CHEMISTRY A European Journal

Supporting Information

Low-Valent Group 14 Phosphinidenide Complexes [({SIDipp}P)₂M] Exhibit P–M $p\pi$ – $p\pi$ Interaction (M=Ge, Sn, Pb)

Markus Balmer,^[a] [Yannick J. Franzke](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8344-113X),^[b] [Florian Weigend,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5060-1689)^[c] and Carsten von Hänisch^{*al]}

chem 201905061 sm miscellaneous information.pdf

Table of Contents

Experimental Procedures

All manipulations were performed under an inert argon atmosphere using standard *Schlenk* techniques. The solvents were dried by standard procedures and freshly destilled before used. The storage and use of moisture or air sensitive substances were carried out under an inert argon atmosphere in a glovebox. SIDippHCl,^[1] Na(PCO) ⋅ 2 dioxane,^[2,3] benzyl potassium,^[4] (SIMes)GeCl₂^[5] and (SIMes)PbBr₂^[5] were prepared according to literature methods. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV II 300 or AV III HD 300. The coupling constants *J* were reported in Hertz (Hz) and the chemical shift (δ) is given in ppm relative to the standard (³¹P: H₃PO₄; ¹H, ¹³C: SiMe₄; ¹¹⁹Sn: SnMe₄). IR-spectra were recorded on a Bruker ALPHA FT-IR with a diamond ATR (500-4000 cm⁻¹). Elemental analyses were performed on an ELEMENTAR Vario Microcube and the content is reported in %. UV-vis spectra were recorded on an analytikjena SPECORD S600 spectrophotometer in pentane solution.

[(SIDipp)PH] (1): Compound **1** was synthesized using a modified literature known procedure. [6] A 250 mL schlenk flask was charged with SiDippHCl (24.0 g, 56.2 mmol, 1 eq.) and Na(PCO) ∙ 2 dioxane (14.5 g, 56.2 mmol, 1 eq.) in a glovebox and 100 mL Benzonitrile was added at room temperature. The suspension was stirred at 85 °C until the reaction was completed (monitored via ³¹P NMR spectroscopy where the signal for Na(PCO) ∙ 2 dioxane dissappeared after approximately 35 h). The solvent of the resulting orange colored solution was completely removed under reduced pressure and slightly elevated temperatures (45 °C). The remaining solid was rigorously dried under these conditions. The solid was grinded to a fine powder in a glovebox and SIDippPH was extracted using a Soxhlett-apparatus with *n*-pentane as solvent for approximately one week. The solvent of the resulting suspension was removed and SIDippPH was obtained as a pale yellow solid. Yield 13.1 g, 30.9 mmol, 55%.

This compound is already known in literature, but was synthesized in a different manner.^[7] The analytical data gathered were identical to those reported earlier.[7]

IR**:** ̃/cm-1 = 3066 (w), 2960 (s), 2923 (s), 2862 (m), 2300 (m, PH)**,** 1480 (s), 1453 (s), 1415 (m), 1388 (m), 1381 (m), 1351 (m), 1325 (s), 1296.2 (s), 1277 (m), 1260 (s), 1182 (s), 1092 (s), 1053 (m), 1017 (m), 937 (m), 868 (m), 799 (s), 753 (m), 703 (m), 619 (m), 558 (m), 488 (m), 428 (m).

[(SIDipp)PK] (2): The synthesis of compound **2** was inspired by literature known procedures.[8] A 250 mL schlenk flask was charged with SIDippPH (260 mg, 0.62 mmol, 1 eq.) and benzyl potassium (80 mg, 0.62 mmol, 1 eq.) in a glovebox and 100 mL toluene was added at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at 40°C for 8 h. After cooling to room temperature the forming solid is gathered by filtration. The precipitation is washed three times with 20 mL toluene and 20 mL pentane. (SIDipp)PK was obtained as a red-orange powder (Yield 100 mg, 0.22 mmol, 35%). Due to the insolubility in aliphatic solvents as well as in deuterated benzene and toluene and decomposition in solvents containing heteroatoms (e.g. pyridine-d₅, THF-d₈ and other ethers like diethylether) it was not possible to analyse this compounds via NMR spectroscopy.

IR: ̃ = 2961 (m), 2934 (m), 2885 (m), 2855 (m), 1607 (w), 1472 (m), 1397 (m), 1379 (m), 1358 (m), 1292 (m), 1258 (s), 1204 (m), 1173 (m), 1094 (m), 1071 (m), 1015 (s), 930 (m), 849 (m), 801 (s), 731 (w), 696 (w), 624 (w), 597 (w), 577 (m), 527 (m), 493 (m), 466 (m) cm-1 .

Elemental analysis calcd. (%) for $C_{27}H_{38}N_2PK$ ([460.69 g/mol]): C 70.39, H 8.31, N 6.08; found: C 70.41, H 8.23, N 5.80.

