

Appendix 2. Summary of papers reporting on OOHS demand, use & outcomes.

Citation	Setting	Study Design	Aim	Key Findings
(Majeed et al., 1995)	GP services, England	Routine data analysis	To analyse the night visit rate of GP practices by different practice variables.	1993-1994 there were 16, 674 night visits by 129 practices. Strongest positive association for patient variables and night visit rate were age and chronic illness. Lists with higher proportions of those aged under 5 and 5-14yrs had higher night visit rates. No association with higher proportions of those over 65. Negative correlation with lists with higher proportions of those aged 35-44 and with lists with high inflation (difference between estimates of practice pop.).
(Heaney and Gorman, 1996)	GP OOH, Scotland	Routine data analysis	To describe the OOH demand of 8 GP practices prior to change of OOH service arrangements.	2,236 contacts over 10 weeks in 1995 or 265 contacts/1000 patients/year. Busiest OOH periods were weekends and within weekends Sunday mornings were busiest. During weekdays the busiest OOH period was 6-10pm. Doctors rated 62% of OOH calls as necessary. Of 2,236 contacts 64% requested home visit, 31% asked for phone advice. In the end 63% were seen at home, 29% given telephone advice and 8% seen in surgery. Note limitations of single area and 10 week period.
Kljakovic, 1996 #439}	GP After-hours Medical Centre and a hospital ED, Australia	Prospective case review	To describe patients who choose different primary care services for asthma care at Wellington general practitioner run After-hours Medical Centre (AMC) and Wellington hospital emergency department (WED).	Compared with ED users, users of the after-hours centre more likely to be younger; live further away; obtain a repeat prescription for asthma medication; and be sent back to their GP. They were also less likely to be referred to the service by a GP and to be admitted. 22.5%

				of patients admitted for asthma had seen a GP prior to admission. Authors conclude that the different patient management observed at the two settings reflected different management strategies.
(Shipman et al., 1997)	GP and A&E OOH services, England	Mixed methods; routine data and interviews	To described demand for GP and A&E OOH and compare presenting complaints and patient decision making.	2x3week periods in 1995/1996 2,564 contacted either GP/A&E. 39% to A&E, 61% to GP. Peak time for A&E and GP were evenings, more contacts for A&E after midnight, more to GP Sunday am and weekend afternoons. Children <10 yr 45% of GP but only 26% of A&E contacts. 57% attending A&E made decision to attend A&E themselves. 56% would have attended regular GP had it been open.
Brogan, 1998 #20}	OOH services (GP and other), England	Routine data analysis and questionnaire	To describe the volume and type of OOH work by GP OOH and other OOH services and to estimate the costs of such work.	47,828 OOH contacts in 2 months in 1995: 21,649 (45%) with GPs, 12,908 (27%) with A&E, 11,318 (24%) with home nursing services, and 1953 (4%) with ambulance services. Estimate GP OOH co-op cost of £5190/1000 population/year compared to £2290/1000 population/year for A&E services.
(Carlisle et al., 1998)	A&E and GP OOH, England	Routine data analysis	To examine the relationship between GP OOH, A&E workloads and deprivation and distance to A&E.	6 months in 1996 saw 4742 OOH contacts; 2019 GP, 1016 deputising service, and 1707 A&E contacts. Deprivation associated with increased contact rates. Distance no significant impact on OOH contact rate when deprivation accounted for. Significant variation in contact rate between practices.
(Plauth and Pearson, 1998)	Urgent Care Centre, USA	Questionnaire	Comparison of patients attending urgent care centre vs routine GP with a survey of patients attending urgent care centre; reasons for attendance and attitudes towards primary care.	1996, 1 week - 551 seen at centre, 1000 at routine GP. 38% of those seen at centre seen during normal hours. 421 completed questionnaire. Urgent care patients were younger, reported a need to be seen

				immediately, difficulty getting a routine appointment due opening hours or logistical problems and a positive attitude towards primary care. Most would have preferred to see usual physician but did not mind when had acute illness.
(Toivanen et al., 1998)	GP OOH services, Finland	Routine data analysis	Comparison and characterisation of use and referrals of three different primary care OOH models.	2926 OOH contacts in 2 months. Patients that could attend their local centre during out of hours used that centre more during the OOH period compared with patients who had to attend a centralised cooperative OOH centre. No differences between age of those attending between different models: youngest age group attended the most and oldest group most likely to be referred to hospital.
(Vehvilainen et al., 1998)	GP weekend service, Finland	Survey of GPs	To examine the pattern of weekend GP referrals for 1 week in 1992 and 1 week in 1994.	530 patients referred. 90% were referred same day, 40% to surgical specialties. Men referred more often than women for arrhythmias, hand and foot fractures and dislocations. Most common diagnoses were musculoskeletal, digestive and circulation. Caution – no data on total number seen during weekend, no comparison of weekday referrals and ?reliability of recall.
(Avery et al., 1999)	A&E and GP OOH, England	Routine data analysis and questionnaire	To describe the patter of OOH activity for GP services and A&E, to compare the presenting complaints at both services and to assess those calls dealt with by telephone consultation alone by presenting complaint.	6 months in 1996 saw 5057 GP contacts or 217 contacts /1000 patients/year. 63% to GP or GP deputising and 37% to A&E. Fever and D+V being most common PC to GP. Accidents and injuries accounted for half of presentations to A&E. Note – single city, only 6 month data, underestimate due incomplete recording and collection.

(Hylland et al., 1999)	GP deputising/traditional on-call, England	Prospective case review	Describe use of GP and A&E services outside normal hours for children under 5.	1072 contacts over 6 months. Contact rate of 751/1000 children/yr. 80% dealt with by GP and 34% of those dealt with by telephone. Variation in presenting complaint and being dealt with by phone.
(O'Donnell et al., 1999a)	GP OOH, UK	Review	To examine literature concerning changes in OOH service provision over previous 5 years and to discuss the issues or models of care hitherto less well examined such as rural OOH provision or single handed practices.	Quotes increasing OOH demand and development of new models – rota, collaboration, GPs at A&E – cheaper and less tests, nurse telephone triage, GP cooperatives, primary care emergency centres – national survey evaluation of cooperatives- work load, some comparisons of models, GP stress levels reduced with new coop model. Need for national comparisons of quality, equity of access, efficacy +/- satisfaction – although difficult to interpret. Burden of OOH in rural areas or in single handed practices need assessment as well as cost comparisons of models.
(O'Donnell et al., 1999b)	GP OOH, Scotland	Routine data analysis	To describe OOH contacts and the patient transport service use by socioeconomic category.	3193 OOH contacts in 1 week. Children and adults higher contact rate from deprived areas whereas elderly from affluent areas had higher contact rates. More deprived deprec associated with home visits but not telephone consult or centre visit. Deprived patients used transport service more.
(Vedsted and Olesen, 1999)	OOH service, Denmark	Prospective case review	Describe the OOH use of the 10% who use OOH the most – 'frequent attenders'.	218 237 OOH contacts in 1990. FAs accounted for 42% of all OOH contacts. Of those defined as FA in 1990 2/3 contacted OOH the following year at least once. However regular frequent attendance over 5 years was low. Females and older patients were highest users and largest

				numbers of FAs were women. If FA for longer had higher chance of remaining a FA.
(Drummond et al., 2000)	GP OOH, Scotland	Routine data analysis and questionnaire	To evaluate reason for OOH contact and relate this to sociodemographic data and presenting complaint.	3193 OOH contacts over 1 week. 1115 questionnaires completed (69.3%). Most common reasons for contact were: perceived urgency, pain and anxiety. Patient socioeconomic deprivation status associated with higher perceived difficulty of day time access.
(Payne, 2000)	GP OOH co-op, and deputising, emergency social work, 24hr psych clinic, 24hr community mental health telephone line, A&E and ambulance service. London	Retrospective case review/routine data analysis	Patterns of OOH use by those with mental health problems in a deprived urban area.	4 weeks 1998, 556 contacts, 56% male. 45% presented to A&E. More males present to emergency psych clinic; females to GP. Self-harm more likely to present to A&E; suicidal patients to GP. Differences between age groups and sex. Note these are deprived urban figures and short time period.
(Salisbury, 2000)	GP OOH, UK	Review	To provide a review of demand for UK OOH care.	Different searches limited to UK, 1959-1999. Difficulty measuring and comparing demand but some more consistent characteristics of demand patterns – eg age of patients, time of contact etc. Some evidence of increased demand over time but difficult to corroborate. Will become easier with more comprehensive electronic data.
(Salisbury et al., 2000)	GP OOH cooperatives, England and Scotland	Routine data analysis	To estimate the demand and supply of OOH care from a representative sample of cooperatives.	899 657 OOH calls over 12 months. Rate of call highest before midnight and highest for 0-4 yr olds, peak demand Sunday mornings, Scotland higher rate than England, more deprived higher than less deprived. High variability

				between cooperatives in the proportion offered consultations at centre, over the phone or at home. Although only takes some data from co-ops using Adatastra software and so may not be representative sample.
(Murphy et al., 2001)	GP OOH services, Ireland	Routine data analysis	Compare the OOH consultation rate of deprived patients seen by rural vs non-rural GPs across 2 Irish Health Boards.	102,286 OOH contacts in 1998. State higher median rate for rural vs urban (290/1000 vs 220/1000). 'Whilst causative conclusions are difficult to draw from international comparative work, at the very least such a study will harness the natural laboratory that is European general practice.'
(O'Reilly et al., 2001)	GP OOH cooperative, Northern Ireland	Routine data analysis	To examine for geographic and demographic variation in OOH contact outcomes.	Data for 78,907/110,357 OOH calls in 1998. Higher call rates at extremes of age and 74% of calls within 20mins drive. Call rate positive correlation with deprivation and negative correlation with distance. Measures of need - mortality ratio and long term illness census data – not correlated with call rate. Most received telephone advice, of those seen younger more likely at centre older more likely home visit. Telephone only positively correlated to distance and travel time from centre. Note variation between centres.
(Payne and Jessopp, 2001)	NHS Direct telephone triage service, England. 56,540 calls	Routine data analysis	To analyse activity, including the relationship between patient characteristics an outcome, over the first year of operation.	Data collected on 56,540 calls. Almost one-quarter of calls for children aged 0-5 years. Service busiest between 9am and 2pm, and again between 6pm and 9pm. Majority of calls (68%) were in the OOH period. Most calls (56%) were categorised as non-urgent, with 37% o callers given self-care advice. Call

				volume tripled over the Millennium period, with calls tending to be less urgent and from older callers.
(Vedsted et al., 2001)	OOH service and Routine practice, Denmark	Retrospective case review	Compare the rate of day time attendance to the rate of OOH attendance – are frequent day time attenders also OOH frequent attenders?	339 009 (81.5% of pop) patients made day time contact, 84 225(20.2%) patients to OOH in 12months. 34 428 (8.3%) daytime FAs, 8154 (2.0%) out-of-hours FAs, and 3429 (0.8%) both day and OOH FAs. 56.3% of day time FAs did not attend OOH at all. FAs accounted for a third of day time and OOH contacts. 10% of day time FAs were also OOH Fas. ?Already understood that intervening daytime FAs may help reduce OOH FA.
(Barrett et al., 2002)	District nursing service, UK	A retrospective examination of routine community-based data for a newly established intermediate care nursing service over a 12-month period from April 1998 to March 1999.	To explore routine data sources to assess its potential for monitoring performance.	The service provided out-of-hours community nursing care for 903 patients in 1071 episodes of care and 6033 recorded contacts. Although information about patient characteristics and episode start-dates were complete, over half the episode end-dates were missing. The data suggested that this was primarily a domiciliary service for people aged 65 years and over, covering six main care programmes: genito-urinary, neoplasm, wound management, elderly care, gastro-intestinal and locomotor care. Most of the referrals were from primary care clinicians. At present, the way we view and count activity can fragment services and increase the stress on clinicians. We need to shift our service-focused approach to a patient-centred one. This can be done now by consistent use of patient identifiers and by encouraging services to plan data linkage. But

