

BMJ Open

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

A cross-sectional study on tobacco advertising, promotion and sponsorship (TAPS) and violations of tobacco sale regulations in Myanmar: Do they affect on the current smoking and smokeless tobacco use among Myanmar high school students?

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-031933
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	27-May-2019
Complete List of Authors:	<p>Cho, Su Myat; Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine Faculty of Medicine, Dept. of Healthcare Administration Saw, Yu Mon; The University of Tokyo, Dept. of Community and Global Health, the Graduate School of Medicine Latt, Nyi Nyi; Aung Myin Myint Mo Hospital, Gyobingauk Saw, Thu Nandar; The University of Tokyo, Dept. of Community and Global Health Htet, Hein; Nagoya Daigaku, Health Care Administration; Khaing , Moe; Myanmar Ministry of Health and Sports Than, Thet Mon; Myanmar Ministry of Health and Sports Win, Ei Mon; Myanmar Ministry of Health and Sports Aung , Zaw Zaw; Myanmar Ministry of Health and Sports Kariya, Tetsuyoshi; Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine Faculty of Medicine, Dept. of Healthcare Administration Yamamoto, Eiko; Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine Faculty of Medicine, Dept. of Healthcare Administration Hamajima, Nobuyuki; Nagoya Univ, Department of Healthcare Administration</p>
Keywords:	Tobacco advertising, tobacco sale, current smoking and smokeless tobacco use, high school students, Myanmar

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1
2
3 **A cross-sectional study on tobacco advertising, promotion and sponsorship (TAPS) and**
4 **violations of tobacco sale regulations in Myanmar: Do they affect on the current**
5 **smoking and smokeless tobacco use among Myanmar high school students?**
6
7
8
9

10
11 Su Myat Cho¹, Yu Mon Saw^{1,2}, Nyi Nyi Latt³, Thu Nandar Saw⁴, Hein Htet⁵, Moe Khaing^{1,6},
12 Thet Mon Than⁶, Ei Mon Win⁷, Zaw Zaw Aung⁷, Tetsuyoshi Kariya^{1,2}, Eiko Yamamoto¹,
13 Nobuyuki Hamajima¹
14
15

16
17
18
19 *¹Department of Healthcare Administration, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine,*
20 *Nagoya, Japan*

21 *²Nagoya University Asian Satellite Campuses Institute, Nagoya, Japan*

22 *³AungMyinMyint Mo Hospital, Gyobingauk, Myanmar*

23 *⁴Department of Community and Global Health, Graduate School of Medicine, The University*
24 *of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan*

25 *⁵University of Medicine, Mandalay, Myanmar*

26 *⁶Department of Medical Services, Ministry of Health and Sports, NayPyi Taw, Myanmar*

27 *⁷Department of Public Health, Ministry of Health and Sports, NayPyi Taw, Myanmar*
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 Corresponding author:

40 Yu Mon Saw

41
42 Department of Healthcare Administration, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine,
43 65 Tsurumai-cho, Showa-ku, Nagoya 466-8550, Japan

44 E-mail address: sawyumon@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp
45
46
47
48

49 Word count- 3802
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To examine associations of current smoking and smokeless tobacco use with TAPS and illicit tobacco sales exposures among Myanmar high school students.

Design: A quantitative, cross-sectional study.

Setting: Seven high schools from both urban and rural areas of four states and regions in Myanmar.

Participants: In total, 1,174 (482 males and 692 females) high school students were recruited using a self-administered questionnaire.

Main outcome measures: Current smoking and smokeless tobacco use, defined as using at least one time of any kind of smoking or smokeless tobacco products within the past 30 days.

Results: The prevalence of TAPS exposures was 90.9% among high school students in Myanmar. Current smoking and smokeless tobacco use was positively associated with > 14 years old age group (AOR 9.81; 95%CI 4.54–21.19), being male (AOR 28.06; 95%CI 13.29–59.25), exposure to any kind of TAPS (AOR 6.59; 95%CI 2.33–18.64), had seen selling cigars inside or within 100 feet of the school premises (AOR 4.17; 95%CI 1.65–10.58), had seen selling or giving cigars to minors (AOR 6.40; 95%CI 2.18–19.12), and had seen selling or distributing cigars by minors (AOR 2.42; 95% CI 1.42–4.10). Ever received health education about tobacco products (AOR 0.45; 95% CI 0.27–0.78), and higher perception score of smoking and smokeless tobacco products (AOR 0.17; 95% CI 0.10–0.30) were negatively associated with current smoking or smokeless tobacco use.

Conclusions: An alarming prevalence of TAPS exposure was found among Myanmar high school students. TAPS exposure and violations of tobacco sale regulations were strong risk factors for current smoking or smokeless tobacco use among Myanmar high school students while ever received health education about tobacco products was found as one of the protective factors. Specific smokeless tobacco sale regulations for minors are immediately needed in Myanmar.

Key words: Tobacco advertising, tobacco sale, current smoking and smokeless tobacco use, high school students, Myanmar

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- This study is the first in Myanmar to report the associations of current smoking and smokeless tobacco use with TAPS and illicit tobacco sales exposures among high school students.
- The findings of this study cannot be generalized for the whole nation though it was conducted among 1,174 students from two states and two regions out of a total of seven states, seven regions, and one territory in Myanmar.
- This study could not confirmed the causal relationships of the current smoking and smokeless tobacco use among high school students because of the cross-sectional nature of our study.
- Due to the limitations of the cross-sectional study design, this study calls for further TAPS-related interventional and longitudinal studies to explore Myanmar adolescents' smoking and smokeless tobacco use behaviors.

INTRODUCTION

“Tobacco use,” defined as the use of any type of smoking or smokeless tobacco,¹⁾ is regarded as an important public health concern worldwide. Globally, it was estimated that 24 million (7%) adolescents aged between 13 and 15 years smoked cigarettes and 13.4 million (3.6%) used smokeless tobacco products in 2017.¹⁾ In the United States, it was reported that 4 million high school students were current tobacco users in 2018.²⁾ In Southeast Asia region, tobacco use prevalence was 5.7% and smokeless tobacco use prevalence was 7.2% among adolescents.¹⁾ A recent multicounty study reported that the prevalence of adolescent smokeless tobacco use in the World Health Organization (WHO) South-East Asia Region was highest in Bhutan (23.2%), followed by Nepal (16.2%), Timor-Leste (14.2%), Myanmar (9.8%), India (9.0%), Sri Lanka (8.5%), the Maldives (6.2%), Bangladesh (5.9%), and Thailand (5.7%).³⁾ Thus, Myanmar ranked the fourth-highest adolescent smokeless tobacco use among these nine countries.

Tobacco use is responsible for five million deaths every year globally, a figure that is expected to rise to 10 million per year by 2030.⁴⁾ Moreover, it is estimated that 600,000 people die from the effects of second-hand smoke, accounting for roughly 1% of global mortality.⁵⁾ Adolescents are highly vulnerable to addiction to the nicotine in tobacco. A recent study has shown that the earlier people begin nicotine dependence, the more likely they are to

1
2
3
4 become addicted to smoking in adulthood.⁶⁾ Moreover, nicotine may harm brain development
5
6
7 in adolescence.⁷⁾ Studies have shown adolescent smoking is associated with poor academic
8
9
10 performance ⁸⁾ and attention and cognitive deficits.⁹⁾
11
12

13
14 Since 2001, the Global Youth Tobacco Survey (GYTS) has been implemented every
15
16 three to five years in Myanmar to monitor school-going students' tobacco use. The 2016
17
18 GYTS reported that 10.6% of students used tobacco (21.1% for boys and 2.4% for girls). The
19
20 prevalence of smokeless tobacco use was 11.0% for boys and 1.5% for girls.¹⁰⁾ In parallel
21
22
23 with the GYTS, Myanmar has been conducting the nationwide Global School-based Student
24
25 Health Survey (GSHS) to monitor the understanding of health risk behaviours among students
26
27
28 aged between 13 and 17 years, including students' tobacco use. The GSHS survey reported
29
30
31 that the prevalence of current tobacco smoking and current smokeless tobacco use in
32
33
34 Myanmar students were 7.2% and 8.5%, respectively, in 2016.¹¹⁾
35
36
37
38
39
40

41
42 More than a decade ago, Myanmar signed the WHO Framework Convention on
43
44 Tobacco Control (FCTC) and enacted the first Tobacco Control Law in 2006, regulating
45
46 tobacco advertising, promotion, and sponsorship (TAPS) and tobacco sales among minors.¹⁰⁾
47
48
49 Subsequently, the restrictions on smoking in all indoor public places, the introduction of
50
51 graphic health warnings on tobacco product packaging, and the raising of tobacco product
52
53 taxes were also promulgated. However, the prevalence of current tobacco use among
54
55
56 Myanmar youths had not changed significantly over the past 15 years.¹⁰⁾
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 A comparison of the 2007 and the 2016 GSHS rounds also revealed that the
5
6
7 prevalence of cigarette smoking had increased significantly, from 2.0% to 6.7%.¹¹⁾ Although
8
9
10 the Tobacco Control Law has already banned tobacco sales to minors under 18, adolescent
11
12
13 smokers can still buy cigarettes from large stores, retail shops, or street vendors very easily
14
15
16 without being denied by tobacco sellers.¹⁰⁾ These alarming findings indicate the failure of
17
18
19 tobacco control efforts for young people in Myanmar.
20
21
22

23 Although the Tobacco Control Law in Myanmar prohibits TAPS activities by the
24
25
26 tobacco industry, TAPS activities are still common in Myanmar. Most tobacco companies
27
28
29 distribute tobacco products and personal goods with tobacco product labels free of charge or
30
31
32 as gifts. According to the 2016 Myanmar GYTS report, 8.7% of boys and 3.6% of girls
33
34
35 reported that tobacco companies had offered free tobacco products, and 7.3% of boys and
36
37
38 4.2% of girls reported owning something with a tobacco brand logo.¹⁰⁾ Furthermore, 83.4% of
39
40
41 the students reported noticing someone using tobacco products on television or in videos or
42
43
44 movies.¹⁰⁾
45
46
47

48 Researchers from other parts of the world have reported that TAPS exposure can
49
50
51 affect adolescent smoking behaviours.¹²⁻¹⁵⁾ At present, research in Myanmar is limited on the
52
53
54 patterns of young people's smoking and smokeless tobacco use and their connection to TAPS
55
56
57 exposure. Our previous study,²¹⁾ among the same study participants, revealed the low
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 awareness of the Tobacco Control Law among Myanmar high school students, but we did not
5
6
7 examine how TAPS exposure and violations of tobacco sales regulations might affect
8
9
10 Myanmar high school students' smoking and smokeless tobacco use. The present study aims
11
12
13 to investigate (1) the prevalence of TAPS and illicit tobacco sale exposures and (2) their
14
15
16 associations with current smoking and smokeless tobacco use among Myanmar high school
17
18
19 students.

22 23 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

26 27 *Study population*

28
29
30 A cross-sectional study was conducted among grade 10 and 11 high school students from
31
32
33 seven high schools in Shan State, Mon State, Bago region, and Magway region in Myanmar.

34
35
36 A simple random sampling using a drawing method was applied to select the study areas and
37
38
39 schools. The details of the sampling procedure have been described elsewhere.²¹⁾ In total,
40
41
42 1,339 high school students answered the self-administrated questionnaire. Of these, 165 were
43
44
45 excluded due to missing or uncompleted response on TAPS exposure questions. Therefore,
46
47
48 the total number of participants was 1,174 (482 males and 692 females).

51 52 *Data collection*

53
54
55
56 Data were collected by using a pre-tested, anonymous, self-administered questionnaire. The
57
58
59 questionnaire in the Myanmar language contained 40 questions, covering nine components: 1)
60

1
2
3
4 background information, 2) experience with tobacco products, 3) exposure to second-hand
5
6
7 smoking, 4) perception of smoking and smokeless tobacco products, 5) sale of tobacco, 6)
8
9
10 health warnings and information, 7) tobacco advertisement, promotion, and sponsorship, 8)
11
12
13 smoke-free areas, and 9) the Tobacco Control Law and its enforcement. Permissions were
14
15
16 obtained from local educational steering committees and authorities, schools' authorities, and
17
18
19 teachers after a thorough explanation of the study's objectives and the contents of the
20
21
22 questionnaire. Before taking part in the study, researchers explained clearly the nature and
23
24
25 aims of this study, and the questionnaire's contents to all participants.
26
27
28

29 ***Study measures***

32 ***Dependent variable***

33
34
35
36 The outcome variable was "current smoking and smokeless tobacco use" among high school
37
38
39 students. It was defined as using any kind of smoking or smokeless tobacco product at least
40
41
42 one occasion within the 30 days preceding the survey.
43
44
45

46 ***Independent variables***

47
48
49
50 The independent variables were socio-demographic characteristics, smoking exposure at
51
52
53 home and school, perception and receiving health education about tobacco products, illicit
54
55
56 sale exposure, and exposure to any kind of TAPS. The "exposure to any kind of TAPS" was
57
58
59

1
2
3
4 created using the following variables: 1) having seen or heard tobacco advertisings and
5
6
7 sponsorship in any form, 2) having seen any goods displaying the label of a cigar or tobacco
8
9
10 products being used in promotion, and 3) having seen any toy, comestible, or wares made in
11
12
13 the form of a cigar. If a student had had at least one TAPS exposure, the response was
14
15
16 counted as a “Yes,” and if they had never experienced exposure, their response was counted
17
18
19 as a “No.”
20
21
22

23 Exposure to the illicit sales of tobacco was measured by using the following
24
25
26 variables: 1) having seen selling cigars inside or within 100 feet of the school premises within
27
28
29 the last 12 months, 2) having seen selling or giving cigars to minors within the last 12 months,
30
31
32 3) having seen selling or distributing cigars by minors within the last 12 months, and 4)
33
34
35 having seen selling cigarettes singly or in packs containing less than 20 within the last 12
36
37
38 months. The word “cigar” used in our variables meant “any cigarette, cheroot, cigar, or
39
40
41 smoking pipe or any other similar material prepared by any means for inhalation of smoke
42
43
44 emitted from the burning of a tobacco product,” as described in Myanmar’s Tobacco Control
45
46
47 Law.¹⁶⁾
48
49
50

51 ***Study analysis***

52
53

54 The data were coded, entered, and analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Science
55
56
57 (SPSS) software program version 24.0 (IBM SPSS Inc.). Categorical data were analysed by
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 chi-square tests for hypothesis testing. For multiple logistic regression analysis, all the
5
6
7 variables were re-coded on a dichotomous scale. All analyses were two tailed, setting $p < 0.05$
8
9
10 as significance.