General procedure for compounds 3-5:

(SIDipp)PK (47 mg, 0.10 mmol, 2 eq.) was added to (SIDipp)MX₂ (for M = Ge and X = Cl; M = Pb and X = Br) or TX₂ (For T = Sn and X = Cl) (0.05 mmol, 1 eq.) in 8 mL toluene at –80 °C. The reaction mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the residue was resolved in 20 mL pentane. The suspension was centrifuged and the obtained solution was concentrated *in vacuo*. Storage of the solution at –32 °C leads to crystals, which were separated.

[(SIDipp)P2Ge] (3): Yield 23 mg, 0.025 mmol, 49%. Suitable crystals (purple blocks) for X-ray measurements were obtained from pentane solution at 6 °C.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 0.91 (t, ³J_{H-H} = 7.2 Hz, 6H, CH₃ of pentane, the CH₂ groups are overlayered by CH₃ of the *i*Pr substituents), 1.25 (d, ³*J*H-H = 7.0 Hz, 24H, CH(C*H*3)2), 1.30 (d, ³*J*H-H = 6.8 Hz, 24H, CH(C*H*3)2), 3.27 (hept, ³*J*H-H = 6.7 Hz, 8H, C*H*(CH3)2), 3.53 (s, 8H, NC*H*2C*H*2N), 7.04 (s, 4H, C*H*arom para), 7.18-7.20 (m, 8H, C*H*arom meta) ppm.

¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 14.3 (s, CH₃ of pentane), 22.7 (s, CH₂CH₃ of pentane), 24.7 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 25.3 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 29.2 (s, *C*H(CH₃)₂), 34.5 (s, CH₂CH₂CH₂ of pentane) 52.6 (s, NCCN), 125.0 (s, CH_{arom.} para), 129.2 (s, CH_{arom.} meta), 137.0 (s, C_{ipso}), 147.6 (s, C_{arom.} ortho), 191.3 (m, NCN) ppm.

³¹P NMR (250 MHz, C_6D_6): δ = 145.2 (s) ppm.

UV-Vis (pentane solution): 542.5 , 420.0 (shoulder), 270.5, 218.5 nm.

IR: ̃/cm-1 = 2957 (m), 2922 (m), 2863 (m), 1587 (w), 1452 (m), 1403 (m), 1381 (m), 1346 (w), 1327 (w), 1271 (m), 1225 (s), 1194 (s), 1144 (m), 1094 (m), 1056 (m), 1023 (w), 941 (m), 797 (s), 753 (m), 727 (w), 700 (w), 615 (w), 582 (w), 551 (s), 475 (s), 417 (m).

Elemental analysis calcd. (%) for $C_{29}H_{44}P_2N_2$ ([915.81 g/mol]): C 70.82, H 8.37, N 6.12; found: C 70.31, H 8.75, N 5.96.

[[SIDipp)P2Sn] (4): Yield 27 mg, 0.028 mmol, 55%. Suitable crystals (purple blocks) for X-ray measurements were obtained from toluene solution at -32 °C.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 0.91 (t, ³J_{HH} = 6.9 Hz, 6H, CH₃ of pentane, the CH₂ groups are overlayered by CH₃ of the *i*Pr substituents), 1.25 (d, ³J_{HH} = 7.0 Hz, 24H, CH(C*H*₃)₂), 1.32 (d, ³J_{HH} = 6.8 Hz, 24H, CH(C*H*₃)₂), 3.30 (hept, ³J_{HH} = 6.8 Hz, 8H, CH(CH₃)₂), 3.60 (s, 8H, NC*H*₂C*H*₂N), 7.03-7.06 (m, 4H, C*H*arom para), 7.20 (m, 8H, C*H*arom meta) ppm.

¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 14.3 (s, CH₃ of pentane), 22.7 (s, CH₂CH₃ of pentane), 24.7 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 25.3 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 29.1 (s, *C*H(CH3)2), 34.5 (s, CH2*C*H2CH² of pentane) 52.4 (s, N*CC*N), 125.1 (s, *C*Harom. para), 129.2 (s, *C*Harom. meta), 137.5 (s, Cipso), 148.0 (s, Carom. Ortho), 192.3 (m, NCN) ppm.

³¹P NMR (250 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 121.4 (s, ¹J_{Sn-P} = 1334 Hz) ppm.

¹¹⁹Sn NMR (186 MHz, C_6D_6): from $+3000$ ppm to -2000 ppm no signal observed.

UV-Vis (pentane solution): 554.0, 367.5, 298.5, 216.0 nm.

