Appendix

Generalized-α time integration

After spatial discretization of $(46)–(47)$ we get the following degenerate hyperbolic system

$$
\rho_0 M_h \ddot{\mathbf{u}}(t) + \mathbf{C}_h \dot{\mathbf{u}}(t) + \mathbf{R}_{\text{upper}}(\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{p}(t)) = \mathbf{0},
$$

$$
\mathbf{R}_{\text{lower}}(\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{p}(t)) = \mathbf{0},
$$

$$
\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0,
$$

$$
\dot{\mathbf{u}}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0,
$$

where M_h denotes the mass matrix; C_h denotes an optional damping matrix; $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}(t)$ denote the unknown nodal accelerations; $\dot{\mathbf{u}}(t)$ denote the unknown nodal velocities; $u(t)$ denote the unknown nodal displacements; and $p(t)$ denote the unknown nodal pressure values. We will use the modified generalized- α method proposed in [50]. To this end we introduce the auxiliary velocity $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\mathbf{u}}$. Then, applying the standard generalized- α integrator from [28] we obtain

$$
M_h \dot{\mathbf{u}}_{n+\alpha_m} - M_h \mathbf{v}_{n+\alpha_f} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad (48)
$$

$$
\rho_0 \mathbf{M}_h \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{n+\alpha_m} + \mathbf{C}_h \mathbf{v}_{n+\alpha_f} + \mathbf{R}_{\text{upper}}^{n+\alpha_f} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad (49)
$$

$$
\mathbf{R}_{\text{lower}}^{n+\alpha_{\text{f}}}=\mathbf{0},\qquad(50)
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{R}_{\text{upper}}^{n+\alpha_{\text{f}}} := \alpha_{\text{f}} \mathbf{R}_{\text{upper}}(\mathbf{u}_{n+1}, \mathbf{p}_{n+1}),
$$

+ $(1 - \alpha_{\text{f}}) \mathbf{R}_{\text{upper}}(\mathbf{u}_{n}, \mathbf{p}_{n}),$
 $\mathbf{R}_{\text{lower}}^{n+\alpha_{\text{f}}} := \alpha_{\text{f}} \mathbf{R}_{\text{lower}}(\mathbf{u}_{n+1}, \mathbf{p}_{n+1}),$
+ $(1 - \alpha_{\text{f}}) \mathbf{R}_{\text{lower}}(\mathbf{d}_{n}, \mathbf{p}_{n}),$

and

$$
\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{n+\alpha_{\mathrm{m}}} := \alpha_{\mathrm{m}} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_{n+1} + (1 - \alpha_{\mathrm{m}}) \dot{\mathbf{u}}_n, \tag{51}
$$

$$
\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{n+\alpha_{\rm m}} := \alpha_{\rm m} \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{n+1} + (1 - \alpha_{\rm m}) \dot{\mathbf{v}}_n, \tag{52}
$$

$$
\mathbf{v}_{n+\alpha_{\rm f}} := \alpha_{\rm f} \; \mathbf{v}_{n+1} + (1-\alpha_{\rm f}) \; \mathbf{v}_n. \tag{53}
$$

Moreover, we employ Newmark's approximations, [61],

$$
\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{n+1} = \frac{1}{\gamma \Delta t} \left(\mathbf{u}_{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_n \right) + \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_n, \quad (54)
$$

$$
\mathbf{v}_{n+1} = \frac{1}{\gamma \Delta t} \left(\mathbf{v}_{n+1} - \mathbf{v}_n \right) + \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} \dot{\mathbf{v}}_n \tag{55}
$$

Using (48) we observe

 $\dot{\mathbf{u}}_{n+\alpha_{\text{m}}}=\mathbf{v}_{n+\alpha_{\text{f}}}$

and combining this with (49)–(55) we conclude

$$
\mathbf{v}_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm m}}{\alpha_{\rm f}\gamma\Delta t} (\mathbf{u}_{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_n) + \frac{\gamma - \alpha_{\rm m}}{\gamma\alpha_{\rm f}} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_n + \frac{\alpha_{\rm f} - 1}{\alpha_{\rm f}} \mathbf{v}_n,
$$

$$
\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm m}}{\alpha_{\rm f}\gamma^2\Delta t^2} (\mathbf{u}_{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_n) - \frac{1}{\alpha_{\rm f}\gamma\Delta t} \mathbf{v}_n + \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} \dot{\mathbf{v}}_n + \frac{\gamma - \alpha_{\rm m}}{\alpha_{\rm f}\gamma^2\Delta t} \dot{\mathbf{u}}_n.
$$

