Supplementary Online Content

Sheetz KH, Claflin J, Dimick JB. Trends in the adoption of robotic surgery for common surgical procedures. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2020;3(1):e1918911. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.18911

eTable 1. Trends in the Use of Open Surgery for Specific Procedures, 2012-2018 **eTable 2.** Trends in the Use of Laparoscopic Surgery for Specific Procedures, 2012-2018 **eFigure.** Proportion of Hospitals and Surgeons Performing Any Robotic General Surgery in Michigan, 2012-2018

This supplementary material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

eTable 1. Trends in the Use of Open Surgery for Specific Procedures, 2012-2018							
	Proportional Use, %						
Procedure	Year 2012	Year 2018	Fold Difference	Annual Trend, % per Year (95% CI)			
All	42.4	32.4	0.8	-1.5 (-1.8 to -1.2)			
Inguinal hernia repair	98.2	53.1	0.5	-8.1 (-8.4 to -7.7)			
Ventral hernia repair	83.4	54.1	0.6	-3.8 (-4.1 to -3.6)			
Colectomy	77.0	45.7	0.6	-4.1 (-4.4 to -3.8)			
Reflux surgery	40.2	5.2	0.1	-3.6 (-4.0 to -3.3)			
Proctectomy	87.9	63.8	0.7	-3.3 (-4.4 to -2.3)			
Cholecystectomy	2.5	1.3	0.5	-3.6 (-3.7 to -3.5)			
Complex cancer resections	86.1	72.7	0.8	-1.8 (-2.4 to -1.1)			

eTable 2. Trends in the Use of Laparoscopic Surgery for Specific Procedures, 2012-2018						
	Proportional Use, %					
Procedure	Year 2012	Year 2018	Fold Difference	Annual Trend, % per Year (95% CI)		
All	55.8	52.6	0.9	-0.5 (-0.7 to -0.3)		
Inguinal hernia repair	0.8	18.0	22.5	2.6 (2.3 to 2.9)		
Ventral hernia repair	16.6	23.5	1.4	0.2 (-0.1 to 0.4)		
Colectomy	20.5	38.0	1.9	1.9 (1.6 to 2.2)		
Reflux surgery	54.4	68.8	1.3	0.9 (0.3 to 1.4)		
Proctectomy	9.0	9.5	1.1	-0.6 (-1.4 to 0.2)		
Cholecystectomy	91.2	92.1	1.0	3.2 (3.0 to 3.4)		
Complex cancer resections	11.8	23.3	2.0	1.4 (0.8 to 2.0)		

eFigure. Proportion of Hospitals and Surgeons Performing Any Robotic General Surgery in Michigan, 2012-2018

Appendix Figure 1