IR: ̃/cm-1 = 2958 (m), 2922 (m), 2862 (m), 1451 (m), 1396 (m), 1380 (m), 1327 (w), 1259 (m), 1226 (s), 1192 (s), 1143 (m), 1092 (s), 1055 (m), 1022 (m), 944 (m), 796 (s), 752 (m), 727 (w), 698 (w), 613 (w), 552 (s), 480 (m), 418 (w).

Elemental analysis calcd. (%) for C₅₄H₇₆SnN₄P₂ ([961.89 g/mol]): C 67.43, H 7.96, N 5.82; found: C 67.12, H 8.40, N 5.96.

[(SIDipp)P2Pb] (5): Yield 28 mg, 0.026 mmol, 50%. Suitable crystals (purple blocks) for X-ray measurements were obtained from toluene solution at 6 °C.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 0.91 (t, ³J_{HH} = 7.1 Hz, 6H, CH₃ of pentane, the CH₂ groups are overlayered by CH₃ of the *i*Pr substituents), 1.25 (d, ³*J*H-H = 7.0 Hz, 24H, CH(C*H*3)2), 1.29 (d, ³*J*H-H = 6.8 Hz, 24H, CH(C*H*3)2), 3.28 (hept, ³*J*H-H = 6.9 Hz, 8H, C*H*(CH3)2), 3.73 (s, 8H, NC*H*2C*H*2N), 7.06 (m, 4H, C*H*arom para), 7.20 (m, 8H, C*H*arom meta) ppm.

¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 14.3 (s, CH₃ of pentane), 22.8 (s, CH₂CH₃ of pentane), 24.9 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 25.2 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 29.0 (s, *C*H(CH₃)₂), 34.5 (s, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂ of pentane) 52.4 (s, NCCN), 125.0 (s, CH_{arom.} para), 129.2 (s, CH_{arom.} meta), 137.3 (s, C_{ipso}), 148.4 (s, C_{arom} Ortho), 186.3 (m, NCN) ppm.

³¹P NMR (250 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 116.8 (s, ¹J_{207Pb-P} = 1673 Hz) ppm.

²⁰⁷Pb NMR (62.5 MHz, C_6D_6): from -5000 ppm to +6500 ppm no signal observed.

UV-Vis: (pentane solution): 569.0, 420.5, 244.5 (shoulder), 213.0 nm.

IR: ̃/cm-1 = 2957 (s), 2922 (m), 2862 (m), 1677 (w), 1586 (w), 1449 (m), 1379 (m), 1347 (w), 1327 (w), 1255 (m), 1226 (s), 1190 (s), 1143 (s), 1105 (m), 1092 (s), 1056 (m), 1024 (w), 945 (m), 797 (s), 753 (m), 727 (w), 697 (w), 614 (w), 577 (w), 553 (s), 482 (m), 428 (m).

Elemental analysis calcd. (%) for $C_{54}H_{76}PbN_4P_2$ ([1050.38 g/mol]): C 61.75, H 7.29, N 5.33; found: C 61.78, H 7.34, N 5.13.

[(SIDipp)PSnCl]² (6): (SIDipp)PK (70 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 eq.) was added to SnCl² (29 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1 eq.) in 8 mL toluene at –80 °C. The reaction mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature. The suspension was centrifuged and the solvent of the obtained solution was removed *in vacuo*. The residue was washed twice with 20 mL pentane and afterwards resolved in toluene. Storage of the solution at –32 °C leads to crystals (yellow orange blocks), which were separated (Yield 36 mg, 0.031 mmol, 41%). Suitable crystals for X-ray measurements were obtained from a saturated solution in toluene at 6°C.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 1.06 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 6.8 Hz, 24H, CH(C*H*₃)₂), 1.61 (bs, 24H, CH(C*H₃*)₂), 3.34 (hept, ³*J*_{HH} = 6.4 Hz, 8H, C*H*(CH₃)₂), 3.40 (s, 8H, NC*H*2C*H*2N), 7.11-7.14 (m, 12, C*H*arom meta+para) ppm.

¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 23.9 (bs, CH(CH₃₎₂), 26.6 (s, CH(CH₃₎₂), 29.2 (s, CH(CH₃₎₂), 53.3 (s, N*CC*N), 130.3 (s, CH_{arom.} para), 135.0 (s, CH_{arom.} meta), 147.0 (s, C_{arom.} ortho), not observed: C_{Ipso}, NCN ppm

³¹P NMR (250 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = -65.3 (s, ¹J_{117Sn-P} = 974 Hz; ¹J_{119Sn-P} = 1019 Hz) ppm.

¹¹⁹Sn NMR (186 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 235.8 (t, ¹J_{Sn-P} \approx 1030 Hz) ppm.

