Appendix

Generalized- α time integration

After spatial discretization of (46)–(47) we get the following degenerate hyperbolic system

$$\begin{split} \rho_0 M_h \ddot{\mathbf{u}}(t) + C_h \dot{\mathbf{u}}(t) + \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{upper}}(\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{p}(t)) &= \mathbf{0}, \\ \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{lower}}(\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{p}(t)) &= \mathbf{0}, \\ \mathbf{u}(0) &= \mathbf{u}_0, \\ \dot{\mathbf{u}}(0) &= \mathbf{u}_0, \end{split}$$

where M_h denotes the mass matrix; C_h denotes an optional damping matrix; $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}(t)$ denote the unknown nodal accelerations; $\dot{\mathbf{u}}(t)$ denote the unknown nodal velocities; $\mathbf{u}(t)$ denote the unknown nodal displacements; and $\mathbf{p}(t)$ denote the unknown nodal pressure values. We will use the modified generalized- α method proposed in [50]. To this end we introduce the auxiliary velocity $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\mathbf{u}}$. Then, applying the standard generalized- α integrator from [28] we obtain

$$M_h \dot{\mathbf{u}}_{n+\alpha_{\mathrm{m}}} - M_h \mathbf{v}_{n+\alpha_{\mathrm{f}}} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad (48)$$

$$\rho_0 M_h \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{n+\alpha_{\rm m}} + C_h \mathbf{v}_{n+\alpha_{\rm f}} + \mathbf{R}_{\rm upper}^{n+\alpha_{\rm f}} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad (49)$$
$$\mathbf{R}_{\rm lower}^{n+\alpha_{\rm f}} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad (50)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\text{lower}}^{n+\alpha_{\text{f}}} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad (50)$$

where

$$\mathbf{R}_{\text{upper}}^{n+\alpha_{\text{f}}} := \alpha_{\text{f}} \mathbf{R}_{\text{upper}}(\mathbf{u}_{n+1}, \mathbf{p}_{n+1}), \\ + (1 - \alpha_{\text{f}}) \mathbf{R}_{\text{upper}}(\mathbf{u}_{n}, \mathbf{p}_{n}), \\ \mathbf{R}_{\text{lower}}^{n+\alpha_{\text{f}}} := \alpha_{\text{f}} \mathbf{R}_{\text{lower}}(\mathbf{u}_{n+1}, \mathbf{p}_{n+1}), \\ + (1 - \alpha_{\text{f}}) \mathbf{R}_{\text{lower}}(\mathbf{d}_{n}, \mathbf{p}_{n}),$$

and

$$\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{n+\alpha_{\mathrm{m}}} := \alpha_{\mathrm{m}} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_{n+1} + (1 - \alpha_{\mathrm{m}}) \dot{\mathbf{u}}_{n}, \tag{51}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{n+\alpha_{\mathrm{m}}} := \alpha_{\mathrm{m}} \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{n+1} + (1 - \alpha_{\mathrm{m}}) \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{n}, \tag{52}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{n+\alpha_{\mathrm{f}}} := \alpha_{\mathrm{f}} \ \mathbf{v}_{n+1} + (1 - \alpha_{\mathrm{f}}) \ \mathbf{v}_{n}. \tag{53}$$

Moreover, we employ Newmark's approximations, [61],

$$\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{n+1} = \frac{1}{\gamma \Delta t} \left(\mathbf{u}_{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_n \right) + \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_n, \qquad (54)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{n+1} = \frac{1}{\gamma \Delta t} \left(\mathbf{v}_{n+1} - \mathbf{v}_n \right) + \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} \dot{\mathbf{v}}_n \tag{55}$$

