

Insecticidal activity and biochemical composition of *Citrullus colocynthis*, *Cannabis indica* and *Artemisia argyi* extracts against cabbage aphid (*Brevicoryne brassicae* L.)

Maqsood Ahmed^{1,*}, Qin Peiwen^{1,*}, Zumin Gu¹, Yuyang Liu¹, Aatika Sikandar^{1,*}, Dilbar Hussain⁴, Ansar Javeed^{2,*}, Jamil Shafi³, Mazher Farid Iqbal², Ran An¹, Hongxia Guo¹, Ying Du¹, Weijing Wang¹, Yumeng Zhang¹ & Mingshan Ji^{1,*}

Supplementary Tables.

Table S 1. Mean mortality of *B. brassicae* by residual/ leaf dip bioassay at different concentrations by ethanol extract of CCL, CIL and ATL.

Plants Extract	Conc. (mgmL ⁻¹)	Mean mortality		
		24 h	48 h	72 h
CCL	2.5	10±2.23 ^d	15±2.23 ^d	28.33±5.62 ^d
	5	13.33±1.29 ^{cd}	20±2.23 ^{cd}	31.67±5.62 ^d
	10	16.67±1.29 ^c	23.33±1.29 ^c	40±3.87 ^d
	15	18.33±1.29 ^{bc}	26.67±1.29 ^c	63.33±1.29 ^c
	20	23.33±2.58 ^b	40±2.23 ^b	76.66±1.29 ^b
	+ive control	81.67±1.29 ^a	88.33±1.29 ^a	98.33±3.87 ^a
	CK	0±0 ^e	0±0 ^e	1.67±1.29 ^e
	Statistics summary	F=163.97, P= 0.000 DF=104	F=151.28, P= 0.000 DF=104	F=53.93, P= 0.000 DF=104
CIL	2.5	6.67±2.58 ^{cd}	18.33±3.4 ^d	40±2.23 ^d
	5	8.33±1.29 ^c	30±2.23 ^{cd}	50±2.23 ^c
	10	13.33±1.29 ^{bc}	30±2.58 ^{cd}	56.67±4.40 ^{bc}
	15	16.67±3.41 ^b	38.33±2.58 ^c	61.67±2.58 ^b
	20	20±2.23 ^b	45±4.47 ^c	66.67±2.58 ^b
	+ive control	86.66±1.29 ^a	91.67±2.58 ^b	96.67±2.58 ^a
	CK	0±0 ^e	0±0 ^e	1.67±1.29 ^e
	Statistics summary	F=128.33, P= 0.000 DF=104	F=61.92, P= 0.000 DF=104	F=77.94, P= 0.000 DF=104
ATL	2.5	5±2.23 ^{de}	23.33±1.29 ^d	35±2.23 ^e
	5	15±2.23 ^{cd}	26.67±1.29 ^d	65±2.23 ^d
	10	18.33±2.5 ^c	43.33±1.89 ^c	76.67±1.29 ^c
	15	31.67±3.41 ^b	53.33±3.41 ^b	81.67±3.8 ^c
	20	35±3.83 ^b	60±2.23 ^b	88.33±1.29 ^b
	+ive control	81.67±3.41 ^a	90±3.87 ^a	96.6±1.29 ^a
	CK	0±0 ^e	0±0 ^e	1.67±1.29 ^e
	Statistics summary	F=57.34, P= 0.001 DF=104	F=97.45, P= 0.001 DF=104	F=261.85, P= 0.001 DF=104

Whereas: CCL (*C. colocynthis*); CIL (*C. Indica*); ATL (*A. argyi*); Values are represented as mean ± standard error followed by different superscripts are significantly different according to (Tukey's HSD $P > 0.05$).

Table S 2. Analysis of variance of the effect of mortality (%) versus treatment, concentration and time by residual/leaf dip assay

Source	Type III S.S	DF	M.S	F
C.M	177357.143	104	2860.599	101***
I	278876.190	1	278876.190	9851***
t	3342.857	2	1671.429	59.0***
C	128181.217	6	21363.536	754***
T	30891.270	2	15445.635	545***
t × C	2927.513	12	243.959	8.62***
t × T	820.635	4	205.159	7.25***
C × T	9329.101	12	777.425	27.5***
t × C × T	1864.550	24	77.690	2.75***

Note: S.S (Sum of Square); M.S (Mean square); DF (Degree of freedom); C.M (Corrected model); I (Intercept); t (Treatments); C (Concentrations); T (Time); t×C (Treatments × Concentrations); t×T (Treatments × Time); C×T (Concentrations × Time); t×C×T (Treatments × Concentrations × Time).

