## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

### SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES

#### Supplemental Table 1: Hospital Treatments

Characteristic	IV Route	IO Route
Targeted temperature management, n (%) [n= 1058, 258]	789 (74.6%)	187 (72.5%)
Placebo [n=323, 89]	230 (71.2%)	64 (71.9%)
Lidocaine [n=370, 94]	281 (75.9%)	66 (70.2%)
Amiodarone [n=365, 75]	278 (76.2%)	57 (76.0%)
Coronary catheterization in first 24 hours, n (%) [n=1070, 259]*	615 (57.5%)	139 (50.2%)
Placebo [n=328, 89]	191 (58.2%)	38 (42.7%)
Lidocaine [n=215, 95]	215 (57.8%)	48 (50.5%)
Amiodarone [n=370, 75]	209 (56.5%)	44 (58.7%)
Percutaneous coronary intervention in first 24 hours , n (%) [n=1070, 259]†	362 (33.8%)	70 (27%)
Placebo [n=328, 89]	109 (33.2%)	19 (21.3%)
Lidocaine [n=372, 95]	132 (35.5%)	29 (30.5%)
Amiodarone [n=370, 75]	121 (32.7%)	22 (29.3%)
Implantable cardiovascular defibrillator, n (%) [n=1061, 256]	193 (18.2%)	55 (21.5%)
Placebo [n=325, 88]	54 (16.6%)	25 (28.4%)
Lidocaine [n=368, 93]	68 (18.5%)	13 (14%)
Amiodarone [n=368, 75]	71 (19.3%)	17 (22.7%)
Care limited or withdrawn, n (%) [n=1070, 259]	312 (29.2%)	80 (30.9%)
Placebo [n=328, 89]	86 (26.2%)	26 (29.2%)
Lidocaine [n=372, 95]	113 (30.4%)	31 (32.6%)
Amiodarone [n=370, 75]	113 (30.5%)	23 (30.7%)

Abbreviations: IO -intraosseous vascular access, IV -intravenous vascular access

The [n = ] in the gray rows of the table refers to the number of patients in the IV and IO groups,

respectively, who survived to hospital admission and in whom data were available for the indicated

measure.

\* p =0.04; † p= 0.03 for differences in coronary catheterization and percutaneous coronary intervention,

respectively between IV and IO treatment groups

	IV Route	IO Route	
Thrombophlebitis within 24h, no./total no. (%)	6/2358 (0.3%)	0/661 (0%)	
Placebo	2/825 (0.2%)	0/229 (0%)	
Lidocaine	3/771 (0.4%)	0/220 (0%)	
Amiodarone	1/762 (0.1%)	0/212 (0%)	
Anaphylaxis within 24h, no./total no. (%)	0/2358 (0%)	0/661 (0%)	
Placebo	0/825 (0%)	0/229 (0%)	
Lidocaine	0/771 (0%)	0/220 (0%)	
Amiodarone	0/762 (0%)	0/212 (0%)	
Seizure activity within 24h, no./total no. (%)	100/2358 (4.2%)	21/661 (3.2%)	
Placebo	30/825 (3.6%)	9/229 (3.9%)	
Lidocaine	44/771 (5.7%)	7/220 (3.2%)	
Amiodarone	26/762 (3.4%)	5/212 (2.4%)	
Cardiac pacing within 24h, no./total no. (%)	84/2358 (3.6%)	25/661 (3.8%)	
Placebo	24/825 (2.9%)	5/229 (2.2%)	
Lidocaine	25/771 (3.2%)	7/220 (3.2%)	
Amiodarone	35/762 (4.6%)	13/212 (6.1%)	
Intraosseous complication within 24h, no./total no. (%)*	0/2358 (0%)	4/661 (0.6%)	
Placebo	0/825 (0%)	2/229 (0.9%)	
Lidocaine	0/771 (0%)	0/220 (0%)	
Amiodarone	0/762 (0%)	2/212 (0.9%)	
Any Adverse Event within 24h, no./total no. (%)	184/2358 (7.8%)	50/661 (7.6%)	
Placebo	53/825 (6.4%)	16/229 (7%)	
Lidocaine	70/771 (9.1%)	14/220 (6.4%)	
Amiodarone	61/762 (8%)	20/212 (9.4%)	

# Supplemental Table 2: Adverse events by drug and drug route in the study population

Abbreviations: h – hours, IO - intraosseous vascular access, IV - intravenous vascular access

\* p<0.001 for differences in IO-associated complications between IV and IO treatment groups; all other

differences were not statistically significant.

# SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURES

**Supplemental Figure 1:** Proportion of patients at the 10 participating study sites in whom study drug was given by intravenous (IV) vs intraosseous (IO) route. The number of patients (n) enrolled at the respective sites (A-J), along with the proportion who received study drug IV or IO are depicted.

## IV Access Site

IO Access Site

