# **GigaScience**

# Evaluating the Genome and Resistome of Extensively Drug-Resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae using Native DNA and RNA Nanopore Sequencing

--Manuscript Draft--





either included in your submission or deposited in [publicly available repositories](https://academic.oup.com/gigascience/pages/editorial_policies_and_reporting_standards#Availability) (where available and ethically appropriate), referencing such data using a unique identifier in the references and in the "Availability of Data and Materials" section of your manuscript.

Have you have met the above requirement as detailed in our [Minimum](https://academic.oup.com/gigascience/pages/Minimum_Standards_of_Reporting_Checklist) [Standards Reporting Checklist?](https://academic.oup.com/gigascience/pages/Minimum_Standards_of_Reporting_Checklist)





#### **Introduction**

 *Klebsiella pneumoniae* is one of the leading causes of nosocomial infections, with reports of mortality rates as high as 50% [1-5]. This opportunistic pathogen commonly exhibits multidrug resistance which severely limits treatment options [6]. A high abundance of resistance is commonly encoded on plasmids, accounting for the rapid global dissemination of resistance [1,6]. Common therapeutic options for multidrug-resistant infections include carbapenems, fosfomycin, tigecycline and polymyxins [7]. However, resistance is also rapidly developing against these antibiotics resulting in the emergence of extensively drug-resistant (XDR) and subsequent pandrug-resistant (PDR) strains [6-9].

 One of the major contributors to the advent of antibiotic resistance is the inability for current detection methodologies to readily and accurately assess bacterial infections in particular, the resistance profile [10]. Rapid sequencing has been proposed as a way to determine antibiotic resistance, including approaches which utilise high accuracy short reads, as well as those which exploit real-time single-molecule sequencing such as Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT). The ONT MinION platform is a portable single-molecule sequencer which can sequence long fragments of DNA and stream the sequence data for further data processing in real-time, detecting the presence of bacterial species and acquired resistance genes [11-15]. Moreover, the long reads coupled with the ability to multiplex samples has immensely aided with the assembly of bacterial genomes [16-18]. This capability allows for the rapid determination of whether resistance is residing on the chromosome or plasmid/s. Of particular interest are high levels of resistance encoded on plasmids, as these genes can rapidly be transferred throughout the bacterial population via horizontal gene transfer. However, a limitation of DNA sequencing is accurately identifying whether the presence of an acquired resistance gene or mutation is facilitating resistance.

 ONT has recently released a direct RNA sequencing capability, which sequences native transcripts. Other sequencing technologies rely on fragmentation, cDNA conversion and PCR steps that create experimental bias and hinder the accuracy of determining gene expression [19, 20]. The ability for MinION sequencing to read long fragments enables full length transcripts to be investigated. To date, only a few direct RNA sequencing publications exist which include eukaryote transcriptomes, primarily yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* [19, 21]) and recently, *Homo sapiens* [22]. This sequencing has additionally been implemented in viral transcriptomics [23-25]. Only one prior study by Smith AM *et al.* has applied this sequencing to bacterial 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) to detect epigenetic modifications [26]. Bacterial transcription differs significantly from eukaryotes in that transcription and translation occur simultaneously.

 As a result, bacterial mRNA transcripts lack poly(A) tails and alternative splicing [27]. The poly(A) tail is critical for the library preparation for ONT sequencing thus, we have established a methodology for adding this component onto transcripts.

 In this study, we applied MinION sequencing to interrogate both the genome and the transcriptome (via direct RNA sequencing) for XDR *K. pneumoniae* clinical isolates. Of interest was to compare the potential for RNA sequencing to provide a better correlation to the resistance phenotype than DNA sequencing. These isolates have previously undergone 'traditional' whole genome sequencing (Illumina) and antimicrobial susceptibility testing [28]. An extended panel of antibiotics was tested in this study to identify PDR isolates. Three strains were selected from this cohort which exhibited resistance to all 24 antibiotics or antibiotic combinations tested, a high abundance of antibiotic 66 resistance genes  $(\geq 26)$  and differing lineages (ST11 (16 GR 13), ST147 (1 GR 13) and ST258 (2 GR 12)). Additionally, these isolates harbour polymyxin resistance which is facilitated by a disruption in or upstream of *mgrB*. Variations in the *mgrB* gene result in increased expression of the *pmrCAB* and *pmrHFIJKLM* operon, enables the addition of phosphoethanolamine and/ or 4-amino-4-deoxy-L-arabinose (Ara4N) to lipid A and subsequently facilitates polymyxin resistance [29]. These pathways associated with polymyxin resistance were further explored using direct RNA sequencing and compared against a polymyxin-susceptible XDR isolate (ST258; 20\_GR\_12). This research aimed to assemble these genomes, discern the differential expression of resistance genes and ascertain the time required for detection. Furthermore, we sought to compare DNA and RNA sequencing as modalities for the rapid identification of acquired antibiotic resistance.

## **Methods**

# *Bacterial strains and growth conditions*

 XDR *K. pneumoniae* clinical strains were sourced through the Hygeia General Hospital, Athens, Greece [28]. Antimicrobial susceptibility assays (Supplementary Table S1), sequence typing and detection of acquired resistance 80 genes have previously been determined [28]. Strains were stored at -80 $\degree$ C in 20% (v/v) glycerol, the identical stock was used as per the prior study and the extended panel of antimicrobial susceptibility testing conducted similarly [28]. 82 When required for extractions, glycerol stocks were grown on lysogeny broth (LB) agar plates and 6 morphologically 83 similar colonies were selected for inoculation. The inoculum was grown in LB overnight at 37°C shaking at 220 rpm. 84 This overnight inoculum was used for both DNA and RNA extractions.

#### 85 *DNA extraction and high molecular weight DNA isolation*

86 DNA was extracted from 10 ml of overnight culture using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen) according to 87 manufacturer's guidelines, with the addition of an enzymatic lysis buffer pre-treatment (60 mg/ml lysozyme). High 88 molecular weight (HMW) DNA from the prior extraction was selected using the MagAttract HMW DNA Kit (Qiagen) 89 as per manufacturer's instructions. Subtle changes included a further proteinase K treatment on the DNA extracts at 90 56°C for 10 min followed by supplementation of RNase A (1 mg) for 15 min at room temperature. Several attempts 91 at direct DNA extraction from bacterial cells were undertaken using the MagAttract HMW DNA kit, however, were 92 unsuccessful with these isolates. Due to several issues with potential carbohydrate contamination (260/230 ratio: 93  $\leq$  0.3), 2 GR 12 was also purified with the Monarch<sup>®</sup> PCR & DNA Cleanup Kit (New England BioLabs) using the 94 protocol to isolate fragments >2000 bp. DNA and RNA contamination was quantitated using Qubit®2.0 (Thermo 95 Fisher Scientific) and purity determined with a NanoDrop 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). DNA 96 fragment sizes were determined using the Genomic DNA ScreenTape & Reagents (Agilent) and sizes from 200 to 97 >60000 bp were analyzed on a 4200 TapeStation System (Agilent) (Supplementary Figure S1).

