

Corresponding author(s):	Thomas Scheuerl
Last updated by author(s):	YYYY-MM-DD

# Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see <u>Authors & Referees</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistics	
For all statistical analyse	es, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a Confirmed	
The exact sam	ple size $(n)$ for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
A statement o	n whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided sets should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
A description	of all covariates tested
A description	of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
A full descripti AND variation	on of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
For null hypotl	hesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. $F$ , $t$ , $r$ ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and $P$ value noted exact values whenever suitable.
For Bayesian a	nalysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
For hierarchical	al and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
Estimates of e	ffect sizes (e.g. Cohen's $d$ , Pearson's $r$ ), indicating how they were calculated
'	Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.
Software and c	ode
Policy information abou	ıt <u>availability of computer code</u>
Data collection	We used R for data representation
Data analysis	We used R for data analysis
	om algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.
Data	
<ul><li>Accession codes, uni</li><li>A list of figures that h</li></ul>	It <u>availability of data</u> nclude a <u>data availability statement</u> . This statement should provide the following information, where applicable: que identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets have associated raw data restrictions on data availability
Manuscript contains a dat	a availability statement.
Field-speci	fic reporting
Please select the one be	elow that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Life sciences

Behavioural & social sciences

\* Ecological, evolution

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <a href="mailto:nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf">nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</a>

**x** Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

### Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size We used 864 microcosms containing the 9 background communities and one of the 22 focal strains. We applied 4 replicates per focal strain community pair including a control and a strain mix

Data exclusions No data were excluded for the analysis

Study description

Replication We used four replicates per focal strain community combination

Randomization We allocated samples randomly to the microcosms

Blinding Samples were analysed using machines like flow cytometry or optical plate readers

## Behavioural & social sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

i studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Briefly describe the study type including whether data are quantitative, qualitative, or mixed-methods (e.g. qualitative cross-sectional, quantitative experimental, mixed-methods case study).

Research sample

State the research sample (e.g. Harvard university undergraduates, villagers in rural India) and provide relevant demographic information (e.g. age, sex) and indicate whether the sample is representative. Provide a rationale for the study sample chosen. For studies involving existing datasets, please describe the dataset and source.

Sampling strategy

Describe the sampling procedure (e.g. random, snowball, stratified, convenience). Describe the statistical methods that were used to predetermine sample size OR if no sample-size calculation was performed, describe how sample sizes were chosen and provide a rationale for why these sample sizes are sufficient. For qualitative data, please indicate whether data saturation was considered, and what criteria were used to decide that no further sampling was needed.

Data collection

Provide details about the data collection procedure, including the instruments or devices used to record the data (e.g. pen and paper, computer, eye tracker, video or audio equipment) whether anyone was present besides the participant(s) and the researcher, and whether the researcher was blind to experimental condition and/or the study hypothesis during data collection.

Timing Indicate the start and stop dates of data collection. If there is a gap between collection periods, state the dates for each sample cohort.

Data exclusions | If no data were excluded from the analyses, state so OR if data were excluded, provide the exact number of exclusions and the rationale behind them, indicating whether exclusion criteria were pre-established.

Non-participation

State how many participants dropped out/declined participation and the reason(s) given OR provide response rate OR state that no participants dropped out/declined participation.

Randomization | If participants were not allocated into experimental groups, state so OR describe how participants were allocated to groups, and if allocation was not random, describe how covariates were controlled.

# Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Study description

We used 22 non pathogenic focal bacterial strains isolated from 11 beech tree communities. We then followed adaptive evolution of these focal strains while evolving for 6 month in the communities. At the end we compared the evolved strain and the ancestral strain while competing for resources in the coevolved background community for a period of two weeks.

Research sample We used 864 microcosms containing the background community and one of the focal strains. We applied 4 replicates per focal strain community pair including a control and a strain mix.

Sampling strategy For the evolved vs. ancestor comparison we sampled the initial time point, after 7 days and after 14 days.

Data collection Data were collected using flow cytometry, optical density reading, genome sequencing and illumina sequencing.

Timing and spatial scale The selection experiment ran for 6 month. The comparison between evolved and ancestral strain for two weeks.

Data exclusions After the selection experiment we excluded 3 communities before we did the evolved/ancestor test (relevant for this study) as these indicated contamination.