Thus, a dependence of \mathbf{v}_{n+1} and $\dot{\mathbf{v}}_{n+1}$ on \mathbf{u}_{n+1} can be established. Having this the unknown values $\mathbf{u}_{n+1}, \mathbf{p}_{n+1}$ can be computed with the Newton–Raphson method. Based on [50] we set the parameters depending only on $\rho_{\infty} \in [0,1)$ by

$$
\alpha_{f} := \frac{1}{1 + \rho_{\infty}},
$$

\n
$$
\alpha_{m} := \frac{3 - \rho_{\infty}}{2(1 + \rho_{\infty})},
$$

\n
$$
\gamma := \frac{1}{2} + \alpha_{m} - \alpha_{f}
$$

.

In all our simulations we used a value of $\rho_{\infty} = 0.5$.

Remark on the implementation of the pressure-projection stabilized equal order pair

Considering the bilinear form $s_h(p_h, q_h)$ defined in (38) we can rewrite this with a simple calculation into

$$
s_h(p_h,q_h) := \sum_{l=1}^{n_{\rm el}} \left(\int_{K_l} p_h q_h \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{|\tau_l|} \int_{K_l} p_h \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \int_{K_l} q_h \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \right).
$$

Denoting by $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ the chosen ansatz functions the element contribution for an arbitrary element K to the matrix C_h is given by

$$
\int\limits_K \phi_i \phi_j \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{|K|} \int\limits_K \phi_i \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} \int\limits_K \phi_j \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x}.
$$

This corresponds to an element mass matrix minus a rank-one correction.

Static condensation

For completeness we provide a summary for the static condensation used for the MINI element. Consider a finite element $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ with a local ordering of the unknowns u

$$
\mathbf{u} = (u_x^1, u_y^1, u_z^1, \dots, u_x^{\text{ndofs}_N}, u_y^{\text{ndofs}_N}, u_z^{\text{ndofs}_N},
$$

$$
u_{x,B}^1, u_{y,B}^1, u_{z,B}^1, \dots, u_{x,B}^{\text{ndofs}_B}, u_{y,B}^{\text{ndofs}_B}, u_{z,B}^{\text{ndofs}_B})
$$

and p as

$$
\mathbf{p} = (p^1, p^2, \dots, p^{\text{ndofs}_N}).
$$

Here, ndofs_N corresponds to the nodal degrees of freedom per element and ndofs_B to the bubble degrees of freedom (one for tetrahedral elements and two for hexahedral elements). Then the element contribution to the global saddle-point system can be written as

$$
\left(\begin{matrix} \boldsymbol{K}_{\text{NN}} & \boldsymbol{K}_{\text{NB}} & \boldsymbol{B}_{\text{N}}^{\top}\\ \boldsymbol{K}_{\text{BN}} & \boldsymbol{K}_{\text{BB}} & \boldsymbol{B}_{\text{B}}^{\top}\\ \boldsymbol{B}_{\text{N}} & \boldsymbol{B}_{\text{B}} & \boldsymbol{C}_{\text{N}} \end{matrix}\right) \left(\begin{matrix} \Delta \mathbf{u}_{\text{N}} \\ \Delta \mathbf{u}_{\text{B}} \\ \Delta \mathbf{p} \end{matrix}\right) = \left(\begin{matrix} -\mathbf{R}_{\text{N}}^{\text{upper}} \\ -\mathbf{R}_{\text{B}}^{\text{upper}} \\ -\mathbf{R}_{\text{N}}^{\text{lower}} \end{matrix}\right).
$$

The bubble part of the stiffness matrix, K_{BB} is local to the element and can be directly inverted. This gives the condensed system

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{K}_{\rm eff} & \boldsymbol{B}_{\rm eff}^T \\ \boldsymbol{B}_{\rm eff} & \boldsymbol{C}_{\rm eff} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta \mathbf{u}_{\rm N} \\ \Delta \mathbf{p} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{R}_{\rm eff}^{\rm upper} \\ -\mathbf{R}_{\rm eff}^{\rm lower} \end{pmatrix},
$$