11 12 13 14 **RESULTS**

15
16
17 Table 1 shows the characteristics of the study participants by gender. Most of the participants
18
19
20 (84.7%) were younger than or equal to 15 years of age. More than two thirds of the students
21
22
23 (68.5% of males and 67.1% of females) were grade 10 students. Of those who took part,
24
25
26 25.3% of male and 1.3% of female students were current users of smoking and smokeless
27
28
29 tobacco at the time of survey. Male students had more exposure to parents smoking (6.0%),
30
31
32 siblings smoking (11.4%), and peers smoking (9.3%) than did female students. Nearly two
33
34
35 thirds of females (66.0%) reported receiving health education on smoking and smokeless
36
37
38 tobacco, compared to 52.7% of males. With regard to the perception of smoking and
39
40
41 smokeless tobacco products, out of eight items, we set the mean score of seven as the cut-off
42
43
44 point. Overall, 68.7% of males and 83.2% females scored more than seven.

45
46
47
48 Table 2 presents different kinds of TAPS exposures and its associations with current
49
50
51 smoking and smokeless tobacco use among the study participants. In total, 90.9% had TAPS
52
53
54 exposure in any form. The findings indicate that 71.7% had seen or heard of tobacco
55
56
57 advertisement and sponsorship in any form, and 68.1% had seen goods displaying the label of

1
2
3
4 a cigar or other tobacco products being used in promotions. One out of three students had
5
6
7 seen any toys, comestible or wares made in the form of a cigar. More than one third of the
8
9
10 participants (35.3%) had seen or heard tobacco advertisements in sponsorship or support of
11
12
13 sports, fun fairs, exhibitions, or other social activities.
14
15
16

17 Table 3 describes illicit tobacco sale exposures and their associations with current
18
19 smoking and smokeless tobacco use among the study participants. Within the last 12 months,
20
21
22 more than 80.0% had exposed to selling cigars inside or within 100 feet of the school
23
24
25 premises, selling or giving cigars to minors, and selling cigarettes singly or in packs less than
26
27
28 20. Nearly 56.0% had seen selling or distributing cigars by minors.
29
30
31

32 Table 4 presents the unadjusted odds ratio (UOR), adjusted odds ratio (AOR), and
33
34
35 95% confidence intervals (CI) of current smokers and smokeless tobacco users among
36
37
38 Myanmar high school students. In the binary logistic regression, being a grade 11 student
39
40
41 (UOR 3.24; 95% CI 2.24-4.70) and seeing someone selling cigarettes, either singly or in
42
43
44 packs of less than 20 (UOR 7.45; 95% CI 2.34–23.70), were associated with current smoking
45
46
47 and smokeless tobacco use.
48
49
50

51 From the multiple logistic regression, current smoking and smokeless tobacco use
52
53
54 was found to be positively associated with age >14 years old (AOR 9.81; 95% CI 4.54–
55
56
57 21.19); being male (AOR 28.06; 95% CI 13.29–59.25); exposure to any kind of TAPS (AOR
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 6.59; 95% CI 2.33–18.64); had seen selling cigars inside or within 100 feet of the school
5
6
7 premises within the last 12 months (AOR 4.17; 95% CI 1.65–10.58); had seen selling or
8
9
10 giving cigars to minors within the last 12 months (AOR 6.40; 95% CI 2.18–19.12); and had
11
12
13 seen selling or distributing cigars by minors within the last 12 months (AOR 2.42; 95% CI
14
15
16 1.42–4.10). Ever received health education about tobacco (AOR 0.45; 95% CI 0.27–0.78) and
17
18
19 higher perception score of smoking and smokeless tobacco products (AOR 0.17; 95% CI
20
21
22 0.10–0.30) were negatively associated with current smoking and smokeless tobacco use
23
24
25 (Table 3).
26
27
28

29 DISCUSSION

30
31
32 To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to report the associations of current
33
34
35 smoking and smokeless tobacco use with TAPS and illicit tobacco sale exposures among high
36
37
38 school students in Myanmar. Majority of the study participants reported having exposures to
39
40
41 TAPS and illicit tobacco sales. TAPS exposure increases the odds of current smoking and
42
43
44 smokeless tobacco use among high school students. Similarly, being aged above 14 years,
45
46
47 being male, and having been exposed to illicit tobacco sales were risk factors for current
48
49
50 smoking and smokeless tobacco use among high school students. However, having received
51
52
53 health education and having a higher perception score of smoking and smokeless tobacco use
54
55
56 were negatively associated with current smoking and smokeless tobacco use.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 In this study, a high prevalence of TAPS exposure (91%) was found among high
5
6
7 school students in Myanmar, a country that has completely banned all forms of direct or
8
9
10 indirect TAPS, including Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activities by tobacco
11
12
13 industry.¹⁶⁾ Myanmar has a well-established Tobacco Control Law, the “Control of Smoking
14
15
16 and Consumption of Tobacco Product Law,” which has been enacted since 2006.¹⁶⁾
17
18
19 Nevertheless, the lack of enforcement of this law in Myanmar creates opportunities for
20
21
22 tobacco companies and retailers to violate it. Our findings highlighted the urgent need to
23
24
25 enforce this law in Myanmar to reduce TAPS exposure among adolescents in order to
26
27
28 decrease tobacco use.

31
32 The global tobacco industry has been focusing on expanding its market in developing
33
34 countries that have low tobacco taxes, partial TAPS bans, and weak law enforcement of
35
36
37 TAPS regulations, rather than developed countries with high tobacco taxes, comprehensive
38
39
40 complete TAPS bans, and strict implementation of TAPS regulations.¹⁷⁻²⁰⁾ Moreover, it is not
41
42
43 rare to see sponsored events and CSR activities by tobacco companies, violating the TAPS
44
45
46 regulations and reframing tobacco products’ image among Myanmar youths.^{21, 22)} Nigerian
47
48
49 researchers have reported that exposure to events sponsored by the tobacco industry was
50
51
52 associated with current cigarette use and increased susceptibility to cigarette use.¹³⁾ This study
53
54
55 encourages Myanmar policy makers to formulate specific TAPS regulations addressing newly
56
57
58 developed smoking and smokeless tobacco products and complete comprehensive TAPS
59
60

1
2
3
4 bans, including cross-border TAPS. Local authorities need to monitor TAPS among Myanmar
5
6 youths strictly and to punish the tobacco companies, stores, and retailers violating TAPS
7
8 regulations.
9
10

11
12
13 High school students having TAPS exposure in any form were six times more likely
14
15 to smoke or use smokeless tobacco products than those without any TAPS exposure.
16
17

18
19 Adolescent students, in a phase of life full of curiosity, are vulnerable to adopting risky life-
20
21 style behaviours such as smoking or smokeless tobacco use.^{23, 24)} A positive association
22
23 between exposure to cigarette advertisements and initiating smoking has been reported among
24
25 Indonesian students.²⁵⁾ A longitudinal study conducted in Germany also pointed out that the
26
27 adjusted relative risk for established smoking and daily smoking were raised by 38% and
28
29 30%, respectively, with each additional 10 tobacco advertisements.²⁶⁾
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 In addition, a recent study from Myanmar has reported that only half of high school
39
40 students had heard about the Tobacco Control Law, and none of the study participants had
41
42 ever heard of any reporting or punishing for any violation of the Tobacco Control Law
43
44 banning TAPS activities and tobacco sales among minors.²¹⁾ Our study suggests that
45
46 Myanmar high school students should be properly informed not only about the dangers of
47
48 TAPS exposure but also about the country's Tobacco Control Law and its punishments.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 In this study, the prevalence of current smoking and smokeless tobacco use among
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 Myanmar high school students was 11.2%, higher than the national figure of 9.8% reported in
5
6
7 the 2016 WHO GSHS for 13- to 17-year-old students in Myanmar.¹¹⁾ Our study also reported
8
9
10 that male high school students were more likely to be current smoking and smokeless tobacco
11
12
13 users than their peers were. A sub-national-level study also reported a considerably high
14
15
16 prevalence of smoking (34.7%) and smokeless tobacco use (28.3%) among high school
17
18
19 students.²²⁾ The findings recommend Myanmar policy makers to consider smoking and
20
21
22 smokeless tobacco use among adolescents as a priority public health concern and to put more
23
24
25 effort into smoking and smokeless tobacco control measures.
26
27
28

29 In Myanmar, it has been reported that there were only three full-time staff assigned
30
31
32 to national tobacco control, covering 2,080,000 smokers per each.³¹⁾ The country also has
33
34
35 insufficient government budget for tobacco control and no innovative health promotion
36
37
38 funding mechanism using tobacco taxes.³¹⁾ To tackle the current situation in Myanmar,
39
40
41 effective implementation of tobacco control measures is needed to reduce current smoking
42
43
44 and smokeless tobacco use, and human and financial resources for national tobacco control
45
46
47 should be improved.
48
49

50
51 For the implementation of Article 6 of the WHO FCTC, increasing tobacco tax, is a
52
53
54 cost-effective demand-reducing measure in global tobacco control.³¹⁾ However, cigarettes in
55
56
57 Myanmar are relatively cheap, indicating that the country's tobacco tax policies need to be
58
59
60 reevaluated. In the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN), the price of the most

1
2
3
4 popular local cigarette brand in Myanmar is the second lowest (0.6 USD/20-stick pack), and
5
6
7 that of the most popular foreign brand in Myanmar is the fourth highest (2.11 USD/20-stick
8
9
10 pack).³¹⁾ This indicates a gap in tax differences between local and foreign brands. The price
11
12
13 that stops youth from smoking in Myanmar was reported as being above 11 USD/20-stick
14
15
16 pack, the lowest among ASEAN.³¹⁾ Therefore, the Myanmar government should reevaluate
17
18
19 tobacco tax levels and adjust tax policies to decrease smoking and smokeless tobacco use and
20
21
22 TAPS exposures.
23
24

25 In this study, students who had seen someone selling cigars inside or within 100 feet
26
27
28 of the school premises during the last 12 months were four times more likely to be current
29
30
31 users of smoking and smokeless tobacco products than their peers were. It is illegal to sell
32
33
34 cigars inside or within 100 feet of a school premises in Myanmar.¹⁶⁾ This finding implies that
35
36
37 the lack of monitoring and reporting of illicit tobacco sales inside or near school premises
38
39
40 exerts an influence on current tobacco use among Myanmar high school students. In addition,
41
42
43 these illicit tobacco sales provide easy access to tobacco for students as well as for school
44
45
46 personnel, triggering second-hand smoking exposure and student curiosity about tobacco use.
47
48
49 Therefore, school personnel, students, and parents should monitor and report such illicit
50
51
52 tobacco sales to local authorities and actions should be taken according to rules and
53
54
55 regulations.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 Yet there is no specific regulation on smokeless tobacco sales and distributions to
5
6
7 and by minors in Myanmar, the selling or giving of cigars to minors under 18 and the selling
8
9
10 or distributing of cigars by minors under 18 are illegal.¹⁶⁾ Nonetheless, 82.5% of participants
11
12
13 in this study reported that they had seen someone selling or giving cigars to minors within the
14
15
16 last 12 months, and 55.6% of the participants reported having seen selling or distributing
17
18
19 cigars by minors within the last 12 months. The study also revealed that seeing these two
20
21
22 illicit tobacco activities within the last 12 months were significantly associated with current
23
24
25 tobacco use among the study participants. A study of adolescents in the United States has also
26
27
28 reported an association between smokeless tobacco use and smoking.²⁸⁾ In order to reduce
29
30
31 current tobacco use among Myanmar high school students, this study recommends the urgent
32
33
34 need of specific smokeless tobacco sale regulations to and by minors in Myanmar, and the
35
36
37 strict law enforcement on all smoking and smokeless tobacco sales or distributions to and by
38
39
40 minors in the country.

41
42
43 Another common violation of the Tobacco Control Law in Myanmar is the sale of
44
45
46 cigarettes singly or in packs of less than 20. Despite the significant price difference³¹⁾ both
47
48
49 foreign and local brands become affordable for smokers when they are sold singly or in packs
50
51
52 of less than 20. Such sales are contrary to the demand-reducing tobacco control measures of
53
54
55 the national policy and negatively affect the tobacco use of all age groups, especially
56
57
58 adolescents.