				a gap still remains with regards to outcomes, limiting our ability to measure effectiveness
(Hampers et al., 2002)	Regional paediatric OOH service, USA	Billing records reviewed (?routine data analysis) and questionnaire to paediatricians	To describe a regional, community-based paediatric urgent care network (PUCN). To compare 4 different parts of a city catered for by different paediatric out of hours services.	In 2001, 37 143 visits /consultations at paediatric out of hours centres/paediatric urgent care centres. Minor trauma, ear complaints, and viral illnesses accounted for 70% of visits. 2.2% of visits required admission or transfer. 110 Paediatricians, representing all 55 practices, responded to questionnaire: reported high levels of use, good communication and high satisfaction with service. Note – billing and costs form part of conclusion and analysis that may not be transferrable.
(Munro et al., 2003)	GP OOH co-op, England	Routine data analysis	Study the effect of distance from OOH centre on the number of face to face consultations: at the OOH centre vs. house calls.	31,048 calls, 14 months 1997-1998, 57% seen in person. 75% of those were seen at centre, remainder were house calls. Reduced odds to be seen in person with increasing distance but odds of house call vs. consultation at centre did not change significantly with distance. Patients from more deprived areas less likely to be seen in person but of face to face consultations higher odds to be seen at home compared to less deprived patients.
(Pooley et al., 2003)	GP rota, deputising service and co-op., England	Routine data, questionnaire and qualitative interviews	The differences in OOH services in 2 Health authorities in 1998 and a comparison of delay times. Patient and practitioner views.	744 questionnaires, 83 interviews. Variation in proportion of house call: telephone advice: consultation between areas as well as delay time. Suggest variation less to do with geography or patient characteristics but rather due to different service organisation.
(Thomson et al., 2003)	GP OOH services, Scotland	Mixed methods, questionnaire, semistructured	To compare extant models of OOH service delivery.	Survey 1998, 75% Scottish pop. have co-operatives. Characterised 10 'models' based on level of rurality/urbaness and whether co-

		interviews, economic analysis		op or rota etc. Suggests categorical differences between rural vs urban services. Most co-operatives had some governance procedures like protocols but there was variable quality of patient satisfaction surveys and only 31% had quality standards. Data from rotas and deputising services was limited. Most patients satisfied and more were satisfied when felt the clinician listened. Large variation in cost/1000 population.
(van Uden et al., 2003)	A&E and OOH Co-operatives, The Netherlands	Routine data analysis	To compare the number and characteristics of patients attending A&E and GP OOH co-operatives in two areas that have different GP OOH organisation structures.	One co-op located at an A&E department with open access (all patients passing through GP prior to A&E) the other co-op in a city centre 5km and 9km from the nearest A&E with GP access via telephone first. 3 weeks in 2001 recorded 6879 GP OOH contacts and 1719 A&E contacts for both locations. Contact rate for OOH GP was 279/1000/yr for co-located co-op and 238 for the other OOH co-op whereas no significant difference for A&E contact rates. For the co-located co-op less patients received telephone advice, more attended for consultation and fewer received a home visit.
(Beale et al., 2006)	Kennet and North Wiltshire Primary Care Trust, UK	Routine data review of all recorded out-of-hours calls to GPs In North Wiltshire Jan-April 2004.	To test if out-of-hours demand in UK primary care is predicted by council tax band.	1335 out-of-hours contacts were recorded in the study period. It was possible to attribute a council tax valuation band to 1297 of the patients. Contact rates were significantly associated with council tax band: patients from council tax band A homes contact out-of-hours services twice as often as their counterparts at the other end of the council-tax-band spectrum.

(Bury et al., 2006)	GP OOH Co-operative, R.O. Ireland	Questionnaire	To document the activity of the 11 existing OOH co-operatives in 2002.	(Free GP services for 30% of pop. on basis if low income/>70yrs. And co-ops provide for approx. 40% of country population). Variety in size, facility, rural/urban and staff of co-ops. 3/11 did not do home visits. Averages (mean) of activity 34% of contacts dealt with by telephone advice alone, 54% contacts seen at centre, 12% were home visits. Mean contact rate of 244/1000/yr and consultation rate of 144/1000/yr. Mean non-urban vs urban contact rate of 262 vs 75/1000/yr. Urban co-ops less telephone advice only and less home visits but more centre consultations.
(Giesen et al., 2006)	GP OOH and A&E, The Netherlands	Retrospective case review	To gain insight into current patient characteristics and the care received at both GP cooperatives and A&E departments in order to help prepare and develop effective models for collaboration out of hours.	<p>258 patients contacted the GP cooperative and 43 self referred to the A&E department per 1000 patients per year. A wide range of problems were seen in the GP cooperative, mainly related to infections (26.2%). The A&E department had a smaller range of problems, mainly related to trauma (66.1%). Relatively few urgent problems were seen in the GP cooperative (4.6%) or for self referrals in the A&E department (6.1%). Women, children, elderly, and rural patients chose the GP cooperative significantly more often, as did men and patients with less urgent complaints, infections, and heart and airway problems.</p> <p>DISCUSSION: The contact frequency of self referrals to the A&E department is much lower than that at the GP cooperative. Care is complementary: the A&E department focuses on trauma while the GP cooperative deals with</p>

				a wide range of problems. The self referrals concern mostly minor, non-urgent problems and can generally be treated by the general practitioner, by a nurse, or by advice over the telephone, particularly in the case of optimal collaboration in an integrated care facility of GP cooperatives and A&E departments with one access point to medical care for all patients.
(Giesen et al., 2007)	GP OOH, The Netherlands	Routine data analysis	To study the relationship between the waiting time for a home visit and the distance to the GP cooperative	The average waiting time for 5827 consultations was 30.5 min. Traffic intensity, home visit intensity, time of day and urgency of the complaint all seemed to affect waiting times significantly. 88.7% of all patients were seen within 1 hour. In the case of life-threatening complaints (U1), 68.8% of the patients were seen within 15 min, and 95.6% of those with acute complaints (U2) were seen within 1 hour. For patients with life-threatening complaints (U1) the percentage of visits that met the time target of 15 minutes decreased from 86.5% (less than 2.5 km) to 16.7% (equals or more than 20 km). Discussion and conclusion. Although home visits waiting times increase with increasing distance from the GP cooperative, it appears that traffic intensity, home visit intensity, and urgency also influence waiting times. For patients with life-threatening complaints waiting times increase sharply with the distance.
(Lordan, 2007)	GP OOH Co-operative, The	Routine data analysis	To investigate for consistency of care across OOH services.	Service choice influenced by patient call and seasonal characteristics. Patient symptoms are

	Republic of Ireland			primary driver of the type of service a patient receives.
(Moll van Charante et al., 2007)	GP OOH co-op and A&E, the Netherlands	Prospective and retrospective case reviews	GP OOH and A&E use patterns comparing 2 x 4 month periods 5 years apart.	11,375 GP OOH contacts 1584 A&E contacts. Similar contact rate at both after 5years. Diagnoses presenting at both as expected. 80% A&E self-referrals presented with an injury and 20% of those had a fracture. Authors suggest reasonable A&E self-referrals and no change in demand/use after population more aware of service.
(Rossdale et al., 2007)	GP OOH Co-op, England	Routine data analysis	To examine for variation in OOH referral rates and identify factors that might influence the rate.	Exclusions aside there were 33,808 face to face OOH contacts over 3 years with 149 GPs, one co-op. Large variation in referral rates. Factors that had independent predictive association with increased referral rates was female sex of GP (AOR 1.37) and time (later contacts) and place of consultation (home visit vs practice). Note relatively small number of GPs being compared however all in similar place working to similar standards and no difference found for years since registration, employment status and number of contacts seen once sex and time and place of consult controlled for.
(Benger and Jones, 2008)	A&E, England	Patient questionnaire, 2005	Examine the extent to which patient behaviour and referral pathways may be contributing to increased ED attendances and hospital admissions.	200 patients recruited. Direct attendance at A&E was more common when help was sought by bystanders. 57 patients attended A&E directly, 45 of whom dialled 999 for an emergency ambulance. Most patients who attended A&E directly did so as a result of perceived urgency of their condition or have an ambulance called on their behalf and there was incomplete awareness of the out-of-hours

				GP service. The majority of adult patients who are admitted to hospital with an acute illness seek professional help from primary care in the first instance. The shift towards A&E care appears partly driven by changes in general practice and unfamiliarity with the new arrangements for out-of-hours primary care provision.
(Hansen and Hunskaar, 2008)	GP OOH, Norway	Routine data analysis	To pilot and establish a nationally representative network and develop the requisite procedures for collecting continuous routine data from out-of-hours services.	7 out of hours clinics selected covering 212, 921 inhabitants. Recorded 23, 346 contacts in last 3 months of 2006. Report quality data with minimal missing data. Suggest this 'sentinel' data be useful for research and service planning.
(Margas et al., 2008)	GP OOH Deputising service, Poland	Routine data analysis	To describe variation in OOH demand, identify associated GP practice characteristics and describe patient characteristics of frequent users.	2 years, 2003-2004, 173,345 face to face doctor consultations, 62,727 'nurse procedures'. 86% of GP contacts were consultations at OOH centre, remainder home visits. Highest daily number of consultations in Nov-Jan and second peak in May-Jun. Lowest in July. Similar for home visits but no second peak seen and proportion of home visits increased over winter. Little daily variation but highest on Fridays. Roughly 80% of workload 6-10pm on weekdays. Practices closer to OOH centre had higher contact rates, and those with older patients had more home visits. Note similar OOH definition, but OOH was new in Poland at the time. Also no telephone triage.
(Richards et al., 2008)	GP OOH services, England	Routine data analysis	To assess for change in demand and quality of care of patients with cancer	2x 1 year periods 2003-2005 370,220 OOH calls, 7574 (2%) 'core medical service calls' (3433 pre-contract, 4141 post-contract) were

			before and after the new GMS contract on OOH provision.	cancer related. Suggests proportion of cancer related calls pre and post contract was stable but overall OOH call rate increased post contract by 26% (185-233/1000). Post contract – proportions of cancer calls resulting in hospitalisation stable, increased proportions receiving telephone advice and in those attending OOH centre post contract. Also saw increase in time lag from call logged to triage.
(Scott-Jones et al., 2008)	GP OOH services, New Zealand	Prospective case review	To describe the OOH activity of a rural community in New Zealand with a recently established new model of organisation.	204 OOH encounters over 1 month in 2007. Total contact rate was 320/1000/yr whereas face to face contact rate was 245. Higher rate for Maori. 44% patients seen by GP, 45% by nurses, 11% by ambulance staff. 78% treated without need for hospital referral. Nurses referred more to A&E than GP. Note voluntary ambulance service and fee for private GP.
(Turnbull et al., 2008)	OOH call centre, England	Routine data analysis	Describe the rate of calls to OOH services and compare by measures of deprivation, distance and rurality.	34 229 calls in 2 months. There was a small but significant negative correlation of distance with call rate. Rurality also had negative correlation with call rate. Deprivation was associated with higher call rates and this association was strongest in urban areas.
(Fry, 2009)	OOH services, International	Systematic Review	To review OOH care models that reduced A&E workload with a focus on the barriers and facilitators to successful model implementation.	Searched studies from 1970-2009, found 74 relevant. Identified barriers (here have omitted those only relevant to Australian system): speed and delivery of telephone triage – ambulance demand up with delay to respond to call. Gatekeeper function – suggest that other services could refer other than solely GP (e.g. A&E to physio/dietician), more collaborative and integrated services required. Extended role for paramedics-evidence that

				see and treat option could reduce A&E demand. Segregation of medical records: opinions/perception rather than evidence. Patient expectations: suggest unreasonable expectations barrier to patient satisfaction. Financial barrier: suggest lack of A&E user fee could reduce inappropriate A&E use, no evidence cited. Facilitators: Integration – eg GP in A&E reduced cost and A&E activity. Location: co- or nearby location of services to A&E, purport evidence for sustainability and success. Appointment system: suggest not having appointment system preferred by patients. Financial incentives: can lead to reform but lacks evidence of impact on OOH. Nurse practitioners: evidence for high (and safe) patient turnover. Public awareness/media: success of model influenced by public perception/behaviour – low use of WIC cited.
(Hansen et al., 2009)	Emergency services and OOH services, Norway (National and Local telephone triage and OOH GP services)	Routine data analysis	To describe the activity of OOH services during 2007 within a representative sample of 'casualty clinics'.	85, 288 contacts and an average contact rate between casualty clinics of 399/1000 people. 77% classified as non-urgent and 63% ended as consultation with a doctor. 0-9 yr olds highest and 40-59 yr olds lowest contact rates. Women had higher rates than men. 51% contacts in afternoon period, 37% in day time and 12% at night but variety between clinics. 2/3 of contacts were by telephone. It seems this data includes in-hours contacts as well as OOH contacts.
(Zakariassen et al., 2009)	Emergency primary care	Routine data analysis	To assess incidence of emergency contacts (potential life threatening	During 2007 the Watchtowers registered 85,288 contacts, of which 1 946 (2.3%) were

