

Corresponding author(s):	Dr. Michael Khalil
Last updated by author(s):	Jan 3, 2020

# **Reporting Summary**

X Life sciences

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see <u>Authors & Referees</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistics				
For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.				
n/a Confirmed				
The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement				
A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly				
The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.				
A description of all covariates tested				
A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons				
A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient)  AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)				
For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>				
For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings				
For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes				
Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's <i>d</i> , Pearson's <i>r</i> ), indicating how they were calculated				
Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.				
Software and code				
Policy information about <u>availability of computer code</u>				
Data collection FSL-Tools (fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk), DispImage (D.L. Plummer 1992)				
Data analysis R-Foundation for Statistical Computing, IBM SPSS Statistics (v25.0), MATLAB				
For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.				
Data				
Policy information about availability of data  All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:  - Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets  - A list of figures that have associated raw data  - A description of any restrictions on data availability				
The source data of key findings underlying Figs. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and Tables 2, 3, 4 are provided as Source Data file. All other data sets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.				
Field-specific reporting				

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

Behavioural & social sciences

# Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size

Our investigation was based on data and material from the prospective and ongoing Austrian Stroke Prevention Family Study (ASPS-Fam), which is an extension of the Austrian Stroke Prevention Study (ASPS) that was established in 1991 (Schmidt, R. et al. Assessment of cerebrovascular risk profiles in healthy persons: definition of research goals and the Austrian Stroke Prevention Study (ASPS). Neuroepidemiology 13, 308–13 (1994); Schmidt, R., Fazekas, F., Kapeller, P., Schmidt, H. & Hartung, H. P. MRI white matter hyperintensities: three-year follow-up of the Austrian Stroke Prevention Study. Neurology 53, 132–9 (1999)). Between 2006 and 2013, study participants of the ASPS and their first-grade relatives were invited to enter ASPS-Fam. Inclusion criteria were no history of stroke or dementia and a normal neurological examination. The entire cohort underwent a thorough diagnostic work-up including clinical history taking, laboratory evaluation, cognitive testing, and an extended vascular risk factor assessment. Thus far the ASPS-Fam study comprises of 381 individuals from 169 families with MRI and blood samples available in 371 participants.

From all subjects, 103 agreed on a follow-up scan and the mean follow-up time was 5.59 years (±0.97, min=3.99, max=6.94). A total of 8 follow-up cases were excluded for new onset stroke (n=3), heart disease (n=2), transient ischemic attack (n=1), brain hemorrhage (n=1) and orofacial dyskinesia (n=1) between baseline and follow-up assessment.

Data exclusions

Thirty-six subjects had to be excluded due to one or more of following exclusion criteria: diagnosis or suspicion of dementia (MMSE<=24 or problems (failure of one task in the Mini-Cog test) of memory: n=11), visible brain infarcts on MRI (n=19), a history of stroke (n=9), other diseases (chronic myeloid leukemia) (n=1). This left a total of 335 participants to investigate sNfL in an aging population. From all subjects, 103 agreed on a follow-up scan and the mean follow-up time was 5.59 years (±0.97, min=3.99, max=6.94). A total of 8 follow-up cases were excluded for new onset stroke (n=3), heart disease (n=2), transient ischemic attack (n=1), brain hemorrhage (n=1) and orofacial dyskinesia (n=1) between baseline and follow-up assessment.

Replication

From the 335 included participants, 103 agreed on a follow-up scan and the mean follow-up time was 5.59 years

Randomization

Randoimzation was not relevant for this study.

Blinding

All analysis have been performed by trained coworkers, blindend to clinical informtion.

## Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems		Me	Methods	
n/a	Involved in the study	n/a	Involved in the study	
	Antibodies	$\boxtimes$	ChIP-seq	
$\boxtimes$	Eukaryotic cell lines	$\boxtimes$	Flow cytometry	
$\boxtimes$	Palaeontology		MRI-based neuroimaging	
$\boxtimes$	Animals and other organisms			
	Human research participants			
	Clinical data			

#### **Antibodies**

Antibodies used

All serum samples were analyzed at the University Hospital Basel, Switzerland by board certified technicians blinded to clinical or paraclinical information. Serum NfL levels were determined by single molecule array (Simoa) assay using the capture monoclonal antibody (mAB) 47:3 and the biotinylated detector mAB 2:1 from UmanDiagnostic (Norgren, N., Karlsson, J.-E., Rosengren, L. & Stigbrand, T. Monoclonal antibodies selective for low molecular weight neurofilaments. Hybrid. Hybridomics 21, 53–9 (2002)) transferred onto the Simoa platform as previously described (Disanto, G. et al. Serum Neurofilament light: A biomarker of neuronal damage in multiple sclerosis. Ann. Neurol. 81, 857–870 (2017)).