#### 98 *RNA extraction, mRNA enrichment and poly(A) addition*

 The overnight culture was sub-cultured in 10 ml of cation-adjusted Muller Hinton Broth (caMHB) to reflect conditions 100 used for minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) assays. Cultures were grown to mid-log phase (OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.5-0.6). 101 RNA was extracted via the PureLink™ RNA Mini Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as per manufacturer's protocols which included using Homogenizer columns (Thermo Fisher Scientific). To remove DNA contamination, the TURBO 103 DNA-free<sup>TM</sup> kit was implemented. A minor adjustment was an increased concentration of TURBO DNase (4 U) incubated at 37°C for 30 min. The RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) clean up protocol was additionally used to purify and 105 concentrate RNA samples. Ribosomal RNA was depleted via the MICROB*Express*<sup>TM</sup> Bacterial mRNA Enrichment Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Minor protocol changes included adding ≥2 µg of DNA depleted RNA and the enriched mRNA was precipitated for 3 h at -20°C. Poly(A) addition was performed using the Poly(A) Polymerase Tailing Kit (Astral Scientific) as per the manufacturer's alternative protocol (4 U input of Poly(A) Polymerase). The 109 input RNA concentration was  $\geq 800$  ng and RNA samples were incubated at 37°C for 1 h. Poly(A) ligated RNA was purified using Agencourt AmpureXP (Beckman Coulter Australia) beads (1:1 ratio). RNA and DNA contamination was quantitated using the Qubit®2.0 (ThermoFisher Scientific) and purity determined with a NanoDrop 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). RNA fragment size was checked using an Agilent RNA 6000 Pico kit and run on a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies) for the initial RNA extract, post ribosomal RNA depletion and

114 after poly(A) ligation (Supplementary Figure S2).

#### *ONT library preparation and sequencing*

- RNA libraries (≥600 ng poly(A) ligated RNA) were prepared using the Direct RNA Sequencing kit (SQK-RNA001).
- The Rapid Barcoding Sequencing kit (SQK-RBK001) was used for HMW DNA samples (1\_GR\_13, 16\_GR\_13,

20\_GR\_12; 300 ng input each). Isolate 2\_GR\_12 (300 ng input) was prepared separately using the Rapid Sequencing

- Kit (SQK-RAD003). Libraries were sequenced with MinION R9.4 flowcells and the raw data (fast5 files) were base-
- called using Albacore 2.1.1 for DNA sequencing (Supplementary Figure S3). For benchmarking purposes, RNA reads
- were additionally base-called with Albacore 2.2.7, Guppy 3.0.3 and the Chiron [30] RNA base-caller which was
- trained in-house [\(https://github.com/haotianteng/Chiron/releases/tag/v0.5\)](https://github.com/haotianteng/Chiron/releases/tag/v0.5).

# *Real-time resistome detection emulation*

 The real-time emulation was performed post sequencing and the time required to detect antibiotic resistance was determined as previously described [14]. Briefly, this pipeline aligns Albacore base-called reads via BWA-MEM [31] to an antibiotic resistance gene database. Antibiotic resistance genes were obtained from the ResFinder 3.0 database [32]. This dataset comprises of 2131 genes which were clustered based on 90% identity to form 611 groups or gene families. The detection of false positives is reduced using the multiple sequence alignment software kalign2 [33], a probabilistic Finite State Machine [34] and once the alignment score reached a threshold, the resistance gene was reported.

### *Assembly of genomes*

 To assemble genomes with both Illumina and ONT reads, SPAdes v3.10.1 [35] was implemented. Hybrid assemblers included npScarf [36] and Unicycler v0.3.1 [37]. Assemblers using only ONT reads included Canu v1.5 (excluding reads <500bp) [38] and the combination of Minimap2 v2.1-r311 and Miniasm v0.2-r168-dirty; Racon (git commit 834442) were used in both cases to polish the assemblies [39, 40]. Consensus sequences were determined using Mauve 136 (snapshot 2015-02-13) to construct the final assembly [41]. The output from each assembly software is reported in Supplementary Table S2. Genomes were annotated using the Rapid Annotation using Subsystem Technology (RAST) which also provided a list of virulence genes [42]. The location of acquired antibiotic resistance genes were determined using ResFinder 3.0 [32] and plasmids were identified via PlasmidFinder 1.3 [43]. To discern if plasmid sequences

- have previously been reported, contigs underwent a BLASTn analysis against the National Center for Biotechnology
- Information (NCBI) database (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

#### *RNA alignment and expression profiling*

 Base-called RNA reads were converted to DNA (uracil bases changed to thymine) and aligned using BWA-MEM (parameters: -k 11 -W20 -r10 -A1 -B1 -O1 -E1 -L0 -Y) to the updated genome assemblies. Due to the lack of introns and full length transcripts being obtained, BEDTools coverage [44] was used to ascertain the relative expression of resistance genes. This was normalized to the number of counts obtained for the housekeeping gene, *rpsL* [45], to compare against qRT-PCR results. Read alignments were further visualised using Integrative genomics viewer 2.3.59 [46].

#### *Whole transcriptome differential gene expression*

 To identify genes which were differentially expressed between a pair of samples (x and y), we used a beta-binomial distribution to calculate the probability of observing less than or equal to x\_g reads mapping to gene g in sample x, 152 conditional on the total number of reads mapping to all genes (sum  $g(x, g)$ ), the number of reads in sample y mapping 153 to gene g  $(y_g)$  as well as the total number of reads mapping to all genes in sample y (sum\_g  $(y_g)$ ). This was calculated 154 in R using the pbetabinom.ab function in the VGAM package, with  $q = x_g$ , size = sum\_g'(x\_g'), alpha = y\_g +1; beta 155 = sum\_g'(y\_g') - y(g) +1. Genes for which this probability was less than a predefined threshold were deemed to be significantly under expressed in sample x given sample y. A similar statistic was used to check for over-expression.

#### *Quantitative real-time reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR)*

 First strand synthesis to generate cDNA (1 µg total DNase-depleted RNA) was performed using SuperScript III (Thermo Fisher Scientific) which was also used for MinION direct RNA sequencing library preparations. Primers used are displayed in Supplementary Table S3. Samples were prepared in triplicate via the SYBR Select Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and expression detected using a ViiA 7 Real-time PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cycling conditions include: Hold 50°C (2 min), 95°C (2 min) followed by 50 cycles of: 95°C (15 sec), 55°C (1 min). A melt curve was included to determine the specificity of the amplification and a no template control to detect contamination or primer dimers. Results were analysed with QuantStudioTM Real-Time PCR Software, triplicates 165 were averaged, normalised to the housekeeping gene *rpsL* and relative expression determined via the 2<sup>-ΔΔCT</sup> method [47].

#### **Results**

*Antibiotic resistance and the location of acquired resistance in the genome*

 This study assayed nine additional antibiotics or antibiotic combinations to further characterise the phenotypic resistance of these isolates (Supplementary Table S1). Strains 1\_GR\_13, 2\_GR\_12 and 16\_GR\_13 were non- susceptible to all antibiotics including the 24 antibiotics tested previously [28]. 20\_GR\_12 was only susceptible to gentamicin and polymyxins.