	districts, Norway (the 'Watchtowers'). 1946 emergency contacts.		situations, red responses) to the emergency primary health care service	defined as emergency contacts (red responses), corresponding to a rate of 9 per 1000 inhabitants per year. 65% of the instances were initiated by patient, next of kin or health personnel by calling local emergency medical communication centres or meeting directly at the casualty clinics. In 48% of the red responses, the first action taken was a call-out of doctor and ambulance. On a national basis we can estimate approximately 42,500 red responses per year in the EPH in Norway.
(den Boer-Wolters et al., 2010)	GP OOH, The Netherlands	Retrospective case review	To assess the characteristics of the frequent attenders (FAs) and the presented morbidity during their consultations and to study the persistence of frequent attendance	44 953 contacts were made in 2007. Frequent attenders together with very frequent attenders made up 10% of patients and 23.6% of the total number of contacts. VFA alone represented 1% of the patients but 7.7% of the annual consultations and more often reported agitation as reason for encounter. The prevalence of psychiatric diagnosis in the VFA group (15.3%) was significantly higher than in other groups. Reassurance was the most frequent prevalent management action in each group. The prevalence of chronic disease and psychological problems was higher in those who attended more often.
(Eichler et al., 2010)	Out-of-Hours service, Switzerland. 125 GPs; 685 patient contacts	Questionnaire and cost description study	To evaluate the services provided and the economic consequences of a Swiss GP out-of-hours service	125 GPs collected data on 685 patient contacts. Most prevalent health problems were: respiratory (24%), musculoskeletal (13%) and digestive origin (12%). Home visits (61%) were the most common contact mode, followed by practice (25%) and telephone contacts (14%). 82% of patients could be

				<p>treated by ambulatory care. Additional technical diagnostics, most often laboratory tests, were used for 20% of patients.</p> <p>Mean total costs for one emergency patient contact were €144 (95%-CI: 137-151). The mode of contact was an important determinant of total costs (mean total costs for home visits: €176 [95%-CI: 168-184]; practice contact: €90 [95%-CI: 84-98]; telephone contact: €48 [95%-CI: 40-55]). Basic costs contributed 83% of total costs for home visits and 70% of total costs for practice contacts. Individual mean costs were similarly low for home visits (€30) and practice contacts (€27). Medical problems had no relevant influence on this cost pattern.</p>
(Johansen et al., 2010)	GP OOH co-op and GP in hours, Norway	Retrospective case review	Mental health diagnoses during OOH compared to normal working hours in a population of 23,607	<p>Contacts in 2006:11, 976 at OOH and 61,783 in hours. 2.2% caseload at OOH mental health; 8.7% in hours. At OOH, higher proportion of psychosis, substance abuse and suicidal behaviour. Note may underestimate prevalence as this is first diagnosis data.</p>
(Philips et al., 2010b)	GP OOH service and A&E, Belgium	Prospective case review before and after change/intervention.	OOH use before and after set up of GP OOH Co-operative compared with areas with no cooperative.	<p>5149 contacts over 4 months (2months prior to change, 2 after). Total contacts increased, significantly more so for area with co-op. No sig change to A&E contacts but less self-referred ambulances. Note this GP co-op not available during weekdays and no telephone triage.</p>
(Philips et al., 2010a)	A&E and GP OOH, Belgium	Prospective case review and questionnaire	To describe the number of patients who choose A&E versus GP OOH and investigate their socioeconomic characteristics.	<p>Over 2 weekends in January 2005 1,970 patients contacted, 1,611 took part. 640 saw GP, of those 93.2% either the patient of family recommended calling GP, 971 in A&E group</p>

				and 64% went to A&E out of own initiative. Factors associated with choosing GP on call: female, registered with GP, speaking national language. Those associated with choosing A&E: male, visited A&E in last 12 months, speaking another language, African nationality, lack of insurance.
(Turnbull et al., 2010)	GP OOH co-operative, England	Mixed methods: Routine data analysis, semi structured interviews, non-participant observation, retrospective case review	To investigate the relationship between deprivation, distance and use of telephone based OOH by children 0-4 years old and to explore the experiences of users (parents/guardians).	Contacts from Jun + Dec 2003: 34,229 calls, 5697 (17%) for 0-4yr olds, 54% of these were for boys, call rate of 673/1000/yr. Higher rates from more deprived and closer address. Authors described 3 themes from qualitative data to explain geographical variation – ‘familiarity of and trade-off between services, legitimacy of demand and negotiation.’ Suggest telephone based services may not overcome geographical barriers to access.
(Chmiel et al., 2011)	A&E and GP Cooperative, Switzerland	Prospective case review	To compare the characteristics of walk-in patients in A&E with walk-in patients at GP cooperative.	1901/2974 patient encounters were walk-ins (A&E 1133, GP-C 768). Patients consulting the GP-C were significantly older (58.9 vs. 43.8 years), more often female (63.5 vs. 46.9%) and presented with non-injury related medical problems (93 vs. 55.6%) in comparison with patients at the ED. Independent determining factors for ED consultation were injury, male gender and younger age. Walk-in distribution in both settings was equal over a period of 24 hours and most common during daytime hours (65%). Outpatient care was predominant in both settings but significantly more so at the GP-C (79.9 vs. 85.7%).

(Fry, 2011)	OOH services, International	Systematic Review	To look for impact of OOH models of care on demand for A&E, ambulance and GP services.	87 studies (search from 1970-2011) reviewed with CASP. 44 from UK, Scotland 1. 5 RCTs. 6 models with evidence of impact – although mixed and minimal stat significant evidence. Minor injury units and practice nurses managing minor illnesses – evidence patients could be directed from A&E/GPs. Minimal evidence for impact on A&E workload. Walk in centres – good pt satisfaction, weak evidence for reduced A&E/GP workload. Telephone triage – mixed evidence for reduction in GP/A&E work load but balance in favour of reducing workload especially GPs. GP co-ops – mixed again but some evidence of reduced GP and A&E workload. Positive effect on GPs lives. Ambulance officer care – some evidence for reduced A&E workload, direct to MIU reduced time, safety questioned for treat and refer. GP integrated into A&E – reduced A&E workload, less tests/referrals/cost.
(Huber et al., 2011)	GP OOH Services, Switzerland	Questionnaire	To describe the workload and satisfaction of OOH GPs.	Surveyed all GPs 'on-duty', 2 weeks in 2009 - 295 total OOH episodes. Responses for 148 episodes, 93 GPs. 433 total contacts, only 382 contacts were characterised, 65% contacts were female. Median contact rate of 5 per OOH episode/GP. Home visits most common. 50-60% GPs felt burdened and disrupted by OOH but 58-64% felt OOH had no negative impact on their health. most common presenting complaints were general /unspecified (31%), respiratory (28%) and Musculoskeletal (19%). Note - Duty GP responsible for 24 hr period 'night doctor'

				provides care from 10pm – 7am with duty GP providing back up during that period. Analysis in paper focuses on period prior to night duty doctor as few visit during night period.
(Huibers et al., 2011)	Primary Care OOH or emergency services, 8 European countries.	Retrospective case review/routine data analysis	To compare presenting complaint and diagnoses in patients contacting OOH services in 8 European countries.	13154 OOH contacts analysed. Similar age distribution across countries but sex distribution more variable. 'general and unspecified symptoms' 13.2%, 'respiratory' 20.4%, 'musculoskeletal' 15.0%, 'skin' (mean 12.5%), and 'digestive' (mean 11.6%). Further analysis of age distribution. Authors suggest similar diagnoses presenting to OOH primary care across countries. Low incidence of life-threatening problems.
(De Korte-Verhoef et al., 2012)	GP OOH, The Netherlands	Retrospective case review	To explore hospital referrals of palliative care patients for whom an out-of-hours general practitioner was called.	(1/Nov/2005 to 1/Nov/2006) 529 charts for palliative care patients: 13% were referred to hospital Palliative care patients with cancer (OR 5,1), cardiovascular problems (OR 8,3), digestive problems (OR 2,5) and endocrine, metabolic and nutritional (EMN) problems (OR 2,5) had a significantly higher chance of being referred. Patients receiving professional nursing care (OR 0,2) and patients for whom their own general practitioner had transferred information to the out-of-hours cooperative (OR 0,4) had a significantly lower chance of hospital referral. The most frequent reasons for hospital referral were digestive (30%), EMN (19%) and respiratory (17%) problems.
(Johansen et al., 2012a)	Acute Psychiatric Unit, Norway	Prospective case review	To explore the differences between admissions to an acute psychiatric unit in terms of patient characteristics and referral circumstances.	5322 admissions over 3 years (2005-2008) by 2841 patients. 60% patients admitted due to exacerbation and 19% admitted due to new episode of illness. Half referred by casualty

				clinics (equivalent of OOH GP) and no difference in terms of avoiding admission between referrers.
(Johansen et al., 2012b)	Out-of-hours casualty clinics, Norway. 9487 contacts relating to mental ill-health	Routine data analysis	To identify patients contacting the casualty clinic for mental illness related problems and study interventions and diagnoses	In the initial contacts to the casualty clinics (n = 28527) a relation to mental illness was reported in 2.5% of contacts, whereas the corresponding proportion in the doctor registered consultations, home-visits and emergency call-outs (n = 9487) was 9.3%. Compared to other contacts, mental illness contacts were relatively more urgent and more frequent during night time. Common interventions were advice from a nurse, laboratory testing, prescriptions and minor surgical treatment. A third of patients in contact with doctors were referred to in-patient treatment, mostly non-psychiatric wards. Many patients were not given diagnoses signalling mental problems. When police was involved, they often presented the patient for examination.
(Patwardhan et al., 2012)	Convenient care clinics (CCC), US	Routine data analysis	To examine the utilization of CCC services outside of typical physician office hours and estimate cost savings from potentially avoided visits to the emergency room, urgent care center, and primary care physician associated with CCC encounters.	44.6% of convenient care clinic visits occurred on weekdays, 5 pm or later, or on weekends. Savings from avoided encounters with the emergency room, urgent care, and primary care physician were estimated at \$135.53 million.
(Rubin, 2012)	Minor injury units, General Practice, England	Retrospective case review	Description of patients attending MIUs and their subsequent, unscheduled use of GP or A&E services.	1995 patients attended MIU. 63% treated and discharged, 2.7% subsequently attended A&E, 21.8% subsequently attended GP. 855 (42.9%) received further care, 265 (29.9%) had unscheduled further care. Diagnosis

				concurrency of 93.2% between subsequent GP visit and initial MIU visit. Caution – cannot differentiate between those that attended GP based on explicit advice or and those that attended due to ‘open ended- safety netting’.
(Sandvik et al., 2012)	Emergency primary health care services, Norway (In and out of hours urgent services)	Routine data analysis	To compare immigrant use of emergency primary care services with that of native Norwegians.	1,715,278 EPHC contacts from 2008. Slightly lower rate of contact for immigrants but higher rate than Norwegians in immigrants aged 0-5yr. Women higher rate than men in all groups. Migrant groups associated with longer consultations, lab tests used more for migrants of specific countries. Differences noted between migrants of different countries: contact rate, employment, income, length of stay, non-specific pain, psych. diagnosis. Excluded short term visitors, some asylum seekers, illegal residents and those missing ID numbers (23% of total, included a lot of children).
(Huibers et al., 2013b)	GP OOH with A&E co-located, The Netherlands	Retrospective case review	To explore the flow and outcomes of patients attending a co-located GP OOH and A&E, with a focus on self-referring patients.	319 GP OOH consultations, 356 A&E consultations, 78% were non-urgent. Most GP contacts completed at the GP OOH without follow-up. More non-urgent A&E patients had tests, mainly X-rays. 88% non-urgent A&E patients had follow-up contact, usually at an outpatient clinic. 35% of non-urgent GP OOH contacts had follow-up. This may reflect differences in patient populations between the A&E and GP OOH or suggest opportunities for improving efficiency of planning follow-up contacts.