1
2
3
4 In addition, other smoking and smokeless tobacco products, such as cheroots, cigars,
5
6
7 pipes, betel quids, etc., can be purchased singly or in small quantities in Myanmar. To reduce
8
9
10 current smoking and smokeless tobacco use in all age groups, our study recommends that the
11
12
13 2006 Tobacco Control Law be updated to address the sale and purchase of all forms of
14
15
16 smoking and smokeless tobacco products in small quantities, along with strict law
17
18
19 enforcement, especially among minors.
20
21

22 The findings of this study imply that effective health education and high perception
23
24
25 of smoking and smokeless tobacco use can be protective factors against current tobacco use
26
27
28 among Myanmar high school students. In this study, students who had ever received health
29
30
31 education about smoking and smokeless tobacco use and those with the higher perception
32
33
34 score of smoking and smokeless tobacco products were less likely to be current smoking and
35
36
37 smokeless tobacco users than their counterparts were. Nearly two thirds of the participants
38
39
40 had ever received health education about smoking and smokeless tobacco use, and nearly
41
42
43 80% had the higher perception score of smoking and smokeless tobacco use. Health education
44
45
46 programs and tobacco control measures targeting the young should address the newly
47
48
49 developed and popular tobacco products like electronic cigarettes, shisha, pipes, menthol and
50
51
52 fruit-flavored cigarettes etc. Youths' awareness on not only the harms of tobacco products but
53
54
55 also the country's Tobacco Control Law should be promoted.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 The study findings also revealed that 10.7% of the current tobacco users were 14
5
6
7 years old or less. Another Myanmar researcher has reported that the average age of first
8
9
10 tobacco use is 14 years.²²⁾ Therefore, tobacco control intervention measures should be
11
12
13 introduced to Myanmar high school students before the age of 14. Awareness of the Tobacco
14
15
16 Control Law remains quite low in Myanmar.^{21, 22)} Furthermore, the provision of health
17
18
19 education via youth-friendly media, such as the internet, mobile applications, and social
20
21
22 networking services, may receive more attention from high school students.
23
24

25 In contrast to other studies,^{21, 22)} parental smoking, sibling smoking, and peer
26
27
28 smoking were not found to be associated with current smoking and smokeless tobacco use in
29
30
31 this study. This may be because most of the study participants did not have exposure to
32
33
34 parents, siblings, or peers who smoked. Having high knowledge and perception about tobacco
35
36
37 use may also have prevented them from using tobacco.²²⁾
38
39

40 Despite being the very first study in Myanmar reporting the associations of current
41
42
43 smoking and smokeless tobacco use, with TAPS and illicit tobacco sale exposures among
44
45
46 Myanmar high school students, the present study did not explore the associations between
47
48
49 initiation or daily tobacco use, and TAPS and illicit tobacco sales among Myanmar high
50
51
52 school students. Yet this study was conducted among 1,174 high school students from two
53
54
55 states and two regions out of a total of seven states, seven regions and one territory of
56
57
58 Myanmar, its findings cannot be generalized for the whole nation. Due to the limitations of
59
60

1
2
3
4 cross-sectional nature of our study, we recommend further interventional or longitudinal
5
6
7 studies of TAPS and sales exposure for a better understanding of adolescent tobacco use in
8
9
10 Myanmar,

11 12 13 **CONCLUSIONS**

14
15
16 This study reported a high prevalence of TAPS and illicit tobacco sales exposures among
17
18
19 Myanmar high school students. Current smoking and smokeless tobacco use among Myanmar
20
21
22 high school students was statistically associated with overall TAPS exposure. Violations of
23
24
25 tobacco sales regulations were reported to be strong risk factors for current smoking and
26
27
28 smokeless tobacco use among Myanmar high school students. Our findings highlight that the
29
30
31 need for Myanmar's Tobacco Control Law enforcement is at an alarming stage. Sales and
32
33
34 purchase of not only cigarettes but also all forms of smoking and smokeless tobacco products
35
36
37 in small quantities should be regulated. Specific smokeless tobacco sale regulations for
38
39
40 minors are immediately needed in the country.
41
42
43

44 45 **ABBREVIATIONS**

46		
47		
48	TAPS	Tobacco Advertising, Promotion, and Sponsorship
49		
50		
51	AOR	Adjusted Odds Ratios
52		
53		
54	UOR	Unadjusted Odds Ratios
55		
56		
57	CI	Confidence Intervals
58		

1		
2		
3		
4	WHO	World Health Organization
5		
6		
7	GYTS	Global Youth Tobacco Survey
8		
9		
10	GSHS	Global School-based Student Health Survey
11		
12		
13	FCTC	Framework Convention on Tobacco Control
14		
15		
16	SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Science
17		
18		
19	IBM	International Business Machines
20		
21		
22	CSR	Corporate Social Responsibility
23		
24		
25	ASEAN	Association of South-East Asian Nations
26		
27		
28	USD	The United States Dollar
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55		
56		
57		
58		
59		
60		

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Ministry of Health and Sports and Ministry of Education in Myanmar for granting a permission to collect the data at high schools. We also would like to thank the local authorities in the survey areas, the staff from participating schools, last but not least, all the high school students those who participated voluntarily in this study.

Funding statement

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Data sharing statement

No additional data are available.

Author contributions

YMS and NNL conceptualised the study and designed the study. NNL, and TNS contributed to data collection and data acquisition. YMS, TNS, HH, and SMC performed data analyses and data interpretations. YMS, HH, and SMC wrote the first draft of the manuscript. MK, TMT, EMW, and ZZA contributed to data acquisition. NH contributed to the study design, data interpretation, and revising the manuscript. TK and EY revised the manuscript. All authors had full access to the data, and take responsibility to the accuracy of data analysis. All authors approved the final manuscript and agreed to submit it for publication and take accountability.

Ethical approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Department of Medical Services, Ministry of Health, Myanmar (Letter No. 617 of Planning/Research issued on August 26, 2015), and the Ministry of Education, Myanmar (Letter No. 12125 of Information/Research issued on October 19, 2015), as well as the ethical review committee of Nagoya University School of Medicine (No. 6518 issued on August 31, 2015). All data collection and analytical processes retained anonymity for privacy and confidentiality. The locations, names, and numbers of the eligible participants of the schools involved were not documented.

Competing interests

All authors declared no conflicts of interest for this study.

Additional file

There is no additional files.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. *WHO global report on trends in prevalence of tobacco smoking 2000–2025, second edition*.
<https://www.who.int/tobacco/publications/surveillance/trends-tobacco-smoking-second-edition/en/>. Accessed May 5, 2019.
2. Gentzke AS, Creamer M, Cullen KA, et al. Vital Signs: Tobacco Product Use among Middle and High School Students - United States, 2011–2018. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2019;68(6):157–164. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6806e1.
3. Sinha DN, Rizwan SA, Aryal KK, Karki KB, Zaman MM, Gupta PC. Trends of smokeless tobacco use among adults (aged 15–49 years) in Bangladesh, India and Nepal. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2015;16(15):6561–6568.
4. World Health Organization. *WHO global report: mortality attributable to tobacco*.https://www.who.int/tobacco/publications/surveillance/rep_mortality_attributable/en/. Accessed April 27, 2019.
5. Oberg M, Jaakkola MS, Woodward A, Peruga A, Prüss-Ustün A. *Worldwide burden of disease from exposure to second-hand smoke: a retrospective analysis of data from 192 countries*. *Lancet*. 2011;377(9760):139–146. doi:10.1016/S01406736(10)61388-8.
6. Selya AS, Dierker L, Rose JS, Hedeker D, Mermelstein RJ. Early-emerging nicotine dependence has lasting and time-varying effects on adolescent smoking behavior. *Prev Sci*. 2016;17(6):743–750. doi:10.1007/s11121-016-0673-0.
7. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *The health consequences of smoking- 50 years of progress: A report of the Surgeon General*.
<https://www.surgeongeneral.gov/library/reports/50-years-of-progress/full-report.pdf>. Accessed April 29, 2019.
8. Robert PO, Kuipers MAG, Rathmann K, et al. Academic performance and adolescent smoking in 6 European cities: the role of friendship ties. *Int J Adolesc Youth*. 2019;24(1):125–135. doi:10.1080/02673843.2018.1475288.
9. Treur JL, Willemsen G, Bartels M, et al. Smoking during adolescence as a risk factor for attention problems. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2015;78(9):656–63.
doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.06.019.
10. World Health Organization. *Report of fifth Global Youth Tobacco Survey (GYTS) Myanmar, 2016*. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/274351>. Accessed May 2, 2019.
11. World Health Organization. *Report of second Global School-based Student Health Survey in Myanmar 2016*. <http://www.who.int/iris/handle/10665/274350>. Accessed May 2, 2019.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
12. Prabandari YS, Dewi A. How do Indonesian youth perceive cigarette advertising? A cross-sectional study among Indonesian high school students. *Glob Health Action*. 2016;9:30914. doi:10.3402/gha.v9.30914.
 13. Chido-Amajuoyi OG, Mantey DS, Clendennen SL, Pérez A. Association of tobacco advertising, promotion and sponsorship (TAPS) exposure and cigarette use among Nigerian adolescents: implications for current practices, products and policies. *BMJ Glob Health*. 2017;2(3):e000357.doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2017-000357.
 14. Braverman MT, Aaro LE. Adolescent smoking and exposure to tobacco marketing under a tobacco advertising ban: findings from 2 Norwegian national samples. *AmJ Public Health*. 2004;94(7):1230–1238.
 15. Soneji S, Ambrose BK, Lee W, Sargent J, Tanski S. Direct-to-consumer tobacco marketing and its association with tobacco use among adolescents and young adults. *J Adolesc Health*. 2014;55(2):209–215. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2014.01.019.
 16. Ministry of Health. *The control of smoking and consumption of Tobacco Product Law*. <https://seatca.org/dmdocuments/Myanmar%20-%20TC%20Law.pdf>. Accessed May 17, 2019.
 17. Gilmore AB, Fooks G, Drope J, Bialous SA, Jackson RR. Exposing and addressing tobacco industry conduct in low-income and middle-income countries. *Lancet* 2015;385(9972):1029–1043. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60312-9.
 18. Gostin LO. FDA regulation of tobacco: politics, law, and the public's health. *JAMA*. 2009;302(13):1459-1460. doi:10.1001/jama.2009.1421.
 19. Jamison N, Tynan M, MacNeil A, *et al*. Federal and state cigarette excise taxes - United States, 1995-2009. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2009;58(19):524–527.
 20. Chaloupka FJ, Yurekli A, Fong GT. Tobacco Taxes as a tobacco control strategy. *Tob Control* 2012;21(2):172–180.doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2011-050417.
 21. Latt NN, Saw YM, Myat Cho S, Kariya T, Yamamoto E, Hamajima N. Tobacco Control Law awareness, enforcement, and compliance among high school students in Myanmar. *Nagoya J Med Sci*. 2018;80(3):379–389. doi:10.18999/nagjms.80.3.379.
 22. Myint MNT, Yamamoto E, Ko MH, Khaing M, Reyer JA, Hamajima N. Knowledge, attitude, and usage pattern of tobacco among high school students in Nay Pyi Taw, Myanmar. *Nagoya J Med Sci*. 2019;81(1):65–79. doi:10.18999/nagjms.81.1.65.
 23. Akl EA, Jawad M, Lam WY, Co CN, Obeid R, Irani J. Motives, beliefs and attitudes towards waterpipe tobacco smoking: a systematic review. *Harm Reduct J*. 2013;10:12. doi:10.1186/1477-7517-10-12.
 24. Kyaing NN, Sein T, Sein AA, Htike MMT, Tun A, Shein NN. Smokeless tobacco use in Myanmar. *Indian J Cancer*. 2012; 49(4): 347–351.doi: 10.4103/0019-509X.107727.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
25. Morgenstern M, Sargent JD, Isensee B, Hanewinkel R. From never to daily smoking in 30 months: the predictive value of tobacco and nontobacco advertising exposure. *BMJ Open*. 2013;3(6): e002907. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002907>.
26. World Health Organization. *Global School-based Student Health Survey*. <https://www.who.int/ncds/surveillance/gshs/factsheets/en>. Accessed May 16, 2019.
27. Sinha D N, Palipudi K M, Jones C K, et al. Levels and trends of smokeless tobacco use among youth in countries of the World Health Organization South-East Asia Region. *Indian J Cancer*. 2014;51(5):50-53. doi:10.4103/0019-509X.147472.
28. Wiener RC. Association of smokeless tobacco use and smoking in adolescents in the United States: an analysis of data from the Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System survey, 2011. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2013;144(8):930–938.
29. National Cancer Institute and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Smokeless Tobacco and Public Health: A Global Perspective*. <https://cancercontrol.cancer.gov/brp/tcrb/global-perspective>. Accessed May 19, 2019.
30. Southeast Asia Tobacco Control Alliance. *The Tobacco Control Atlas: ASEAN Region, Fourth Edition*. <https://seatca.org/dmdocuments/Tobacco%20Control%20Atlas%20ASEAN%20Region%204th%20Ed%20Feb%202019.pdf>. Accessed May 19, 2019.
31. Sinha DN, Bhartiya D, Kumar A, Singh H, Mehrotra R. Men in Myanmar Submerged in Tobacco: Women Following. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2017;19(11):1397-1398. doi:10.1093/ntr/ntw314

1
2
3 **Table List**
4

5 **Table 1** Characteristics of study participants
6

7
8 **Table 2** Different kinds of TAPS ^{a)} exposures among study participants
9

10 **Table 3** Illicit tobacco sale exposures among study participants
11

12 **Table 4** Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of current smoking and smokeless
13 tobacco use among study participants
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

TABLES

Table 1 Characteristics of study participants (N=1,174)