 $IR: \tilde{v}/cm^{-1} = 2962$ (m), 2927 (m), 2865 (w), 1630 (w), 1587 (w), 1464 (m), 1441 (m), 1418 (m), 1386 (w), 1324 (w), 1180 (w), 1147 (w), 1108 (w), 1050 (w), 948 (w), 933 (w), 798 (s), 752 (m), 730 (w), 700 (w), 623 (w), 611 (w), 552 (s), 483 (s).

Elemental analysis calcd. (%) for C₅₄H₇₆Sn₂Cl₂N₄P₂ ([1151.50 g/mol]): C 56.33, H 6.65, N 4.87; found: C 55.97, H 6.65, N 5.33.

 $[$ (SIDipp)PPbBr]₂ (7): $($ SIDipp)PK $(70 \text{ mg}, 0.15 \text{ mmol}, 1 \text{ eq.})$ was added to $($ SIMes)PbBr₂ $(102 \text{ mg}, 0.15 \text{ mmol}, 1 \text{ eq.})$ in 8 mL toluene at –80 °C. The reaction mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature. The suspension was centrifuged and the solvent of the obtained solution was removed *in vacuo*. The residue was washed twice with 20 mL pentane and afterwards resolved in toluene. Storage of the solution at –32 °C leads to crystals (yellow orange blocks), which were separated (Yield 13 mg, 0.01 mmol, 12%). Suitable crystals for X-ray measurements were obtained from a saturated solution in toluene overlayered with pentane at room temperature.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 1.05 (d, ³J_{HH} = 6.8 Hz, 24H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.59k (bs, 24H, CH(CH₃)₂), 3.34 (hept, ³J_{HH} = 6.4 Hz, 8H, CH(CH₃)₂), 3.467 (s, 8H, NC*H*2C*H*2N), 7.03-7.06 (m, 4H, C*H*arom para), 7.11-7.120 (m, 12, C*H*arom meta+para, overlayered by C6D6) ppm.

¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 23.9 (bs, CH(CH₃)₂), 26.6 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 29.2 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 53.0 (s, NCCN), 125.7 (bs, C_{Ipso}), 130.4 (s, *C*Harom. para), 134.7 (s, *C*Harom. meta), 147.5 (s, Carom. ortho), not observed: N*C*N ppm.

³¹P NMR (250 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = -47.6 (s, ¹J_{207Pb-P} = 1205 Hz) ppm.

IR: ̃/cm-1 = 2958 (m), 2926 (s), 2866 (s), 1562 (m), 1466 (m), 1453 (m), 1440 (m), 1407 (m), 1386 (m), 1363 (w), 1342 (w), 1326 (w), 1267 (s), 1254 (s), 1235 (s), 1180 (w), 1146 (w), 1048 (w), 932 (w), 798 (s), 753 (m), 729 (w), 696 (w), 620 (m), 612 (m), 576 (w), 548 (s), 476 (s), 429 (w).

Elemental analysis calcd. (%) for C54H76Pb2Br2N4P² ([1417.39 g/mol]): C 45.76, H 5.40, N 3.95; found: C 45.47, H 5.37, N 4.34.

UV/Vis spectra

Figure S1: UV-Vis spectra of compound **3**-**5** recorded in very diluted pentane solution (the respective absorption maximum is set as one for calibration in each case).

NMR spectra

Figure S2: ¹H NMR spectrum of compound **3** in C6D6.

Figure S3: ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum of compound 3 in C₆D₆.

Figure S4: ³¹P NMR spectrum of compound **3** in C6D6.

Figure S5: ¹H NMR spectrum of compound 4 in C₆D₆.

Figure S6: ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum of compound 4 in C₆D₆.

Figure S7: ³¹P NMR spectrum of compound 4 in C₆D₆.

Figure S8: ¹H NMR spectrum of compound **5** in C6D6.

Figure S9: ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum of compound 5 in C₆D₆.

WILEY-VCH

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S10: ³¹P NMR spectrum of compound 5 in C₆D₆.

Figure S12: ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum of compound 6 in C₆D₆.

Figure S14: ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectrum of compound 6 in C₆D₆.

Figure S15: ¹H NMR spectrum of compound **7** in C₆D₆.

Figure S16: ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum of compound **7** in C₆D₆.

Figure S17: ³¹P NMR spectrum of compound 7 in C₆D₆.