(Huibers et al., 2013a)	GP OOH Co-operatives, The Netherlands	Questionnaire	To investigate associations between patient experiences of nurse led telephone triage and co-op organisational factors with the likelihood of self-reported subsequent contact for the same health problem.	13,953 patients who had OOH contact 2009-2011 sent questionnaire. 16 co-ops. 7039 questionnaires returned (50% response rate), 5678 available for analysis, all had telephone contact initially. 40.6% subsequently had consultations at co-op, 31.1% had telephone consultations only, and 28.4% received home visits. 47% of total had follow up contact- 36% of which were in primary care. 59% of home visits had follow up as did 45% of telephone contacts only. More likely to have follow up if older, had home visit, had more negative experience of telephone triage or called a co-op that did more telephone consultations.
(Raknes et al., 2013)	OOH casualty clinics, Norway	Routine data analysis	The effect of distance on OOH 'casualty clinic' use – 5 years data.	Note 'casualty clinic' is an 'emergency primary care centre' that handles life threatening emergencies. Distance reduced contact and consultation rate even more so. Relationship strongest for cases triaged as non-urgent.
(Willems et al., 2013)	GP and A&E OOH at weekends, Belgium	Retrospective case review	To describe OOH weekend use in relation to socioeconomic status and distance from OOH centre.	7723 patients with first attendance over 16wknds and 2 public holidays. Roughly half went to A&E and half to GP OOH but during day time hours more go to GP and more to A&E during night. Men slightly more likely than women to attend A&E. Older patients more likely to go to GP. More go to A&E if closer to A&E and if from more deprived area. Note that there are differences in cost and timing of payments when attending GP OOH versus A&E in Belgium.
(Adam et al., 2014)	GP OOH service Grampian, Scotland	A retrospective review of case records between 1	To explore the reasons for contact and the range and prevalence of presenting symptoms in patients with	852/950 patients made contact because of a symptom. The remaining 97 were mostly administrative and data were missing for one

		January 2010 and 31 December 2011.	established cancer who presented to a primary care OOH department.	patient. The most frequent symptoms were pain (n = 262/852, 30.8%); nausea/vomiting (n = 102/852, 12.0%); agitation (n = 53/852, 6.2%); breathlessness (n = 51/852, 6.0%); and fatigue (n = 48/852, 5.6%). Of the 262 patients who presented with pain, at least 127 (48.5%) had metastatic disease and 141 (53.8%) were already prescribed strong opiate medication. Conclusion: Almost one-third of patients with cancer seeking OOH primary medical care did so because of poorly controlled pain. Pain management should specifically be addressed during routine anticipatory care planning.
(Belche et al., 2014)	OOH clinic, Belgium	A retrospective analysis of routine data for 2009	to study the activities recorded by the first out-of-hours clinic that has been opened, as a pilot study.	A total of 3949 contacts were recorded, 91.6% of contacts were handled locally, 8.4% resulted in hospitalization. In addition, 52% of contacts were with patients aged between 25 and 65; 29.9% of contacts were with paediatric patients. Patients over the age of 65 made up 18% of contacts. The most common pathologies were respiratory.
(Elshout et al., 2014)	GP OOH service, The Netherlands, March 2008- Feb 2009	Observational cohort study.	To determine the frequency of alarming signs/symptoms in febrile children in primary care.	10,476 face to face patient contacts; 59.7% had one or more alarming signs and/or symptoms but the majority of the alarm signs/symptoms were in <10% of patients. Suggests a need to determine the predictive value of alarming signs/symptoms for serious infections in primary care and prognosis.
(Flarup et al., 2014d)	GP OOH, Denmark	Prospective case review and patient questionnaire	To evaluate the reasons for encounter, the outcome and the patient perspectives.	383/700 duty GPs participated at least once. 21,457 contacts were registered and 59% were completed by telephone. Telephone consultations were most often offered to children and home visits primarily to elderly

				patients. Home visits were most often offered to patients aged 75 years or more. 8410/16,434 patients completed the questionnaire. Females comprised the majority of the contacts and of the respondents in the patient survey.
(Flarup et al., 2014b)	Out-of-hours GP service, Denmark	Retrospective case review	To describe contacts to OOH services by patients with chronic diseases: reason for encounter, diagnosis, severity of symptoms, and outcomes.	13,930 patients. 4,912 (35.2%) had at least one of the five chronic diseases. A quarter of all calls to OOH were due to an acute exacerbation in this chronic disease group. 32.6% of these calls came from patients with psychiatric diagnoses. Patients with chronic disease were more likely to receive a face-to-face contact than the remaining group of patients, except for calls from patients with a psychiatric disorder who were more often completed through a telephone consultation. Patients with heart disease calling due to a new health problem formed the largest proportion of all OOH referrals to hospital (13.3%) compared to calls from the other groups with chronic disease (3.4-6.7%).
(Flarup et al., 2014c)	Out-of-hours GP service, Denmark	Observational study/ Questionnaire	To investigate relationships between day time GP use and OOH use as well as chronic disease exacerbation OOH and day time GP use.	11,897 systematically selected adult patients who contacted OOH during 2010-2011. 2,665 patients (22.4%) had one of the five chronic diseases studied. Between 1/3-1/4 of those with chronic diseases were seen by day time GP 30 days prior to OOH exacerbation. Significantly higher OR for exacerbation for those with cancer and psychiatric disease. Caution – do not know which disease the exacerbation refers to therefore may be overestimate exacerbations. Also no way of

				telling if exacerbation was avoidable however data did show that annual review was associated with less OOH contacts.
(Flarup et al., 2014a)	Out-of-hours GP service, Denmark. 11,897 adults contacts with service	Observational cohort study	To describe the prognosis of patients with chronic disease who contact the OOH service in primary care by (i) identifying the characteristics of contacts with the Danish out-of-hours service and daytime general practice, hospitalization, and (ii) studying mortality during a 30-day follow-up period in patients with chronic heart diseases	Patients with chronic disease had a higher risk of new OOH contact, daytime GP contact, and hospitalization than other patients during the 30-day follow-up period. OOH use was particularly high among patients with severe mental illness. A strong association was seen between chronic disease and risk of dying during follow-up. Findings how that patients with chronic disease used both daytime general practice and the out-of-hours service more often than others during the 30-day follow-up period; were more often hospitalized and had higher risk of dying. The authors call for a proactive approach to future preventive day care and closer follow-up of this group, especially patients with psychiatric disease.
(Harris and McDonald, 2014)	A&E, GP, OOH, Walk-In Centre (WiC), England	Prospective case review and routine data	To compare the populations of patients presenting to various acute care facilities.	Random samples from ED between 0800-2200. 384 A&E self-referral attendances excluding ambulance retrievals compared to routine data of contacts from GP OOH (343), GP same day appointments (165) and WiC (300). OOH and GP patients were older and more were female compared to those attending A&E and WiC. A&E associated with chest pain and injuries, non-A&E sites associated with infections and non-traumatic musculoskeletal problems. Half of patients self-referring had further assessment/ investigations not

				available in non-A&E settings. Note timing of sampling of patients was not explicitly OOH.
(Huibers et al., 2014)	GP OOH, Denmark and The Netherlands	Routine data analysis	To compare and investigate the rates of use of GP OOH in Denmark vs The Netherlands.	All OOH contacts Sep-Oct 2011. Denmark- 80 contacts/1000 inhabitants; Netherlands- 50/1000. Significantly higher rate for all three types of contact in Denmark; most for telephone consultations -47/1000 vs 20/1000, particularly for the youngest age group -154/1000 vs 39/1000. Danish more home visits than Dutch while Dutch slightly more clinic consultations. Speculate that difference in triage system – GP vs. nurse – could account for difference but suggest further research into explaining difference in contact rate. Note – also shows lower rate of contact of other services in Netherlands reflects a cultural difference.
(Buja et al., 2015b)	Out-of-hours service, Italy	Retrospective cohort study	To describe the characteristics of patients contacting OOH and to analyse the related outcomes.	23,980 contacts in 12 months. Contact rates highest for older and younger age groups and higher for females. 52% were examined by a GP at home or at the walk-in clinic, 38% were managed over the phone and 9 % were referred to hospital. Factors, including demographic variables, process-logistic variables and clinical characteristics of the contact, were associated with the outcome. Certain OOH physicians were more likely than their colleagues to refer a patient to an ED.
(Buja et al., 2015a)	Out-of-hours service, Italy. 23,504 calls to service	Retrospective cohort study	To sketch an overall picture of the determinants of frequent attendance (FA) at OOH services, considering patients' clinical conditions and socio-demographic features, and whether	Frailty and clinical variables such as psychiatric disease were associated with FA status, as were sociodemographic variables such as sex, age and income level. Alongside other environmental factors, the GP's gender

			the way patients' GPs were organized influenced their likelihood of being FAs.	and mode of collaboration in the provision of health services were also associated with OOH FA. Thus determinants of OOH FA include not only patients' clinical conditions, but also several socio-economic characteristics (including income level) and their GPs' organizational format.
(Cook et al., 2015)	NHS Direct, England	Routine data analysis	Characterise the calls to telephone triage service that were then referred on to other services.	1,385,457 calls over 4 months in 2010-2011. 269,558 (19%) were urgent, and more urgent calls between 15:00-23:00 (all ages) and during bank holidays and weekends (adults only) than other times. Males, most deprived, 60+, more likely referred to urgent care. Associations were found between symptoms and urgency as well as ethnicity and urgency.
(de Bont et al., 2015)	Out-of-hours GP co-operative, The Netherlands. 17,170 contacts for children	Observational cohort study	Investigation of all fever related telephone contacts, consultations, antibiotic prescriptions and paediatric referrals of children during GP out-of-hours care within 1 year	Found an average of 14.6 fever related contacts for children per day at GP OOH services, with peaks during winter months. Of 17,170 contacts in 2012, 5343 (31.1%) were fever related and 70.0% resulted in a GP consultation. One in four consultations resulted in an antibiotic prescription. Prescriptions increased by age and referrals to secondary care decreased by age ($p < 0.001$). The majority of parents (89.5%) contacted the OOH service only once during a fever episode (89.5%) and 7.6% of children were referred to secondary care. Thus childhood fever accounts for a large workload in OOH GP services, although most cases are managed in primary care without a referral.