where the effective matrices and vectors are given as

$$
\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{K}_{\text{eff}} &:= \boldsymbol{K}_{\text{NN}} - \boldsymbol{K}_{\text{NB}} \boldsymbol{K}_{\text{BB}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{K}_{\text{BN}} \\ \boldsymbol{B}_{\text{eff}} &:= \boldsymbol{B}_{\text{N}} - \boldsymbol{B}_{\text{B}} \boldsymbol{K}_{\text{BB}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{K}_{\text{BN}}, \\ \boldsymbol{C}_{\text{eff}} &:= \boldsymbol{C}_{\text{N}} - \boldsymbol{B}_{\text{B}} \boldsymbol{K}_{\text{BB}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{B}_{\text{B}}^{\top}, \\ \boldsymbol{R}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{upper}} &:= \boldsymbol{R}_{\text{N}}^{\text{upper}} - \boldsymbol{K}_{\text{NB}} \boldsymbol{K}_{\text{BB}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{R}_{\text{B}}^{\text{upper}}, \\ \boldsymbol{R}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{lower}} &:= \boldsymbol{R}_{\text{N}}^{\text{lower}} - \boldsymbol{B}_{\text{B}} \boldsymbol{K}_{\text{BB}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{R}_{\text{B}}^{\text{upper}}. \end{aligned}
$$

The effective matrices and vectors can then be assembled in a standard way into the global system. The bubble update contributions can be calculated once Δu_N and Δp_N are know as

$$
\varDelta\mathbf{u}_B=-\boldsymbol{K}_{\text{BB}}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{R}_{\text{B}}^{\text{upper}}+\boldsymbol{K}_{\text{BN}}\varDelta\mathbf{u}_{\text{N}}+\boldsymbol{B}_{\text{B}}^{\top}\varDelta\mathbf{p}_{\text{N}}\right).
$$

Tensor calculus

We use the following results from tensor calculus, for more details we refer to, e.g., [45, 84].

$$
\frac{\partial \overline{C}}{\partial C} = J^{-\frac{2}{3}} \mathbb{P} = J^{-\frac{2}{3}} \left(\mathbb{I} - \frac{1}{3} C^{-1} \otimes C \right),
$$

$$
\frac{\partial C^{-1}}{\partial C} = -C^{-1} \odot C^{-1},
$$

$$
(A \odot A)_{ijkl} := \frac{1}{2} \left(A_{ik} A_{jl} + A_{il} A_{jk} \right).
$$

For symmetric A it holds

$$
\mathbb{P}: A = \text{Dev}(A) = A - \frac{1}{3}(A:C)C^{-1}.
$$

The isochoric part of the second Piola–Kirchhoff stress tensor as well as the isochoric part of the fourth order elasticity tensor are given as

$$
S_{\text{isc}} := 2 \frac{\partial \overline{\Psi}(\overline{C})}{\partial C} = J^{-\frac{2}{3}} \text{Dev}(\overline{S}), \qquad (56)
$$

\n
$$
\overline{S} := 2 \frac{\partial \overline{\Psi}(\overline{C})}{\partial \overline{C}},
$$

\n
$$
\mathbb{C}_{\text{isc}} := 4 \frac{\overline{\Psi}(\overline{C})}{\partial C \partial C}
$$

\n
$$
= J^{-\frac{4}{3}} \mathbb{P}(\overline{C}) \mathbb{P}^{\top} + J^{-\frac{2}{3}} \frac{2}{3} \text{tr}(C\overline{S}) \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}
$$

\n
$$
- \frac{4}{3} S_{\text{isc}} \overset{S}{\otimes} C^{-1},
$$

\n
$$
\overline{C} := 4 \frac{\partial \overline{\Psi}(\overline{C})}{\partial \overline{C} \partial \overline{C}},
$$

\n
$$
\widetilde{\mathbb{P}} := C^{-1} \odot C^{-1} - \frac{1}{3} C^{-1} \otimes C^{-1},
$$

\n
$$
A \overset{S}{\otimes} B := \frac{1}{2} (A \otimes B + B \otimes A).
$$

\n(56)
\n(56)
\n(57)
\n(58)
\n(59)
\n(59)
\n(50)
\n(50)
\n(50)
\n(51)
\n(52)
\n(54)
\n(56)
\n(57)
\n(59)
\n(59)
\n(59)
\n(50)
\n(51)
\n(52)
\n(54)
\n(55)
\n(56)
\n(57)
\n(58)
\n(59)
\n(59)
\n(59)
\n(51)
\n(52)
\n(54)
\n(55)
\n(56)
\n(57)
\n(58)
\n(59)
\n(59)
\n(50)
\n(51)
\n(52)
\n(54)
\n(56)
\n(57)
\n(58)
\n(59)
\n(59)
\n(51)
\n(52