TREND Statement Checklist

Paper Section/ Topic	Item	Descriptor	Reported?	
	No			
Title and Abst	ract			
Title and	1	Information on how unit were allocated to interventions	YES	
Abstract		Structured abstract recommended	YES	
		Information on target population or study sample	YES	•
Introduction				
Background	2	Scientific background and explanation of rationale	YES	
		Theories used in designing behavioral interventions	YES	
Methods				
Participants	3	Eligibility criteria for participants, including criteria at different levels in	YES	
Tarticipants		recruitment/sampling plan (e.g., cities, clinics, subjects)	YES	
		 Method of recruitment (e.g., referral, self-selection), including the 	YES	
		sampling method if a systematic sampling plan was implemented	YES	
		Recruitment setting	YES	•
		Settings and locations where the data were collected	YES	-
Interventions	4	Details of the interventions intended for each study condition and how		
		and when they were actually administered, specifically including:		
		Content: what was given?	Yes	
		 Delivery method: how was the content given? 	Yes	
		 Unit of delivery: how were the subjects grouped during delivery? 	Yes	
		 Deliverer: who delivered the intervention? 	Yes	
		 Setting: where was the intervention delivered? 	Yes	
		 Exposure quantity and duration: how many sessions or episodes or 		
		events were intended to be delivered? How long were they intended to last?	NA	
			INA	
		 Time span: how long was it intended to take to deliver the intervention to each unit? 	NA	
		Activities to increase compliance or adherence (e.g., incentives)	Yes	
Objectives	5	• Specific objectives and hypotheses	Yes	
Outcomes	6	Clearly defined primary and secondary outcome measures	Yes	
		Methods used to collect data and any methods used to enhance the	103	
		quality of measurements	Yes	
		 Information on validated instruments such as psychometric and biometric 	105	
		properties	NA	
Sample Size	7	 How sample size was determined and, when applicable, explanation of any 	1 1/2 1	
Sample Size	'	interim analyses and stopping rules	Yes	
Assignment	8	 Unit of assignment (the unit being assigned to study condition, e.g., 	103	
Assignment Method		individual, group, community)	Yes	
		 Method used to assign units to study conditions, including details of any 	105	
		restriction (e.g., blocking, stratification, minimization)	Yes	
		 Inclusion of aspects employed to help minimize potential bias induced due 	100	
		to non-randomization (e.g., matching)	Yes	

TREND Statement Checklist

Blinding	9	Whether or not participants, those administering the interventions, and	Yes
(masking)		those assessing the outcomes were blinded to study condition assignment; if so, statement regarding how the blinding was accomplished and how it was assessed.	
Unit of Analysis	10	 Description of the smallest unit that is being analyzed to assess intervention effects (e.g., individual, group, or community) 	Yes
		If the unit of analysis differs from the unit of assignment, the analytical method used to account for this (e.g., adjusting the standard error estimates by the design effect or using multilevel analysis)	Yes
Statistical Methods	11	 Statistical methods used to compare study groups for primary methods outcome(s), including complex methods of correlated data 	Yes
		Statistical methods used for additional analyses, such as a subgroup analyses and adjusted analysis	Yes
		Methods for imputing missing data, if used	NA
		Statistical software or programs used	Yes
Results			
Participant flow	12	Flow of participants through each stage of the study: enrollment, assignment, allocation, and intervention exposure, follow-up, analysis (a)	Yes
		diagram is strongly recommended) o Enrollment: the numbers of participants screened for eligibility, found to be eligible or not eligible, declined to be enrolled, and enrolled in the study	Yes
		Assignment: the numbers of participants assigned to a study condition	Yes
		 Allocation and intervention exposure: the number of participants assigned to each study condition and the number of participants who received each intervention 	Yes
		 Follow-up: the number of participants who completed the follow-up or did not complete the follow-up (i.e., lost to follow-up), by study condition 	NA
		 Analysis: the number of participants included in or excluded from the main analysis, by study condition 	Yes
		 Description of protocol deviations from study as planned, along with reasons 	NA
Recruitment	13	Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up	Yes
Baseline Data	14	 Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of participants in each study condition 	Yes
		 Baseline characteristics for each study condition relevant to specific disease prevention research 	Yes
		Baseline comparisons of those lost to follow-up and those retained, overall and by study condition	NA
		 Comparison between study population at baseline and target population of interest 	Yes
Baseline	15	Data on study group equivalence at baseline and statistical methods used	Yes

TREND Statement Checklist

16	 Number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis for each study condition, particularly when the denominators change for different outcomes; statement of the results in absolute numbers when feasible 	Yes
	 Indication of whether the analysis strategy was "intention to treat" or, if not, description of how non-compliers were treated in the analyses 	Yes
17	 For each primary and secondary outcome, a summary of results for each estimation study condition, and the estimated effect size and a confidence interval to indicate the precision 	Yes
	Inclusion of null and negative findings	Yes
	 Inclusion of results from testing pre-specified causal pathways through which the intervention was intended to operate, if any 	Yes
18	 Summary of other analyses performed, including subgroup or restricted analyses, indicating which are pre-specified or exploratory 	Yes
19	 Summary of all important adverse events or unintended effects in each study condition (including summary measures, effect size estimates, and confidence intervals) 	NA
20	 Interpretation of the results, taking into account study hypotheses, sources of potential bias, imprecision of measures, multiplicative analyses, and other limitations or weaknesses of the study 	Yes
	 Discussion of results taking into account the mechanism by which the intervention was intended to work (causal pathways) or alternative mechanisms or explanations 	Yes
	• Discussion of the success of and barriers to implementing the intervention, fidelity of implementation	Yes
	Discussion of research, programmatic, or policy implications	Yes
21	 Generalizability (external validity) of the trial findings, taking into account the study population, the characteristics of the intervention, length of follow-up, incentives, compliance rates, specific sites/settings involved in the study, and other contextual issues 	Yes
22	General interpretation of the results in the context of current evidence and current theory	Yes
	17 18 19 20	study condition, particularly when the denominators change for different outcomes; statement of the results in absolute numbers when feasible Indication of whether the analysis strategy was "intention to treat" or, if not, description of how non-compliers were treated in the analyses For each primary and secondary outcome, a summary of results for each estimation study condition, and the estimated effect size and a confidence interval to indicate the precision Inclusion of null and negative findings Inclusion of results from testing pre-specified causal pathways through which the intervention was intended to operate, if any Summary of other analyses performed, including subgroup or restricted analyses, indicating which are pre-specified or exploratory Summary of all important adverse events or unintended effects in each study condition (including summary measures, effect size estimates, and confidence intervals) Interpretation of the results, taking into account study hypotheses, sources of potential bias, imprecision of measures, multiplicative analyses, and other limitations or weaknesses of the study Interpretation of the results, taking into account the mechanism by which the intervention was intended to work (causal pathways) or alternative mechanisms or explanations Discussion of the success of and barriers to implementing the intervention, fidelity of implementation Discussion of research, programmatic, or policy implications Generalizability (external validity) of the trial findings, taking into account the study population, the characteristics of the intervention, length of follow-up, incentives, compliance rates, specific sites/settings involved in the study, and other contextual issues General interpretation of the results in the context of current evidence

From: Des Jarlais, D. C., Lyles, C., Crepaz, N., & the Trend Group (2004). Improving the reporting quality of nonrandomized evaluations of behavioral and public health interventions: The TREND statement. *American Journal of Public Health*, 94, 361-366. For more information, visit: http://www.cdc.gov/trendstatement/