Characteristics	Male (N=482)		Female (N=692)		Total (N=1,174)	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Age						
≤14 years	195	40.5	307	44.4	502	42.8
15 years	199	41.3	293	42.3	492	41.9
16 years	61	12.7	91	13.2	152	12.9
17 years	21	4.4	1	0.1	22	1.9
≥18 years	6	1.2	0	0.0	6	0.5
Grade						
10	330	68.5	464	67.1	794	67.6
11	152	31.5	228	32.9	380	32.4
Current smoking and smokeless tobacco use						
No	360	74.7	683	98.7	1,043	88.8
Yes	122	25.3	9	1.3	131	11.2
Parent smoking						
No	453	94.0	662	95.7	1,115	95.0
Yes	29	6.0	30	4.3	59	5.0
Sibling smoking						
No	427	88.6	652	94.2	1,079	91.9
Yes	55	11.4	40	5.8	95	8.1
Peer smoking						
No	437	90.7	641	92.6	1,078	91.8
Yes	45	9.3	51	7.4	96	8.2
Ever received health education about smoking and smokeless tobacco use						
No	228	47.3	235	34.0	463	39.4
Yes	254	52.7	457	66.0	711	60.6
Perception of smoking and smokeless tobacco products						
≤7	151	31.3	116	16.8	267	22.7
>7	331	68.7	576	83.2	907	77.3

Table 2 Different kinds of TAPS ^{a)} exposures among study participants (N=1,174)

TAPS ^{a)}	Use ^{b)} (N=131)		No use ^{c)} (N=1,043)		Total (N=1,174)	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Ever seen or heard tobacco advertising and sponsorship in any form **						
No	25	19.1	307	29.4	332	28.3
Yes	106	80.9	736	70.6	842	71.7
Ever seen tobacco advertising signboards (vinyl, LED, stickers, etc.)						
No	128	97.7	994	95.3	1,122	52.0
Yes	3	2.3	49	4.7	52	4.4
Ever seen tobacco advertising drawing or painting (on vehicles, on walls, on boards, etc.)						
No	118	90.1	925	88.7	1,043	88.8
Yes	13	9.9	118	11.3	131	11.2
Ever seen tobacco advertising in journals, magazines, newspapers, and pamphlets						
No	125	95.4	998	95.7	1,123	95.7
Yes	6	4.6	45	4.3	51	4.3
Ever seen broadcasting of tobacco advertisements (TV, radio, internet, social network like Facebook, etc.)						
No	120	91.6	982	94.2	1,102	93.9
Yes	11	8.4	61	5.8	72	6.1
Ever seen or heard about the distribution of tobacco products free of charge or as gifts						
No	124	94.7	953	91.4	1,077	91.7
Yes	7	5.3	90	8.6	97	8.3
Ever seen or heard distributions of personal goods with tobacco product labels free of charge or as gifts ***						
No	64	48.9	702	67.3	766	65.2
Yes	67	51.1	341	32.7	408	34.8
Ever seen or heard about tobacco advertising with lucky draw, exchange of old cigarette pack with new ones, bonus to sellers, car stickers, etc. *						
No	125	95.4	1,025	98.3	1,150	98.0
Yes	6	4.6	18	1.7	24	2.0
Ever seen any goods with the label of a cigar or tobacco products being used in promotions (clothes, hats, lighters, key chains, tissue boxes, stationeries, kitchen utensils, etc.)						
No	34	26.0	340	32.6	703	67.4

28

Yes	374	31.9	97	74.0	800	68.1
Ever seen any toy, comestible or wares made in the form of a cigar (toys, chewing gums, sweet sticks, key chains, lighters, balloons, etc.)						
No	88	67.2	702	67.3	790	67.3
Yes	43	32.8	341	32.7	384	32.7
Ever heard about the announcements of tobacco advertisement at fairs and festivals *						
No	128	97.7	1,040	99.7	1,168	99.5
Yes	3	2.3	3	0.3	6	0.5
Ever seen or heard about the tobacco advertising as sponsorship or support to sports, funfairs, exhibitions, or any social activities **						
No	70	53.4	689	66.1	759	64.7
Yes	61	46.6	354	33.9	415	35.3
Exposure to any kind of TAPS ^{a)}						
No	8	6.1	99	9.5	107	9.1
Yes	123	93.9	944	90.5	1,067	90.9

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001; ^{a)}Tobacco advertisement, promotion, and sponsorship, ^{b)}Current smoking and smokeless tobacco use, ^{c)}No current smoking and smokeless

Table 3 Illicit tobacco sale exposures among study participants (N=1,174)

Illicit tobacco sale	Use ^{b)}		No use ^{c)}		Total	
	(N=131)		(N=1,043)		(N=1,174)	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Had seen selling cigars inside or within 100 feet of the school premises within the last 12 months **						
No	92	19.1	93	13.4	185	15.8
Yes	390	80.9	599	86.6	989	84.2
Had seen selling or giving cigars to minors ^{a)} within the last 12 months ***						
No	86	17.8	120	17.3	206	17.5
Yes	396	82.2	572	82.7	968	82.5
Had seen selling or distributing cigars by minors ^{a)} within the last 12 months **						
No	209	43.4	312	45.1	521	44.4
Yes	273	56.6	380	54.9	653	55.6
Had seen selling cigarettes singly or in packs less than 20 cigarettes within the last 12 months ***						
No	50	10.4	108	15.6	158	13.5
Yes	432	89.6	584	84.4	1,016	86.5

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001; ^{a)}Under 18 years old

Table 4 Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of current smoking and smokeless tobacco use among study participants (N=1,174)

Characteristics	Use ^{a)}	No use ^{b)}	Unadjusted		Adjusted ^{c)}	
	(N=131)	(N=1043)	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
	N (%)	N (%)				
Age						
≤ 14 years	14 (10.7)	488 (46.8)	1	Reference	1	Reference
> 14 years	117 (89.3)	555 (53.2)	7.35	(4.17-12.96)***	9.81	(4.54-21.19)***
Gender						
Female	9 (6.9)	683 (65.5)	1	Reference	1	Reference
Male	122 (93.1)	360 (34.5)	25.72	(12.91-51.22)***	28.06	(13.29-59.25)***
Grade						
10	56 (42.7)	738 (70.8)	1	Reference	1	Reference
11	75 (57.3)	305 (29.2)	3.24	(2.24-4.70)***	1.52	(0.85-2.73)
Parent smoking						
No	128 (97.7)	987 (94.6)	1	Reference	1	Reference
Yes	3 (2.3)	56 (5.4)	0.41	(0.13-1.34)	0.31	(0.08-1.29)
Sibling smoking						
No	118 (90.1)	961 (92.1)	1	Reference	1	Reference
Yes	13 (9.9)	82 (7.9)	1.29	(0.70-2.39)	1.06	(0.47-2.37)
Peer smoking						
No	119 (90.8)	959 (91.9)	1	Reference	1	Reference
Yes	12 (9.2)	84 (8.1)	1.15	(0.61-2.17)	0.41	(0.17-0.95)
Exposure to any kind of TAPS ^{a)}						

No	89 (6.1)	99 (9.5)	1	Reference	1	Reference
Yes	123 (93.9)	944 (90.5)	1.61	(0.77-3.40)	6.59	(2.33-18.64)***

Had seen selling cigars inside or within 100 feet of the school premise within the last 12 months

No	9 (6.9)	176 (16.9)	1	Reference	1	Reference
Yes	122 (93.1)	867 (83.1)	2.75	(1.37-5.52)**	4.17	(1.65-10.58)**

Had seen selling or giving cigars to minors ^{a)} within the last 12 months

No	4 (3.1)	202 (19.4)	1	Reference	1	Reference
Yes	127 (96.9)	841 (80.6)	7.63	(2.79-20.88)***	6.46	(2.18-19.12)***

Had seen selling or distributing cigars by minors ^{a)} more than once within the last 12 months

No	44 (33.6)	477 (45.7)	1	Reference	1	Reference
Yes	87 (66.4)	566 (54.3)	1.67	(1.14-2.44)**	2.42	(1.42-4.10)***

Had seen selling cigarettes singly or in packs less than 20 cigarettes within the last 12 months

No	3 (2.3)	155 (14.9)	1	Reference	1	Reference
Yes	128 (97.7)	888 (85.1)	7.45	(2.34-23.70)***	3.32	(0.93-11.85)

Ever received health education about smoking and smokeless tobacco use

No	70 (53.4)	393 (37.7)	1	Reference	1	Reference
Yes	61 (46.6)	650 (62.3)	0.53	(0.37-0.76)***	0.45	(0.27-0.78)**

Perception of smoking and smokeless tobacco products

≤ 7	80 (61.1)	187 (17.9)	1	Reference	1	Reference
>7	51 (38.9)	856 (82.1)	0.14	0.10-0.21)***	0.17	(0.10-0.30)***

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001; ^{a)}Current smoking and smokeless tobacco use, ^{b)}No current smoking and smokeless

tobacco use, ^{c)}Adjusted for the variables listed in the table, ^{d)}Under 18 years old

STROBE 2007 (v4) Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of cross-sectional studies

Section/Topic	Item #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1-2
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2-4
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	5-7
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	7-8
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	8
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	8-9
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	8-9
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	9-10

Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	-
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	-
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	8
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	9-11
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	-
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	-
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	8
		(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	-
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	-
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	-

		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	-
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	-
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	11 Table 1
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	-
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	11-13 Table 2 Table 3
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	12-13 Table 4
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	-
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	-
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	-
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	13

Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	20
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	13-21
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	20
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	22

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

A cross-sectional study on tobacco advertising, promotion and sponsorship (TAPS) and violations of tobacco sale regulations in Myanmar: Do these factors affect current tobacco use among Myanmar high school students?

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2019-031933.R1
Article Type:	Original research
Date Submitted by the Author:	03-Dec-2019
Complete List of Authors:	<p>Cho, Su Myat; Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine Faculty of Medicine, Dept. of Healthcare Administration Saw, Yu Mon; The University of Tokyo, Dept. of Community and Global Health, the Graduate School of Medicine Latt, Nyi Nyi; Aung Myin Myint Mo Hospital, Gyobingauk Saw, Thu Nandar; The University of Tokyo, Dept. of Community and Global Health Htet, Hein; Nagoya Daigaku, Health Care Administration; Khaing , Moe; Myanmar Ministry of Health and Sports Than, Thet Mon; Myanmar Ministry of Health and Sports Win, Ei Mon; Myanmar Ministry of Health and Sports Aung , Zaw Zaw; Myanmar Ministry of Health and Sports Kariya, Tetsuyoshi; Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine Faculty of Medicine, Dept. of Healthcare Administration Yamamoto, Eiko; Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine Faculty of Medicine, Dept. of Healthcare Administration Hamajima, Nobuyuki; Nagoya Univ, Department of Healthcare Administration</p>
Primary Subject Heading:	Global health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Public health, Smoking and tobacco
Keywords:	Tobacco advertising, tobacco sale, high school students, Myanmar

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 **A cross-sectional study on tobacco advertising, promotion and sponsorship (TAPS) and**
2 **violations of tobacco sale regulations in Myanmar: Do these factors affect current**
3 **tobacco use among Myanmar high school students?**

4
5 Su Myat Cho¹, Yu Mon Saw^{1,2}, NyiNyi Latt³, Thu Nandar Saw⁴, Hein Htet⁵, Moe Khaing^{1,6},
6 Thet Mon Than⁶, Ei Mon Win⁷, ZawZaw Aung⁷, Tetsuyoshi Kariya^{1,2}, Eiko Yamamoto¹,
7 Nobuyuki Hamajima¹

8
9 *¹Department of Healthcare Administration, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine,*
10 *Nagoya, Japan*

11 *²Nagoya University Asian Satellite Campuses Institute, Nagoya, Japan*

12 *³AungMyinMyint Mo Hospital, Gyobingauk, Myanmar*

13 *⁴Department of Community and Global Health, Graduate School of Medicine, The University*
14 *of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan*

15 *⁵Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, University of Medicine, Mandalay, Myanmar*

16 *⁶Department of Medical Services, Ministry of Health and Sports, NayPyi Taw, Myanmar*

17 *⁷Department of Public Health, Ministry of Health and Sports, NayPyi Taw, Myanmar*

18
19
20
21 Corresponding author:

22 Yu Mon Saw

23 Department of Healthcare Administration, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine,
24 65 Tsurumai-cho, Showa-ku, Nagoya 466-8550, Japan

25 E-mail address: sawyumon@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp

26
27 Word count- 4111

1
2
3 28 **ABSTRACT**

4 29 **Objectives:** To examine the associations of current tobacco use with tobacco advertising,
5 30 promotion and sponsorship (TAPS), and illicit tobacco sales exposures among Myanmar high
6 31 school students.
7
8

9
10 32 **Design:** A quantitative, cross-sectional study.

11 33 **Setting:** Seven high schools from both urban and rural areas of four states and regions in
12 34 Myanmar.

13 35 **Participants:** In total, 1,174 high school students (482 males and 692 females) were
14 36 interviewed using a self-administered questionnaire.

15 37 **Main outcome measure:** Current tobacco use of participants, defined as using any kind of
16 38 smoked or smokeless tobacco product at least one occasion within the past 30 days.

17 39 **Results:** The prevalence of TAPS exposure was 90.9% among high school students in
18 40 Myanmar. Current tobacco use was positively associated with being over 14 years old (AOR
19 41 9.81; 95%CI 4.54–21.19), being male (AOR 28.06; 95%CI 13.29–59.25), exposure to any
20 42 kind of TAPS (AOR 6.59; 95%CI 2.33–18.64), having seen any smoked tobacco product for
21 43 sale inside or within 100 feet of the school premises (AOR 4.17; 95%CI 1.65–10.58), having
22 44 seen the sale or gifting of any smoked tobacco product to minors (AOR 6.40; 95%CI 2.18–
23 45 19.12), and having seen the sale or distribution of any smoked tobacco product by minors
24 46 (AOR 2.42; 95% CI 1.42–4.10). Having ever received health education about tobacco use
25 47 (AOR 0.45; 95% CI 0.27–0.78), or having a higher perception score of tobacco use (AOR
26 48 0.17; 95% CI 0.10–0.30) were negatively associated with current tobacco use.