Using (48) we observe

$$\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{n+\alpha_{\mathrm{m}}} = \mathbf{v}_{n+\alpha_{\mathrm{f}}}$$

and combining this with (49)–(55) we conclude

$$\mathbf{v}_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{m}}}{\alpha_{\mathrm{f}}\gamma\Delta t} (\mathbf{u}_{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_{n}) + \frac{\gamma - \alpha_{\mathrm{m}}}{\gamma\alpha_{\mathrm{f}}} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_{n} + \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{f}} - 1}{\alpha_{\mathrm{f}}} \mathbf{v}_{n},$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{m}}}{\alpha_{\mathrm{f}}\gamma^{2}\Delta t^{2}} (\mathbf{u}_{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_{n}) - \frac{1}{\alpha_{\mathrm{f}}\gamma\Delta t} \mathbf{v}_{n} + \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{n}$$

$$+ \frac{\gamma - \alpha_{\mathrm{m}}}{\alpha_{\mathrm{f}}\gamma^{2}\Delta t} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_{n}.$$

Thus, a dependence of \mathbf{v}_{n+1} and $\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{n+1}$ on \mathbf{u}_{n+1} can be established. Having this the unknown values $\mathbf{u}_{n+1}, \mathbf{p}_{n+1}$ can be computed with the Newton-Raphson method. Based on [50] we set the parameters depending only on $\rho_{\infty} \in [0,1)$ by

$$\begin{split} \alpha_{\rm f} &:= \frac{1}{1+\rho_{\infty}}, \\ \alpha_{\rm m} &:= \frac{3-\rho_{\infty}}{2(1+\rho_{\infty})}, \\ \gamma &:= \frac{1}{2}+\alpha_{\rm m}-\alpha_{\rm f}. \end{split}$$

In all our simulations we used a value of $\rho_{\infty} = 0.5$.

Remark on the implementation of the pressure-projection stabilized equal order pair

Considering the bilinear form $s_h(p_h, q_h)$ defined in (38) we can rewrite this with a simple calculation into

$$s_h(p_h, q_h) := \sum_{l=1}^{n_{\rm el}} \left(\int_{K_l} p_h q_h \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{|\tau_l|} \int_{K_l} p_h \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \int_{K_l} q_h \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \right).$$

Denoting by $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ the chosen ansatz functions the element contribution for an arbitrary element K to the matrix C_h is given by

$$\int_{K} \phi_{i} \phi_{j} \, d\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{|K|} \int_{K} \phi_{i} \, d\mathbf{x} \int_{K} \phi_{j} \, d\mathbf{x}.$$

This corresponds to an element mass matrix minus a rank-one correction.

Static condensation

For completeness we provide a summary for the static condensation used for the MINI element. Consider a finite element $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ with a local ordering of the unknowns u

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{u} &= \left(u_x^1, u_y^1, u_z^1, \dots, u_x^{\text{ndofs}_N}, u_y^{\text{ndofs}_N}, u_z^{\text{ndofs}_N}, \\ &u_{x,\text{B}}^1, u_{y,\text{B}}^1, u_{z,\text{B}}^1, \dots, u_{x,\text{B}}^{\text{ndofs}_B}, u_{y,\text{B}}^{\text{ndofs}_B}, u_{z,\text{B}}^{\text{ndofs}_B} \right) \end{split}$$

and **p** as

$$\mathbf{p} = (p^1, p^2, \dots, p^{\text{ndofs}_N}).$$

Here, ndofs_N corresponds to the nodal degrees of freedom per element and ndofs_B to the bubble degrees of freedom (one for tetrahedral elements and two for hexahedral elements). Then the element contribution to the global saddle-point system can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{K}_{\mathrm{NN}} \ \boldsymbol{K}_{\mathrm{NB}} \ \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\top} \\ \boldsymbol{K}_{\mathrm{BN}} \ \boldsymbol{K}_{\mathrm{BB}} \ \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\top} \\ \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{N}} \ \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{B}} \ \boldsymbol{C}_{\mathrm{N}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{N}} \\ \Delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{B}} \\ \Delta \mathbf{p} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{upper}} \\ -\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{upper}} \\ -\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{lower}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

22 Elias Karabelas et al.

The bubble part of the stiffness matrix, $K_{\rm BB}$ is local to the element and can be directly inverted. This gives the condensed system

$$egin{pmatrix} m{K}_{ ext{eff}} & m{B}_{ ext{eff}}^T \ m{B}_{ ext{eff}} & m{C}_{ ext{eff}} \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{u}_{ ext{N}} \ \Delta \mathbf{p} \end{pmatrix} = egin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{R}_{ ext{eff}}^{ ext{upper}} \ -\mathbf{R}_{ ext{eff}}^{ ext{lower}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the effective matrices and vectors are given as

