in autoPROC ¹. ^b. Highest resolution shell is shown in parentheses. ^c. Values as defined in BUSTER (Global Phasing Ltd., UK). ^d. The mysristate was modeled covalently bound to Lys344^{TEAD4}.

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Fig. S1. Sequences of the motifs used to interrogate the databases. See Material and Methods for explanations. The residues in red indicate the positions for which the identification of alternative amino acids is evaluated in the corresponding motif search.

Supplementary Fig. S2. Inhibition curves obtained in the TR-FRET assay. The ability of the different peptides or protein fragments to inhibit the YAP:TEAD interaction was measured in a TR-FRET assay. Twelve stepwise dilutions (dilution factor 3.33) of each peptide/protein fragment were used in the experiments. The highest concentrations present in the assay were 222 μ M for FAM181A¹⁹⁰⁻²⁰⁵, FAM181B²²⁰⁻²³⁵ or YAP⁸⁴⁻⁹⁹; 250 μ M for YAP⁸⁵⁻⁹⁹; 55 μ M for FAM181A¹²⁷⁻²⁰⁵; 125 μ M for FAM181B¹⁵⁷⁻²³⁷. The IC₅₀ values were estimated by nonlinear regression analysis with GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). The stars indicate the signal measured in the absence of the peptide or protein fragments.

Supplementary Fig. S3. LC-MS analyses of FAM181A¹²⁷⁻²⁰⁵ and FAM181B¹⁵⁷⁻²³⁷. The figure represents HPLC profiles obtained with the purified FAM181A¹²⁷⁻²⁰⁵ and FAM181B¹⁵⁷⁻²³⁷ fragments. The numbers indicate the mass measured by mass spectrometry. The theoretical molecular weight calculated from the primary sequence are given in brackets. The amount of sample used for the analysis is indicated.

Supplementary Fig. S4. Binding of FAM181B¹⁵⁷⁻²³⁷ and FAM181A¹²⁷⁻²⁰⁵ to wt^{TEAD4}, Val389Ala^{TEAD4} and Asp272Ala^{TEAD4}. The biotinylated N-Avitagged TEAD4 proteins were immobilized on sensor chips, and the binding of different concentrations of FAM181B¹⁵⁷⁻²³⁷ or FAM181A¹²⁷⁻²⁰⁵ was measured at 298°K by Surface Plasmon Resonance. The upper panels show representative sensorgrams and the lower panels the corresponding binding isotherms from which K_d values (at equilibrium) were derived (excepted for Asp272Ala^{TEAD4}). The sensorgrams were globally fitted with a 1:1 interaction model using the Biacore T200

evaluation software (Biacore, Sweden). The concentrations used are indicated. The signal measured at equilibrium (R_{max}^{eq}) and the calculated maximum feasible signal (R_{max}^{th}) are given. Only apparent K_d values were determined with FAM181A¹²⁷⁻²⁰⁵ (see text).

Supplementary Fig. S5. Molecular dynamics simulation of the FAM181B¹⁵⁴⁻²³⁶:TEAD4²¹⁷⁻⁴³⁴ complex. The simulation of molecular dynamics was run using the Desmond module (Maestro package, Schrodinger Inc., Cambridge, MA). Ten snapshots of the 10 ns molecular dynamics simulation are shown. The initial, intermediate and final conformations of FAM181B¹⁵⁴⁻²³⁶ are in blue, orange and green, respectively. TEAD4²¹⁷⁻⁴³⁴ is represented in gray. The different secondary structure elements of FAM181B¹⁵⁴⁻²³⁶ are indicated. The picture was drawn with PyMOL (Schrödinger Inc., Cambridge, MA).

Supplementary Fig. S6. Structural study of FAM181A/B. **A.** Circular dichroism (CD) analysis of FAM181A¹²⁷⁻²⁰⁵ and FAM181B¹⁵⁷⁻²³⁷. The proteins were dialyzed in 20 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.4, 100 mM KF, 0.25 mM TCEP and diluted in this buffer to 0.2 mg.mL⁻¹. Far-UV CD spectra were recorded as previously described ². TEAD4²¹⁷⁻⁴³⁴ was used as an example of folded protein. The figure represents the average CD spectrum obtained from two independent experiments. **B.** PrDos analysis of full length FAM181A/B. The primary sequences of FAM181A (UniProt Q8N9Y4) and FAM181B (UniProt A6NEQ2) were analyzed with the protein disorder prediction server PrDOS (http://prdos.hgc.jp) ³ using the default settings. The upper panels are graphic representations of the disorder probability calculated for each residue. A probability score higher than 0.5 suggests that the residue is located in a disordered area. The lower panels correspond to the primary sequence of the two proteins with the residues located in regions predicted to be disordered indicated in red. The green arrows indicate FAM181A¹²⁷⁻²³⁷.

