OMTN, Volume 19

# **Supplemental Information**

# **Chemical Diversity of Locked Nucleic**

### **Acid-Modified Antisense Oligonucleotides**

## **Allows Optimization of Pharmaceutical Properties**

Natalia Papargyri, Malene Pontoppidan, Mikael R. Andersen, Troels Koch, and Peter H. Hagedorn

#### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES



**Figure S1**. *Reproducible knockdown of HIF1A mRNA across four biological replicate screens*. All 768 LNA-gapmers evaluated in this study are shown. HeLa cells were treated for three days at a concentration of 5 µM by unassisted uptake and mRNA evaluated by RT-qPCR A) Screen 1 vs screen 2. B) Screen 1 vs screen 3. C) Screen 1 vs screen 4. D) Screen 2 vs screen 3. E) Screen 2 vs screen 4. F) Screen 3 vs screen 4. For all scatterplots, r indicates Pearson's correlation.



**Figure S2**. *Reproducible knockdown of caspase activation across three biological replicate screens and evaluation of concentration dependence*. **A)** Screen 1 vs screen 2. **B)** Screen 1 vs screen 3. **C)** Screen 2 vs screen 3. For all scatterplots ABC, *r* indicates Pearson's correlation, and all 768 LNA-gapmers evaluated in this study 24h after transfection of 100 nM in HeLa cells are shown. **D)** Evaluation of caspase activation of two positive control gapmers targeting *HIF1A* and known to knock down *HIF1A* mRNA, P1 and P2, and two negative control gapmers not targeting *HIF1A* and expected to be well-tolerated in cells C1 and C2, see Supplementary Table 1. Four concentrations tested 3, 10, 30 and 100nM. Points indicate individual measurements (*n*=3) and lines indicate average values.



**Figure S3**. *Preferred chemical modification architectures*. **A)** For region A, boxplots of gapmers matching the preferred criteria (n=50 gapmers) with respect to knockdown activity and **B**) cytotoxic potential versus gapmers not matching those criteria (n=206). **C**) For region B, boxplots of gapmers matching the preferred criteria (n=6 gapmers) with respect to knockdown activity and **D**) cytotoxic potential versus gapmers not matching those criteria (n=250). Significance evaluated by two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test.



**Figure S4**. Comparison of modification architectures between region A and B. A) Knockdown activity. B) Cytotoxic potential.



**Figure S5**. Impact of LNAs on  $T_m$ , and  $T_m$  on knockdown activities. **A)** Boxplots of  $T_m$  for 16nt gapmers as a function of the number of LNAs in each gapmer. Dashed lines indicate optimal  $T_m$  for regions A and B. **B)** Scatterplot of  $\Delta T_m$  (absolute difference between gapmer  $T_m$  and optimal  $T_m$  in target region) versus knockdown activity. *r* indicates Pearson's correlation. *r*<sup>2</sup> indicates coefficient of determination.



**Figure S6**. *Proportion of variance explained of knockdown activity of 16nt gapmers by robust linear model as a function of gap motif length in nt.* Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.



**Figure S7**. *Measured and predicted knockdown activities for 13nt gapmers stratified by gap sizes.* **A)** Barplot showing number of 13nt gapmers with gap sizes from 6 to 11nt. **B)** Boxplots of measured knockdown activities of *HIF1A* mRNA (%PBS) for 13nt gapmers stratified by gap size.