 Utilising the capacity for MinION sequencing to read long fragments of DNA, the location of antibiotic resistance genes were clearly resolved (Table 1). All genomes were circular with the exception of 2\_GR\_12 where 3 plasmids remained linear. This was partly due to difficulties extracting DNA and not retaining long fragments (Supplementary Figure S1). Amongst the four isolates, the chromosome size ranged between 5.1-5.5 Mb which encoded resistance genes *blaSHV-11*, *fosA* and *oqxAB*. The majority of resistance (≥75%) mapped to plasmids.

 At least one megaplasmid, defined as a plasmid larger than 100 kbp, was detected in all isolates (Table 1). These commonly harboured the replicon IncA/C2 or InFIB and IncFIIK. The IncA/C2 plasmid was present in all samples except 20\_GR\_12. This plasmid contained up to 16 resistance genes which conferred resistance towards aminoglycosides, β-lactams, phenicols, rifampicin, sulphonamides, tetracyclines and trimethoprim, with the exception 183 of 16 GR 13. Isolate 16 GR 13 lacked trimethoprim resistance on its IncA/C2 plasmid. The plasmids containing 184 both replicons IncFIB and IncFIIK differed vastly between all four replicates. All contained IncFIB<sub>pKpn3</sub> and IncFIIK, 185 however,  $1_GR_{13}$  differed with IncFII<sub>pKP91</sub>. Additionally, a differing IncFIB replicon was detected on a separate contig in 1\_GR\_13 (pKPHS1) and 2\_GR\_12 (pQil). The only instance where another dual replicon was identified was in 1\_GR\_13 which harboured both IncR and IncN. This plasmid contained aminoglycoside, β-lactam, trimethoprim, 188 macrolide and sulphonamide resistance. 1\_GR\_13 also contained a 5.5 kb circular contig which was annotated as a phage genome. Various regions of these megaplasmids were unique to these isolates compared to prior sequences deposited on NCBI (Supplementary Table S5).

191 The ColRNAI plasmid was present in all except 1 GR 13 which encoded aminoglycoside and quinolone resistance

(*aac(6')-Ib, aac(6')-Ib-cr)* (Table 1). The ColRNAI plasmid in 2\_GR\_12 and 20\_GR\_12 was 13841 bp in size and

shared 75% similarity between the two isolates. This plasmid differed in 16\_GR\_13 which contained no resistance

genes and 35% the size. The same IncX3 plasmid (43380 bp) was apparent in isolates 2\_GR\_12 and 20\_GR\_12.

195 Unique to 16\_GR\_13 was the IncL/  $M_{pOXA-48}$  plasmid containing *blaOXA-48* and the 50979 bp IncN plasmid in

- 20\_GR\_12 with resistance against 5 classes (aminoglycoside (*aph(3'')-Ib*, *aph(6)-Id*), β-lactam (*blaTEM-1A*), sulphonamide (*sul2*), tetracycline (*tet(A)*), trimethoprim (*dfrA14*)) of antibiotics.
- Multiple copies of acquired resistance genes were apparent across plasmids in several isolates. For 1\_GR\_13, up to
- three copies were present of genes *aadA24*, *aph(3')-Ia*, *aph(6)-Id, dfrA1, dfrA14, strA* and *sul1* (Table 1). In 2\_GR\_12,
- *sul1* and *blaTEM-1A* were duplicated and for 16\_GR\_13, only *sul1* was represented twice.

#### *Real-time detection emulation of resistance genes via DNA sequencing*

- 202 The vast majority ( $\geq$ 70%) of resistance genes were detected via DNA sequencing within the first 2 hours (Figure 1, Supplementary Table S5). These genes confer resistance towards aminoglycosides, β-lactams, fosfomycin, macrolides, phenicols, quinolones, rifampicin, sulphonamides, tetracyclines and trimethoprim. 20\_GR\_12 lacked acquired resistance genes for macrolides, phenicols and rifampicin, however, all other classes were detected within 2 hours. All isolates, except 2\_GR\_12, were sequenced for 21 hours which was sufficient to obtain the complete genome assembly. Only a few additional genes were detected after the first 10 hours across isolates (Supplementary Table S5). 208 For 2 GR 12, an extended run of 41 hours detected no further genes after 20 hours. Overall, the presence of these resistance genes corresponded to a resistant phenotype towards aminoglycosides, β-lactams, fosfomycin, phenicols, quinolones, sulphonamides (sulfamethoxazole), tetracyclines and trimethoprim (Supplementary Table S1). As macrolides and rifampicin are not routinely used to treat *K. pneumoniae* infections, no breakpoints exist according to CLSI and EUCAST guidelines, however, all isolates exhibit an MIC ≥128 µg/ml towards erythromycin (macrolide) 213 and  $\geq 64$  µg/ml for rifampicin.
- Post 2 hours of sequencing, several genes not observed in the final assembly via ResFinder 3.0 were detected (Supplementary Table S5). These were predominantly genes attributed to aminoglycoside, β-lactam, rifampicin and phenicol resistance. Furthermore, resistance genes to additional differing classes were detected including fusidic acid and vancomycin. This was evident in 2\_GR\_12 (*fusB*) and 16\_GR\_13 (*fusB*, *vanR*). However, these genes had less than 30 reads and their phred-scale mapping quality (MAPQ) scores were less than 10 (misplaced probability greater than 0.1). Furthermore, the majority of genes not observed in the final assembly nor observed in Illumina data 220 exhibited a MAPQ score of  $\leq 10$  which may indicate that a more stringent threshold is required to negate false positives. However if this threshold increases, true positives would not be detected including *aadA1*, *aadA2* and *ARR-2* in 2\_GR\_12 and *blaOXA-48*, *blaCTX-M-15* and *ARR-2* in 16\_GR\_13.

 Several genes found in the final assembly were not detected in the real-time emulation analysis (Supplementary Table S5). This was mainly observed for aminoglycoside resistance encoding genes. For 1\_GR\_13, this included *aadA1, ant(2'')-Ia*, *aph(6)-Id* and *aadA24.* Similarly, 2\_GR\_12 and 20\_GR\_12 lacked *aph(3'')-Ib* and *aph(6)-Id.* 2\_GR\_12 additionally had the absence of *ant(2'')-Ia.* Detection of *ant(2'')-Ia*, *aph(3'')-Ib*, *aph(6)-Id* was not present in 16\_GR\_13. 16\_GR\_13 further lacked *catB4* (phenicol) and *tet(A)* (tetracycline). Various phenicol resistance genes were reported in the real-time emulation however, the incorrect gene was identified which may represent sequencing errors accumulated over time and high similarity to other phenicol resistance genes. The tetracycline resistance gene, *tet(A)*, was interestingly not reported in this emulation with 190 reads and the majority of reads exhibiting a high 231 mapping confidence (MAPO = 60, equivalent to an error probability of  $1x10^{-6}$ ). This gene was only detected after 10 hours for 1\_GR\_13 and 2\_GR\_12 and this result may be influenced by the presence of only 1 copy of *tet(A)* encoded on a low copy number megaplasmid (between 1 to 1.5, see Table 1).

