Supplementary figures

Seasonality of interactions between a plant virus and its host during persistent infection in a natural environment

Mie N. Honjo, Naoko Emura, Tetsuhiro Kawagoe, Jiro Sugisaka, Mari Kamitani,

Atsushi J. Nagano, and Hiroshi Kudoh



Photographs of an infected plant patch of *Arabidopsis halleri* showing typical phenotypes at the spring equinox (SE), summer solstice (SS), autumn equinox (AE), and winter solstice (WS). Scale bars = 5cm.



The scatter plots between TuMV accumulation in upper leaves and average ambient temperature for three naturally infected patches (plant-patches 1, 2, and 3). TuMV data corresponds to those in Figure 2a. In a panel of plant-patch 1, closed circles and open circles were indicated samples taken in 2012-2013 and 2014-2015, respectively. Spearman's rank correlation coefficient were 0.61, 0.44, and 0.77 for plant-patch 1 in 2012-2013 and 2014-2015, and plant-patch 2 (2012-2013), respectively, and they were significantly different from zero at P < 0.001, but no significant correlation (r = -0.06, P > 0.05) was detected for plant-patch 3 (2013-2014).



The relationship between TuMV accumulation (X axes) and negative strand RNA (Y axes) in upper leaves of three naturally infected patches (plant-patches 1, 2, and 3). Data corresponds to Figure 2a and 2b. Pearson's correlation coefficients were 0.87, 0.95, and 0.80, respectively, and all were significantly different from zero at P < 0.001.



(b)

| Coefficients | Estimate | SE | <i>t</i> -value | Pr (> t) |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| (A)glm(log_TuMV ~ Po | sition + Month+ Position | n:Month family=gau | ssian(link="log"), data= | -data_mix) AIC: 312.26 |
| (Intercept) | 2.056 | 0.043 | 47.967 | <0.001 *** |
| Position | -0.033 | 0.009 | -3.670 | <0.001 *** |
| Dec | -0.009 | 0.062 | -0.145 | 0.885 |
| Feb | 0.101 | 0.064 | 1.591 | 0.114 |
| Jun | -0.066 | 0.063 | -1.047 | 0.297 |
| Position:Dec | -0.017 | 0.013 | -1.299 | 0.196 |
| Position:Feb | -0.078 | 0.015 | -5.239 | <0.001 *** |
| Position:Jun | -0.005 | 0.013 | -0.357 | 0.722 |
| Null deviance: 203.055 | on 127 df, Residual d | eviance: 74.666 o | n 120 df | |
| (B) <i>glm(formula = log_1</i> (Intercept) Position Dec Feb Jun Null deviance: 203.055 | <i>uMV ~ Position + Month</i> 2.141 -0.054 -0.079 -0.198 -0.085 o on 127 df, Residual d | h, family = gaussian 0.032 0.006 0.034 0.036 0.034 eviance: 96.138 o | (link = "log"), data = da 67.036 -9.718 -2.311 -5.440 -2.499 n 123 df | ata_mix) AIC: 338.61 <0.001 *** <0.001 *** 0.023 * <0.001 *** 0.014 * |
| $(C) alm(formula = log_1)$ | uMV ~ Position_family | = oaussian(link = "k | oo") data = data_mix) | AIC: 360.9 |
| (Intercept) | 2.060 | 0.028 | 73.564 | <0.001 *** |
| Position | -0.056 | 0.006 | -9.074 | <0.001 *** |
| Null deviance: 203.05 | on 127 df, Residual de | viance: 119.92 on | 126 df | |
| *** <i>p</i> <0.001 | , | | | |
| , ** <i>p</i> < 0.01 | | | | |

* *p* < 0.05

Supplementary Figure S4. The results of generalised linear model (GLM) applied for four-time seasonal measurements of TuMV distribution within plants. (**a**) The virus accumulation at different leaf positions for plants collected in Dec. (blue), Feb. (red), Apr. (black), and Jun.(green). Symbols and lines represent actual data and leaf position effect

(a)

estimated by the full GLM model, respectively. (**b**) The effect of leaf position was evaluated by comparing three models. The full model included effects of position, sampling month, and interaction between position and month. The model were compared those with position and month effects and with position effect only. Gaussian error distribution and log link function were assumed. The full model was selected as best one by comparing Akaike's information criteria (AIC).



TuMV accumulation in infected and uninfected plants and distribution of their sampling times during 48 h sampling periods. The 48 h samplings (starting from 16:00 on the first day) were performed at the spring equinox (SE), summer solstice (SS), autumn equinox (AE), and winter solstice (WS).



Diurnal gene expression patterns of three representative SE defence DEGs (*AhgNIMIN1*, *AhgWRKY70*, and *AhgPR2*), and three representative AE defence DEGs (*AhgRDR6*,

AhgAGO1, and *AhgAGO2*) in infected (red circles) and uninfected (black circles) plants. The 48 h samplings (starting from 16:00 on the first day) were performed at the spring equinox (SE), summer solstice (SS), autumn equinox (AE), and winter solstice (WS). Significant differences in gene expressions between infected and uninfected plants were detected in the panels with a green asterisk.