(Elliott et al., 2015)	NHS 24 telephone triage service, Scotland	Routine data analysis	To examine how the public use the telephone triage system to manage symptoms and health problems through analysis of symptom/problem type, duration of symptoms and call outcome.	1 yr, 2011, worth of national call data. 1 285 038 calls with ID number of which 1 061 347 (86%) were OOH. 791 178 individual users. 83% of calls assigned a problem. Abdominal problem most common (12.2%), dental (6.8%), skin (6%). Most were abdominal (13.2%), skin and breathing problems OOH compared to dental (37.2%), abdominal and medication problems in hours. 70% had information on symptom duration - 63% were <24h duration and those OOH tended to be of shorter duration. OOH outcome – advice to visit Ooh centre 34.1%, HV 12.2% and self-care advice 10.2% In hours outcome – advice to see dentist 27.6%, clinician call back 21.1%, advice to contact own GP 19.2%. Of OOH users compared to in-hours users higher proportion were female, younger or older, more deprived or more remote areas. Older and more deprived less likely to use service in total.
(Haith-Cooper et al., 2015)	GP OOH co-operative, England.	Retrospective case review	To describe the characteristics of telephone consultation calls made by pregnant women to an OOH service run by a GP co-operative and also to compare and contrast the differences between the way the calls were handled by GPs and Nurse Practitioners (NPs).	In 12 month period, 128,717 telephone consultation involving 102 GPs and 36 NPs. Of these 2022 (1.6%) related to pregnancy. Most calls occurred on Saturday or Sunday (29.6% and 24.4% respectively). Most calls (963, 47.6%) from women under 13 weeks gestation; 593 (29.3%) 14 to 27 weeks gestation; 313 (15.5%) 28 weeks +. Reasons for call varied by gestational age. First trimester: Commonest reasons were vaginal blood loss (40.0%) and abdominal pain (39.0%). Abdominal pain commonest reason for call in second trimester (23.9%). Viral

				<p>symptoms associated with cough or cold commonest reason for call in third trimester (18.2%). Women often had multiple symptoms associated with a call.</p> <p>NP calls were longer (9.7 vs 8.8 minutes, $p < 0.001$). GPs more likely to offer advice (71.0% vs 61.0%, $p < 0.01$); NPs more likely to offer a centre visit (25.7% vs 36.8%).</p>
(Jansen et al., 2015)	Out-of-hours primary care, The Netherlands.	Routine data analysis	To evaluate the contribution of sociodemographic composition of the neighbourhood in explaining differences in primary OOH care use between GP cooperative catchment areas	<p>The demand of primary OOH care was significantly higher in neighbourhoods with more women, low-income households, non-Western immigrants, neighbourhoods with a higher degree of urbanisation, and low neighbourhood socioeconomic status. Conversely, lower demand was associated with neighbourhoods with more 5 to 24 year old inhabitants. Sociodemographic neighbourhood characteristics explained a large part of the variation between GP cooperatives (R-squared ranging from 8% to 52%). Nevertheless, the multilevel models also showed that a considerable amount of variation in demand between GP cooperatives remained unexplained by sociodemographic characteristics, particularly regarding high-urgency contacts.</p> <p>In conclusion, although part of the variation between GP cooperatives could not be attributed to neighbourhood characteristics, the sociodemographic composition of the neighbourhood is a fair predictor of the demand of primary OOH care.</p>

(Smits et al., 2015)	In hours GP and GP OOH coops, The Netherlands	Routine data analysis	To compare the characteristics of 100 GP practices and their associated level of out of hours use.	100 GP practices' data analysed over 1 year (2011-2012). Half the practices labelled as high use remainder as low use. High use mean OOH contact rate 1.8 x higher than low use group (369 vs. 204/1000/yr). High OOH use practices had higher percentage of foreigners, 0-4yr olds, were closer to co-op, had longer telephone waiting times, had GPs less available for palliative care, performed more tests, had higher perceived workload and had more assistants working. Note no data available on practice population health, small numbers mean chance may play a role in significant results and telephone accessibility was measured 11 months after data collection.
(van Gils-van Rooij et al., 2015)	Urgent Care Collaboration (collaboration of OOH GPs and ED), The Netherlands. 58,620 patients in UCC group; 63,441 in usual care	Observational study	To determine if GPs treat a larger proportion of out-of-hours patients in the UCC system, and how this relates to patient characteristics	A significantly higher proportion of patients attended their on-call GP within the UCC system. The proportion of ED patients was 22% smaller in UCCs compared to the usual care setting. Controlled for patient and health problem characteristics the difference remained statistically significant (OR_0.69; CI 0.66–0.72) but there were substantial differences between regions. Patients with trauma were treated more by GPs. Controlled for case mix, patients in the largest UCC-region were 1.2 times more likely to attend a GP than the reference group. Authors conclude that when GPs and EDs collaborate, GPs take a substantially higher proportion of all out-of-hours patients.

(Zhou et al., 2015)	GP services, England	Questionnaire	To assess the relationship between patient reported GP access and the use of OOH.	567 049 surveyed patients with GP contact in last 6months, 40 108 (7%) of whom accessed OOH in last6 months. Crudely, worse patient reported measures of GP access associated with increased OOH use. After multivariate analysis some association lost but strongest association remained between convenience of opening hours and OOH use and some association for other measures. Estimate an 11% reduction in OOH use if all patients adjusted to have optimal access. Note this assumes a causal relationship. Other limitations – self reported access, ‘endogeneity’
(Fisher et al., 2016)	Out-of-hours service, England. 6045 palliative care contacts	Routine data analysis	To describe patterns of usage of patients presenting to an OOH service and coded as ‘palliative’	Out of a total of 496,931 contacts, 6045 contacts were coded palliative; those ‘palliative’ contacts provided care to 3760 patients. Patients contacting the OOH service with palliative care needs did so predominantly during weekend daytime periods. Over a third had more than one contact. Patients were predictably older than the average population, but contacts coded as ‘palliative’ were relatively less deprived than contacts to the OOH service for all causes, even after adjusting for age and sex. Authors suggest that wider analysis of palliative patient flow through urgent care services is needed to identify whether healthcare access at the end of life is inequitable and to assess capacity requirements of the service.

(Gnani et al., 2016)	Two GP-led urgent care centres (UCCs), England. UCCs co-located with ED in a hospital setting. 7747 contacts for pre-school children (aged under 5).	Routine data analysis	To examine the presenting complaint and outcomes of care for young children in 2 general practitioner (GP)-led UCCs with extended opening times.	3% (n=7747/282 947) of all attenders at the GP-led UCCs were children aged under 5 years. The most common reason for attendance was a respiratory illness (27%), followed by infectious illness (17%). 18% (n=1428) were either upper respiratory tract infections or viral infections. The majority (91%) of children attending were registered with a GP, and over two-thirds of attendances were 'out of hours'. Overall 79% were seen and discharged home. Preschool children were more likely to attend their GP (47.0 per 100) than a GP-led UCC (9.4 per 100; 95% CI 8.9 to 10.0). Authors conclude that two-thirds of preschool children attending GP-led UCCs do so out of hours, despite the majority being registered with a GP. Case mix is comparable with those presenting to an ED setting, with the majority managed exclusively by the GPs in the UCC before discharge home.
(Huibers et al., 2016)	Out-of-hours primary care, Denmark. 4620 telephone contacts	Prospective case review	To describe telephone contacts triaged to face-to-face contacts, GP-assessed relevance, and factors associated with triage to face-to-face contact.	In total, 59.2% of calls ended with a telephone consultation. Factors associated with triage to a face-to-face contact were: patient age >40 years (40–64: RR = 1.13; >64: RR = 1.34), persisting problem for 12–24 hours (RR = 1.15), severe problem (RR = 2.60), potentially severe problem (RR = 5.81), and non-severe problem (RR = 2.23). Face-to-face contacts were assessed as irrelevant for 12.7% of clinic consultations and 11.7% of home visits. A statistically significantly higher risk of irrelevant face-to-face contact was found for a

				persisting problem of >24 hours (RR = 1.25), contact on weekday nights (RR = 1.25), and contact <2 hours before the patient's own GP's opening time (RR = 1.80).
(Scapinello et al., 2016)	Out-of-hours primary care, Italy. 5217 patient contacts.	Retrospective case review	To characterize patients referred from the OOH to ED service in order to explore the gate-keeping role of OOH service for hospital emergency care and to facilitate future research in improving its cost-effectiveness	Only 8.7% (454 people) of the total contacts were referred to ED. In the multivariate analysis, the significant predictors of being sent to ED were: age; residence in nursing home (odds ratios (OR) = 2.00, 95%CI: 1.30–3.10); being visited by a OOH physician (OR = 2.64, 95%CI: 2.09–3.34). Taking infections as the reference, cardiovascular diseases (OR = 18.31, 95%CI: 12.01–27.90), traumas (OR = 8.75, 95%CI: 5.36–14.26) and gastrointestinal conditions (OR = 7.69, 95%CI: 4.70–11.91) increased the probability to be referred to ED.
(Thoresen et al., 2016)	Out-of-hours primary care services, Norway. 5752 cancer patients with 20,220 contacts	Routine data analysis from billing claims	To investigate how cancer patients in Norway use primary care OOH services and describe different contact types and procedures	5752 cancer patients had 20,220 contacts (1% of all) in OOH services. Half of the contacts were cancer related. Cancer in the digestive (22.9%) and respiratory (18.0%) systems were most frequent; and infection/fever (21.8%) and pain (13.6%) most frequent additional diagnoses. A total of 4170 patients had at least one cancer-related direct contact; of these, 64.5% had only one contact during the year. Cancer patients had more home visits and more physicians' contact with municipal nursing services than other patients, but fewer consultations ($p < 0.001$). Patients in the least central municipalities had significantly more

				contacts than more central municipalities (p<0.001).
(Hayward et al., 2017)	Out-of-hours GP co-operative, England. 496,931 patient contacts	Routine data analysis	To define the population contacting OOH primary care who are at higher risk of re-presenting to this service and requiring urgent transfer to secondary care within 3 days of their initial contact	Almost 1% of 496,931 patients contacting OOH primary care required escalation to secondary care within 3 days (4832 cases, 4465 individuals). Of these, 68.5% were initially discharged with no follow-up or advice to contact their GP; 14.7% were initially referred to secondary care. The odds of requiring escalation were increased with age (odds ratio [OR] 1.010; 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.009 to 1.011; P<0.001), more frequent prior use of the OOH service (OR 1.016; 95% CI = 1.010 to 1.021; P<0.001), and presenting during periods of low call volume (OR 0.880; 95% CI = 0.857 to 0.904; P<0.001). In conclusion, older, prior users of the service, presenting at less busy times, are at greater risk of requiring secondary care referral from the OOH service within 3 days of their initial contact. These higher-risk patient groups might benefit from active follow-up by the OOH service
(Heutmekers et al., 2017)	Go OOH co-operatives, The Netherlands	Routine data analysis	To investigate whether people with intellectual disabilities (ID) in residential setting were more likely than people from the general population to request out-of-hours general practitioner (GP) care and whether these requests had a similar level of urgency.	Of the people with ID (448/1448), 30.9% requested out-of-hours GP care, whereas for the general population this was 18.4% (79 206/431 134), resulting in a relative risk of 1.7 (95% CI 1.6 to 1.8). There was a different distribution of urgency level for people with and without ID. Generally, requests for people with ID were rated as less urgent. Authors conclude that, while some contacts may be

				avoidable, results may point to access issues for people with ID.
(Keizer et al., 2017)	GP OOH co-operative, The Netherlands	Routine data analysis	To examine the motives and expectations of migrants for contacting out-of-hours primary care.	<p>Main reason for contacting a GP OOH Co-operative for non-western and western migrants were an urgent need for contact with a GP (54.9%–52.4%), worry (49.3%–43.0%), and a need for medical information (21.3%–26.2%). These were also the most important motives for native Dutch patients.</p> <p>Compared to native Dutch patients, non-western migrants more often perceived an urgent need for a GP (OR 1.65; 99% CI 1.27–2.16), less often needed information (OR 0.59; 99% CI 0.43–0.81), and more often experienced problems contacting their own GP during office hours (OR 1.71; 99% CI 1.21–2.43). Western migrants also reported experiencing problems more often in contacting their own GP (OR 1.38; 99% CI 1.04–1.84).</p> <p>As well as for natives, most non-western and western migrants expected to see a doctor (46.2%–46.6%) or get advice (39.6%–41.5%). Non-western migrants expected more often to get physical examination (OR 1.53; 99% CI 1.14–2.04), and prescription (OR 1.37; 99% CI 1.00–1.88). Authors found no differences in expectations between western migrants and native Dutch patients.</p>
(Raknes and Hunskaar, 2017)	OOH services, Norway	Prospective case review	To present frequencies of reasons for encounter (RFEs) in the different organ systems, and to identify the	Musculoskeletal, respiratory, skin, digestive and general and unspecified issues were the most frequent RFE groups. Fever was the most

			most frequent RFEs at different urgency levels.	frequent single ICPC-2 RFE code, but was less common among the most urgent cases. Abdominal pain was the most common RFE in patients with yellow urgency level (urgent), and chest pain dominated the potentially red (potentially life threatening) cases. There was less variation in the use of ICPC-2 with increasing urgency level.
(Reyes et al., 2017)	Urgent care centre, USA	Retrospective case review	To determine the most common clinical conditions associated with older adults visiting urgent care centres (UCCs) and the potential need for further resource use.	There were 9445 visits to the UCC from patients aged 55 and over; of these, 2445 had at least one healthcare encounter in the 30 days after index visit. Of these, 578 (23.6%) visited the emergency department (ED) or were hospitalized, 974 (39.8%) returned to the UCC, and 895 (63.4%) visited their primary care physician's office. A significantly higher proportion (38.4%, n = 68/177) of individuals aged 85 and older visited the ED or were hospitalized within 30 days (P < .010) than of those younger than 65 (20.0%, n = 273/1,367). Diabetes mellitus (odds ratio (OR) = 1.73, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.40–2.15, P < .001), coronary artery disease or cerebrovascular disease (OR = 2.45 CI 1.95–3.09, P < .001), COPD or asthma (OR = 1.57, 95% CI = 1.23–2.01, P < .001), polypharmacy (OR = 1.45, 95% CI = 1.18–1.78, P = .004), and cognitive impairment (OR = 2.74, 95% CI = 1.74–4.31, P < .010) were associated with higher rates of ED visits or hospitalizations within 30 days of the UCC visit.