# *Direct RNA sequencing resistance detection*

 The time required to detect resistance was further interrogated using RNA sequencing. Rapid detection was possible for several resistance genes via direct RNA sequencing (Figure 1). This was evident for genes conferring resistance to aminoglycosides, β-lactams, sulphonamides and trimethoprim for all four isolates. Resistance towards these antibiotics was commonly detected within 6 hours. In some instances, quinolone, rifampicin, fosfomycin and phenicol resistance was detected. A similar result was obtained whether all reads or passed reads alone were analysed. The most significant difference when analysing all reads was the detection of *fosA* in 1\_GR\_13 and *ARR-2* and *fosA* in 241 2 GR 12. Consistently absent from this analysis were genes attributed to macrolide  $(mph(A))$  and tetracycline ( $tet(A)$ , *tet(G)*) resistance, however, isolates exhibited high levels of resistance to tetracycline (>64 µg/ml) (Supplementary Table S1). This may indicate that isolates require antibiotic exposure to enable transcription of these genes. Commonly no new genes were detected after 12 hours of sequencing with the exception of *fosA* in 2\_GR\_12. Although *fosA* was 245 detected when including the failed reads, a low MAPQ score  $(\leq 10)$  was apparent. Similar to the DNA real-time detection, several genes not found in the final assembly were identified (Supplementary Table S5). With the exception 247 of 20 GR 12, this included *aadB* and *strB* for all isolates. Additional genes detected included *ARR-7* in 1 GR 13, *strA* in 2\_GR\_12 and for 16\_GR\_13, *blaCTX-M-64*, *blaOXA-436* and *strA.* Similar genes or gene families were identified when comparing DNA and direct RNA sequencing. Overall, genes were detected more readily via DNA rather than RNA sequencing, possibly due to a lack of RNA expression in the absence of the antibiotic to which resistance is encoded. There were only a few instances where RNA sequencing detected resistance more quickly than

 DNA sequencing: *aac(3')-IIa* in 16\_GR\_13 and *sul2* and *catA1* in 2\_GR\_12. Similar results were observed when investigating data yield rather than time (Supplementary Figure S4).

*Levels of expression of resistance genes*

 RNA sequencing accumulated over approximately 40 hours yielded between 0.9 and 1.7 million reads for these 256 isolates (Supplementary Figure S3). However, only a low proportion  $(\leq 14.64\%)$  of these reads passed base-calling using Albacore 2.2.7 (Supplementary Table S6). Aligning passed reads alone to the final assembly, ≥98% of reads 258 were mappable, however,  $\leq 40\%$  of these had a MAPQ score  $\geq 10$ . When all reads (pass and fail) were aligned, the 259 majority were not mappable to the reference genome ( $\geq 76.69\%$ ) and commonly exhibited a low MAPQ score ( $\leq 10$ ). In light of this, we decided to benchmark a number of different base-callers, including Albacore 2.2.7, Guppy 3.03 as well as Chiron 0.5 which was trained in-house (Supplementary Table S6, Figure S5). Chiron base-called more reads compared to Albacore 2.2.7 and Guppy 3.0.3, however, fewer reads aligned to the reference genome and had a slightly lower identity rate. These results reflect the fact that base-calling algorithms have not yet been optimised for direct RNA sequencing, and even less so for bacterial RNA sequencing. The poly(A) length was commonly found to be approximately 400 to 700 bp across isolates (Supplementary Figure S6). Taking into consideration the Albacore 2.2.7 266 base-called reads, a proportion of these reads were found to map to rRNA including 1 GR 13 (18%), 2 GR 12 (37%), 16\_GR\_13 (24%) and 20\_GR\_12 (23%). Overall, at least 58% of genes (with at least 1 read mapping to the gene) were identified to be expressed across isolates (1\_GR\_13 (68%), 2\_GR\_12 (58%), 16\_GR\_13 (75%) and 20\_GR\_12 (69%).

 Amongst the four isolates, levels of expression for resistance genes on the chromosome (*blaSHV-11*, *fosA* and *oqxAB*) 271 were low  $(\leq 122)$  counts per million mapped reads (cpm)) (Figure 2). The remaining resistance genes were located on plasmids. Resistance genes exhibiting high levels of expression (300 cpm) were apparent in 1\_GR\_13 (*blaTEM-1B*, *blaVIM-27*, *sul1*, *aph(3')-Ia*), 2\_GR\_12 (*aac(6')-Ib*, *catA1*, *blaKPC-2*), 16\_GR\_13 (*aac(6')Ib-cr*, *aac(3)-IIa*, *blaCTX- M-15*, *blaTEM-1B*, *blaOXA-48*) and 20\_GR\_12 (*blaKPC-2*, *aac(6')Ib*). Counts for *aac(6')-1b* and *aac(6')-1b-cr* in 2\_GR\_12 and 20\_GR\_12 were grouped. The gene *aac(6')-1b-cr* is a shortened version of *aac(6')-1b* and both were identified in the same genome position, hence, only *aac(6')-1b* is displayed in Figure 2. Relative expression did not differ significantly when analysing passed reads alone or all reads. All highly expressed genes were detected within 6

 hours as per the real-time detection emulation. As anticipated, low levels of expression were observed for fosfomycin (*fosA*), tetracycline (*tet(A)*, *tet(B)*) and macrolide (*mph(A)*) resistance.

 A subset of 11 resistance genes which represent resistance across various classes of antibiotics were investigated to validate differential gene expression in these RNA extractions via qRT-PCR. These included resistance towards aminoglycosides (*aac(6')Ib*, *strA*), β-lactams (*blaKPC-2*, *blaOXA-10*, *blaTEM-1*), phenicols (*cmlA1*), trimethoprim (*dfrA14*), fosfomycin (*fosA*), quinolone (*oqxA*), sulphonamides (*sul2*) and tetracyclines (*tet(A)*). A similar trend was observed between direct RNA sequencing and qRT-PCR results (Spearman's rank correlation coefficient: 0.83; Pearson correlation: 0.86) (Figure 3). The highest expression of a resistance gene was observed for *blaKPC-2* although 286 only one copy was present in a lower copy number plasmid in 2 GR 12 and 20 GR 12 (Figure 2, Figure 3 and Table 1). Additionally, low levels of expression for *fosA* and *tet(A)* were apparent despite exhibiting resistance towards fosfomycin and tetracycline (Figure 3, Supplementary Table S1). Direct RNA sequencing was unable to detect low levels of expression whilst qRT-PCR could detect these genes (Figure 3).

 Across the transcriptome, antibiotic resistance genes were identified to harbour high differential expression between isolates(Figure 4). Virulence genes were comparable across these strainssimilar to all remaining or background genes. The top differentially expressed genes were determined (Supplementary Figure S7) and several were associated with polymyxin resistance pathways. Heightened expression was seen in polymyxin-resistant isolates 1\_GR\_13, 2\_GR\_12, 294 16\_GR\_13 in comparison to the single susceptible isolate in particular, genes associated with Ara4N synthesis. These genes include 4-deoxy-4-formamido-L-arabinose-phosphoundecaprenol deformylase (*arnD*), UDP-4-amino-4-deoxy-L-arabinose formyltransferase and UDP-4-amino-4-deoxy-L-arabinose-oxoglutarate aminotransferase.