X-ray structure analysis

Data were collected on a Bruker D8 Quest diffractometer (compound **3**, **4**, **6** and **7**) using monochromatic Mo-Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) or on a STOE StadiVari (compound **5**) using monochromatic Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 1.54186 Å). The solution of the structure was performed with direct methods with the SHELXT-2015 solution programme, while for the structure refinement with full-matrix least-squares against F^2 the SHELXL-2015 package was used, both within the OLEX² environment.^[9-11]

Crystal data of 3: C₅₄H₇₆GeN₄P₂·C₅H₁₂, 987.86 g·mol⁻¹, 100 K, monoclinic, *P*2₁/c, *a* = 2396.9(1) pm, *b* = 1239.63(5) pm, *c* = 1967.17(8) pm, *α* = 90°, *β* = 99.801(1)°, *γ* = 90°, *V* = 5759.7(4) Å³ , *Z* = 4, *ρ* = 1.139 g·cm-3 , *µ* = 0.626, *F(000)* = 2128.0, *GooF* = 1.045. A total of 133466 reflections was collected of which 13254 were unique ($R(int) = 0.0902$). $R_1 (WR_2$ all data) = 0.0441 (0.0899) for 607 parameters and 10148 reflections (*I* > 2*σ*(*I*)). CCDC 1919176.

Figure S18. Molecular structure of **3**. Carbon-bound hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids for **3** represent a 50% probability level, carbon atoms are shown as sticks/wires for better visibility. Selected bond lengths /pm and angles /°: P1-Ge 230.20(5); P2-Ge 229.58(5); P1-C1 176.98(19); P2-C28 177.31(19); Ge-P1-C1 104.83(6); Ge-P2-C28 105.69(6); P1-Ge-P2 87.404(19); angle between the N1-C1-N2 and N3-C28-N4 plane 66.060(142); angle between the N1-C1-N2 and P1-Ge-P2 plane 35.837(103); angle between the N3-C28-N4 and P1-Ge-P2 plane 30.237(117).

Crystal data of 4: C54H76N4P2Sn·C5H12, 1033.98 g·mol-1 , 100K, monoclinic, *P*21/c, *a* = 2425.05(19) pm, *b* = 1236.48(11) pm, *c* = 1966.16(16) pm, *α* = 90°, *β* = 98.785(2)°, *γ* = 90°, *V* = 5826.4(8) Å³ , *Z* = 4, *ρ* = 1.179 g·cm-3 , *µ* = 0.531, *F(000)* = 2200.0, *GooF* = 1.028. A total of 39479 reflections was collected of which 10386 were unique $(R(int) = 0.0878)$. R_1 (wR_2 all data) = 0.0501 (0.0922) for 610 parameters and 7109 reflections (*I* > 2*σ*(*I*)). CCDC 1919174.

Figure S19. Molecular structure of **4**. Carbon-bound hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids for **2** represent a 50% probability level, carbon atoms are shown as sticks/wires for better visibility. Selected bond lengths /pm and angles /°: P1-Sn 249.85(11); P2-Sn 249.17(12); P1-C1 176.47(34); P2-C28 176.78(53); Sn-P1-C1 104.05(12); Sn-P2-C28 105.81(12); P1-Sn-P2 85.82(3); angle between the N1-C1-N2 and N3-C28-N4 plane 65.203(281); angle between the N1-C1-N2 and P1-Sn-P2 plane 28.578(168); angle between the N3-C28-N4 and P1-Sn-P2 plane 26.834(223).

Crystal data of 5: C54H76N4P2Pb·C5H12, 1122.46 g·mol-1 , 100K, monoclinic, *P*21/c, *a* = 2435.4(4) pm, *b* = 1237.09(15) pm, *c* = 1961.6(3) pm, *α* = 90°, *β* = 98.676(14)°, *γ* = 90°, *V* = 5842.3(15) Å³ , *Z* = 4, *ρ* = 1.276 g·cm-3 , *µ* = 6.409, *F(000)* = 2328.0, *GooF* = 0.847. A total of 152903 reflections was collected of which 152903 were unique (*R*(int) = 0.2260). *R₁* (*wR₂* all data) = 0.0708 (0.1843) for 615 parameters and 83845 reflections (*I* > 2*σ*(*I*)). CCDC 1919178.

Figure S20. Molecular structure of **5**. Carbon-bound hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids for **5** represent a 50% probability level, carbon atoms are shown as sticks/wires for better visibility. Selected bond lengths /pm and angles /°: P1-Pb 258.23(26); P2-Pb 257.96(27); P1-C1 174.26(101); P2-C29 175.45(93); Pb-P1-C1 103.3(4); Pb-P2-C29 105.6(3); P1-Pb-P2 84.60(8); angle between the N1-C1-N2 and N3-C29-N4 plane 64.950(672); angle between the N1- C1-N2 and P1-Pb-P2 plane 39.602(408); angle between the N3-C29-N4 and P1-Pb-P2 plane 25.375(485).

