

1 **Diagnostic Uncertainty and the Epidemiology of Feline Foamy Virus in Pumas**
2 **(*Puma concolor*)**

3

4 Nicholas G. Dannemiller^a, Sarah Kechejian^a, Simona Kraberger^a, Kenneth Logan^b,
5 Mathew Alldredge^c, Kevin R. Crooks^d, Sue VandeWoude^a, Scott Carver^e

6

7 ^aDepartment of Microbiology, Immunology, and Pathology, Colorado State University,
8 Fort Collins, Colorado, USA

9 ^bColorado Parks and Wildlife, Montrose, Colorado, USA

10 ^cColorado Parks and Wildlife, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA

11 ^dDepartment of Fish, Wildlife, and Conservation Biology, Colorado State University, Fort
12 Collins, Colorado, USA

13 ^eSchool of Biological Sciences, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia

14

15 *Address correspondence to Nicholas G. Dannemiller, dannemillern@gmail.com

16

17 **Supplementary Table S1. Results of qPCR and ELISA testing of naïve and experimentally FFV-**
 18 **inoculated domestic cats.** Four specific-pathogen-free domestic cats were experimentally
 19 inoculated with 10^5 TCID₅₀ wild-type FFV in 2 ml, split into 1 ml intramuscularly and 1 ml
 20 intravenously. qPCR and ELISA testing of the 4 experimentally FFV-inoculated cats as well as 4
 21 additional specific-pathogen-free, FFV-naïve domestic cats 21-days post inoculation yielded a
 22 sensitivity of 75% (95% CI: 19.4-99.4%) and specificity of 100% (95% CI: 39.8-100%) for both
 23 qPCR and ELISA. Data extrapolated from Ledesma-Feliciano et al (2018)²⁸.

Cat	qPCR+	qPCR-	ELISA+	ELISA-
FFV+	3	1	3	1
FFV Naïve	0	4	0	4

24