### *Transcriptional biomarkers for polymyxin resistance*

 Three of the isolates harboured resistance towards polymyxins via disruptions in *mgrB* which included 1\_GR\_13, 2\_GR\_12 and 16\_GR\_13. 1\_GR\_13. These isolates have an insertion sequence (IS) element, IS*Kpn26*-like, at nucleotide position 75 in the same orientation as *mgrB*. 2\_GR\_12 also contained an insertion at the same position, however, in the opposite orientation and additional mutations in *phoP* (A95S) and *phoQ* (N253T). 16\_GR\_13 possessed an IS element, IS*1R*-like, 19 bp upstream of *mgrB*. Direct RNA sequencing revealed only low level expression of *mgrB* in isolates (1\_GR\_13 (78.4 cpm), 2\_GR\_12 (16.3 cpm), 16\_GR\_13 (0 cpm), 20\_GR\_12 (2.3 cpm)). The expression levels of various genes associated with this pathway were verified via qRT-PCR which include genes *phoP*, *phoQ*, *pmrA*, *pmrB*, *pmrC*, *pmrD*, *pmrE*, *pmrH* and *pmrK* (Figure 5). Direct RNA sequencing revealed a 306 slight increase in transcription of  $phoPQ$  ( $\geq$ 2-fold) relative to the expression in 20\_GR\_12. A  $\geq$ 13-fold increase in expression was observed for *pmrH* and ≥8-fold elevation for *pmrK.* Similar trends for expression were also reported using qRT-PCR (Figure 5B).

#### **Discussion**

 XDR *K. pneumoniae* infections pose as a major threat to modern medicine. A rapid diagnostic would help to guide appropriate treatment options [1, 6]. The MinION sequencing technology employed in this study has potential to detect antibiotic resistance in a timely manner. Three of the four *K. pneumoniae* isolates examined in this study harboured non-susceptibility to all antibiotics or antibiotic combinations assayed, and hence would be classified as PDR according to published guidelines [48]. ONT sequencing was able to resolve both the assembly of plasmids harbouring high levels of resistance (through DNA sequencing) and the expression from the resistome in the absence of antibiotic treatment (via RNA sequencing).

 The ability for ONT to sequence long fragments of DNA has significantly aided the assembly of bacterial genomes and plasmids [16-18]. In this study, multiple megaplasmids (≥100 kbp) were identified which were previously 320 unresolved via Illumina sequencing [28]. These harboured replicons IncA/C2 or a dual replicon, IncFIIK and IncFIB. The IncA/C, IncF and IncN plasmids have been commonly associated with multidrug resistance [48]. Although several plasmids in this study revealed similarity to previously reported isolates via NCBI, various sequences deviated. In 323 particular, the IncA/C2 plasmid exhibited multiple regions unique to these isolates. Several IncA/C2 megaplasmids have been previously described which harbour various resistance genes. However, the extent of resistance observed in our study is extreme when compared to prior reports [50, 51]. Prior studies have shown the IncFIIK and IncFIB 326 replicons to localise on the same plasmid and also megaplasmids with multidrug resistance [6]. The IncFIB<sub>pQil</sub> plasmid in this study contained various β-lactam resistance genes (*blaKPC-2*, *blaOXA-9*, *blaTEM-1A*) which has been identified previously [52]. Similarly, *blaOXA-48* segregated with the IncL/M replicon [53,54], however, deviations in this plasmid were identified.

 The real-time analysis capability entailed in MinION sequencing has the potential to rapidly determine antibiotic resistance profiles of pathogenic bacteria. Previously this device has been utilised to assemble bacterial genomes, discern species and detect antibiotic resistance [12-15]. This study investigated the potential time required to discern 333 resistance via a real-time emulation as previously described [14]. The majority  $(\geq 70\%)$  of resistance genes were  detected via DNA sequencing within 2 hours. Several genes not identified in the final assembly were detected after 2 335 hours of sequencing. This may be attributed to the high similarity  $(\geq 80\%)$  amongst various genes, in particular, those associated with aminoglycoside, β-lactam, rifampicin and phenicol resistance. Furthermore, the error rate associated with ONT sequencing, and the accumulation of these errors over time, may result in the false annotation of these genes. Nanopore DNA sequencing currently has an accuracy ranging from 80 to 90%, which limits its ability to detect genomic variations [17]. Several resistance genes only differ by a few nucleotides which significantly impacts the resistance phenotype and the antibiotics which can be utilised to treat these infections. Furthermore, direct RNA sequencing has an average error rate of 12% [21]. Hence, it is essential for the technology to increase its accuracy in order to correctly and rapidly diagnose antibiotic resistance.

 Investigating the transcriptome of these isolates can potentially elucidate the correlation between genotype and the subsequent resistant phenotype. One of the advantages of RNA sequencing is that it can identify conditions in which a resistance gene is present but not expressed, potentially resulting in a susceptible phenotype. However, if expression is only induced in the presence of an antibiotic, the absence of RNA transcripts may falsely suggest susceptibility. Direct RNA sequencing revealed high levels of transcription from genes associated with aminoglycoside, β-lactam, sulphonamide and trimethoprim resistance within 6 hours. The detection of quinolone, rifampicin, and phenicol resistance correlated to the levels of transcription within samples. All isolates exhibited low levels of expression for fosfomycin, macrolide and tetracycline resistance, despite exhibiting phenotypic resistance to fosfomycin and tetracycline. Whether this transcription is due to prior exposure to these antibiotics in the clinic and the longevity of this expression post exposure warrants further investigation. The changes in transcription levels in response to antibiotic exposure also need to be assessed in future experiments. Furthermore, the time required to detect resistance may be hindered by the slower translocation speed associated with direct RNA sequencing (70 bases/ second) compared to DNA sequencing (450 bases/ second). Furthermore, insufficient rRNA depletion and low base-calling of data could be impacting the detection of this low level expression.

 Another variable to consider when evaluating differential expression is the operon or promoter which can further be explored via cloning. In particular, the highest levels of expression were observed for *blaKPC-2* in 2\_GR\_12 and 20\_GR\_12. Alterations in the promoter region have previously been reported to influence high levels of expression [55]. Furthermore, despite low levels of transcription for fosfomycin (*fosA*) and tetracycline (*tet(A)*, *tet(G)*), phenotypically these isolates consistently retain resistance [28]. FosA, an enzyme involved in the degradation of  fosfomycin, is commonly encoded chromosomally in *K. pneumoniae* and a combination of expression and enzymatic activity contributes to resistance [56]. Genes *tet(A)* and *tet(G)* encode efflux pumps which, in the absence of tetracycline, are lowly expressed [57]. Detecting inducible resistance such as tetracycline resistance highlights one of the advantages of investigating the transcriptome. Additionally, copy number of plasmids can further alter the levels of expression detected for these resistance genes.

 In this study we also investigated pathways attributed to polymyxin resistance. Three of these strains exhibited an IS element upstream of within *mgrB*, the negative regulator of PhoPQ [29]. Elevated expression was apparent for *phoPQ* and also the *pmrHFIJKLM* operon in our polymyxin-resistant isolates harbouring a disruption in *mgrB*. This has previously been witnessed for other *K. pneumoniae* isolates harbouring *mgrB* disruptions and is a potential transcriptional marker for polymyxin resistance [29, 44, 58, 59]. However, this study is limited to four isolates and one mechanism associated with polymyxin resistance. Other pathways have previously been identified including the role of other TCSs such as CrrAB [60]. The ability to use relative expression of key genes to detect polymyxin resistance requires further validation, including an increased sample size of resistant and non-resistant isolates. Furthermore, additional functional experiments such as complementation assays would be required in order to validate the contribution of a certain mutation to the transcriptome and subsequent resistance.

