## **Science Advances NAAAS**

advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/6/8/eaay5154/DC1

# Supplementary Materials for

## **Light-induced control of protein destruction by opto-PROTAC**

Jing Liu, He Chen, Leina Ma, Zhixiang He, Dong Wang, Yi Liu, Qian Lin, Tinghu Zhang, Nathanael Gray, H. Ümit Kaniskan, Jian Jin\*, Wenyi Wei\*

\*Corresponding author. Email: wwei2@bidmc.harvard.edu (W.W.); jian.jin@mssm.edu (J.J.)

Published 21 February 2020, *Sci. Adv.* **6**, eaay5154 (2020) DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.aay5154

#### **This PDF file includes:**

Fig. S1. The schematic illustration of the synthesis of opto-pomalidomide and the working model for opto-pomalidomide to achieve light-induced degradation of IKZF1/3.

Fig. S2. UPLC-MS characterization and the time-dependent uncaging of pomalidomide and opto-pomalidomide.

Fig. S3. Uncaging of opto-pomalidomide by UVA irradiation leads to active degradation of IKZFs in multiple myeloma cancer cell lines.

Fig. S4. The schematic illustration of the synthesis of opto-dBET1 and the working model for opto-dBET1 to achieve light-induced degradation of BRDs.

Fig. S5. UPLC-MS characterization and the time-dependent uncaging of opto-dBET1 by UVA irradiation in vitro.

Fig. S6. dBET1 inhibits cell proliferation in a CRBN-dependent manner.

Fig. S7. The schematic illustration of the synthesis of opto-dALK and the working model for opto-dALK to achieve light-induced degradation of ALK fusion proteins.

Fig. S8. UPLC-MS characterization and the time-dependent uncaging process of opto-dALK.

Fig. S9. Dose-dependent degradation of ALK fusion proteins by dALK.



Pomalidomide

Opto-pomalidomide



**Fig. S1. The schematic illustration of the synthesis of opto-pomalidomide and the working model for opto-pomalidomide to achieve light-induced degradation of IKZF1/3.** A) Synthesis of opto-pomalidomide from pomalidomide. B) A schematic illustration for the working model of constitutively active degradation of IKZFs by pomalidomide vs. light inducible degradation of IKZFs by opto-pomalidomide.



**Fig. S2. UPLC-MS characterization and the time-dependent uncaging of pomalidomide and opto-pomalidomide.** A) UPLC analysis of pomalidomide. B) Mass spectrum of pomalidomide by UPLC-MS. C) UPLC analysis of opto-pomalidomide. D) Mass spectrum of opto-pomalidomide by UPLC-MS. E) UV-VIS absorption of pomalidomide. F) UV-VIS absorption of opto-pomalidomide. G) UV-VIS absorption of pomalidomide and opto-pomalidomide mixture. H) Standard curve of pomalidomide and opto-pomalidomide mixed at corresponding ratio. I) UV-VIS absorption of opto-pomalidomide after irradiation with UVA (365nm) for indicated time.



20

 $\pmb{0}$ 

 $11.0$ 

 $10.0$ 

 $9.0$ 



 $8.0$ 

 $7.0$ 

 $6.0$ 

 $5.0$ 

opto-poma. + UVA

 $\blacktriangle$ 

 $4.0$ 



CRBN<sup>+/+</sup>

0 5 10 15 20 30

 $CRBN<sup>/-</sup>$ 

0 5 10 15 20 30

UVA (min) **IB: BRD3** 

**IB: BRD4** 

**IB: Tubulin** 

**Fig. S3. Uncaging of opto-pomalidomide by UVA irradiation leads to active degradation of IKZFs in multiple myeloma cancer cell lines.** A) UVA irradiation activates opto-pomalidomide to mediate IKZF1 degradation in MT2 cells. B) UVA irradiation (365nm) alone does not lead to degradation of IKZF1/3 in MM1.S. C) UVA irradiation (365nm) alone does not lead to degradation of IKZF1/3 in 293FT cells. D) UVA irradiation-activated opto-pomalidomide inhibits MT2 cell proliferation in a dose-dependent manner. MT2 cells were treated by pomalidomide vs. opto-pomalidomide with or without UVA irradiation (365 nm) for 15 min, and then subjected to CCK-8 cell viability assay. E) UVA irradiation (365 nm) for 5-30 min has minimal effect on the cell viability of MM1.S cells in the absence of opto-pomalidomide. MM1.S cells were illuminated with UVA for indicated time, and then subjected to CCK-8 cell viability assay.