27 49 **Conclusions:** There was an alarming prevalence of TAPS exposure among Myanmar high
28 50 school students. TAPS exposure and violations of tobacco sale regulations were strong risk
29 51 factors for current tobacco use among Myanmar high school students, while health education
30 52 about tobacco products was reported as an effective protective factor. Specific smokeless
31 53 tobacco sale regulations for minors are needed immediately in Myanmar.

32
33
34
35
36 54 Key words: Tobacco advertising, tobacco sale, current tobacco use, high school students,
37 55 Myanmar
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

56 **STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY**

- 57 ▪ This study is the first in Myanmar to report the associations of current tobacco use with
58 TAPS, and illicit tobacco sales exposures among high school students.
- 59 ▪ The findings of this study cannot be generalized for the whole nation. It was conducted
60 among 1,174 students from two states and two regions out of a total of seven states, seven
61 regions, and one territory in Myanmar.
- 62 ▪ This study could not confirm the causal relationships of current tobacco use among high
63 school students because of the cross-sectional nature of our study.
- 64 ▪ Due to the limitations of the cross-sectional study design, this study calls for further
65 TAPS-related interventional and longitudinal studies to explore Myanmar adolescents'
66 tobacco use behaviours.

67 INTRODUCTION

68 “Tobacco use,” defined as the use of any type of smoked or smokeless tobacco,¹⁾ is regarded
69 as an important public health concern worldwide. Globally, it was estimated that 24 million
70 (7.0%) adolescents aged between 13 and 15 years had smoked cigarettes in the past 30 days
71 and 13.4 million (3.6%) had used smokeless tobacco products in the past 30 days during
72 2017.¹⁾ In the United States, it was reported that 4 million high school students were current
73 tobacco users in 2018.²⁾ In Southeast Asia, the prevalence of adolescents' tobacco use in the
74 past 30 days was 5.7% and that of smokeless tobacco use was 7.2% over the period of 2007 to
75 2017.¹⁾ A recent multi-national study reported that, between 2009 and 2013, adolescent
76 smokeless tobacco use in the World Health Organization (WHO) South-East Asia Region
77 (SEAR) was at its highest in Bhutan (23.2%), followed by Nepal (16.2%), Timor-Leste
78 (14.2%), Myanmar (9.8%), India (9.0%), Sri Lanka (8.5%), the Maldives (6.2%), Bangladesh
79 (5.9%), and Thailand (5.7%).³⁾ Thus, Myanmar ranked the fourth-highest for adolescent
80 smokeless tobacco use among these nine countries. Myanmar is one of the countries with high
81 prevalence of tobacco use among young population in the WHO SEAR countries.⁴⁾ Cigarette
82 smoking among schoolchildren is much higher in Myanmar compared to other SEAR
83 countries, i.e., Bangladesh, India, Maldives, Nepal, and Sri Lanka.⁵⁾ The use of smokeless
84 tobacco product is also highly prevalent in Myanmar as compared to other countries.⁶⁾

1
2
3
4 85 Tobacco use is responsible for five million deaths every year globally, a figure that is
5
6
7 86 expected to rise to 10 million per year by 2030.⁷⁾ In 2004, it was estimated that 600,000
8
9
10 87 people had died from the effects of second-hand smoke, accounting for roughly 1% of global
11
12
13 88 mortality.⁸⁾ Adolescents are highly vulnerable to addiction to the nicotine in tobacco. A recent
14
15
16 89 study has shown that the earlier people become dependent on nicotine, the more likely they
17
18
19 90 are to become addicted to smoking in adulthood.⁹⁾ Moreover, nicotine consumption may
20
21
22 91 negatively impact brain development during adolescence.¹⁰⁾ Studies have shown that
23
24
25 92 adolescent smoking is associated with poor academic performance¹¹⁾ and attention and
26
27
28 93 cognitive deficits.¹²⁾ Smokeless tobacco use is as dangerous as smoked forms of tobacco
29
30
31 94 because it contains nicotine, carcinogens and other toxic chemicals.¹³⁾ Smokeless tobacco use
32
33
34 95 has deleterious effects to oral health including the staining and discolouration of teeth,
35
36
37 96 leukoplakia, erythroplakia and oral cancer.¹⁴⁾ A recent systematic review from India reported a
38
39
40 97 positive association between smokeless tobacco use and various cancers (oral, oesophageal,
41
42
43 98 pancreatic) in the South-East Asian Region and Eastern Mediterranean Region.¹⁵⁾ Moreover,
44
45
46 99 another recent systematic review in the United States found an increased risk of heart disease
47
48
49 100 and stroke among smokeless tobacco users.¹⁶⁾
50
51

52
53 101 Studies have shown that tobacco and smokeless tobacco use is highly prevalent
54
55
56 102 among Myanmar high school students. Since 2001, the Global Youth Tobacco Survey
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 103 (GYTS) has been conducted every 3 to 5 years in Myanmar to monitor tobacco use among
5
6
7 104 high school students .The findings from the 2016 GYTS conducted among high school
8
9
10 105 students pointed out that the prevalence of the current use of smoked tobacco products and the
11
12
13 106 prevalence of the current use of smokeless tobacco products was 10.6% and 5.7
14
15
16 107 respectively.¹⁷⁾ In parallel with the GYTS, Myanmar has been conducting the nationwide
17
18
19 108 Global School-based Student Health Survey (GSHS) to monitor the understanding of health
20
21
22 109 risk behaviours among high school students. The 2016 survey also reported that the
23
24
25 110 prevalence of current tobacco smoking and current smokeless tobacco use among high school
26
27
28 111 students was 7.2% and 8.5%, respectively.¹⁸⁾ Another study conducted among high school
29
30
31 112 students in 2015 in Nay Pyi Taw, Myanmar, reported that 34.7% were smokers and 28.3%
32
33
34 113 were smokeless tobacco users.¹⁹⁾ Therefore, the use of smoked and smokeless tobacco among
35
36
37 114 high school students in Myanmar is an important public health issue as well as a social one.
38
39
40 115 Moreover, all these studies also pointed out that most high school students began using
41
42
43 116 tobacco before the age of 14. However, in Myanmar, parents tend to show less concern about
44
45
46 117 their children becoming smokeless tobacco users (especially chewing betel quid with tobacco)
47
48
49 118 because there is a widespread misconception that the use of smokeless tobacco is not as
50
51
52 119 harmful as the use of cigarettes.¹³⁾ It is important to monitor the initiation and pattern of
53
54
55 120 tobacco use among adolescents and youths, especially among high school students.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 121 More than a decade ago, Myanmar signed the WHO Framework Convention on
5
6
7 122 Tobacco Control (FCTC) and enacted the first Tobacco Control Law in 2006, regulating
8
9
10 123 tobacco advertising, promotion, and sponsorship (TAPS) and tobacco sales to minors.¹⁷⁾
11
12
13 124 Subsequently, the restrictions on smoking in all indoor public places, the introduction of
14
15
16 125 graphic health warnings on tobacco product packaging, and the raising of tobacco product
17
18
19 126 taxes were all promulgated. Despite this, the prevalence of current tobacco use among
20
21
22 127 Myanmar high school students has not changed significantly over the past 15 years.¹⁷⁾
23
24
25

26 128 A comparison of the 2007 and the 2016 GSHS also revealed that the prevalence of
27
28
29 129 cigarette smoking among high school students had increased significantly, from 2.0% to
30
31
32 130 6.7%.¹⁸⁾ Although the Tobacco Control Law has banned tobacco sales to minors, adolescent
33
34
35 131 smokers can still buy cigarettes from large stores, retail shops, or street vendors very easily.¹⁷⁾
36
37
38 132 These alarming findings indicate the failure of efforts to control tobacco consumption among
39
40
41 133 young people in Myanmar.
42
43
44

45 134 Although the Tobacco Control Law in Myanmar prohibits TAPS activities by the
46
47
48 135 tobacco industry, TAPS activities are still common. Most tobacco companies distribute
49
50
51 136 tobacco products and personal goods with tobacco product labels either free of charge or as
52
53
54 137 gifts. According to the 2016 Myanmar GYTS conducted among high school students, 8.7% of
55
56
57 138 boys and 3.6% of girls reported that tobacco companies had offered free tobacco products,
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 139 and 7.3% of boys and 4.2% of girls reported owning something with tobacco branding or a
5
6
7 140 tobacco logo.¹⁷⁾ Furthermore, 83.4% of the students reported noticing someone using tobacco
8
9
10 141 products on television or in videos and movies.¹⁷⁾

14 142 Researchers from other parts of the world have reported that TAPS exposure can
15
16
17 143 affect adolescent smoking behaviours.²⁰⁻²³⁾ A positive association between exposure to
18
19
20 144 cigarette advertisements and initiating smoking has been reported among Indonesian
21
22
23 145 students.²⁰⁾ A longitudinal study conducted in Germany also pointed out that, with every
24
25
26 146 additional 10 tobacco advertisements, the adjusted relative risk for established smoking and
27
28
29 147 daily smoking was raised by 38% and 30%, respectively.²⁴⁾ Adolescent students, in a phase of
30
31
32 148 life where curiosity is at its peak, are vulnerable to adopting smoked or smokeless tobacco
33
34
35 149 use.^{13, 25)}

38
39 150 At present, research in Myanmar is limited where concerns the patterns of high
40
41
42 151 school students' tobacco use and their connection to TAPS exposure. Our previous study,²⁶⁾
43
44
45 152 conducted among the same participants, revealed the low awareness of the Tobacco Control
46
47
48 153 Law among Myanmar high school students, but we did not examine how TAPS exposure and
49
50
51 154 violations of tobacco sales regulations might affect Myanmar high school students' tobacco
52
53
54 155 use. The present study aims to investigate (1) the prevalence of TAPS and illicit tobacco sale

1
2
3
4 156 exposures and (2) their associations with current tobacco use among Myanmar high school
5
6
7 157 students.
8
9

10 158 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

11 159 *Study population*

12
13
14
15
16
17
18 160 A cross-sectional study was conducted among grade 10 and 11 high school students from
19
20
21 161 seven high schools in Shan State, Mon State, Bago region, and Magway region in Myanmar.
22
23
24 162 A simple random sampling technique using a drawing method was applied to select the study
25
26
27 163 areas and schools. The details of the sampling procedure have been described elsewhere.²⁶⁾ In
28
29
30 164 total, 1,339 high school students answered a self-administrated questionnaire. Of these, 165
31
32
33 165 were excluded due to missing or incomplete responses to TAPS exposure questions. In sum,
34
35
36 166 the total number of participants was 1,174 (482 males and 692 females) and the response rate
37
38
39 167 was 87.7%.
40
41
42

43 168 *Data collection*

44
45
46
47 169 Data were collected by using a pre-tested, anonymous, paper and pencil self-administered
48
49
50 170 questionnaire. The questionnaire in the Myanmar language contained 40 questions, covering
51
52
53 171 nine components: 1) background information, 2) experience with tobacco products, 3)
54
55
56 172 exposure to second-hand smoking, 4) perception of smoked and smokeless tobacco products,
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 173 5) sale of tobacco, 6) health warnings and information, 7) tobacco advertisement, promotion,
5
6
7 174 and sponsorship, 8) smoke-free areas, and 9) the Tobacco Control Law and its enforcement.
8
9

10
11 175 ***Study measures***
12

13
14
15 176 ***Dependent variable***
16

17
18 177 The outcome variable was “current tobacco use” among high school students. It was defined
19
20
21 178 as the use of any kind of smoked or smokeless tobacco product on at least one occasion
22
23
24 179 within the 30 days preceding the survey.
25
26

27
28 180 ***Independent variables***
29

30
31
32 181 After controlling socio-demographic characteristics, smoking exposure at home and school,
33
34
35 182 receiving health education about tobacco use, and the perception of tobacco use as covariates,
36
37
38 183 the independent variables in this study were participants’ exposure to any kind of TAPS and
39
40
41 184 illicit tobacco sale exposures. “Exposure to any kind of TAPS” was defined using the
42
43
44 185 following variables: 1) having seen or heard tobacco advertisements or sponsorship in any
45
46
47 186 form, 2) having seen any goods displaying the label of any tobacco product being used in
48
49
50 187 promotion, and 3) having seen any toy, comestible, or wares made in the form of any tobacco
51
52
53 188 product. If a student had had at least one TAPS exposure, the response was counted as a
54
55
56 189 “Yes,” and if they had never experienced exposure, their response was counted as a “No.”
57
58

1
2
3
4 190 For illicit tobacco sale exposures, we measured four different types of tobacco sales
5
6
7 191 to students contravening several of the tobacco sale regulations prohibited by Myanmar
8
9
10 192 Tobacco Control Law. These four variables were: 1) having seen any smoked tobacco product
11
12
13 193 for sale inside or within 100 feet of the school premises within the last 12 months, 2) having
14
15
16 194 seen the sale or gifting of any smoked tobacco product to minors within the last 12 months, 3)
17
18
19 195 having seen the sale or distribution of any smoked tobacco product by minors within the last
20
21
22 196 12 months, and 4) having seen the sale of cigarettes singly or in packs containing less than 20
23
24
25 197 within the last 12 months.
26
27