References

- Vonrhein C, Flensburg C, Keller P, Sharff A, Smart O, Paciorek W, Womack T, Bricogne G (2011) Data processing and analysis with the autoPROC toolbox. Acta Crystallogr Sect D 67:293-302.
- Mesrouze Y, Bokhovchuk F, Meyerhofer M, Fontana P, Zimmermann C, Martin T, Delaunay C, Erdmann D, Schmelzle T, Chène P (2017) Dissection of the interaction between the intrinsically disordered YAP protein and the transcription factor TEAD. eLife 6:e25068.
- Ishida T, Kinoshita K (2007) PrDOS: prediction of disordered protein regions from amino acid sequence. Nucl Acids Res 35:W460-W464.

Supplementary Fig. S1

	Corresponding positions in YAP ⁸⁵⁻⁹⁹														
	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99
Motif_01	Р	х	R	х	R	х	[LM]	Р	х	{S}	F	F	х	х	Р
Motif_02	Р	х	R	х	R	х	[LM]	Р	х	S	{F}	F	х	х	Р
Motif_03	Р	х	R	х	R	х	[LM]	Р	х	S	F	{F}	х	х	Р
Motif_04	Р	х	R	х	R	х	{LM}	Р	х	S	F	F	х	х	Р
Motif_05	{P}	х	R	х	R	х	[LMF]	Р	х	S	F	[FW]	х	х	Р
Motif_06	Р	х	{R}	х	R	х	[LMF]	Р	х	S	F	[FW]	х	х	Р
Motif_07	Р	х	[RKHS]	х	{R}	х	[LMF]	Р	х	S	F	[FW]	х	х	Р
Motif_08	Р	х	[RKHS]	х	R	х	[LMF]	Р	х	{S}	F	[FW]	х	х	Р
Motif_09	Р	х	[RKHS]	х	R	х	[LMF]	Р	х	S	{F}	[FW]	х	х	Р
Motif_10	Р	х	[RKHS]	х	R	х	[LMF]	{P}	х	S	F	[FW]	х	х	Р
Motif_11	{P}	х	[RKHS]	х	R	х	[LMF]	Р	х	S	F	[FW]	х	х	Р
Motif_12	Р	х	[RKHS]	x	R	х	[LMF]	Р	х	S	F	[FW]	х	х	{P}
Motif_13	Р	х	[RKHS]	х	R	х	[LMF]	Р	х	S	F	{FW}	х	х	Р
Motif_14	Р	х	[RKHS]	х	R	х	{LMF}	Р	х	S	F	[FW]	х	х	Р
Final	Р	х	[RKHS]	х	R	х	[LMF]	Р	х	S	F	[FW]	х	х	Р







Supplementary Fig. S4

60

FAM181B157-237:wtTEAD4



80











FAM181A¹²⁷⁻²⁰⁵:Asp272Ala^{TEAD4}









FAM181A

FAM181B



MPLEERRSSG	ERNDAAPTNH	RRPGEKRAST	AKQVSSVPFL	GAAGHQQSLP	50
SSWKASCSGP	LVMASDSDVK	MLLNFVNLAS	SDIKAALDKS	APCRRSVDHR	100
KYLQKQLKRF	SQKYSRLPRG	LPGRAAEPYL	KRGSEDRPRR	LLLDLGPDSS	150
PGGGGGCKEK	VLRNPYREEC	LAKEQLPQRQ	HPEAAQPGQV	PMRK RQLPAS	200
FWEEPRPTHS	YHVGLEGGLG	PREGPPYEGK	KNCKGLEPLG	PETTLVSMSP	250
RALAEKEPLK	MPGVSLVGRV	NAWSCCPFQY	HGQPIYPGPL	GALPQS PVPS	300
LGLWRKSPAF	PGELAHLCKD	VDGLGQKVCR	PVVLKPIPTK	PAVPPPIENV	350
FGYL					400



MAVQAALLST	HPFVPFGFGG	SPDGLGGAFG	ALDKGCCFED	DETGAPAGAL
LSGAEGGDVR	EATRDLLSFI	DSASSNIKLA	LDKPGKSKRK	VNHRKYLQKQ
IKRC SGLMGA	APPGPPSPSA	ADTPAKRPLA	APSAPTVAAP	AHGKAAPRRE
ASQAAAAASL	QSR SLAALFD	SLRHVPGGAE	PAGGEVAAPA	AGLGGAGTGG
AGGDVAGPAG	ATAIPGA RKV	PLRARNLPPS	FFTEPSRAGG	GGCGPSGPDV
SLGDLEKGAE	AVEFFELLGP	DYGAGTEAAV	LLAAEPLDVF	PAGASVLRGP
PELEPGLFEP	PPAVVGNLLY	PEPWSVPGCS	PTKKSPLTAP	RGGLTLNEPL
SPLY PAAADS	PGGEDGRGHL	ASFAPFFPDC	ALPPPPPPHQ	VSYDYSAGYS
RTAYSSLWRS	DGVWEGAPGE	EGAHRD		