**Fig. S4. The schematic illustration of the synthesis of opto-dBET1 and the working model for opto-dBET1 to achieve light-induced degradation of BRDs.** A) Synthesis of opto-dBET1. B) A schematic illustration for the working model of dBET1 vs. opto-dBET1 vs. opto-dBET1 + UVA irradiation on promoting degradation of BRDs.



# **Fig. S5. UPLC-MS characterization and the time-dependent uncaging of opto-dBET1 by UVA irradiation in vitro.** A) UPLC analysis of dBET1. B) Mass spectrum of dBET1 by UPLC-MS. C) UPLC analysis of opto-dBET1. D) Mass spectrum of opto-dBET1 by UPLC-MS. E) UPLC analysis of opto-dBET1 after irradiation with UVA (365nm) for 30 minutes. F) UV-VIS absorption of dBET1. G) UV-VIS absorption of opto-dBET1. H) UV-VIS absorption of dBET1 and opto-dBET1 mixture at the corresponding ratio. D. Standard curve of dBET1 and opto-dBET1 at the corresponding ratio. I) UV-VIS absorption of opto-dBET1 after irradiation with UVA (365nm) for indicated time.



**Fig. S6. dBET1 inhibits cell proliferation in a CRBN-dependent manner.** A) dBET1 inhibits cell proliferation in 293FT-*CRBN+/+* but not 293FT-*CRBN-/-* cells. B) opto-dBET1 did not inhibit cell proliferation in 293FT-*CRBN+/+* and 293FT-*CRBN-/-* cells. 293FT-*CRBN+/+* and 293FT-*CRBN-/-* cells were treated by dBET1 vs. opto-dBET1 for 72 hours, and then subjected to CCK-8 cell viability assay. C-D) UVA irradiation (365 nm) for 5-30 min has minimal effect on the cell viability of 293FT (C) and C4-2 (D) cells in the absence of opto-dBET1. 293FT and C4-2 cells were illuminated with UVA for indicated time, and then subjected to CCK-8 cell viability assay.



**Fig. S7. The schematic illustration of the synthesis of opto-dALK and the working model for opto-dALK to achieve light-induced degradation of ALK fusion proteins.** A) Synthesis of opto-dALK. B) A schematic illustration for the working model of dALK vs. opto-dALK vs. opto-dALK + UVA irradiation (365nm) on promoting the degradation of ALK fusion proteins.



### **Fig. S8. UPLC-MS characterization and the time-dependent uncaging process of opto-dALK.** A)

UPLC analysis of dALK. B) Mass spectrum of dALK by UPLC-MS. C) UPLC analysis of opto-dALK. D) Mass spectrum of opto-dALK by UPLC-MS. E). UPLC analysis of opto-dALK after irradiation with UVA (365nm) for 30 minutes. F) UV-VIS absorption of dALK. G) UV-VIS absorption of opto-dALK. H) UV-VIS absorption of dALK and opto-dALK mixture at corresponding ratio. I) Standard curve of dALK and opto-dALK mixed at corresponding ratio. J) UV-VIS absorption of opto-dALK after irradiation with UVA (365nm) for indicated time.



**Fig. S9. Dose-dependent degradation of ALK fusion proteins by dALK.** A-D) dALK promotes the degradation of EML4-ALK fusion proteins in NCI-H2228 (A, B) and NCI-H3122 (C, D) NSCLC cell lines. NCI-H2228 and NCI-H3122 were treated with dALK at indicated dose or for indicated time, and then subjected to analysis of the level of EML-ALK fusion protein. E-F) The cell proliferation of NCI-H2228 (E) and NCI-H3122 (F) NSCLC cell lines was relative resistant to ALK degrader. NCI-H2228 and NCI-H3122 NSCLC cell lines were treated by dALK vs. opto-dALK with or without UVA irradiation, and then subjected to CCK-8 cell viability assay. G-I) UVA irradiation alone (365 nm) for 5-30 min has minimal effect on the cell viability of NCI-H2228 (G), NCI-H3122 (H) and SU-DHL-1 (I) cells in the absence of opto-ALK. NCI-H2228, NCI-H3122 and SU-DHL-1 cells were illuminated with UVA for indicated time, and then subjected to CCK-8 cell viability assay.