28 29 198 *Study analysis*

30
31
32 199 The data were coded, entered, and analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Science
33
34
35 200 (SPSS) software program version 24.0 (IBM SPSS Inc.). Categorical data were analysed by
36
37
38 201 chi-square tests for hypothesis testing. For multivariable logistic regression, all the variables
39
40
41 202 were re-coded on a dichotomous scale. All analyses were two-tailed, setting $p < 0.05$ as the
42
43
44 203 significance value.
45
46
47

48 204 *Public and patient involvement statement*

49
50
51 205 Patients and members of the public were not involved in the design of this study. The study
52
53
54 206 findings will be disseminated within Ministries.
55
56
57

58 207 **RESULTS**

1
2
3
4 208 Table 1 shows the characteristics of the study participants by gender. Most of the participants
5
6
7 209 (84.7%) were younger than or equal to 15 years of age. More than two-thirds of the students
8
9
10 210 (68.5% of males and 67.1% of females) were grade 10 students. Of those who took part,
11
12
13 211 25.3% of male and 1.3% of female students were current users of smoked or smokeless
14
15
16 212 tobacco at the time of the survey. Male students had more exposure to parental smoking
17
18
19 213 (6.0%), sibling smoking (11.4%), and peer smoking (9.3%) than did female students. Nearly
20
21
22 214 two-thirds of females (66.0%) reported receiving health education about tobacco use,
23
24
25 215 compared to 52.7% of males. Regarding the perception of tobacco use, out of eight items, we
26
27
28 216 set the mean score of seven as the cut-off point. Overall, 68.7% of males and 83.2% of
29
30
31 217 females scored more than seven.
32
33
34

35 218 Table 2 presents different kinds of TAPS exposures and its associations with current
36
37
38 219 tobacco use among the study participants. In total, 90.9% had TAPS exposure in any form.
39
40
41 220 The findings indicate that 71.7% had seen or heard of tobacco advertisement and sponsorship
42
43
44 221 in any form, and 68.1% had seen goods displaying the label of any tobacco product being
45
46
47 222 used in promotions. One out of three students had seen toys, comestibles or wares made in the
48
49
50 223 form of any tobacco product. More than one-third of the participants (35.3%) had seen or
51
52
53 224 heard tobacco advertisements in sponsorship or support of sports, funfairs, exhibitions, or
54
55
56 225 other social activities.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 226 Table 3 describes illicit tobacco sale exposures and their associations with current
5
6
7 227 tobacco use among the study participants. Within the last 12 months, more than 80.0% had
8
9
10 228 been exposed to the sale of any smoked tobacco product inside or within 100 feet of the
11
12
13 229 school premises, the sale or gifting of any smoked tobacco product to minors, or the sale of
14
15
16 230 cigarettes singly or in packs of less than 20. Nearly 56.0% had seen the sale or distribution of
17
18
19 231 any smoked tobacco product by minors.
20
21
22

23 232 Table 4 presents the unadjusted odds ratio (UOR), adjusted odds ratio (AOR), and
24
25
26 233 95% confidence intervals (CI) of current tobacco use among Myanmar high school students.
27
28
29 234 In the binary logistic regression, being a grade 11 student (UOR 3.24; 95% CI 2.24-4.70) and
30
31
32 235 having seen the sale of cigarettes, either singly or in packs of less than 20 (UOR 7.45; 95%CI
33
34
35 236 2.34–23.70) were associated with current tobacco use.
36
37
38

39 237 From the multiple logistic regression, current tobacco use was found to be positively
40
41
42 238 associated with being over 14 years old (AOR 9.81; 95%CI 4.54–21.19); being male (AOR
43
44
45 239 28.06; 95%CI 13.29–59.25); being exposed to any kind of TAPS (AOR 6.59; 95%CI 2.33–
46
47
48 240 18.64); having seen any smoked tobacco product for sale inside or within 100 feet of the
49
50
51 241 school premises within the last 12 months (AOR 4.17; 95%CI 1.65–10.58); having seen the
52
53
54 242 sale or gifting of any smoked tobacco product to minors within the last 12 months (AOR 6.40;
55
56
57 243 95%CI 2.18–19.12); and having seen the sale or distribution of any smoked tobacco product
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 244 by minors within the last 12 months (AOR 2.42; 95% CI 1.42–4.10). Having ever received
5
6
7 245 health education about tobacco use (AOR 0.45; 95% CI 0.27–0.78) and having a higher
8
9
10 246 perception score with regards to tobacco use (AOR 0.17; 95% CI 0.10–0.30) were negatively
11
12
13 247 associated with current tobacco use (Table 3).

17 248 **DISCUSSION**

18
19
20 249 To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to report the associations of current
21
22
23 250 tobacco use with TAPS and illicit tobacco sale exposures among high school students in
24
25
26 251 Myanmar. The majority of the study participants reported having been exposed to TAPS and
27
28
29 252 illicit tobacco sales. TAPS exposure and illicit tobacco sale exposures increase the odds of
30
31
32 253 current tobacco use among high school students.

33
34
35 254 In this study, a high prevalence of TAPS exposure (91%) was reported among high school
36
37
38 255 students in Myanmar, a country that has completely banned all forms of direct or indirect
39
40
41 256 TAPS, including Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activities by the tobacco industry.²⁷⁾
42
43
44 257 Myanmar has a well-established Tobacco Control Law named the “Control of Smoking and
45
46
47 258 Consumption of Tobacco Product Law,” which has been enacted since 2006.²⁷⁾ However, the
48
49
50 259 monitoring, reporting and punishment of TAPS activities prohibited by the law are not
51
52
53 260 common in Myanmar. Other studies conducted in Myanmar also pointed out that the
54
55
56 261 awareness of the tobacco control law among high school students was low and that the lack of

1
2
3
4 262 tobacco control law enforcement was in a critical state.^{19,26)} It is not rare to see sponsored
5
6
7 263 events and CSR activities executed by tobacco companies, violating the TAPS regulations
8
9
10 264 and reframing tobacco products' image among Myanmar youths.^{19,26)} The global tobacco
11
12
13 265 industry has been focusing on expanding its market in developing countries that have low
14
15
16 266 tobacco taxes, partial TAPS bans, and weak law enforcement of TAPS regulations, rather than
17
18
19 267 in developed countries with high tobacco taxes, comprehensive and complete TAPS bans, and
20
21
22 268 the strict implementation of TAPS regulations.²⁸⁻³¹⁾

25 269 The weakness of tobacco control law enforcement in Myanmar creates opportunities
26
27
28 270 for tobacco companies and retailers to violate it. Nigerian researchers have reported that
29
30
31 271 exposure to events sponsored by the tobacco industry was associated with current cigarette
32
33
34 272 use and demonstrated the importance of the tobacco control law and its enforcement in
35
36
37 273 reducing tobacco use. Our findings highlighted the urgent need to enforce this law in
38
39
40 274 Myanmar to reduce TAPS exposure among adolescents in order to decrease tobacco use. It
41
42
43 275 also encourages Myanmar policymakers to formulate specific TAPS regulations addressing
44
45
46 276 newly developed smoking and smokeless tobacco products and complete comprehensive
47
48
49 277 TAPS bans, including cross-border TAPS. Local authorities need to monitor TAPS among
50
51
52 278 Myanmar youths strictly and to punish the tobacco companies, stores, and retailers violating
53
54
55 279 TAPS regulations.

1
2
3
4 280 High school students exposed to TAPS in any form were six times more likely to be
5
6
7 281 current tobacco users than those without any TAPS exposure. A recent study from Myanmar
8
9
10 282 has reported that only half of the high school students had heard about the Tobacco Control
11
12
13 283 Law, and none of the study participants had ever heard of any reporting of or punishment for
14
15
16 284 any violation of the Tobacco Control Law that bans TAPS activities and tobacco sales among
17
18
19 285 minors.²⁶⁾ Our study suggests that Myanmar high school students should be properly informed
20
21
22 286 not only about the dangers of TAPS exposure but also about the country's Tobacco Control
23
24
25 287 Law and its punishments.

26
27
28
29 288 In this study, the prevalence of current tobacco use among Myanmar high school
30
31
32 289 students was 11.2%, which is higher than the national figure of 9.8% reported in the 2016
33
34
35 290 WHO GSHS for students between 13 and 17 years old in Myanmar.¹⁸⁾ Our study also reported
36
37
38 291 that male high school students were more likely to be current tobacco users than their female
39
40
41 292 peers were. A sub-national-level study also reported a considerably high prevalence of
42
43
44 293 smoked (34.7%) and smokeless tobacco use (28.3%) among high school students.¹⁹⁾ The
45
46
47 294 findings suggest Myanmar policymakers to consider both smoked and smokeless tobacco use
48
49
50 295 among adolescents as a public health priority and to put more effort into implementing control
51
52
53 296 measures.

54
55
56
57 297 In Myanmar, it has been reported that there were only three full-time staff assigned
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 298 to national tobacco control, meaning they were each responsible for 2,080,000 smokers.³²⁾
5
6

7 299 The country also has an insufficient government budget for tobacco control and there is no
8
9

10 300 health promotion funding mechanism in place for the use of tobacco taxes.³²⁾ To tackle the
11
12

13 301 current situation in Myanmar, the effective implementation of tobacco control measures is
14
15

16 302 needed to reduce current tobacco use, and human and financial resources for national tobacco
17
18

19 303 control should be improved.
20
21
22

23 304 Increasing tobacco tax, as recommended for implementation of Article 6 of the WHO
24
25

26 305 FCTC, functions as a cost-effective demand-reducing measure in global tobacco control.³²⁾
27
28

29 306 However, cigarettes in Myanmar are relatively cheap, indicating that the country's tobacco
30
31

32 307 tax policies need to be re-evaluated. Among the Association of Southeast Asian Nations
33
34

35 308 (ASEAN), the price of the most popular local cigarette brand in Myanmar is the second
36
37

38 309 lowest (0.6 USD/20-stick pack), and that of the most popular foreign brand in Myanmar is the
39
40

41 310 fourth highest (2.11 USD/20-stick pack).³²⁾ This indicates a gap in tax differences between
42
43

44 311 local and foreign brands of cigarettes. The price at which youths in Myanmar are deterred
45
46

47 312 from smoking is reportedly 11 USD/20-stick pack, the lowest among the ASEAN.³²⁾
48
49

50 313 Therefore, the Myanmar government should reevaluate tobacco tax levels and adjust tax
51
52

53 314 policies to decrease tobacco use and TAPS exposures.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 315 In this study, students who had seen any smoked tobacco product for sale inside or
5
6
7 316 within 100 feet of the school premises during the last 12 months were four times more likely
8
9
10 317 to be current tobacco users than their peers were. It is illegal to sell any kind of smoked
11
12
13 318 tobacco products inside or within 100 feet of school premises in Myanmar.²⁷⁾ This finding
14
15
16 319 implies that the lack of monitoring and reporting of illicit tobacco sales inside or near school
17
18
19 320 premises exerts an influence on current tobacco use among Myanmar high school students. In
20
21
22 321 addition, these illicit tobacco sales provide easy access to tobacco for students as well as for
23
24
25 322 school personnel, triggering second-hand smoking exposure and student curiosity about
26
27
28 323 tobacco use. Therefore, school personnel, students, and parents should monitor and report any
29
30
31 324 illicit tobacco sales to local authorities and actions should be taken according to the rules and
32
33
34 325 regulations.

35
36
37 326 However, there is no specific regulation on smokeless tobacco sales and distributions
38
39
40 327 to and by minors in Myanmar, the sale or gifting of any smoked tobacco product to minors
41
42
43 328 and the sale or distribution of any smoked tobacco product by minors are illegal.²⁷⁾
44
45
46 329 Nonetheless, 82.5% of participants in this study reported that they had seen someone selling
47
48
49 330 or gifting of any smoked tobacco product to a minor within the last 12 months, and 55.6% of
50
51
52 331 the participants reported having witnessed the sale or distribution of any smoked tobacco
53
54
55 332 product by minors within the last 12 months. The study also revealed that having seen these

1
2
3
4 333 two illicit tobacco activities within the last 12 months was significantly associated with
5
6
7 334 current tobacco use among the study participants. A study of adolescents in the United States
8
9
10 335 has also reported an association between smokeless tobacco use and smoking.³³⁾ In order to
11
12
13 336 reduce current tobacco use among Myanmar high school students, this study recommends the
14
15
16 337 urgent need of specific smokeless tobacco regulations concerning sales made to and by
17
18
19 338 minors in Myanmar, and strict law enforcement on sales and distributions all smoked and
20
21
22 339 smokeless tobacco to and by minors in the country.
23
24

25 340 Another common violation of the Tobacco Control Law in Myanmar is the sale of
26
27
28 341 cigarettes singly or in packs of less than 20. Despite the significant price difference³²⁾ both
29
30
31 342 foreign and local brands become affordable for smokers when they are sold singly or in packs
32
33
34 343 of less than 20. Such sales are contrary to the demand-reducing tobacco control measures of
35
36
37 344 the national policy and negatively affect the tobacco use of all age groups, especially
38
39
40 345 adolescents.
41
42

43 346 In addition, other smoked and smokeless tobacco products, such as cheroots, cigars,
44
45
46 347 pipes, betel quids, etc., can be purchased singly or in small quantities in Myanmar. To reduce
47
48
49 348 tobacco use in all age groups, our study recommends that the 2006 Tobacco Control Law be
50
51
52 349 updated to address the sale and purchase of all forms of smoked and smokeless tobacco
53
54
55 350 products in small quantities, along with strict law enforcement, especially among minors.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 351 The findings of this study imply that effective health education and high perception
5
6
7 352 of tobacco use can be effective factors in combating the current tobacco use among Myanmar
8
9
10 353 high school students. In this study, students who had received health education about tobacco
11
12
13 354 use and those with a higher perception score of tobacco use were less likely to be current
14
15
16 355 tobacco users than their counterparts. Nearly two-thirds of the participants had received health
17
18
19 356 education about tobacco use, and nearly 80% had a higher perception score. Health education
20
21
22 357 programs and tobacco control measures targeting the young should address the newly
23
24
25 358 developed and popular tobacco products like electronic cigarettes, shisha, pipes, menthol and
26
27
28 359 fruit-flavoured cigarettes etc. Youths' awareness of not only the harms of tobacco products but
29
30
31 360 also the country's Tobacco Control Law should be promoted.
32
33