Crystal data of 6: C₅₄H₇₆Cl₂N₄P₂Sn₂·3C₇H₈, 1427.85 g·mol⁻¹, 100K, monoclinic, *P*2₁/n, *a* = 2536.7(3) pm, *b* = 1209.57(14) pm, *c* = 2554.3(3) pm, *α* = 90°, *β* = 114.884(3)°, *γ* = 90°, *V* = 7110.0(14) Å³ , *Z* = 4, *ρ* = 1.334 g·cm-3 , *µ* = 0.866, *F(000)* = 2968.0, *GooF* = 1.078. A total of 124517 reflections was collected of which 12521 were unique (R (int) = 0.1594). R_1 (wR_2 all data) = 0.0483 (0.0887) for 832 parameters and 9388 reflections (*I* > 2*σ*(*I*)). CCDC 1919177.

Figure S21. Molecular structure of **6**. Carbon-bound hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids for **6** represent a 50% probability level, carbon atoms are shown as balls and sticks/wires for better visibility. Selected bond lengths /pm and angles /°: P1-Sn1 264.9(10); P1-Sn2 259.55(10); P2-Sn1 266.31(13); P2- Sn2 262.90(13); Sn1-Cl1 251.48(12); Sn2-Cl2 254.75(13); P1-C1 180.11(48); P2-C28 179.88(36); C1-P1-Sn1 111.86(12); C1-P1-Sn2 107.56(12); C28-P2-Sn1 110.57(13); C28-P2-Sn2 103.69(13); P1-Sn1-P2 71.85(3); P1-Sn2-P2 73.25(3); Sn1-P1-Sn2 90.39(3); Sn1-P2-Sn2 89.38(3); P1-Sn1-Cl1 96.04(4); P2-Sn1-Cl1 96.57(4); P1-Sn2-Cl2 93.13(4); P2-Sn2-Cl2 91.77(4); angle between the P1-Sn1-P2 and the P1-Sn2-P2 plane 57.617(35); angle between the Sn1-P1-Sn2 and the Sn1-P2-Sn2 plane 66.581(40); angle between the N1-C1-N2 and the N3-C28-N4 plane 52.998(341).

Crystal data of 7: C₅₄H₇₆Br₂N₄P₂Pb₂, 1417.32 g·mol⁻¹, 100K, monoclinic, *P*2₁, *a* = 1297.65(6) pm, *b* = 1414.47(6) pm, *c* = 1554.4073) pm, *α* = 90°, *β* = 102.2910(16)°, *γ* = 90°, *V* = 2787.7(2) Å³, *Z* = 2, *ρ* = 1.689 g·cm⁻³, *μ* = 7.559, *F(000)* = 1384.0, *GooF* = 1.017. A total of 109017 reflections was collected of which 21356 were unique $(R(int) = 0.0349)$. $R_1 (wR_2$ all data) = 0.0202 (0.379) for 618 parameters and 19743 reflections (*I* > 2*σ*(*I*)). CCDC 1919175.

Figure S22. Molecular structure of **7**. Carbon-bound hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Thermal ellipsoids for **7** represent a 50% probability level, carbon atoms are shown as balls and sticks/wires for better visibility. Selected bond lengths /pm and angles /°: P1-Pb1 269.18(8); P1-Pb2 276.82(8); P2-Pb1 268.91(8); P2-Pb2 272.48(9); Pb1-Br1 285.02(5); Pb2-Br2 280.00(5); P1-C1 179.79(28); P2-C28 179.58(29); C1-P1-Pb1 99.37(10); C1-P1-Pb2 108.57(10); C28-P2-Pb1 109.2(1); C28-P2-Pb2 119.24(10); P1-Pb1-P2 75.41(2); P1-Pb2-P2 73.60(2); Pb1-P1-Pb2 89.94(2); Pb1-P2-Pb2 90.93(2); P1-Pb1-Br1 88.898(19); P2-Pb1-Br1 97.93(2); P1-Pb2-Br2 95.634(19); P2-Pb2-Br2 92.840(19); angle between the P1-Pb1-P2 and the P1-Pb2-P2 plane 53.818(22); angle between the Pb1-P1-Pb2 and the Pb1- P2-Pb2 plane 61.510(31); angle between the N1-C1-N2 and the N3-C28-N4 plane 52.141(283).

Computational details

Analytical data at DFT level

Structures were optimized using the scalar-relativistic DLU-X2C Hamiltonian^[12,13] employing the finite nucleus model as implemented in Γ URBOMOLE^[14-16]. The BP86,^[17,18] B3LYP,^[19-21] PBE,^[22] PBE0,^[22,23] TPSS^[24] and TPSSh^[25] functional were selected together with fine grids (grid 4a^[26]) and the x2c-TZVPall basis set^[27]. For NMR studies the corresponding x2c-TZVPall-s bases^[26] were chosen with the DLU-X2C Hamiltonian^[28]. The multipole-accelerated resolution of the identity approximation^[29,30] and the corresponding auxiliary basis sets^[26] were used throughout. An SCF threshold of 10 9 E_h and a density threshold of 10⁻⁸ a.u. were selected. PH₃ serves as the reference for ³¹P to avoid aqueous H3PO4. The absolute shielding constants of these two compounds are 328.35 ppm and 594.45(0.63) ppm according to Jameson and coworkers.^[31] The Cartesian coordinates in atomic units of all compounds are given in a separate text file (DFT-structures.txt) as part of the ESI.