#### **Conclusions**

 This study has utilised MinION sequencing to assemble four XDR *K. pneumoniae* genomes and has revealed several unique plasmids harbouring multidrug resistance. The vast majority of this resistance was detectable within 2 hours of sequencing, though a number of resistance genes were identified that were not present in the final assembly. Exploiting this analysis in real-time would allow for a rapid diagnostic, however, the presence of a resistance gene does not necessarily indicate resistance is conferred and requires additional phenotypic characterisation. This research also established a methodology and analysis for bacterial direct RNA sequencing. The differential expression of resistance genes were successfully detected via this technology and can be exploited for bacterial transcriptomics. Once base-calling algorithms have been optimised, this could allow for a whole transcriptome interrogation of full length transcripts regulated by operons, where more than one gene is co-expressed in a transcript, and the evaluation of the poorly characterised epitranscriptome. This research established a methodology and analysis for bacterial direct RNA sequencing. The differential expression of resistance genes were successfully detected via this technology and

 can be exploited for bacterial transcriptomics. Overall, this study has begun to unravel the association between genotype, transcription and subsequent resistant phenotype in these XDR/ PDR *K. pneumoniae* clinical isolates,

establishing the groundwork for developing a diagnostic that can rapidly determine bacterial resistance profiles.

## **Availability of supporting data**

 The datasets supporting the results presented here are available in the National Center for Biotechnology Information repository BioProject PRJNA307517 (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA307517). ONT DNA sequencing data has been deposited on the Sequence Read Archive (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/) under study SRP133040. Accession numbers are as follows: 1\_GR\_13 (SRR6747887), 2\_GR\_12 (SRR6747886), 16\_GR\_13 (SRR6747885) 399 and 20 GR 12 (SRR6747884). ONT direct RNA sequencing data (pass and fail reads) have been deposited on the Sequence Read Archive (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/) under study SRP133040. Accession numbers are as follows: 1\_GR\_13 (SRR7719054), 2\_GR\_12 (SRR7719055), 16\_GR\_13 (SRR7719052) and 20\_GR\_12 (SRR7719053).

#### **Abbreviations**

 Ara4N: 4-amino-4-deoxy-L-arabinose; caMHB: cation-adjusted Muller Hinton Broth; CLSI: Clinical & Laboratory Standards Institute; EUCAST: The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; HMW: High molecular weight; LB: Lysogeny broth; MAPQ: Mapping quality; MIC: Minimum inhibitory concentration; NCBI: National Center for Biotechnology Information; ONT: Oxford Nanopore Technologies; PDR: Pandrug-resistant; RAST: Rapid Annotation using Subsystem Technology; rRNA: Ribosomal RNA; XDR: Extensively drug-resistant.

### **Competing Interests**

The authors declare that there are no competing interests.

**Funding**

 LJMC is an NHMRC career development Fellow APP1103384. MAC is an NHMRC Principal Research Fellow (APP1059354) and currently holds a fractional Professorial Research Fellow appointment at the University of Queensland with his remaining time as CEO of Inflazome Ltd. a company headquartered in Dublin, Ireland that is developing drugs to address clinical unmet needs in inflammatory disease by targeting the inflammasome. MEP is an Australian Postgraduate Award scholar. MATB is supported in part by a Wellcome Trust Strategic Award 104797/Z/14/Z. This work was supported by the Institute for Molecular Bioscience Centre for Superbug Solutions (610246).