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{K}_{ ext{eff}} &:= oldsymbol{K}_{ ext{NN}} - oldsymbol{K}_{ ext{NB}} oldsymbol{K}_{ ext{BB}}^{-1} oldsymbol{K}_{ ext{BN}}, \ oldsymbol{B}_{ ext{eff}} &:= oldsymbol{C}_{ ext{N}} - oldsymbol{B}_{ ext{B}} oldsymbol{K}_{ ext{B}}^{-1} oldsymbol{B}_{ ext{B}}^{ ext{T}}, \ oldsymbol{R}_{ ext{eff}}^{ ext{upper}} &:= oldsymbol{R}_{ ext{N}}^{ ext{upper}} - oldsymbol{K}_{ ext{NB}} oldsymbol{K}_{ ext{BB}}^{-1} oldsymbol{R}_{ ext{B}}^{ ext{upper}}, \ oldsymbol{R}_{ ext{eff}}^{ ext{lower}} &:= oldsymbol{R}_{ ext{N}}^{ ext{lower}} - oldsymbol{B}_{ ext{B}} oldsymbol{K}_{ ext{BB}}^{-1} oldsymbol{R}_{ ext{B}}^{ ext{upper}}. \end{aligned}$$

The effective matrices and vectors can then be assembled in a standard way into the global system. The bubble update contributions can be calculated once $\Delta \mathbf{u}_N$ and $\Delta \mathbf{p}_N$ are know as

$$\Delta \mathbf{u}_B = -\boldsymbol{K}_{\mathrm{BB}}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{upper}} + \boldsymbol{K}_{\mathrm{BN}} \Delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{N}} + \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\top} \Delta \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{N}} \right).$$

Tensor calculus

We use the following results from tensor calculus, for more details we refer to, e.g., [45, 84].

$$\frac{\partial \overline{\boldsymbol{C}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{C}} = J^{-\frac{2}{3}} \mathbb{P} = J^{-\frac{2}{3}} \left(\mathbb{I} - \frac{1}{3} \boldsymbol{C}^{-1} \otimes \boldsymbol{C} \right),$$

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{C}^{-1}}{\partial \boldsymbol{C}} = -\boldsymbol{C}^{-1} \odot \boldsymbol{C}^{-1},$$

$$(\boldsymbol{A} \odot \boldsymbol{A})_{ijkl} := \frac{1}{2} \left(A_{ik} A_{jl} + A_{il} A_{jk} \right).$$

For symmetric \boldsymbol{A} it holds

$$\mathbb{P}: A = \text{Dev}(A) = A - \frac{1}{3}(A:C)C^{-1}.$$

The isochoric part of the second Piola–Kirchhoff stress tensor as well as the isochoric part of the fourth order elasticity tensor are given as

$$S_{\text{isc}} := 2 \frac{\partial \overline{\Psi}(\overline{C})}{\partial C} = J^{-\frac{2}{3}} \text{Dev}(\overline{S}), \qquad (56)$$

$$\overline{S} := 2 \frac{\partial \overline{\Psi}(\overline{C})}{\partial \overline{C}}, \qquad (57)$$

$$\mathbb{C}_{\text{isc}} := 4 \frac{\overline{\Psi}(\overline{C})}{\partial C \partial C} \qquad (57)$$

$$= J^{-\frac{4}{3}} \mathbb{P} \overline{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{P}^{\top} + J^{-\frac{2}{3}} \frac{2}{3} \text{tr}(C\overline{S}) \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}$$

$$- \frac{4}{3} S_{\text{isc}} \overset{S}{\otimes} C^{-1}, \qquad (57)$$

$$\overline{\mathbb{C}} := 4 \frac{\partial \overline{\Psi}(\overline{C})}{\partial \overline{C} \partial \overline{C}}, \qquad (58)$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{P}} := C^{-1} \odot C^{-1} - \frac{1}{3} C^{-1} \otimes C^{-1}, \qquad (58)$$

$$A \overset{S}{\otimes} B := \frac{1}{2} (A \otimes B + B \otimes A).$$