Compound 3

Table S1. Structure of compound **3**.

Compound 4

Table S2. Structure of compound **4**.

Compound 5

Table S3. Structure of compound **5**.

Compound 6

Table S4. Structure of compound **6**.

Compound 7

Table S5. Structure of compound **7**.

We estimated the impact of dispersive interactions by additional application of Grimme's D4 correction.^[38] For the BP86 functional this leads to shortening of C-P and M-P bonds by 1-2 pm (independent of M), to reduction of ∠(C-P-M) by 3.2/5.3/6.6° for M=Ge/Sn/Pb and to – smaller increase of ∠(P-M-P) by 0.2/1.5/4.7°. Overall, the agreement of bond angles with experimental data in the end is not better than without D4, but the (small) errors in distances are in fact almost completely corrected.

Analysis of chemical bond: Wiberg bond indices

Wiberg bond indices^[32] (WBI) were calculated with the x2c-TZVPall basis set and the same methods as above. The impact of spin-orbit coupling on the WBI was studied at the TPSS level and found to be negligible as it affected the WBI typically by only 0.01.

Compound 3

Table S6. WBI of compound **3**.

Compound 4

Table S7. WBI of compound **4**.

Compound 5

Table S8. WBI of compound **5**.

Compound 6

Table S9. WBI of compound **6**.

Compound 7

Table S10. WBI of compound **7**.

Analysis of chemical bond: MO plots of frontier orbitals

MO plots of the frontier MOs (HOMO-2 to LUMO+2) are displayed with an isovalue of 0.04 a.u. at the x2c-TZVPall/TPSS level of theory. The plots show the π character of the P-M bonds in HOMO-2 of compounds **3** to **5**. HOMO-1 corresponds to the C-P π bond. LUMO+1 and LUMO+2 display no contribution at the C-P-M system. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Compound 3

Figure S23. Frontier MOs of compound **3** with an isovalue of 0.04 a.u. (HOMO-2 at top left to LUMO+2 at bottom right).

Compound 5

Figure S25. Frontier MOs of compound **5** (see Figure S23 for details).

Compound 6

Figure S26. Frontier MOs of compound **6** (see Figure S23 for details).

Figure S27. Frontier MOs of compound 7 (see Figure S23 for details).

Analysis of chemical bond: Natural bond orbital population

The chemical bond between the phosphorus atom and the group 14 element was further studied utilizing a natural bond orbital population analysis^[33]. The TPSSh functional together with the methods stated in the last section were employed. The natural orbital population of the p orbitals of phosphorus decreases from compound **3** to **5**. The population of the p orbitals of the metal atoms shows the complementary trend. This is in line with the NMR shifts, Due to the pπ-pπ electron density is transferred from the phosphorus to the metal atom, which results in the observed NMR shifts.

Table S11. Overall population at the phosphorus atoms per orbital type.

Table S12. Valence population at the phosphorus atoms per orbital type.

Table S13. Overall population at the tetrel atoms per orbital type.

Table S14. Valence population at the tetrel atoms per orbital type.

UV-Vis spectra

The one-component TDDFT^[34-36] (PBE0 and TPSSh) method was used together with the DLU-X2C Hamiltonian, the RI-J approximation and a seminumerical exchange^[37] for the excited state calculations only (grid -1). The 10 lowest states in energy were considered. The position of the first absorption maximum is given below in nm. The first absorption maximum corresponds to the second excitation. The nature of the transition was studied by visualization of the transition density and the corresponding Mulliken population.

Figure S28. Transition density of compound **3** (left), **4** (middle) and **5** (right) with an isovalue of 0.005 a.u., where green denotes the initial electron density and orange the final electron density.

Comparison to ate complexes K[SIMesP3M]

The WBIs and the bonding situation is compared to the recently studied K[SIMesP₃M] (M=Ge, Sn, Pb) complexes^[3] . The structures were optimized with at the scalar-relativistic DLU-X2C/x2c-TZVPall/TPSSh level (grid 4a). The structures are given in the DFT-structures.txt file.

Table S16. WBI of the P-M bonds of K[SIMesP3M] (M = Ge, Sn, Pb). The indices of the individual P-M bonds were averaged.