(Smits et al., 2017)	GP OOH co-operatives, the Netherlands	Review	To provide an overview of the organisation, performance and development of PCP co-operatives in the Netherlands.	<p>Since 2005, the number of contacts with Dutch PCP cooperatives has steadily increased; by 2015 it was 245 contacts per 1000 citizens per year. Many contacts (45%) are non-urgent, and about half occur as part of a series of primary care contacts. Low accessibility and availability of daytime primary care are related to greater use of after-hours primary care. To prevent unnecessary attendance at the cooperatives, physicians advocate co-payment, a stricter triage system, and a larger role for telephone doctors.</p> <p>More than half of the PCP cooperatives in the Netherlands have integrated with hospital emergency departments, forming "emergency care access points." This collaboration has decreased emergency department use by 13% to 22%, and treatment of self-referrals by PCP cooperatives in emergency care access points is safe and cost-effective.</p>
(Brettell et al., 2018)	GP OOH service, England	Population-based data linkage study	To establish the proportion of Oxfordshire patients seen by the OOH service within the last 30 days of their life, whether they known to be a palliative care patients and the demographic and clinical features of these groups.	<p>Almost 1 in 3 (29.5%) of all population deaths were seen by the OOH service in the last 30 days of life. Among the 1530 patients seen, 577 (36.4%) patients had their palliative phase documented; these patients were slightly younger (median age=83.5 vs 85.2 years, $P<0.001$) and were seen closer to death (median days to death=2 vs 8, $P<0.001$). More were assessed at home (59.8% vs 51.9%, $P<0.001$) and less were admitted to hospital (2.7% vs 18.0%, $P<0.001$).</p>

(Collins et al., 2018)	GP co-operative, Ireland	Retrospective data analysis	To establish the number and range of consultations at a GP out of hours service that have a primary or related mental health issue and to document adherence to their follow-up care referral.	Over 1 year, 11,650 (8.6%) adult consultations (out of 135,103 consultations) had a code relating to a mental health condition or prescribing. Focussing on consultations with multiple terms recorded identified 3844 OOH presentations with a mental health component. Overall, 9.3% were referred by the out of hours GP for follow-up to a hospital emergency department (ED) or were advised to attend their own GP. A total of 104 patients who were advised to attend their GP or ED following their consultation with the out of hours GP were tracked. Twenty-seven patients were referred back to their GP; however, 44.5% did not attend. Seventy-seven patients were referred to the hospital services, of whom 37.7% did not attend.
(Jansen et al., 2018)	OOH primary care services, the Netherlands	National survey through National Panel of People with Chronic Illness of Disability	To explore whether health literacy relates to the use of OOH primary care services in adults with a chronic condition; to study whether health literacy explains educational differences in the use of OOH primary care services.	Higher education attainment was associated with higher scores on the health literacy aspects of 'Appraisal of health information', and 'Navigating the healthcare system'. Appraisal and navigating the healthcare system partially accounted for educational differences in PCS use. Finally, higher appraisal of health information scores were associated with higher PCS utilisation. Thus several aspects of health literacy were demonstrated to relate to PCS use, and partly accounted for educational differences herein. Accordingly, developing health literacy within individuals or communities may help to reduce inappropriate PCS use among people with low education.

(Leutgeb et al., 2018)	OOH centres, Germany	Routine data analysis	To determine attendance frequencies and health problem presentation patterns for patients with and without somatoform disorders in OOH Centres in primary care; to compare health care utilization patterns between these patients groups.	350,528 patients (9.2%) out of the 3,813,398 insured persons had a diagnosis of somatoform disorder. Compared to other patients, patients with this diagnosis were older (51.7 vs. 44.0 years; $p < 0,0001$) more likely to be female (70.1% vs 53.3%; $p < 0,0001$). In OOH, as opposed to normal office hours, the adjusted rate of patients with a diagnosis of somatoform disorder was 60.6% higher (adjusted for age, gender and co-morbidity). Accordingly, in OOH, prescriptions for antidepressants, hypnotics, anxiolytics but also opioids were significantly higher than in the general study population. However, a diagnosis of somatoform disorder was only made in 3.45% of all patients in that group seen in OOH in 2014.
(Sandvik and Hunskaar, 2018)	OOH services, Norway	Observational study using routine data	To analyse frequent attenders (FAs) who have visited OOH services in Norway during a 10-year period	FAs constituted 2% of all patients and around 10% of all consultations each year. FAs were most common among the youngest children and the elderly, increasing with age. Females were overrepresented, as were patients with psychosocial problems and various chronic somatic conditions. The majority were only temporary FAs: 59.8% of the FA cohort were not a FA attender. FAs tended to seek help in the late evening and night; they needed longer consultations and more often received a home visit. Predictors of FA were: Female (OR 1.17), age 0–1 years (OR 3.46), age 70+ (OR 1.57), small municipality (OR 1.61), psychological diagnosis (OR 10.00), social diagnosis (OR

				5.97), cancer (OR 6.76), diabetes (OR 4.65), and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (OR 7.81).
(Heutmekers et al., 2019)	GP OOH co-operatives, the Netherlands	Cross-sectional analysis of routine data	To identify commonly presented health problems of people with intellectual disabilities compared with the general population, in OOH primary health care.	Having an intellectual disability was associated with a higher probability of presenting with epilepsy (OR 45.65), having concerns about medical treatment (OR 23.37), and adverse effects of medical treatment (OR 8.41). Authors suggest that these issues require special attention to improve the accessibility and quality of OOH primary care.
(Keizer et al., 2019)	GP OOH co-operatives, Denmark, the Netherlands & Switzerland.	Cross-sectional survey	To examine factors influencing the intended help-seeking in out-of-hours care for acute health problems during evenings, nights, and weekends. Focus on parents of children aged 0-4 years & on adults aged 30-39 and 50-59 years.	In total, 1015 parents and 2942 adults participated. We identified several significant influential factors. For parents, having a lower level of education (OR 1.56), having migrant background (western: OR 1.23; non-western: OR 1.93), having one child (OR 1.24), perceiving few barriers to using OOH primary care (OR 1.59), perceiving difficulties with organising childcare (OR 1.13), and having a history of frequent contacts with out-of-hours care (OR 1.55) were more inclined to contact out-of-hours care, whereas female (OR 0.85) and non-anxious parents (OR 0.77) were less inclined. Adults who were older (OR 1.01), holding a medical education (OR 1.13), having non-western background (OR 1.28), being unemployed (OR 1.17), perceiving few barriers to using OOH primary care (OR 1.37), and having a history of frequent contacts with a GP (few: OR 1.15; more: OR 1.22) and/or with OOH care (one: OR 1.20; more: OR 1.49) were

				<p>more inclined to contact out-of-hours care, whereas adults with no or little social support (OR 0.84) and adults with high health literacy level on health information (OR 0.91) were less inclined.</p> <p>Dutch parents were less inclined than Danish parents to contact out-of-hours care (OR 0.62), whereas Swiss adults were more inclined than Danish adults to contact out-of-hours care (OR 1.16).</p> <p>Authors suggest that more research is required to understand the underlying explanations for the observed differences.</p>
(Lous et al., 2019)	GP-led OOH service, Denmark	Cross sectional study of 2363 randomly selected contacts	To describe the reasons for encounter (RFE), the most common diagnoses, the provided care, and the parental satisfaction with the GP-led OOH service in a Danish population of children (0–5 years).	<p>The most common RFE was non-specific complaints (40%), followed by respiratory tract symptoms (23%), skin symptoms (9%), and digestive organ symptoms (8%). The most common diagnosis group was respiratory tract diseases (41%), followed by general complaints (19%) and ear diseases (16%). Prescriptions were dispensed for 27% of contacts, of which about 75% were for antibiotics. A total of 12% contacts concerned acute otitis media; antibiotics were prescribed in 70% of these encounters. A total of 38% of contacts concerned fever, and 25% got antibiotics. A total of 7.4% were referred for further evaluation. Parent satisfaction was generally high, but 7.0% were dissatisfied. Dissatisfaction was correlated with low prescription rate.</p>
(O'Connor et al., 2019)	Primary care OOH service	Questionnaire survey of patients	To examine the expectations of patients attending an urban primary	435 patients with acute URTI symptoms

			care OOH service with acute upper respiratory tract infection (acute URTI) regarding clinical examination, symptom management, information on their condition, reassurance, antibiotic treatment and other possible options including referral.	participated in the survey, representing 25.4% of those attending the single branch where the survey was conducted (n=1715). Of the study participants, 43% were aged under 6 years and 60% were women. The most common presenting symptoms were cough (72%), throat ache (46%) and common cold (26%). The most common expectations were for further examination (53%), reassurance (51%), information (49%) and medication for cough (47%). Only 34% expected an antibiotic. Authors suggest that recognising patient expectations may help clinicians decide on management options for patients with acute URTI.
(Seeger et al., 2019)	OOH primary care centre, Germany	Cross-sectional study with prospective data collection	To determine patient characteristics, reasons for encounter (RFE) and its duration, diagnostics provided, medication prescribed, the necessity of hospital admission or hospital treatment as an outpatient, and the assessment of the urgency from the physicians' point of view in an OOH primary care centre.	892/1098 OOH patients participated in the study (RR 81.2%). More than half of the patients were between 18 and 39 years old. A quarter of all RFE were in the ICPC-2 category "skin". More than 60% of patients had the symptoms for more than two days before visiting the OOH primary care centre. In 34.5% of all cases no medication was prescribed and one in six patients received further diagnostic tests such as urinalysis and blood tests 15.8%). From the physicians' point of view, 26.3% of all study participants could have been treated by the family doctor during routine consultation hours.
(Stegink et al., 2019)	OOH calls to national telephone triage	Routine data analysis	To estimate statistical complexity of patients' reasons for encounter (RFE) and to examine associations with	High users comprised 2.4% of adults using the service and accounted for 15% of all contacts. Statistical complexity (as entropy of categories) increased with number of contacts

	service (NHS 24), Scotland		patient demographics and presenting symptoms.	but was not substantially influenced by either patient age or sex. Between 5 and 10 consultations, higher entropy was associated with a reduced likelihood of further consultations. In contrast, the occurrence of one or more contacts for a mental health problem was associated with increased likelihood of further consultations.
--	----------------------------	--	---	---

- ADAM, R., WASSELL, P. & MURCHIE, P. 2014. Why do patients with cancer access out-of-hours primary care? A retrospective study. *British Journal of General Practice*, 64, e99-e104.
- AVERY, A. J., GROOM, L., BOOT, D., EARWICKER, S. & CARLISLE, R. 1999. What problems do patients present with outside normal general practice surgery hours? A prospective study of the use of general practice and accident and emergency services. *Journal of Public Health Medicine*, 21, 88-94.
- BARRETT, J., GOH, S., TODD, C., BARCLAY, S., DAZA, R. P. & VARDULAKI, K. 2002. A description of an intermediate care service using routinely collected data. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 10, 221-227.
- BEALE, N., TAYLOR, G., GWYNNE, M. & PEART, C. 2006. Council tax valuation bands and contacts with a GP out-of-hours service. *British Journal of General Practice*, 56, 283-285.
- BELCHE, J. L., BERREWAERTS, M. A., BURETTE, P., LENOIR, A. L., DUCHESNES, C. & GIET, D. 2014. Retrospective analysis of a suburban out-of-hours clinic in Belgium. *Acta Clinica Belgica*, 69, 341-347.
- BENGER, J. R. & JONES, V. 2008. Why are we here? A study of patient actions prior to emergency hospital admission. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 25, 424-427.
- BRETTELL, R., FISHER, R., HUNT, H., GARLAND, S., LASSERSON, D. & HAYWARD, G. 2018. What proportion of patients at the end of life contact out-of-hours primary care? A data linkage study in Oxfordshire. *BMJ Open*, 8, e020244.
- BUJA, A., TOFFANIN, R., RIGON, S., LION, C., SANDONA, P., CARRARO, D., DAMIANI, G. & BALDO, V. 2015a. What determines frequent attendance at out-of-hours primary care services? *European Journal of Public Health*, 25, 563-568.
- BUJA, A., TOFFANIN, R., RIGON, S., SANDONA, P., CARRARO, D., DAMIANI, G. & BALDO, V. 2015b. Out-of-hours primary care services: Demands and patient referral patterns in a Veneto region (Italy) Local Health Authority. *Health Policy*, 119, 437-446.