34 361 The study findings also revealed that 10.7% of current tobacco users were 14 years
35
36
37 362 old or less. Another Myanmar researcher has reported that the average age for first tobacco
38
39
40 363 use is 14 years.¹⁹⁾ Therefore, tobacco control intervention measures should be introduced to
41
42
43 364 Myanmar high school students before the age of 14. Awareness of the Tobacco Control Law
44
45
46 365 remains quite low in Myanmar.^{19,26)} Furthermore, the provision of health education via youth-
47
48
49 366 friendly media, such as the internet, mobile applications, and social networking services, may
50
51
52 367 attract more attention from high school students.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 368 In contrast to other studies,^{19,26)} parental smoking, sibling smoking, and peer smoking
5
6
7 369 were not found to be associated with current tobacco use in this study. This may be because
8
9
10 370 only the smoking status of parents, siblings and peers of the participants was assessed in this
11
12
13 371 study and most of the study participants did not have exposure to parents, siblings, or peers
14
15
16 372 who smoked. Having high knowledge and perception about tobacco use may also have
17
18
19 373 prevented them from using tobacco.¹⁹⁾
20
21

22 374 Despite being the very first study in Myanmar to report the associations between
23
24
25 375 current tobacco use, TAPS and illicit tobacco sale exposure among Myanmar high school
26
27
28 376 students, the present study did not explore the associations of first-time or daily tobacco use,
29
30
31 377 and TAPS or illicit tobacco sales among Myanmar high school students. This study was
32
33
34 378 conducted among 1,174 high school students from two states and two regions out of a total of
35
36
37 379 seven states, seven regions and one territory of Myanmar, and its findings cannot be
38
39
40 380 generalized for the whole nation. Due to the limitations of the cross-sectional nature of our
41
42
43 381 study, we recommend further interventional or longitudinal studies of TAPS and sales
44
45
46 382 exposure for a better understanding of adolescent tobacco use in Myanmar.
47
48

49 383 **CONCLUSIONS**

50
51
52
53 384 This study reported high prevalences of TAPS and illicit tobacco sales exposures among
54
55
56 385 Myanmar high school students. Current tobacco use among Myanmar high school students
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 386 was statistically associated with overall TAPS exposure. Violations of tobacco sales
5
6
7 387 regulations were reported to be strong risk factors for current smoked and smokeless tobacco
8
9
10 388 use among Myanmar high school students. Our findings highlight that Myanmar's Tobacco
11
12
13 389 Control Law enforcement is in an alarming state and requires urgent improvement. Sales and
14
15
16 390 purchase of not only cigarettes but also all forms of smoked and smokeless tobacco products
17
18
19 391 in small quantities should be regulated. Specific smokeless tobacco sale regulations for
20
21
22 392 minors are urgently needed in the country.
23
24

25 26 393 **ABBREVIATIONS**

27		
28		
29 394	TAPS	Tobacco Advertising, Promotion, and Sponsorship
30		
31		
32 395	AOR	Adjusted Odds Ratios
33		
34		
35 396	UOR	Unadjusted Odds Ratios
36		
37		
38 397	CI	Confidence Intervals
39		
40		
41 398	WHO	World Health Organization
42		
43		
44 399	GYTS	Global Youth Tobacco Survey
45		
46		
47 400	GSHS	Global School-based Student Health Survey
48		
49		
50 401	FCTC	Framework Convention on Tobacco Control
51		
52		
53 402	SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Science
54		
55		
56 403	IBM	International Business Machines
57		
58		

1			
2			
3			
4	404	CSR	Corporate Social Responsibility
5			
6			
7	405	ASEAN	Association of South-East Asian Nations
8			
9			
10	406	USD	The United States Dollar
11			
12			
13	407		
14			
15			
16	408	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
17			
18			
19	409	The authors would like to thank Ministry of Health and Sports and Ministry of Education in	
20			
21	410	Myanmar for granting a permission to collect the data at high schools. We also would like to	
22			
23			
24	411	thank the local authorities in the survey areas, the staff from participating schools, last but not	
25			
26	412	least, all the high school students those who participated voluntarily in this study.	
27			
28			
29	413	Funding statement	
30			
31			
32	414	This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or	
33			
34	415	not-for-profit sectors.	
35			
36			
37	416	Data sharing statement	
38			
39			
40	417	No additional data are available.	
41			
42			
43	418	Author contributions	
44			
45			
46	419	YMS and NNL conceptualised the study and designed the study. NNL, and TNS contributed	
47			
48			
49	420	to data collection and data acquisition. YMS, TNS, HH, and SMC performed data analyses	
50			
51	421	and data interpretations. YMS, HH, and SMC wrote the first draft of the manuscript. MK,	
52			
53	422	TMT, EMW, TK, EY, and ZZA contributed to data acquisition. NH contributed to the study	
54			
55			
56	423	design, data interpretation, and revising the manuscript. YMS, TNS, SMC, and NH revised	
57			
58	424	and edited the manuscript. All authors had full access to the data, and take responsibility to	
59			
60			

1
2
3
4 425 the accuracy of data analysis. All authors approved the final manuscript and agreed to submit
5
6 426 it for publication and take accountability.
7
8

9 427 **Ethical approval and consent to participate**
10

11
12 428 This study was ethically approved by the Department of Medical Services, Ministry of Health
13
14 429 and Sports, Myanmar (Letter No. 617 of Planning/Research issued on August 26, 2015), and
15
16 430 the Ministry of Education, Myanmar (Letter No. 12125 of Information/Research issued on
17
18 431 October 19, 2015), as well as the ethical review committee of Nagoya University School of
19
20 432 Medicine (No. 6518 issued on August 31, 2015). To conduct this school- based survey,
21
22 433 permissions from Ministry of Education, Regional Offices of Basic Education, Ministry of
23
24 434 Health and Sports, local educational steering committees and authorities, the schools'
25
26 435 authorities, the headmasters of participated schools and local Parents-Teacher Associations
27
28 436 were obtained. The survey procedure was approved by Ministry of Education and Ministry of
29
30 437 Health and Sports. After thoroughly explaining the study's objectives, contents of the survey
31
32 438 questionnaire, and rights of the study participants, the written-informed consents from local
33
34 439 educational steering committees and authorities, the schools' authorities, the headmasters of
35
36 440 participated schools, local Parents-Teacher Associations, and parents were obtained. One
37
38 441 week prior to the survey, the information sheet and the written-informed consents that stating
39
40 442 the study's objectives, the survey's procedure and the contents of the questionnaires, and the
41
42 443 rights of the study participants were sent to parents. Researchers also explained the study's
43
44 444 objectives, contents of the survey questionnaire, the voluntary nature and procedure of the
45
46 445 survey, and the rights of the participants to collaborators, students and teachers before
47
48 446 conducting the survey. All data collection and analytical processes remain anonymous for
49
50 447 privacy and confidentiality. The locations, names, and numbers of the eligible participants of
51
52 448 the schools involved were not documented.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 449 **Competing interests**
5

6
7 450 All authors declared no conflicts of interest for this study.
8

9
10 451 **Additional file**
11

12
13 452 There is no additional files.
14

15
16 453
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

454 REFERENCES

- 455 1. World Health Organization. *WHO global report on trends in prevalence of tobacco*
456 *smoking 2000–2025, second edition*.
457 [https://www.who.int/tobacco/publications/surveillance/trends-tobacco-smoking-](https://www.who.int/tobacco/publications/surveillance/trends-tobacco-smoking-second-edition/en/)
458 [second-edition/en/](https://www.who.int/tobacco/publications/surveillance/trends-tobacco-smoking-second-edition/en/). Accessed May 5, 2019.
- 459 2. Gentzke AS, Creamer M, Cullen KA, et al. Vital Signs: Tobacco Product Use among
460 Middle and High School Students - United States, 2011-2018. *MMWR Morb Mortal*
461 *Wkly Rep*. 2019;68(6):157–164. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6806e1.
- 462 3. Sinha D N, Palipudi K M, Jones C K, et al. Levels and trends of smokeless tobacco
463 use among youth in countries of the World Health Organization South-East Asia
464 Region. *Indian J Cancer*. 2014;51(5):50-53. doi:10.4103/0019-509X.147472.
- 465 4. World Health Organization, Regional Office for South-East Asia. (2015). Monitoring
466 tobacco control among youth in countries of the South-East Asia Region: 2014. World
467 Health Organization. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/155159>. Accessed Nov
468 24, 2019.
- 469 5. Tun NA, Chittin T, Agarwal N, et al. Tobacco use among young adolescents in
470 Myanmar: Findings from global youth tobacco survey. *Indian J Public Health* 2017;
471 61(Suppl 1):S54-S59. doi: 10.4103/ijph.IJPH_236_17.
- 472 6. World Health Organization, Regional Office for South-East Asia. (2012). Expert
473 group meeting on smokeless tobacco control and cessation: New Delhi, India, 16-17
474 August 2011. WHO Regional Office for South-East Asia.
475 <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/205054>. Accessed Nov 24, 2019.
- 476 7. World Health Organization. *WHO global report: mortality attributable to tobacco*.
477 https://www.who.int/tobacco/publications/surveillance/rep_mortality_attributable/en/.
478 Accessed April 27, 2019.
- 479 8. Oberg M, Jaakkola MS, Woodward A, Peruga A, Prüss-Ustün A. *Worldwide burden*
480 *of disease from exposure to second-hand smoke: a retrospective analysis of data from*
481 *192 countries*. *Lancet*. 2011;377(9760):139-146. doi:10.1016/S01406736(10)61388-8.
- 482 9. Selya AS, Dierker L, Rose JS, Hedeker D, Mermelstein RJ. Early-emerging nicotine
483 dependence has lasting and time-varying effects on adolescent smoking behavior.
484 *Prev Sci*. 2016;17(6):743-750. doi:10.1007/s11121-016-0673-0.
- 485 10. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *The health consequences of smoking- 50*
486 *years of progress: A report of the Surgeon General*.
487 <https://www.surgeongeneral.gov/library/reports/50-years-of-progress/full-report.pdf>.
488 Accessed April 29, 2019.

