

Sulfate-mediated Drought Tolerance in Maize Involves Regulation at Physiological and Biochemical Levels

Muhammad Munir Usmani¹, Fahim Nawaz^{1*}, Sadia Majeed², Muhammad Asif Shehzad¹, Khawaja Shafique Ahmad³, Gulzar Akhtar⁴, Muhammad Aqib¹, Rana Nauman Shabbir⁵

¹*Department of Agronomy, MNS University of Agriculture, Multan, Pakistan*

²*Department of Agronomy, University College of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, Bahawalpur, Pakistan*

³*Department of Botany, University of Poonch, Rawalakot 12350, Pakistan*

⁴*Department of Horticulture, MNS University of Agriculture, Multan, Pakistan*

⁵*Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan*

*Corresponding author's email:

fahim.nawaz@mnsuam.edu.pk/fahim5382@gmail.com

Suppl. Table 1: Summary of the ANOVA for shoot length (SL), root length (RL), shoot fresh weight (SFW), root fresh weight (RFW) and shoot dry weight (SDW), root dry weight (RDW), nitrogen (N), phosphorous (P) and potassium (K) content of maize seedlings applied with various sources of sulfate fertilizers viz. K_2SO_4 , $FeSO_4$, $CuSO_4$ and Na_2SO_4 at 0, 15, 30 and 45 kg ha⁻¹.

SOV	SL (cm)	RL (cm)	SFW (g)	RFW (g)	SDW (g)	RDW (g)	N (mg kg ⁻¹)	P (mg kg ⁻¹)	K (mg kg ⁻¹)
Sulfur sources (S)	***	***	***	***	**	**	***	*	***
Sulfur doses (L)	***	***	***	***	**	***	NS	***	***
S × L	***	NS	***	***	***	*	**	*	***
CV	4.14	4.20	5.05	5.68	9.25	9.16	7.71	8.57	11.86

^a SOV= source of variation

^b coefficient of variation

NS = $P \geq 0.05$; * = $P \leq 0.05$; *** = $P \leq 0.001$

Suppl. Table 2: Summary of the ANOVA for leaf relative turgidity (RT), SPAD value (Chl), photosynthetic rate (A), transpiration rate (E), stomatal conductance (g_s), sub-stomatal conductance (C_i), catalase (CAT), guaiacol peroxidase (GPX) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity of maize with or without exposure to drought stress and applied with optimized doses of sulfate fertilizers viz. K_2SO_4 , $FeSO_4$, $CuSO_4$ and Na_2SO_4 .

SOV	RT (%)	Chl (SPAD value)	A ($\mu\text{mol CO}_2$ $\text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)	E ($\text{mmol H}_2\text{O}$ $\text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)	g_s ($\text{mmol H}_2\text{O}$ $\text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)	C_i ($\mu\text{mol H}_2\text{O}$ $\text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)	CAT ($\mu\text{mol H}_2\text{O}_2$ $\text{min}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$ protein)	GPX ($\mu\text{mol guaiacol}$ $\text{min}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$ protein)	SOD (Units SOD min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein)
Drought stress (D)	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Sulfur sources (S)	**	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
D \times S	NS	NS	***	***	***	***	NS	NS	***
CV	4.12	4.73	8.27	8.71	10.24	10.07	12.96	21.58	12.04

^a SOV= source of variation

^b coefficient of variation

NS = $P \geq 0.05$; * = $P \leq 0.05$; *** = $P \leq 0.001$

Suppl. Table 3: Summary of the ANOVA for kernels per cob (KC), 1000-grain weight (GW), grain yield (GY) and biological yield (BY) of maize with or without exposure to drought stress and applied with optimized doses of sulfate fertilizers viz. K₂SO₄, FeSO₄, CuSO₄ and Na₂SO₄.

SOV	KC	GW (g)	GY (g)	BY (g)
Drought stress (D)	***	***	***	***
Sulfur sources (S)	***	***	***	***
D×S	*	**	***	NS
CV	5.08	5.45	4.09	3.75

^a SOV= source of variation

^b coefficient of variation

NS = $P \geq 0.05$; * = $P \leq 0.05$; *** = $P \leq 0.001$