

Supplementary Figure 1: Radiation combined with anti-PD-L1 immunotherapy activates CD8+ T cells, but decreases regulatory T cell population in a head and neck cancer model. **A**) Representative dot plots of IFN- γ^+ cells in CD8⁺ T cells in the TDLN from an AT-84-E7 orthotopic model. **B**) Representative dot plots of CD25⁺Foxp3⁺ regulatory T cells within CD4⁺ T cells from the TDLN in an AT-84-E7 flank model. **C**) Memory subsets of CD8⁺ T cells in TIL from AT-84-E7-bearing mice. **D**) Percentage of CD25⁺Foxp3⁺ regulatory T cells within CD4⁺ T cells from TIL in an AT-84-E7 flank model. **E**) mRNA expression of chemokines in irradiated- and nonirradiated- LN from HNSCC patient was analyzed by RT-qPCR. Data are shown as mean ± S.E.M. Abbreviations: TDLN, tumor-draining lymph node; TIL, tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte





C



N-FLAG E5



Supplementary figure 2: N-FLAG E5 localized in Golgi apparatus and promoted lung metastasis. **A**) (left) HPV16 E5 construct schemes. (right) Immunofluorescence of E5 (red) and nuclei (blue) in transiently transfected HEK293T. **B**) Percentage of the mice with lung metastasis among AT-84-E7-bearing mice on Day 28 after tumor inoculation. **C**) Representative images of lung metastasis stained with H&E. **D**) Flow cytometric analysis of various immune cell population in TDLN and tumor. Data are shown as mean ± S.E.M. Statistics were done using an unpaired *t*-test.



Supplementary figure 3: Patients with high expression of HPV16 E5 have low HLA expression **A**, **B**) mRNA expression of different types of HLAs. Patients were assigned to 3 groups (high, average, and low) based on each HLA expression. Graph (**B**) shows HLA-A as an example. Chi-square test (X^2 and p-value) and residual analysis (\blacktriangle : significantly high, \bigtriangledown : significantly low, P < 0.05). **C**) mRNA expression of HPV16 E5. Patients were divided into 2 groups (high- and low- expression) based on expression relative to the median.



Supplementary figure 4: Rimantadine enhances MHC expression and antigen-presentation on tumor cells. **A**) 5 x 10⁵ AT-84-E7 cells expressing empty vector or FLAG-tagged E5 were subcutaneously injected and treated with rimantadine for one week. n = 6 in each group. **B**) MHC I expression was analyzed by flow cytometry 48 hours after rimantadine treatment. **C**) Expression of MHC I and antigen presentation (H- $2K^{b}/SIINFEKL$) 24 hours after rimantadine treatment.



Supplementary Figure 5: Rimantadine inhibits cell proliferation in head and neck cancer cell lines and downregulates microtubule-associated molecules. **A**) Cell proliferation (MTT) was analyzed 48 hours following treatment with rimantadine. **B**) T-cell proliferation (CFSE) was analyzed 72 hours following treatment with rimantadine. **C**) *Tau* mRNA expression was analyzed 24 hours following treatment with rimantadine. Data are shown as mean \pm S.E.M. Statistics were done using an unpaired *t*-test or one-way ANOVA.

	Normal	E245 high	E67 high	
	(n = 25)	(n = 25)	(n = 10)	
Age				
Median	27	56	51	
Minimum	18	44	37	
Maximum	51	75	65	
Sex				
Male	10 (40%)	22 (88%)	9 (90%)	
Female	15 (60%)	3 (12%)	1 (10%)	
Race				
White	14 (56%)	24 (96%)	10 (100%)	
Black	9 (36%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
Asian	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	0 (0%)	
Other	2 (8%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
Smoking				
Never	19 (76%)	11 (44%)	2 (20%)	
Current	4 (16%)	7 (28%)	2 (20%)	
Former	2 (8%)	7 (28%)	6 (60%)	
Integration				
Yes		2 (8%)	10 (100%)	
Subtype				
IMS		13 (52%)	3 (30%)	
BA		7 (28%)	3 (30%)	
CL		5 (20%)	4 (40%)	
T stage				
T0		2 (8%)	0 (0%)	
T1		9 (36%)	4 (40%)	
T2		11 (44%)	4 (40%)	
Т3		3 (12%)	1 (10%)	
T4		0 (0%)	1 (10%)	
N stage				
0		0 (0%)	1 (10%)	
1		19 (76%)	8 (80%)	
2		4 (16%)	1 (10%)	
3		2 (8%)	0 (0%)	
Advanced N stage		6 (24%)	1 (10%)	
(2 and 3)		0 (24 /0)	1 (1076)	
M stage				
0		25 (100%)	10 (100%)	
Stage				
1		18 (72%)	7 (70%)	
2		5 (20%)	2 (20%)	
3		2 (8%)	1 (10%)	
4		0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
Overall survival				
Alive		20 (80%)	7 (70%)	
Dead		5 (20%)	3 (30%)	
Recurrence				
Yes		2 (8%)	0 (0%)	

Supplementary Table 1: Clinical data of HNSCC Johns Hopkins University cohort

Supplementary Table 2: HPV status and HLA mRNA expression level

	Normal (n = 25)	E245 high (n = 26)	E67 high (n = 10)	X ²	<i>P</i> -value
HLA-A					
Low (<10000)	3 (12%) 🛛 🖓	12 (46.2%)	1 (10%)	19.090	0.0008 ***
Average (10000-20000)	20 (80%)	8 (30.8%) 🛛 🖓	4 (40%)		
High (20000<)	2 (8%) 🛛 🖓	6 (23.1%)	5 (50%) 🔺		
HLA-B					
Low (<15000)	2 (8%) 🛛 🖓	12 (46.2%) 🔺	0 (0%)	20.235	0.0004 ***
Average (15000-30000)	16 (64%) 🔺	6 (23.1%) 🛛 🖓	3 (30%)		
High (30000<)	7 (28%)	8 (30.8%)	7 (70%) 🔺		
HLA-C					
Low (<6000)	5 (20%)	11 (42.3%) 🔺	0 (0%) 🛛 🖓	16.385	0.0025 **
Average (6000-13000)	15 (60%) 🔺	5 (19.2%) 🛛 🖓	3 (30%)		
High (13000<)	5 (20%) 🛛 🖓	10 (38.5%)	7 (70%) 🔺		
HLA-E					
Low (<8000)	1 (4%) 🛛 🖓	15 (57.7%) 🔺	3 (30%)	17.869	0.0013 **
Average (8000-15000)	16 (64%)	9 (34.6%) 🛛 🖓	5 (50%)		
High (15000<)	8 (32%) 🔺	2 (7.7%) 🛛 🖓	2 (20%)		
HLA-F					
Low (<2000)	9 (36%)	14 (53.8%)	3 (30%)	9.353	0.0529
Average (2000-4000)	15 (60%)	11 (42.3%)	4 (40%)		
High (4000<)	1 (4%)	1 (3.8%)	3 (30%) 🔺		

Patients were assigned to 3 groups based on the mRNA expression level (low, average, and high). Table shows the results of Chi-square test (X^2 and *p*-value) and residual analysis (\blacktriangle : significantly high, \bigtriangledown : significantly low, P < 0.05).