Table S 3. Mean mortality of *B. brassicae* by contact/aphid dip bioassay at different concentrations by ethanol extract of CCL, CIL and ATA.

Plants Extract	Conc. (mg ml^{-1})	Mean mortality		
		24 h	48 h	72 h
CCL	2.5	53.33 \pm 5.16 ^c	56.67 \pm 6.83 ^d	58.33 \pm 5.62 ^d
	5	58.33 \pm 5.16 ^c	61.67 \pm 5.62 ^{cd}	65 \pm 4.47 ^d
	10	61.67 \pm 4.65 ^{bc}	66.67 \pm 3.41 ^{bcd}	71.67 \pm 3.41 ^{cd}
	15	68.33 \pm 1.29 ^{bc}	75 \pm 2.23 ^b	81.67 \pm 3.41 ^{bc}
	20	75 \pm 2.2 ^b	80 \pm 0.15 ^{ab}	83.33 \pm 2.58 ^{bc}
	+ive control	95 \pm 2.23 ^a	95 \pm 2.23 ^a	98.33 \pm 1.29 ^b
	CK	0 \pm 0 ^d	0 \pm 0 ^e	1.67 \pm 1.29 ^e
CIL	Statistics summary	$F=33.18, P=0.000$ DF=104	$F=34.90, P=0.000$ DF=104	$F=41.62, P=0.000$ DF=104
	2.5	23.33 \pm 5.16 ^d	38.33 \pm 1.29 ^c	71.67 \pm 3.41 ^c
	5	33.33 \pm 5.16 ^{cd}	41.67 \pm 1.29 ^c	78.33 \pm 2.58 ^{bc}
	10	46.67 \pm 6.83 ^{bc}	56.67 \pm 1.29 ^b	78.33 \pm 2.58 ^{bc}
	15	55 \pm 2.23 ^b	60 \pm 2.23 ^b	81.67 \pm 1.29 ^{bc}
	20	58.33 \pm 2.58 ^b	63.33 \pm 2.58 ^b	81.67 \pm 1.29 ^b
	+ive control	91.67 \pm 2.58 ^a	96.67 \pm 2.58 ^a	98.33 \pm 1.29 ^a
ATL	CK	0 \pm 0 ^e	0 \pm 0 ^d	1.67 \pm 1.29 ^d
	Statistics summary	$F=25.72, P=0.000$ DF=104	$F=147.12, P=0.000$ DF=104	$F=98.96, P=0.000$ DF=104
	2.5	36.66 \pm 2.58 ^c	43.33 \pm 2.58 ^d	46.67 \pm 3.41 ^d
	5	58.33 \pm 2.58 ^{bc}	56.67 \pm 3.41 ^c	60 \pm 4.47 ^c
	10	58.33 \pm 6.45 ^{ab}	61.67 \pm 1.29 ^{bc}	68.33 \pm 1.29 ^c
	15	60 \pm 5.91 ^{ab}	65 \pm 3.87 ^{bc}	83.33 \pm 1.29 ^b
	20	65 \pm 5.91 ^{ab}	70 \pm 2.23 ^b	93.33 \pm 3.41 ^b
	+ive control	88.33 \pm 3.41 ^a	93.33 \pm 3.41 ^a	100 \pm 0 ^b
	CK	0 \pm 0 ^d	0 \pm 0 ^e	1.67 \pm 1.29 ^e
	Statistics summary	$F=15.07, P=0.000$ DF=104	$F=47.62, P=0.000$ DF=104	$F=88.74, P=0.000$ DF=104

Whereas: CCL (*C. colocynthis*); CIL (*C. indica*); ATL (*A. argyi*); Values are represented as mean \pm standard error followed by different superscripts are significantly different according to (Tukey's HSD $P > 0.05$)

Table S 4. Analysis of variance of the effect of mortality (%) versus treatment, concentration and time by contact/aphid dip assay.

Source	Type III S.S	D.F	M.S	F
C.M	158105.026	62	2550.081	44.4***
I	640211.640	1	640211.640	11152.0***
t	1683.598	2	841.799	14.6***
C	140995.767	6	23499.295	409***
T	7743.122	2	3871.561	67.4***
t × C	1086.772	12	90.564	1.57 ^{NS}
t × T	2311.640	4	577.910	10.1***
C × T	1821.693	12	151.808	2.64**
t × C × T	2462.434	24	102.601	1.79*

Note: S.S (Sum of Square); M.S (Mean square); C.M (Corrected model); I (Intercept); t (Treatments); C (Concentrations); T (Time); t×C (Treatments × Concentrations); t×T (Treatments × Time); C×T (Concentrations × Time); t×C×T (Treatments × Concentrations × Time); N.S (non-significant)