**Author Contributions**

- 9 Zowawi HM, Forde BM, Alfaresi M, et al. Stepwise evolution of pandrug-resistance in *Klebsiella pneumoniae. Sci Rep*. 2015;5:15082.
- 10 Sommer MOA, Munck C, Toft-Kehler RV, et al. Prediction of antibiotic resistance: time for a new preclinical paradigm? *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2017;15:689-96.
- 11 Gardy JL, Loman NJ. Towards a genomics-informed, real-time, global pathogen surveillance system. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2018;19:9-20.
- 12 Lemon JK, Khil PP, Frank KM, et al. Rapid Nanopore Sequencing of Plasmids and Resistance Gene Detection in Clinical Isolates. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2017;55:3530-43.
- 13 Votintseva AA, Bradley P, Pankhurst L, et al. Same-Day Diagnostic and Surveillance Data for Tuberculosis via Whole-Genome Sequencing of Direct Respiratory Samples. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2017;55:1285-98.
- 14 Cao MD, Ganesamoorthy D, Elliott AG, et al. Streaming algorithms for identification of pathogens and 456 antibiotic resistance potential from real-time MinION<sup>TM</sup> sequencing. *Gigascience*. 2016;5:32.
- 15 Quick J, Ashton P, Calus S, et al. Rapid draft sequencing and real-time nanopore sequencing in a hospital outbreak of *Salmonella. Genome Biol*. 2015;16:114.
- 16 Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, et al. Completing bacterial genome assemblies with multiplex MinION sequencing. *Microb Genom*. 2017;3:e000132.
- 17 Li R, Xie M, Dong N, et al. Efficient generation of complete sequences of MDR-encoding plasmids by rapid assembly of MinION barcoding sequencing data. *Gigascience*. 2018;7:1-9.
- 18 George S, Pankhurst L, Hubbard A, et al. Resolving plasmid structures in Enterobacteriaceae using the MinION nanopore sequencer: assessment of MinION and MinION/Illumina hybrid data assembly approaches. *Microb Genom*. 2017;3:e000118.
- 19 Garalde, DR, Snell, EA, Jachimowicz, D, et al. Highly parallel direct RNA sequencing on an array of nanopores. *Nat Methods*. 2018;15:201-6.
- 20 Ozsolak F, Milos PM. RNA sequencing: advances, challenges and opportunities. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2011;12: 87-98.
- 21 Jenjaroenpun P, Wongsurawat T, Pereira R, et al. Complete genomic and transcriptional landscape analysis using third-generation sequencing: a case study of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* CENPK113-7D. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2018;46:e38.
- 22 Workman RE, Tang A, Tang PS, et al. Nanopore native RNA sequencing of a human poly(A) transcriptome. *bioRxiv.* 2018;459529.
- 23 Moldovan N, Tombacz D, Szucs A, et al. Third-generation Sequencing Reveals Extensive Polycistronism and Transcriptional Overlapping in a *Baculovirus. Sci Rep*. 2018;8:8604.
- 24 Keller MW, Rambo-Martin BL, Wilson MM, et al. Direct RNA Sequencing of the Coding Complete Influenza A Virus Genome. *Sci Rep*. 2018;8:14408.
- 25 Depledge DP, Puthankalam SK, Sadaoka T, et al. Native RNA sequencing on nanopore arrays redefines the transcriptional complexity of a viral pathogen. *bioRxiv.* 2018;373522.
- 26 Smith AM, Jain M, Mulroney L, et al. Reading canonical and modified nucleotides in 16S ribosomal RNA using nanopore direct RNA sequencing. *bioRxiv.* 2017;132274.
- 27 Sorek R, Cossart P. Prokaryotic transcriptomics: a new view on regulation, physiology and pathogenicity. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2010;11:9-16.
- 28 Pitt ME, Elliott AG, Cao, MD, et al. Multifactorial chromosomal variants regulate polymyxin resistance in extensively drug-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae. Microb Genom*. 2018:mgen1090000158.
- 29 Olaitan AO, Morand S, Rolain JM. Mechanisms of polymyxin resistance: acquired and intrinsic resistance in bacteria. *Front Microbiol*. 2014;5:643.
- 30 Teng H, Cao MD, Hall MB, et al. Chiron: translating nanopore raw signal directly into nucleotide sequence using deep learning. *GigaScience.* 2018;7:10.1093/gigascience/giy037.
- 31 Li H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. *arXiv.* 2013;13033997.
- 32 Zankari E, Hasman H, Cosentino S, et al. Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance genes. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2012;67:2640-4.
- 33 Lassmann T, Frings O, Sonnhammer EL. Kalign2: high-performance multiple alignment of protein and nucleotide sequences allowing external features. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2009;37:858-65.
- 34 Allison L, Wallace CS, Yee CN. When is a string like a string? In: Artificial Intelligence and Mathematics. *Ft Lauderdale FL.* 1990.
- 35 Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, et al. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J Comput Biol*. 2012;19:455-77.
- 36 Cao MD, Nguyen SH, Ganesamoorthy D, et al. Scaffolding and completing genome assemblies in real-time with nanopore sequencing. *Nat Commun*. 2017;8:14515.
- 37 Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, et al. Unicycler: Resolving bacterial genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2017;13:e1005595.
- 38 Koren S, Walenz BP, Berlin K, et al. Canu: scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive k-mer weighting and repeat separation. *Genome Res*. 2017;27:722-36.
- 39 Li H. Minimap and miniasm: fast mapping and *de novo* assembly for noisy long sequences. *Bioinformatics*. 2016;32:2103-10.
- 40 Vaser R, Sovic I, Nagarajan N, et al. Fast and accurate *de novo* genome assembly from long uncorrected reads. *Genome Res*. 2017;27:737-46.
- 41 Darling AE, Tritt A, Eisen JA, et al. Mauve assembly metrics. *Bioinformatics*. 2011;27:2756-7.
- 42 Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, et al. The RAST Server: rapid annotations using subsystems technology. *BMC Genomics*. 2008;9:75.
- 43 Carattoli A, Zankari E, Garcia-Fernandez A, et al. *In silico* detection and typing of plasmids using PlasmidFinder and plasmid multilocus sequence typing. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2014;58:3895-903.
- 44 Quinlan AR. BEDTools: The Swiss-Army Tool for Genome Feature Analysis. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics*. 2014;47:11.12.1-34.
- 45 Cannatelli A, D'Andrea MM, Giani T, et al. *In vivo* emergence of colistin resistance in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* producing KPC-type carbapenemases mediated by insertional inactivation of the PhoQ/PhoP *mgrB* regulator. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2013;57:5521-6.
- 46 Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdottir H, Winckler W, et al. Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2011;29; 24-6.
- 47 Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods*. 2001;25:402-8.
- 48 Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, et al. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug- resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2012;18,268–81.
- 49 Carattoli A. Resistance plasmid families in Enterobacteriaceae. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2009;53: 2227-38.
- 50 Desmet S, Nepal S, van Dijl JM, et al. Antibiotic Resistance Plasmids Cointegrated into a Megaplasmid Harboring the *blaOXA-427* Carbapenemase Gene. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2018;62:e01448-17.
- 51 Papagiannitsis CC, Dolejska M, Izdebski R, et al. Characterisation of IncA/C2 plasmids carrying an In416- like integron with the *blaVIM-19* gene from *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ST383 of Greek origin. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2016;47:158-62.
- 52 Chen L, Chavda KD, Melano RG, et al. Comparative genomic analysis of KPC-encoding pKpQIL-like plasmids and their distribution in New Jersey and New York Hospitals. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2014;58:2871-7.
- 53 Poirel L, Bonnin RA, Nordmann P. Genetic features of the widespread plasmid coding for the carbapenemase OXA-48. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2012;56:559-62.
- 54 Potron A, Poirel L, Nordmann P. Derepressed transfer properties leading to the efficient spread of the plasmid encoding carbapenemase OXA-48. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2014;58:467-71.
- 55 Cheruvanky A, Stoesser N, Sheppard AE, et al. Enhanced *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Carbapenemase Expression from a Novel Tn4401 Deletion. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2017;61:e00025-17.
- 56 Klontz EH, Tomich AD, Gunther S, et al. Structure and Dynamics of FosA-Mediated Fosfomycin Resistance in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli. Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2017;61:e01572-17.
- 57 Saenger W, Orth P, Kisker C, et al. The Tetracycline Repressor-A Paradigm for a Biological Switch. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl*. 2000;39;2042-52.
- 58 Cheng YH, Lin TL, Pan YJ, et al. Colistin resistance mechanisms in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strains from Taiwan. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2015;59:2909-13.
- 59 Haeili M, Javani A, Moradi J, et al. MgrB Alterations Mediate Colistin Resistance in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Isolates from Iran. *Front Microbiol*. 2017;8:2470.
- 60 Baron S, Hadjadj L, Rolain JM, et al. Molecular mechanisms of polymyxin resistance: knowns and unknowns. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2017;48:583-91.
- 
- 