- BURY, G., DOWLING, J. & JANES, D. 2006. General practice out-of-hours co-operatives - population contact rates. *Irish Medical Journal*, 99, 73-75.
- CARLISLE, R., GROOM, L., AVERY, A. J., BOOT, D. & EARWICKER, S. 1998. Relation of out of hours activity by general practice and accident and emergency services with deprivation in Nottingham: longitudinal survey. *British Medical Journal*, 316, 520-523.
- CHMIEL, C., HUBER, C. A., ROSEMANN, T., ZOLLER, M., EICHLER, K., SIDLER, P. & SENN, O. 2011. Walk-ins seeking treatment at an emergency department or general practitioner out-of-hours service: a cross-sectional comparison. *BMC Health Services Research*, 11, 94.
- COLLINS, C., O'SHEA, M. T., CUNNIFFE, J. & FINEGAN, P. 2018. Health system changes needed to support people consulting general practice out of hours services in Ireland. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*, 12, 56.
- COOK, E. J., RANDHAWA, G., GUPPY, A. & LARGE, S. 2015. A study of urgent and emergency referrals from NHS Direct within England. *BMJ Open*, 5, e007533.
- DE BONT, E. G., LEPOT, J. M., HENDRIX, D. A., LOONEN, N., GULDEMOND-HECKER, Y., DINANT, G. J. & CALS, J. W. 2015. Workload and management of childhood fever at general practice out-of-hours care: an observational cohort study. *BMJ Open*, 5, e007365.
- DE KORTE-VERHOEF, M. C., PASMAN, H. R., SCHWEITZER, B. P., FRANCKE, A. L., ONWUTEAKA-PHILIPSEN, B. D. & DELIENS, L. 2012. End-of-life hospital referrals by out-of-hours general practitioners: a retrospective chart study. *BMC Family Practice*, 13, 89.
- DEN BOER-WOLTERS, D., KNOL, M. J., SMULDERS, K. & DE WIT, N. J. 2010. Frequent attendance of primary care out-of-hours services in The Netherlands: Characteristics of patients and presented morbidity. *Family Practice*, 27, 129-134.
- DRUMMOND, N., MCCONNACHIE, A., O'DONNELL, C. A., MOFFAT, K., WILSON, P. & ROSS, S. 2000. Social variation in reasons for contacting general practice out of hours. Implications for daytime service provision? *British Journal of General Practice*, 50, 460-464.
- EICHLER, K., IMHOF, D., CHMIEL, C., ZOLLER, M., SENN, O., ROSEMANN, T. & HUBER, C. A. 2010. The provision of out-of-hours care and associated costs in an urban area of Switzerland: a cost description study. *BMC Family Practice*, 11, 99.
- ELLIOTT, A. M., MCATEER, A., HEANEY, D., RITCHIE, L. D. & HANNAFORD, P. C. 2015. Examining the role of Scotland's telephone advice service (NHS 24) for managing health in the community: analysis of routinely collected NHS 24 data. *BMJ Open*, 5.
- ELSHOUT, G., VAN IERLAND, Y., BOHNEN, A. M., DE WILDE, M., MOLL, H. A., OOSTENBRINK, R. & BERGER, M. Y. 2014. Alarming signs and symptoms in febrile children in primary care: an observational cohort study in The Netherlands. *PloS One*, 9, e88114.
- FISHER, R. F. R., HAYWARD, G. & LASSERSON, D. 2016. Out-of-hours primary care use at the end of life: A descriptive study. *British Journal of General Practice*, 66, e654-e660.
- FLARUP, L., CARLSEN, A. H., MOTH, G., CHRISTENSEN, M. B., VESTERGAARD, M., OLESEN, F. & VEDSTED, P. 2014a. The 30-day prognosis of chronic-disease patients after contact with the out-of-hours service in primary healthcare. *Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care*, 32, 208-216.
- FLARUP, L., MOTH, G., CHRISTENSEN, M. B., VESTERGAARD, M., OLESEN, F. & VEDSTED, P. 2014b. Chronic-disease patients and their use of out-of-hours primary health care: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Family Practice*, 15, 114.
- FLARUP, L., MOTH, G., CHRISTENSEN, M. B., VESTERGAARD, M., OLESEN, F. & VEDSTED, P. 2014c. Daytime use of general practice and use of the out-of-hours primary care service for patients with chronic disease: a cohort study. *BMC Family Practice*, 15, 156.
- FLARUP, L., MOTH, G., CHRISTENSEN, M. B., VESTERGAARD, M., OLESEN, F. & VEDSTED, P. 2014d. A feasible method to study the Danish out-of-hours primary care service. *Danish Medical Journal*, 61, A4847.

- FRY, M. M. 2009. Barriers and facilitators for successful after hours care model implementation: reducing ED utilisation. *Australasian Emergency Nursing Journal*, 12, 137-144.
- FRY, M. M. 2011. A systematic review of the impact of afterhours care models on emergency departments, ambulance and general practice services. *Australasian Emergency Nursing Journal*, 14, 217-225.
- GIESEN, P., FRANSSSEN, E., MOKKINK, H., VAN DEN BOSCH, W., VAN VUGT, A. & GROL, R. 2006. Patients either contacting a general practice cooperative or accident and emergency department out of hours: a comparison. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 23, 731-734.
- GIESEN, P., VAN LIN, N., MOKKINK, H., VAN DEN BOSCH, W. & GROL, R. 2007. General practice cooperatives: Long waiting times for home visits due to long distances? *BMC Health Services Research*, 7, 19.
- GNANI, S., MORTON, S., RAMZAN, F., DAVISON, M., LADBROOKE, T., MAJEED, A. & SAXENA, S. 2016. Healthcare use among preschool children attending GP-led urgent care centres: a descriptive, observational study. *BMJ Open*, 6, e010672.
- HAITH-COOPER, M., STACEY, T., EDWARDS, E., CLEMENTS, G. & MOHAMMED, M. A. 2015. Pregnancy-related telephone consultations to an out-of-hours provider: a retrospective study. *Primary Health Care*, 25, 24-29.
- HAMPERS, L. C., FARIES, S. G. & POOLE, S. R. 2002. Regional after-hours urgent care provided by a tertiary children's hospital. *Pediatrics*, 110, 1117-1124.
- HANSEN, E. H. & HUNSKAAR, S. 2008. Development, implementation, and pilot study of a sentinel network ("The Watchtowers") for monitoring emergency primary health care activity in Norway. *BMC Health Services Research*, 8, 62.
- HANSEN, E. H., ZAKARIASSEN, E. & HUNSKAAR, S. 2009. Sentinel monitoring of activity of out-of-hours services in Norway in 2007: an observational study. *BMC Health Services Research*, 9, 123.
- HARRIS, T. & MCDONALD, K. 2014. Is the case-mix of patients who self-present to ED similar to general practice and other acute-care facilities? *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 31, 970-974.
- HAYWARD, G. N., VINCENT, C. & LASSERSON, D. S. 2017. Predicting clinical deterioration after initial assessment in out-of-hours primary care: A retrospective service evaluation. *British Journal of General Practice*, 67, e78-e85.
- HEANEY, D. & GORMAN, D. 1996. Auditing out-of-hours primary medical care. *Health Bulletin*, 54, 495-497.
- HEUTMEKERS, M., NAALDENBERG, J., VERHEGGEN, S. A., ASSENDELFT, W. J. J., LANTMAN-DE VALK, H. M. J. V. S., TOBI, H. & LEUSINK, G. L. 2017. Does risk and urgency of requested out-of-hours general practitioners care differ for people with intellectual disabilities in residential settings compared with the general population in the Netherlands? A cross-sectional routine data-based study. *BMJ Open*, 7, e019222.
- HEUTMEKERS, M., NAALDENBERG, J., VERHEGGEN, S. A., ASSENDELFT, W. J. J., VAN SCHROJENSTEIN LANTMAN-DE VALK, H. M. J., TOBI, H. & LEUSINK, G. L. 2019. Health problems of people with intellectual disabilities in Dutch out-of-hours primary care. *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities* 32, 475-481.
- HUBER, C. A., ROSEMANN, T., ZOLLER, M., EICHLER, K. & SENN, O. 2011. Out-of-hours demand in primary care: Frequency, mode of contact and reasons for encounter in Switzerland. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, 17, 174-179.
- HUIBERS, L., KOETSENUIJTER, J., GROL, R., GIESEN, P. & WENSING, M. 2013a. Follow-up after telephone consultations at out-of-hours primary care. *Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine*, 26, 373-379.

- HUIBERS, L., MOTH, G., ANDERSEN, M., VAN GRUNSVEN, P., GIESEN, P., CHRISTENSEN, M. B. & OLESEN, F. 2014. Consumption in out-of-hours health care: Danes double Dutch? *Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care*, 32, 44-50.
- HUIBERS, L., MOTH, G., CARLSEN, A. H., CHRISTENSEN, M. B. & VEDSTED, P. 2016. Telephone triage by GPS in out-of-hours primary care in Denmark: A prospective observational study of efficiency and relevance. *British Journal of General Practice*, 66, e667-e673.
- HUIBERS, L., THIJSSSEN, W., KOETSENRIJTER, J., GIESEN, P., GROU, R. & WENSING, M. 2013b. GP cooperative and emergency department: An exploration of patient flows. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, 19, 243-249.
- HUIBERS, L. A., MOTH, G., BONDEVIK, G. T., KERSNIK, J., HUBER, C. A., CHRISTENSEN, M. B., LEUTGEB, R., CASADO, A. M., REMMEN, R. & WENSING, M. 2011. Diagnostic scope in out-of-hours primary care services in eight European countries: an observational study. *BMC Family Practice*, 12, 30.
- HULLAND, J., AVERY, A. J., GROOM, L. & BOOT, D. 1999. Use of primary health care and accident and emergency (emergency department) services for children under 5 years outside normal office hours. *Ambulatory Child Health*, 5, 323-330.
- JANSEN, T., RADEMAKERS, J., WAVERIJN, G., VERHEIJ, R., OSBORNE, R. & HEIJMANS, M. 2018. The role of health literacy in explaining the association between educational attainment and the use of out-of-hours primary care services in chronically ill people: a survey study. *BMC Health Services Research*, 18, 394.
- JANSEN, T., ZWAANSWIJK, M., HEK, K. & DE BAKKER, D. 2015. To what extent does sociodemographic composition of the neighbourhood explain regional differences in demand of primary out-of-hours care: a multilevel study. *BMC Family Practice*, 16, 54.
- JOHANSEN, I. H., MELLESDAL, L., JØRGENSEN, H. A. & HUNSKAAR, S. 2012a. Admissions to a Norwegian emergency psychiatric ward: Patient characteristics and referring agents. A prospective study. *Nordic Journal of Psychiatry*, 66, 40-48.
- JOHANSEN, I. H., MORKEN, T. & HUNSKAAR, S. 2010. Contacts related to mental illness and substance abuse in primary health care: a cross-sectional study comparing patients' use of daytime versus out-of-hours primary care in Norway. *Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care*, 28, 160-165.
- JOHANSEN, I. H., MORKEN, T. & HUNSKAAR, S. 2012b. How Norwegian casualty clinics handle contacts related to mental illness: A prospective observational study. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*, 6, 3.
- KEIZER, E., BAKKER, P., GIESEN, P., WENSING, M., AT SMA, F., SMITS, M. & VAN DEN MUIJSENBERGH, M. 2017. Migrants' motives and expectations for contacting out-of-hours primary care: a survey study. *BMC Family Practice*, 18, 92.
- KEIZER, E., CHRISTENSEN, M. B., CARLSEN, A. H., SMITS, M., WENSING, M., SENN, O. & HUIBERS, L. 2019. Factors related to out-of-hours help-seeking for acute health problems: a survey study using case scenarios. *BMC Public Health*, 19, 33.
- LEUTGEB, R., BERGER, S., SZECSENYI, J. & LAUX, G. 2018. Patients with somatoform disorders: More frequent attendance and higher utilization in primary out-of-hours care? *PLoS ONE*, 13, e0202546.
- LORDAN, G. 2007. What determines a patient's treatment? Evidence from out of hours primary care co-op data in the Republic of Ireland. *Health Care Management Science*, 10, 283-292.
- LOUS, J., MOTH, G., HUIBERS, L., VEDSTED, P. & CHRISTENSEN, M. B. 2019. Preschool children in Danish out-of-hours primary care: a one-year descriptive study of face-to-face consultations. *BMC Family Practice*, 20, 36.
- MAJEED, F. A., COOK, D. G., HILTON, S., POLONIECKI, J. & HAGEN, A. 1995. Annual night visiting rates in 129 general practices in one family health services authority: association with patient and general practice characteristics. *BJGP*, 45, 531-535.