Validation

Recovery rates ([concentration of spiked sample - concentration of native sample]/spiked concentration x 100) were tested in 4 serum and 4 CSF samples from HC spiked with 5, 50, and 200pg/ml and 500 and 2,000pg/ml of NfL, respectively. The mean recovery after spiking was 107% for serum and 121% for CSF. Parallelism and linearity of the assay for serum and CSF were confirmed by serial dilution experiments (Disanto, G. et al. Serum Neurofilament light: A biomarker of neuronal damage in multiple sclerosis. Ann. Neurol. 81, 857–870 (2017); Valentin, MA. et al. Validation of immunoassay for protein biomarkers: bioanalytical study plan implementation to support pre-clinical and clinical studies. J Pharm Biomed Anal. 55, 869–877 (2011). The used monoclonal antibodies have proven to be highly specific in NfL knock-out animal experiments (Bacioglu, M. et al. Neurofilament light chain in blood and CSF as marker of disease progression in mouse models and in neurodegenerative diseases. Neuron. 91, 56-66 (2016).

### Human research participants

Policy information about studies involving human research participants

Population characteristics

We analyzed participants from the prospective and ongoing Austrian Stroke Prevention Family Study (ASPS-Fam), which is an extension of the Austrian Stroke Prevention Study (ASPS) that was established in 1991 (see above).

Mean age was 64.85 years, and the cohort consisted of 195 female and 140 male individuals.

Recruitment

Participants were randomly recruited based on the official registry of residents of city of Graz, Austria, between 1991 and 1994. Between 2006 and 2013, study participants of the ASPS and their first-grade relatives were invited to enter ASPS-Fam.

Ethics oversight

The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Medical University of Graz, Austria, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

#### Clinical data

Policy information about <u>clinical studies</u>

All manuscripts should comply with the ICMJE guidelines for publication of clinical research and a completed CONSORT checklist must be included with all submissions.

Clinical trial registration

Retrospective analysis of the ASPS-Family, supported by Austrian Science Fund P20545-P05, P13180 and I904-B13 (Era-Net)

Study protocol

MRI, cognitive testing, and cardiovascular risk factor assessment

Structural analysis and visual rating

Data collection

Experimental design

Data used for the current study were collected between 2006 and 2013

Outcomes

Design type

Effect(s) tested

Describe how you pre-defined primary and secondary outcome measures and how you assessed these measures.

### Magnetic resonance imaging

Design specifications	
Behavioral performance measures	(-
Acquisition	
Imaging type(s)	Structural
Field strength	ЗТ
Sequence & imaging parameters	T1 MPRAGE at 1mm isotropic in-plane resolution (TR=1900ms, TE=2.19ms, TI=900ms, FA=9°), axial T2w-FLAIR (TR=10s,TE=69ms,TI=2500ms, number of slices=40, resolution=0.86x0.86x3mm)
Area of acquisition	whole brain
Diffusion MRI Used	Not used     ■
Preprocessing	
Preprocessing software	No specific preprocessing was used. For SIENA/X neck-cutting was applied.
Normalization	No specific normalization was applied.
Normalization template	SIENAX uses an affine-registration to the MNI152 template in order to normalise for head size
Noise and artifact removal	visual inspection to exclude scans with motion artifacts
Volume censoring	Define your software and/or method and criteria for volume censoring, and state the extent of such censoring.
Statistical modeling & inference	
Statistical modeling & interence	
Model type and settings	_

		٠
ŧ	ŧ	′
۷	1	ļ
9		3
ς		
Ę		5
ſ	L	)
ı		ı
ć	T	ì
	ľ	′
2	4	2
(	L	)
Ç	١	j
	i	
(	Ī	١
		5
ī	Ī	1
×		
ć	ī	S
7	Į	$\frac{5}{2}$
ζ	Ι	5
	1	5
ζ	1	5
ζ	1	5
2	1	5
	Ī	
2	1	
	1	
	7	
	\ -	
	[ -	3
	[ /	
		3

ctober 20	
tober 2	
ober 2	
ber 2	
2 19	
Ň	
$\nabla$	
$\circ$	
$\infty$	

specify type of analysis:	whole brain
Statistic type for inference (See <u>Eklund et al. 2016</u> )	
Correction	-
Correction	
Models & analysis	
n/a   Involved in the study	
Functional and/or effecti	ive connectivity
Graph analysis	
Multivariate modeling or	r predictive analysis