•	
7	
9	=
	=
(	D
	=
	ጉ
	ᅺ
	7
	7
٦,	₹
	ı
	_
	╮
4	
٦	_
	=
	ጥ
(	ι
7	
١	$\boldsymbol{\succeq}$
7	
٠,	=
ı	
7	
	Ę
ī.	=
1	╮
u	_
	_
	-
- 3	
r	=
	=
	-
ě	3
į	3

Ĺ	ر
c	Ī
C	
ς	
2	0
	ن
Ç	$\sim$
	7

Reproducibility	We included four replicates per focal strain community pair.
Randomization	ocal strains were randomly arranged in the microcosm plate.
Blinding	All smaples were analysed using machines like flow cytometry.
Did the study involve field	work? Yes X No
Field work, collecti	on and transport
Field conditions	Describe the study conditions for field work, providing relevant parameters (e.g. temperature, rainfall).
Location	State the location of the sampling or experiment, providing relevant parameters (e.g. latitude and longitude, elevation, water depth).
Access and import/export	Describe the efforts you have made to access habitats and to collect and import/export your samples in a responsible manner and in compliance with local, national and international laws, noting any permits that were obtained (give the name of the issuing authority, the date of issue, and any identifying information).
Disturbance	Describe any disturbance caused by the study and how it was minimized.
Ve require information from aut ystem or method listed is releva	thors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, and to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.
Materials & experimen	<del></del>
n/a Involved in the study Antibodies	n/a   Involved in the study  ChIP-seq
Eukaryotic cell lines	Flow cytometry
Palaeontology	MRI-based neuroimaging
Animals and other org	ganisms
Human research parti	cipants
Clinical data	
Antibodies	
Antibodies used	no antibiodies were used
Validation	not relevant
Eukaryotic cell line	S
olicy information about <u>cell</u>	<u>lines</u>
Cell line source(s)	not relevant
Authentication	not relevant
Mycoplasma contamination	n not relevant
Commonly misidentified lir (See <u>ICLAC</u> register)	nes not relevant
Palaeontology	
Specimen provenance	not relevant
Specimen deposition	not relevant
Dating methods	not relevant
Tick this hav to confirm	that the raw and calibrated dates are available in the paper or in Supplementary Information

Animals and other o	rganisms
Policy information about studie	s involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research
Laboratory animals	not relevant
Wild animals	not relevant
Field-collected samples	Bacterial communities were sampled from natural sites located in UK
Ethics oversight	not relevant
Note that full information on the ap	proval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.
Human research par	ticipants
•	s involving human research participants
Population characteristics	not relevant
Recruitment	not relevant
Ethics oversight	not relevant
Note that full information on the ap	proval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.
Clinical data	
Policy information about clinica	I studies the ICMJEguidelines for publication of clinical research and a completed CONSORT checklist must be included with all submissions.
Clinical trial registration	not relevant
Study protocol	not relevant
Data collection	not relevant
Outcomes	not relevant
ChIP-seq	
Data deposition	
•	d final processed data have been deposited in a public database such as GEO.
Confirm that you have dep	posited or provided access to graph files (e.g. BED files) for the called peaks.
Data access links May remain private before publication	not relevant
Files in database submission	not relevant
Genome browser session (e.g. <u>UCSC</u> )	not relevant
Methodology	
Replicates	not relevant
Sequencing depth	not relevant
Antibodies	not relevant
Peak calling parameters	not relevant
Data quality	not relevant

Software

not relevant

		$\sim$				
-	low	( \	/T/\	m	$\triangle$ T	r١
		$\mathbf{C}$	y tO	יווו	Lι	ıу

#### **Plots**

Confirm that:

- The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).
- The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).
- 🗶 All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.
- 🗶 A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

### Methodology

Sample preparation	Samples were prepared according to the manufactures protocol. Bacterial cells were stained with thiazol orange.
Instrument	BD Accuri C6 attached to a Intellicyt Hypercyt autosampler
Software	HyperCyt data files were analysed using in-house R scripts
Cell population abundance	Whole population was considered
Gating strategy	According to the stained abcterial cells
Tick this hav to confirm th	and a figure examplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information

to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.

### Magnetic resonance imaging

Experimental design	
Design type	not relevant
Design specifications	not relevant
Behavioral performance measures	not relevant
Acquisition	
Imaging type(s)	not relevant
Field strength	not relevant
Sequence & imaging parameters	not relevant
Area of acquisition	not relevant
Diffusion MRI Used	Not used
Preprocessing	
Preprocessing software	not relevant
Normalization	not relevant
Normalization template	not relevant
Noise and artifact removal	not relevant
Volume censoring	not relevant

### Statistical modeling & inference

Model type and settings	not relevant
Effect(s) tested	not relevant
Specify type of analysis: Whole	brain ROI-based Both

	nature research

(	$\supset$
ć	₫.
Ċ	3
ς	5
٤	Ď
	ن
<	S
ì	7
	$\sim$

Statistic type for inference (See <u>Eklund et al. 2016</u> )	not relevant	
Correction	not relevant	
Models & analysis		
n/a   Involved in the study		
Functional and/or effective conn	nectivity	
Graph analysis		
Multivariate modeling or predict	tive analysis	
Functional and/or effective connectiv	ity not relevant	
Graph analysis	not relevant	
Multivariate modeling and predictive	analysis not relevant	