- 1
2
3 489 11. Robert PO, Kuipers MAG, Rathmann K, et al. Academic performance and adolescent
4 490 smoking in 6 European cities: the role of friendship ties. *Int J Adolesc Youth*.
5 491 2019;24(1):125-135. doi:10.1080/02673843.2018.1475288.
6
7 492 12. Treur JL, Willemsen G, Bartels M, et al. Smoking during adolescence as a risk factor
8 493 for attention problems. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2015;78(9):656-63.
9 494 doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.06.019.
10
11 495 13. Kyaing NN, Sein T, Sein AA, Htike MMT, Tun A, Shein NN. Smokeless tobacco use
12 496 in Myanmar. *Indian J Cancer*. 2012; 49(4): 347–351. doi:10.4103/0019-509X.107727.
13
14 497 14. Muthukrishnan A, Warnakulasuriya S. Oral health consequences of smokeless tobacco
15 498 use. *Indian J Med Res*. 2018;148(1):35–40. doi:10.4103/ijmr.IJMR_1793_17.
16
17 499 15. Gupta S, Gupta R, Sinha DN, Mehrotra R. Relationship between type of smokeless
18 500 tobacco & risk of cancer: A systematic review. *Indian J Med Res*. 2018;148(1):56–76.
19 501 doi:10.4103/ijmr.IJMR_2023_17.
20
21 502 16. Rostron BL, Chang JT, Anic GM, Tanwar M, Chang CM, Corey CG. Smokeless
22 503 tobacco use and circulatory disease risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Open*
23 504 *Heart*. 2018;5(2):e000846. doi:10.1136/openhrt-2018-000846.
24
25 505 17. World Health Organization. *Report of fifth Global Youth Tobacco Survey (GYTS)*
26 506 *Myanmar, 2016*. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/274351>. Accessed May 2,
27 507 2019.
28
29 508 18. World Health Organization. *Report of second Global School-based Student Health*
30 509 *Survey in Myanmar 2016*. <http://www.who.int/iris/handle/10665/274350>. Accessed
31 510 May 2, 2019.
32
33 511 19. Myint MNT, Yamamoto E, Ko MH, Khaing M, Reyer JA, Hamajima N. Knowledge,
34 512 attitude, and usage pattern of tobacco among high school students in Nay Pyi Taw,
35 513 Myanmar. *Nagoya J Med Sci*. 2019;81(1):65–79. doi:10.18999/nagjms.81.1.65.
36
37 514 20. Prabandari YS, Dewi A. How do Indonesian youth perceive cigarette advertising? A
38 515 cross-sectional study among Indonesian high school students. *Glob Health Action*.
39 516 2016;9:30914. doi:10.3402/gha.v9.30914.
40
41 517 21. Chido-Amajuoyi OG, Mantey DS, Clendennen SL, Pérez A. Association of tobacco
42 518 advertising, promotion and sponsorship (TAPS) exposure and cigarette use among
43 519 Nigerian adolescents: implications for current practices, products and policies. *BMJ*
44 520 *Glob Health*. 2017;2(3):e000357. doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2017-000357.
45
46 521 22. Braverman MT, Aaro LE. Adolescent smoking and exposure to tobacco marketing
47 522 under a tobacco advertising ban: findings from 2 Norwegian national samples. *AmJ*
48 523 *Public Health*. 2004;94(7):1230–1238.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 524 23. Soneji S, Ambrose BK, Lee W, Sargent J, Tanski S. Direct-to-consumer tobacco
4 525 marketing and its association with tobacco use among adolescents and young adults. *J*
5 526 *Adolesc Health*. 2014;55(2):209–215. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2014.01.019.
6
7 527 24. Morgenstern M, Sargent JD, Isensee B, Hanewinkel R. From never to daily smoking
8 528 in 30 months: the predictive value of tobacco and nontobacco advertising exposure.
9 529 *BMJ Open*.2013;3(6): e002907. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002907>.
12
13 530 25. Akl EA, Jawad M, Lam WY, Co CN, Obeid R, Irani J. Motives, beliefs and attitudes
14 531 towards waterpipe tobacco smoking: a systematic review. *Harm Reduct J*. 2013;10:12.
15 532 doi:10.1186/1477-7517-10-12.
16 533 26. LattNN, Saw YM, Myat Cho S, Kariya T, Yamamoto E, Hamajima N. Tobacco
17 534 Control Law awareness, enforcement, and compliance among high school students in
18 535 Myanmar. *Nagoya J Med Sci*. 2018;80(3):379–389. doi:10.18999/nagjms.80.3.379.
19 536 27. Ministry of Health. *The control of smoking and consumption of Tobacco Product Law*.
20 537 <https://seatca.org/dmdocuments/Myanmar%20-%20TC%20Law.pdf>. Accessed May
21 538 17, 2019.
22 539 28. Gilmore AB, Fooks G, DropeJ,Bialous SA, Jackson RR. Exposing and addressing
23 540 tobacco industry conduct in low-income and middle-income countries. *Lancet*
24 541 2015;385(9972):1029–1043. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60312-9.
25 542 29. Gostin LO. FDA regulation of tobacco: politics, law, and the public's health. *JAMA*.
26 543 2009;302(13):1459-1460. doi:10.1001/jama.2009.1421.
27 544 30. Jamison N, Tynan M, MacNeil A, *et al*. Federal and state cigarette excise taxes -
28 545 United States, 1995-2009. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*.2009;58(19):524–527.
29 546 31. Chaloupka FJ, Yurekli A, Fong GT. Tobacco Taxes as a tobacco control strategy. *Tob*
30 547 *Control* 2012;21(2):172–180. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2011-050417.
31 548 32. Southeast Asia Tobacco Control Alliance. The Tobacco Control Atlas: ASEAN
32 549 Region, Fourth Edition.
33 550 [https://seatca.org/dmdocuments/Tobacco%20Control%20Atlas%20ASEAN%20Region](https://seatca.org/dmdocuments/Tobacco%20Control%20Atlas%20ASEAN%20Region%204th%20Ed%20Feb%202019.pdf)
34 551 [n%204th%20Ed%20Feb%202019.pdf](https://seatca.org/dmdocuments/Tobacco%20Control%20Atlas%20ASEAN%20Region%204th%20Ed%20Feb%202019.pdf). Accessed May 19,2019.
35 552 33. Wiener RC. Association of smokeless tobacco use and smoking in adolescents in the
36 553 United States: an analysis of data from the Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System
37 554 survey, 2011. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2013;144(8):930–938.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 556 **Table List**
4

5
6 557 **Table 1** Characteristics of study participants
7

8 558 **Table 2** Different kinds of TAPS ^{a)} exposures among study participants
9

10 559 **Table 3** Illicit tobacco sale exposures among study participants
11

12 560 **Table 4** Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of current tobacco use among
13
14 561 study participants.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For peer review only

TABLES

Table 1 Characteristics of study participants (N=1,174)

Characteristics	Male (N=482)		Female (N=692)		Total (N=1,174)	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Age						
≤14 years	195	40.5	307	44.4	502	42.8
15 years	199	41.3	293	42.3	492	41.9
16 years	61	12.7	91	13.2	152	12.9
17 years	21	4.4	1	0.1	22	1.9
≥18 years	6	1.2	0	0.0	6	0.5
Grade						
10	330	68.5	464	67.1	794	67.6
11	152	31.5	228	32.9	380	32.4
Current tobacco use						
No	360	74.7	683	98.7	1,043	88.8
Yes	122	25.3	9	1.3	131	11.2
Parent smoking						
No	453	94.0	662	95.7	1,115	95.0
Yes	29	6.0	30	4.3	59	5.0
Sibling smoking						
No	427	88.6	652	94.2	1,079	91.9
Yes	55	11.4	40	5.8	95	8.1
Peer smoking						
No	437	90.7	641	92.6	1,078	91.8
Yes	45	9.3	51	7.4	96	8.2
Ever received health education about tobacco use						
No	228	47.3	235	34.0	463	39.4
Yes	254	52.7	457	66.0	711	60.6
Perception of tobacco use						
≤7	151	31.3	116	16.8	267	22.7
>7	331	68.7	576	83.2	907	77.3

562

563

Table 2 Different kinds of TAPS ^{a)} exposures among study participants (N=1,174)

TAPS ^{a)}	Use ^{b)} (N=131)		No use ^{c)} (N=1,043)		Total (N=1,174)	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Ever seen or heard tobacco advertising and sponsorship in any form **						
No	25	19.1	307	29.4	332	28.3
Yes	106	80.9	736	70.6	842	71.7
Ever seen tobacco advertising signboards (vinyl, LED, stickers, etc.)						
No	128	97.7	994	95.3	1,122	52.0
Yes	3	2.3	49	4.7	52	4.4
Ever seen tobacco advertising drawing or painting (on vehicles, on walls, on boards, etc.)						
No	118	90.1	925	88.7	1,043	88.8
Yes	13	9.9	118	11.3	131	11.2
Ever seen tobacco advertising in journals, magazines, newspapers, and pamphlets						
No	125	95.4	998	95.7	1,123	95.7
Yes	6	4.6	45	4.3	51	4.3
Ever seen broadcasting of tobacco advertisements (TV, radio, internet, social network like Facebook, etc.)						
No	120	91.6	982	94.2	1,102	93.9
Yes	11	8.4	61	5.8	72	6.1
Ever seen or heard about the distribution of tobacco products free of charge or as gifts						
No	124	94.7	953	91.4	1,077	91.7
Yes	7	5.3	90	8.6	97	8.3
Ever seen or heard distributions of personal goods with tobacco product labels free of charge or as gifts ***						
No	64	48.9	702	67.3	766	65.2
Yes	67	51.1	341	32.7	408	34.8
Ever seen or heard about tobacco advertising with lucky draw, exchange of old cigarette pack with new ones, bonus to sellers, car stickers, etc. *						
No	125	95.4	1,025	98.3	1,150	98.0
Yes	6	4.6	18	1.7	24	2.0
Ever seen any goods with the label of any tobacco product being used in promotions (clothes, hats, lighters, key chains, tissue boxes, stationeries, kitchen utensils, etc.)						
No	34	26.0	340	32.6	703	67.4

1						
2						
3	Yes	374	31.9	97	74.0	800
4						68.1
5	Ever seen any toy, comestible or wares made in the form of any tobacco product (toys,					
6	chewing gums, sweet sticks, key chains, lighters, balloons, etc.)					
7	No	88	67.2	702	67.3	790
8						67.3
9	Yes	43	32.8	341	32.7	384
10						32.7
11	Ever heard about the announcements of tobacco advertisement at fairs and festivals *					
12	No	128	97.7	1,040	99.7	1,168
13						99.5
14	Yes	3	2.3	3	0.3	6
15						0.5
16	Ever seen or heard about the tobacco advertising as sponsorship or support					
17	to sports, funfairs, exhibitions, or any social activities **					
18	No	70	53.4	689	66.1	759
19						64.7
20	Yes	61	46.6	354	33.9	415
21						35.3
22	Exposure to any kind of TAPS ^{a)}					
23	No	8	6.1	99	9.5	107
24						9.1
25	Yes	123	93.9	944	90.5	1,067
26						90.9

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001; ^{a)}Tobacco advertisement, promotion, and sponsorship, ^{b)}Current tobacco use,

^{c)}No current tobacco use

564

565

Table 3 Illicit tobacco sale exposures among study participants (N=1,174)

Illicit tobacco sale	Use ^{b)}		No use ^{c)}		N
	(N=131)		(N=1,043)		
	N	%	N	%	
Had seen any smoked tobacco product for sale inside or within 100 feet of the school premises within the last 12 months ***					
No	92	19.1	93	13.4	
Yes	390	80.9	599	86.6	
Had seen the sale or gifting of any smoked tobacco product to minors ^{a)} within the last 12 months ***					
No	86	17.8	120	17.3	
Yes	396	82.2	572	82.7	
Had seen the sale or distribution of any smoked tobacco product by minors ^{a)} within the last 12 months ***					
No	209	43.4	312	45.1	
Yes	273	56.6	380	54.9	
Had seen the sale of cigarettes singly or in packs less than 20 cigarettes within the last 12 months ***					
No	50	10.4	108	15.6	
Yes	432	89.6	584	84.4	

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001; ^{a)}Under 18 years old, ^{b)}Current tobacco use, ^{c)}No current tobacco use

566

567

Table 4 Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of current tobacco use among study participants (N=1,174)

Characteristics	Use ^{a)}	No use ^{b)}	Unadjusted		Adjusted ^{c)}	
	(N=131)	(N=1043)	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
	N (%)	N (%)				
Age						
≤ 14 years	14 (10.7)	488 (46.8)	1	Reference	1	Reference
> 14 years	117 (89.3)	555 (53.2)	7.35	(4.17-12.96)***	9.81	(4.54-21.19)***
Gender						
Female	9 (6.9)	683 (65.5)	1	Reference	1	Reference
Male	122 (93.1)	360 (34.5)	25.72	(12.91-51.22)***	28.06	(13.29-59.25)***
Grade						
10	56 (42.7)	738 (70.8)	1	Reference	1	Reference
11	75 (57.3)	305 (29.2)	3.24	(2.24-4.70)***	1.52	(0.85-2.73)
Parent smoking						
No	128 (97.7)	987 (94.6)	1	Reference	1	Reference
Yes	3 (2.3)	56 (5.4)	0.41	(0.13-1.34)	0.31	(0.08-1.29)
Sibling smoking						
No	118 (90.1)	961 (92.1)	1	Reference	1	Reference
Yes	13 (9.9)	82 (7.9)	1.29	(0.70-2.39)	1.06	(0.47-2.37)
Peer smoking						
No	119 (90.8)	959 (91.9)	1	Reference	1	Reference
Yes	12 (9.2)	84 (8.1)	1.15	(0.61-2.17)	0.41	(0.17-0.95)

Exposure to any kind of TAPS ^{a)}

No	89 (6.1)	99 (9.5)	1	Reference	1	Reference
Yes	123 (93.9)	944 (90.5)	1.61	(0.77-3.40)	6.59	(2.33-18.64)***

Had seen any smoked tobacco product for sale inside or within 100 feet of the school premises within the last 12 months

No	9 (6.9)	176 (16.9)	1	Reference	1	Reference
Yes	122 (93.1)	867 (83.1)	2.75	(1.37-5.52)**	4.17	(1.65-10.58)**

Had seen the sale or gifting of any smoked tobacco product to minors ^{a)} within the last 12 months

No	4 (3.1)	202 (19.4)	1	Reference	1	Reference
Yes	127 (96.9)	841 (80.6)	7.63	(2.79-20.88)***	6.46	(2.18-19.12)***

Had seen the sale or distribution of any smoked tobacco product by minors ^{a)} within the last 12 months

No	44 (33.6)	477 (45.7)	1	Reference	1	Reference
Yes	87 (66.4)	566 (54.3)	1.67	(1.14-2.44)**	2.42	(1.42-4.10)***

Had seen the sale of cigarettes singly or in packs less than 20 cigarettes within the last 12 months

No	3 (2.3)	155 (14.9)	1	Reference	1	Reference
Yes	128 (97.7)	888 (85.1)	7.45	(2.34-23.70)***	3.32	(0.93-11.85)

Ever received health education about tobacco use

No	70 (53.4)	393 (37.7)	1	Reference	1	Reference
Yes	61 (46.6)	650 (62.3)	0.53	(0.37-0.76)***	0.45	(0.27-0.78)**

Perception of tobacco use

≤ 7	80 (61.1)	187 (17.9)	1	Reference	1	Reference
>7	51 (38.9)	856 (82.1)	0.14	(0.10-0.21)***	0.17	(0.10-0.30)***

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001; ^{a)}Current tobacco use, ^{b)}No current tobacco use, ^{c)}Adjusted for age, gender, grade, parent smoking, sibling smoking, peer smoking, ever received health education about tobacco use, and perception of tobacco use, ^{d)}Under 18 years old

568

For peer review only

1 **STROBE 2007 (v4) Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of**
 2 ***cross-sectional studies***
 3

Section/Topic	Item #	Recommendation	Reported on page #
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1-2
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2-3
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	5-9
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	8-9
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	9
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	9-10
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	9
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	10-11

Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	9-11
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	21
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	9
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	10-11
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	11
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	-
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	9
		(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	-
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	-
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	9,11-12

		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	-
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	-
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	11-13 Table 1 to 3
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	9
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	11-14 Table 1 Table 2 Table 3
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	13-14 Table 4
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	-
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	-
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	-

Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	14
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	21
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	14-21
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	21
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	23

4

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

15