- MARGAS, G., WINDAK, A. & TOMASIK, T. 2008. Utilization of the out of hours service in Poland: An observational study from Krakow. *BMC Health Services Research*, 8, 212.
- MOLL VAN CHARANTE, E. P., VAN STEENWIJK-OPDAM, P. C. & BINDELS, P. J. 2007. Out-of-hours demand for GP care and emergency services: patients' choices and referrals by general practitioners and ambulance services. *BMC Family Practice*, 8, 46.
- MUNRO, J., MAHESWARAN, R. & PEARSON, T. 2003. Response to requests for general practice out of hours: Geographical analysis in north west England. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 57, 673-674.
- MURPHY, A. W., MCKEOWN, D., COSGROVE, A., CULLINANE, J. & TAHENY, C. 2001. Do rural GPs have more out-of-hours patient consultations? *European Journal of General Practice*, 7, 75-76.
- O'CONNOR, R., O'DOHERTY, J., O'REGAN, A., O'NEILL, A., MCMAHON, C. & DUNNE, C. P. 2019. Medical management of acute upper respiratory infections in an urban primary care out-of-hours facility: cross-sectional study of patient presentations and expectations. *BMJ open*, 9, e025396.
- O'DONNELL, C. A., DRUMMOND, N. & ROSS, S. 1999a. Out of hours primary care: a critical overview of current knowledge. *Health Bulletin*, 57, 276-284.
- O'DONNELL, C. A., MCCONNACHIE, A., MOFFAT, K., DRUMMOND, N., WILSON, P. & ROSS, S. 1999b. Cross sectional study of social variation in use of an out of hours patient transport service. *BMJ*, 318, 566-567.
- O'REILLY, D., STEVENSON, M., MCCAY, C. & JAMISON, J. 2001. General practice out-of-hours service, variations in use and equality in access to a doctor: a cross-sectional study. *British Journal of General Practice*, 51, 625-629.
- PATWARDHAN, A., DAVIS, J., MURPHY, P. & RYAN, S. F. 2012. After-hours access of convenient care clinics and cost savings associated with avoidance of higher-cost sites of care. *Journal Of Primary Care & Community Health*, 3, 243-245.
- PAYNE, F. 2000. Utilization of out-of-hours services by patients with mental health problems. *Journal of Public Health Medicine*, 22, 302-306.
- PAYNE, F. & JESSOPP, L. 2001. NHS Direct: review of activity data for the first year of operation at one site. *Journal Of Public Health Medicine*, 23, 155-158.
- PHILIPS, H., REMMEN, R., DE PAEPE, P., BUYLAERT, W. & VAN ROYEN, P. 2010a. Out of hours care: a profile analysis of patients attending the emergency department and the general practitioner on call. *BMC Family Practice*, 11, 88.
- PHILIPS, H., REMMEN, R., VAN ROYEN, P., TEBLICK, M., GEUDENS, L., BRONCKAERS, M. & MEEUWIS, H. 2010b. What's the effect of the implementation of general practitioner cooperatives on caseload? Prospective intervention study on primary and secondary care. *BMC Health Services Research*, 10, 222.
- PLAUTH, A. E. & PEARSON, S. D. 1998. Discontinuity of care: Urgent care utilization within a health maintenance organization. *American Journal of Managed Care*, 4, 1531-1537.
- POOLEY, C. G., BRIGGS, J., GATRELL, T., MANSFIELD, T., CUMMINGS, D. & DEFT, J. 2003. Contacting your GP when the surgery is closed: Issues of location and access. *Health and Place*, 9, 23-32.
- RAKNES, G., HANSEN, E. H. & HUNSKAAR, S. 2013. Distance and utilisation of out-of-hours services in a Norwegian urban/rural district: an ecological study. *BMC Health Services Research*, 13, 222.
- RAKNES, G. & HUNSKAAR, S. 2017. Reasons for encounter by different levels of urgency in out-of-hours emergency primary health care in Norway: a cross sectional study. *BMC Emergency Medicine*, 17, 19.

- REYES, B. J., LOPEZ, J. M., GALINDO, D. J., BRICENO, M. & OUSLANDER, J. G. 2017. Baseline characteristics and outcomes of older adults seeking care in ambulatory urgent care clinics. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 65, 2702-2706.
- RICHARDS, S. H., WINDER, R., SEAMARK, D., SEAMARK, C., EWINGS, P., BARWICK, A., GILBERT, J., AVERY, S., HUMAN, S. & CAMPBELL, J. L. 2008. Accessing out-of-hours care following implementation of the GMS contract: An observational study. *British Journal of General Practice*, 58, 331-338.
- ROSSDALE, M., KEMPLE, T., PAYNE, S., CALNAN, M. & GREENWOOD, R. 2007. An observational study of variation in GPs' out-of-hours emergency referrals. *British Journal of General Practice*, 57, 152-154.
- RUBIN, G. 2012. Unscheduled care following attendance at Minor Illness and Injury Units (MIU): Cross-sectional survey. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, 18, 100-103.
- SALISBURY, C. 2000. The demand for out-of-hours care from GPs: a review. *Family Practice*, 17, 340-347.
- SALISBURY, C., TRIVELLA, M. & BRUSTER, S. 2000. Demand for and supply of out of hours care from general practitioners in England and Scotland: observational study based on routinely collected data. *BMJ*, 320, 618-621.
- SANDVIK, H. & HUNSKAAR, S. 2018. Frequent attenders at primary care out-of-hours services: a registry-based observational study in Norway. *BMC Health Services Research*, 18, 492.
- SANDVIK, H., HUNSKAAR, S. & DIAZ, E. 2012. Immigrants' use of emergency primary health care in Norway: a registry-based observational study. *BMC Health Services Research*, 12, 308.
- SCAPINELLO, M. P., POSOCCO, A., DE RONCH, I., CASTROGIOVANNI, F., LOLLO, G., SERGI, G., TOMASELLI, I., TONON, L., SOLMI, M., TRAVERSA, S., ZAMBIANCO, V. & VERONESE, N. 2016. Predictors of emergency department referral in patients using out-of-hours primary care services. *Health Policy*, 120, 1001-1007.
- SCOTT-JONES, J., LAWRENSON, R. & MAXWELL, N. 2008. Sharing after hours care in a rural New Zealand community - a service utilization survey. *Rural and Remote Health*, 8, 1024.
- SEEGER, I., KREIENMEYER, L., HOFFMANN, F. & FREITAG, M. H. 2019. Cross-sectional study in an out-of-hours primary care centre in northwestern Germany – patient characteristics and the urgency of their treatment. *BMC Family Practice*, 20, 41.
- SHIPMAN, C., LONGHURST, S., HOLLENBACH, F. & DALE, J. 1997. Using out-of-hours services: general practice or A&E? *Family Practice*, 14, 503-509.
- SMITS, M., PETERS, Y., BROERS, S., KEIZER, E., WENSING, M. & GIESEN, P. 2015. Association between general practice characteristics and use of out-of-hours GP cooperatives. *BMC Family Practice*, 16, 52.
- SMITS, M., RUTTEN, M., KEIZER, E., WENSING, M., WESTERT, G. & GIESEN, P. 2017. The development and performance of after-hours primary care in the Netherlands: A narrative review. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 166, 737-742.
- STEGINK, S., ELLIOTT, A. M. & BURTON, C. 2019. Statistical complexity of reasons for encounter in high users of out of hours primary care: analysis of a national service. *BMC Health Services Research*, 19, 108.
- THOMSON, H., O'DONNELL, C. A., HEANEY, D., MOFFAT, K., ROSS, S., SCOTT, A. & DRUMMOND, N. 2003. Unity or diversity? Recent developments in the organization of out of hours general medical services in Scotland. *Primary Health Care Research and Development*, 4, 193-205.
- THORESEN, C. K., SANDVIK, H. & HUNSKAAR, S. 2016. Cancer patients' use of primary care out-of-hours services: a cross-sectional study in Norway. *Scandinavian Journal Of Primary Health Care*, 34, 232-239.

- TOIVANEN, A., RAUTAVA, P. & KVIST, M. 1998. Out-of-hours utilization in primary and secondary care. A favourable experience in a Finnish health centre. *Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care*, 16, 72-75.
- TURNBULL, J., MARTIN, D., LATTIMER, V., POPE, C. & CULLIFORD, D. 2008. Does distance matter? Geographical variation in GP out-of-hours service use: An observational study. *British Journal of General Practice*, 58, 471-477.
- TURNBULL, J., POPE, C., MARTIN, D. & LATTIMER, V. 2010. Do telephones overcome geographical barriers to general practice out-of-hours services? Mixed-methods study of parents with young children. *Journal of Health Services Research and Policy*, 15, 21-27.
- VAN GILS-VAN ROOIJ, E. S. J., YZERMANS, C. J., BROEKMAN, S. M., MEIJBOOM, B. R., WELLING, G. P. & DE BAKKER, D. H. 2015. Out-of-Hours care collaboration between general practitioners and hospital emergency departments in the Netherlands. *Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine*, 28, 807-815.
- VAN UDEN, C. J. T., WINKENS, R. A. G., WESSELING, G. J., CREBOLDER, H. F. J. M. & VAN SCHAYCK, C. P. 2003. Use of out of hours services: a comparison between two organisations. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 20, 184-187.
- VEDSTED, P. & OLESEN, F. 1999. Frequent attenders in out-of-hours general practice care: Attendance prognosis. *Family Practice*, 16, 283-288.
- VEDSTED, P., SORENSEN, H. T., NIELSEN, J. N. & OLESEN, F. 2001. The association between daytime attendance and out-of-hours frequent attendance among adult patients in general practice. *British Journal of General Practice*, 51, 121-124.
- VEHVILAINEN, A. T., KUMPUSALO, E. A. & TAKALA, J. K. 1998. Saturday night fever? Reasons for referral from health centres to hospitals during weekends in Finland. *Family Practice*, 15, 507-512.
- WILLEMS, S., PEERSMAN, W., DE MAEYER, P., BUYLAERT, W., DE MAESENEER, J. & DE PAEPE, P. 2013. The impact of neighborhood deprivation on patients' unscheduled out-of-hours healthcare seeking behavior: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Family Practice*, 14, 136.
- ZAKARIASSEN, E., HANSEN, E. H. & HUNSKAAR, S. 2009. Incidence of emergency contacts (red responses) to Norwegian emergency primary healthcare services in 2007- a prospective observational study. *Scandinavian Journal Of Trauma, Resuscitation And Emergency Medicine*, 17, 30.
- ZHOU, Y., ABEL, G., WARREN, F., ROLAND, M., CAMPBELL, J. & LYRATZOPOULOS, G. 2015. Do difficulties in accessing in-hours primary care predict higher use of out-of-hours GP services? Evidence from an English National Patient Survey. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 32, 373-378.