

**Supplementary Table 6: Fold changes and p-values for comparisons of intracellular lipids.**

<b>Lipid species</b>	<b>CCS (%)</b>	<b>Comparison</b>	<b>Fold change</b>	<b>p-Value</b>		
<b>FC</b>	0	0 $\mu$ M vs. 10 $\mu$ M mitotane (NR)	1.27	0.089		
		0 $\mu$ M vs. 10 $\mu$ M mitotane (R)	0.99	0.66		
		R vs. NR (0 $\mu$ M mitotane)	1.03	0.63		
		R vs. NR (10 $\mu$ M mitotane)	0.80	0.10		
	5	0 $\mu$ M vs. 20 $\mu$ M mitotane (NR)	1.08	0.35		
		0 $\mu$ M vs. 50 $\mu$ M mitotane (NR)	6.30	<0.0001		
		0 $\mu$ M vs. 20 $\mu$ M mitotane (R)	1.11	0.32		
		0 $\mu$ M vs. 50 $\mu$ M mitotane (R)	1.23	0.53		
		R vs. NR (0 $\mu$ M mitotane)	0.83	0.12		
		R vs. NR (20 $\mu$ M mitotane)	0.85	0.14		
		R vs. NR (50 $\mu$ M mitotane)	0.56	<0.0001		
		<b>CE</b>	0	0 $\mu$ M vs. 10 $\mu$ M mitotane (NR)	1.25	0.97

<b>Lipid species</b>	<b>CCS (%)</b>	<b>Comparison</b>	<b>Fold change</b>	<b>p-Value</b>
		0 $\mu$ M vs. 10 $\mu$ M mitotane (R)	0.82	0.92
		R vs. NR (0 $\mu$ M mitotane)	0.15	0.29
		R vs. NR (10 $\mu$ M mitotane)	0.10	0.14
	5	0 $\mu$ M vs. 20 $\mu$ M mitotane (NR)	1.16	0.92
		0 $\mu$ M vs. 50 $\mu$ M mitotane (NR)	0.40	0.97
		0 $\mu$ M vs. 20 $\mu$ M mitotane (R)	0.99	0.97
		0 $\mu$ M vs. 50 $\mu$ M mitotane (R)	0.75	0.92
		R vs. NR (0 $\mu$ M mitotane)	0.03	0.091
		R vs. NR (20 $\mu$ M mitotane)	0.03	0.072
		R vs. NR (50 $\mu$ M mitotane)	0.03	0.12
<b>Cer</b>	0	0 $\mu$ M vs. 10 $\mu$ M mitotane (NR)	1.49	0.0063
		0 $\mu$ M vs. 10 $\mu$ M mitotane (R)	1.62	0.26

<b>Lipid species</b>	<b>CCS (%)</b>	<b>Comparison</b>	<b>Fold change</b>	<b>p-Value</b>
		R vs. NR (0 $\mu$ M mitotane)	0.85	0.21
		R vs. NR (10 $\mu$ M mitotane)	0.93	0.0041
	5	0 $\mu$ M vs. 20 $\mu$ M mitotane (NR)	1.25	0.044
		0 $\mu$ M vs. 50 $\mu$ M mitotane (NR)	2.89	<0.0001
		0 $\mu$ M vs. 20 $\mu$ M mitotane (R)	1.02	0.41
		0 $\mu$ M vs. 50 $\mu$ M mitotane (R)	0.37	0.41
		R vs. NR (0 $\mu$ M mitotane)	0.62	0.0063
		R vs. NR (20 $\mu$ M mitotane)	0.50	0.00020
		R vs. NR (50 $\mu$ M mitotane)	0.34	<0.0001
<b>LPC</b>	0	0 $\mu$ M vs. 10 $\mu$ M mitotane (NR)	2.58	0.042
		0 $\mu$ M vs. 10 $\mu$ M mitotane (R)	1.90	0.12
		R vs. NR (0 $\mu$ M mitotane)	1.17	0.48
		R vs. NR (10 $\mu$ M mitotane)	0.86	0.40

<b>Lipid species</b>	<b>CCS (%)</b>	<b>Comparison</b>	<b>Fold change</b>	<b>p-Value</b>
	5	0 $\mu$ M vs. 20 $\mu$ M mitotane (NR)	1.22	0.22
		0 $\mu$ M vs. 50 $\mu$ M mitotane (NR)	2.77	<0.0001
		0 $\mu$ M vs. 20 $\mu$ M mitotane (R)	1.57	0.20
		0 $\mu$ M vs. 50 $\mu$ M mitotane (R)	3.09	0.01
		R vs. NR (0 $\mu$ M mitotane)	0.43	0.02
		R vs. NR (20 $\mu$ M mitotane)	0.56	0.02
		R vs. NR (50 $\mu$ M mitotane)	0.46	<0.0001

CCS, cosmic calf serum; FC, free cholesterol; CE, cholesteryl ester; Cer, ceramide; LPC, lysophosphatidylcholine; NR, nonresistant clones; R, resistant clones. Statistics: Kruskal-Wallis test or one-way ANOVA and two-stage Benjamini, Krieger, & Yekutieli FDR procedure.