References

- [1] K. M. Kuhn and R. H. Grubbs, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 2075–2077.
- [2] F. F. Puschmann, D. Stein, D. Heift, C. Hendriksen, Z. A. Gal, H.-F. Grützmacher and H. Grützmacher, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 8420–8423.
- [3] F. F. Puschmann, D. Stein, D. Heift, C. Hendriksen, Z. A. Gal, H.-F. Grützmacher and H. Grützmacher, *Angew. Chem.*, 2011, **123**, 8570–8574.
- [4] P. J. Bailey, R. A. Coxall, C. M. Dick, S. Fabre, L. C. Henderson, C. Herber, S. T. Liddle, D. Loroño-González, A. Parkin and S. Parsons, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2003, **9**, 4820–4828.
- [5] M. Balmer, F. Weigend and C. von Hänisch, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2019, **25**, 4914–4919.
- [6] M. Cicač-Hudi, J. Bender, S. H. Schlindwein, M. Bispinghoff, M. Nieger, H. Grützmacher and D. Gudat, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, **2016**, 649–658.
- [7] M. Bispinghoff, A. M. Tondreau, H. Grützmacher, C. a. Faradji and P. G. Pringle, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 5999–6003.
- [8] O. Lemp, M. Balmer, K. Reiter, F. Weigend and C. von Hänisch, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 7620–7623.
- [9] G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A Found. Adv.*, 2015, **71**, 3–8.
- [10] G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C Struct. Chem.*, 2015, **71**, 3–8.
- [11] O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2009, **42**, 339–341.
- [12] D. Peng, N. Middendorf, F. Weigend and M. Reiher, *J. Chem. Phys*., 2013, **138**, 184105.
- [13] Y. J. Franzke, N. Middendorf and F. Weigend, *J. Chem. Phys*., 2018, **148**, 104110.
- [14] R. Ahlrichs, M. Bär, M. Häser, H. Horn, and C. Kölmel, *Chem. Phys. Lett*., 1989, **162**, 165–169.
- [15] F. Furche, R. Ahlrichs, C. Hättig, W. Klopper, M. Sierka, and F. Weigend, *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sc*i., 2014, **4**, 91– 100.
- [16] TURBOMOLE V7.4 2019, a development of University of Karlsruhe and Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe GmbH, 1989-2007, TURBOMOLE GmbH, since 2007; available from http://www.turbomole.com.
- [17] A. D. Becke, *Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys*., 1988, **38** 1988, 3098–3100.
- [18] J. P. Perdew, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys*., 1986, **33**, 8822–8824.
- [19] A. D. Becke, *J. Chem. Phys*., 1993, **98**, 5648–5652.
- [20] C. Lee, W. Yang and R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1988, **37**, 785–789.
- [21] P. J. Stephens, F. J. Devlin, C. F. Chablowski and M. J. Frisch, *J. Phys. Chem*., 1994, **98**, 11623–11627.
- [22] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett*., 1996, **77**, 3865–868.
- [23] C. Adamo and V. Barone, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1999, **110**, 6158–6170.
- [24] J. Tao, J. P. Perdew, V. N. Staroverov and G. E. Scuseria, *Phys. Rev. Lett,*. 2003, **91**, 146401.
- [25] V. N. Staroverov, G. E. Scuseria, J. Tao and J. P. Perdew, *J. Chem. Phys*., 2003, **119**, 12129–12137.
- [26] Y. J. Franzke, R. Treß, T. M. Pazdera and F. Weigend, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys*., 2019, **21**, 16658–16664.
- [27] P. Pollak and F. Weigend, *J. Chem. Theory Comput*., 2018, **13**, 3696–3705.
- [28] Y. J. Franzke and F. Weigend, *J. Chem. Theory Comput*., 2019, **15**, 1028–1043.
- [29] M. Sierka, A. Hogekamp and R. Ahlrichs, *J. Chem. Phys*., 2003, **118**, 9136–9148.
- [30] K. Reiter, F. Mack and F. Weigend, *J. Chem. Theory Comput*., 2018, **14**, 191–197.
- [31] C. J. Jameson, A. De Dios and A. K. Jameson, *Chem. Phys. Lett*., 1990, **167**, 575–582.
- [32] K. B. Wiberg, *Tetrahedron*, 1968, **24**, 1083–1096.
- [33] A. E. Reed, R. B. Weinstock and F. Weinhold, *J. Chem. Phys*., 1985, **83**, ,83(2), 735–746.
- [34] R. Bauernschmitt and R. Ahlrichs, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1996, **256**, 454–464.
- [35] R. Bauernschmitt, M. Häser, O. Treutler and R. Ahlrichs, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1997, **264**, 573–578.
- [36] S. Grimme, F. Furche and R. Ahlrichs, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2002, **361**, 321–328.
- [37] P. Plessow and F. Weigend, *J. Comput. Chem*., 2012, **33**, 810–816.
- [38] E. Caldeweyher, C. Bannwarth and S. Grimme, *J. Chem. Phys.,* 2017, **147**, 34112.