# 556 **Table and Figure Legends**

Isolate	${\cal S}{\cal T}$	Contig	Length (bp)	Coverage	Contig ID*	Resistance Genes**
$1_GR_13$	147	1	5181675	1	$\mathbf C$	blaSHV-11, fosA, oqxA, oqxB
		$\sqrt{2}$	192771	1.95	P: IncA/C2	$aadAI$ , $ant(2")$ -Ia, $aph(6)$ -Id, ARR-2, blaOXA-10, blaTEM-1B, blaVEB-1, cmlA1, dfrA14, dfrA23, rmtB, strA, sull, sul2, tet(A), tet(G)
		3	168873	$\mathbf{2}$	P: IncFIB <sub>pKpn3</sub> , IncFII <sub>pKP91</sub>	$aadA24, aph(3')$ -Ia, aph(6)-Id, dfrA1, dfrA14, strA
		4	108879	1.53	P: IncFIB <sub>pKPHS1</sub>	
		5	55018	14.10		
		6	53495	2.36	P: IncR, IncN	$aadA24, aph(3')$ -Ia, aph(6)-Id, blaVIM-27, dfrA1, $mph(A)$ , strA, sul1
$2_GR_12$	258	$\mathbf{1}$	5466424	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathcal{C}$	blaSHV-11, fosA, oqxA, oqxB
		$\overline{c}$	197872	1.3	P: IncFIB <sub>pKpn3</sub> , IncFIIK	aadA2, aph(3')-Ia, catA1, dfrA12, $mph(A),$ sull
		3	175636	1.49	P: IncA/C2	$aadAI, ant(2")$ -Ia, $aph(3")$ -Ib, $aph(6)$ -Id, ARR-2, blaOXA-10, blaTEM-1A, blaVEB-1, cmlA1, dfrA14, dfrA23, rmtB, sul1, sul2, tet(A), tet(G)
		4	95481	1.61	P: IncFIB <sub>pQil</sub>	blaKPC-2, blaOXA-9, blaTEM-1A
		5	43380	1.91	P: IncX3	blaSHV-12
		6	13841	$\overline{4}$	P: ColRNAI	$aac(6')$ -Ib, $aac(6')$ Ib-cr
16_GR_13	11	$\,1$	5426917	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf C$	blaSHV-11, fosA, oqxA, oqxB
		$\overline{c}$	187670	0.88	$P: IncFIB_{pKpn3}; IncFIIK$	$aac(3)$ -IIa, $aac(6')$ Ib-cr, aadA2, $aph(3')$ -Ia, blaCTX-M-15, blaOXA-1, catB4, dfrA12, mph(A), sul1
		3	155161	0.99	P: IncA/C2	$aadAI, ant(2'')-Ia, aph(3'')-Ib,$ $aph(6)$ -Id, ARR-2, blaOXA-10, blaTEM-1B, blaVEB-1, cmlA1, $rmtB$ , sul1, sul2, tet(A), tet(G)
		4	63589	1.49	P: IncL/ $M_{pOXA-48}$	blaOXA-48
		5	5234	188.49		
		6	4940	97.77	P: ColRNAI	
20_GR_12	258	$\mathbf{1}$	5395894	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf C$	blaSHV-11, fosA, oqxA, oqxB
		$\overline{c}$	170467	1.77	P: Inc $FIB_{pKpn3}$ ; Inc $FIIK$	aph(3')-Ia, blaKPC-2, blaOXA-9, blaTEM-1A
		3	50979	1.42	P: IncN	$aph(3'')-Ib, aph(6)-Id, blaTEM-IA,$ $dfrA14$ , sul2, tet(A)
		4	43380	1.78	P: IncX3	blaSHV-12
		5	13841	10.82	P: ColRNAI	$aac(6')$ -Ib, $aac(6')$ Ib-cr

557 **Table 1:** Final assembly of XDR *K pneumoniae* isolates and location of antibiotic resistance genes

558 \*Contig ID represents chromosome (C) or plasmid (P): replicon determined via PlasmidFinder 1.3.

559 \*\*Resistance genes identified using ResFinder 3.0 (≥90% sequence similarity, ≥60% minimum length) and displayed

560 in alphabetical order. **Bold** indicates a circular contig.



 **Figure 1:** Time required to detect antibiotic resistance genes via the real-time emulation analysis using MinION DNA and direct RNA sequencing (**A**) 1\_GR\_13, (**B**) 2\_GR\_12, (**C**) 16\_GR\_13 and (**D**) 20\_GR\_12. Legend colours identify the class of antibiotic to which the gene confers resistance, / on y-axis indicates reads detected more than one resistance 588 gene and # is a family of genes detected  $(>3)$ . An asterisk (\*) indicates the inability for direct RNA sequencing to detect this gene Albacore 2.2.7. base-called sequences were used and all reads (pass and fail) were included in this analysis.



 **Figure 2:** Relative expression of resistance genes normalised to housekeeping gene, *rpsL*, via direct RNA sequencing. Strains investigated include: (**A**) 1\_GR\_13, (**B**) 2\_GR\_12, (**C**) 16\_GR\_13 and (**D**) 20\_GR\_12. X-axis depicts the resistance genes and are grouped based on the location in the genome where P indicates a plasmid followed by replicon identity. Albacore 2.2.7 base-called pass and fail reads were used for analysis.

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 



 **Figure 3:** Correlation between resistance genes detected via direct RNA sequencing and validated using qRT-PCR. Relative expression was calculated via normalizing to the housekeeping gene, *rpsL* for both direct RNA sequencing  $653$  (log2(gene/*rpsL*)) and qRT-PCT (2<sup>- $\Delta$ *CT*). Due to high similarity between certain genes, several primers recognise more</sup> than one gene. These include *aac(6')Ib: aac(6')Ib-cr, aadA24*; *strA: aph(3'')-Ib* and *blaTEM-1: blaTEM-1A, blaTEM-1B.*

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

- 
- 
- 





 **Figure 4:** Correlation between the four XDR *K pneumoniae* isolates for gene expression via direct RNA sequencing. Top panels display spearman correlation coefficients. The diagonal panel shows the density of gene expression levels in counts per million mapped reads (cpm) for each sample. Colours indicate categorization of gene: antimicrobial resistance genes (AMR) as per ResFinder 3.0, virulence genes (VIR) determined via RAST and all other genes or background genes (BG) are displayed. Cpm was capped at 2000.

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 



 **Figure 5:** Expression of genes associated with the polymyxin resistance pathway. Comparison between (**A**) direct 717 RNA sequencing and (**B**) qRT-PCR. Isolates harbouring resistance to polymyxins (MIC: >2 µg/mL) include

718 1\_GR\_13, 2\_GR\_12 and 16\_GR\_13.













Supplementary material

Click here to access/download Supplementary Material [#SI\\_GS\\_Evaluating\\_XDRKP#.docx](https://www.editorialmanager.com/giga/download.aspx?id=76525&guid=8d6820ca-2038-4670-9341-191bcb81cf13&scheme=1)



**Institute for Molecular Bioscience**

CRICOS PROVIDER NUMBER 00025B

3 June 2019

Dear Dr Scott Edmunds,

Re: Article submission to *GigaScience*

Please consider the enclosed manuscript entitled "**Evaluating the Genome and Resistome of Extensively Drug-Resistant** *Klebsiella pneumoniae* **using Native DNA and RNA Nanopore sequencing**" for publication in GigaScience.

Extensively drug-resistant bacteria, including *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, are an increasing cause of mortality globally. Rapid identification of the drug resistance profile of these bacteria is of critical importance in treating infections, so that the appropriate antibiotic can be given at the right time. Real-time nanopore sequencing of bacterial DNA has been investigated as a promising means to rapidly identify the drug resistance profile of these organisms. However, the potential for real-time direct RNA sequencing to inform identification of antibiotic resistance has yet to be explored.

In this manuscript, we develop the first ever protocol for sequencing native bacterial RNA using the Oxford Nanopore Technologies MinION sequencer, and use this approach to investigate the degree to which real-time RNA sequencing can be used to monitor the presence and expression levels of acquired antibiotic resistance genes. We show direct RNA sequencing can identify resistance to a number of antibiotics, including carbapenems and polymyxins. We also show that direct RNA sequencing provides an accurate estimate of gene expression levels, by validation using qRT-PCR.

We also use our data to evaluate the accuracy of Neural network base-calling on bacterial direct RNA, benchmarking a 3 proprietary base-callers as well as one which we trained in-house (Chiron), building on work previously published in Gigascience.

We confirm that no material herein has been published and that the manuscript is not under consideration for any other journal. All authors have approved the manuscript and agree with its submission.

Yours sincerely,

L.M Cori

**Associate Professor Lachlan Coin Group Leader**

T +61 7 3346 2100 F + 61 7 3346 2101 E l.coin@imb.uq.edu.au W www.imb.uq.edu.au



