#### **1** Supplemental methods

### 2 Transmission electron microscopy-negative staining

EV derived from 100ul of rat plasma were diluted 1:100 and absorbed on a glow- discharged carbon
coated formvar nickel grid and negatively stained with uranyl acetate. Representative plasma derived

5 EV were acquired and examined by Talos L120C electron microscope at 120kV.

### 6 RNA extraction, reverse transcription and real-time PCR

48hrs after polarization, BMDM were collected and total RNA extracted with TRI-Reagent (Sigma)
and chloroform following manufacturer's instructions. 500ng of total RNA was reverse transcribed
using GoScript<sup>TM</sup> Reverse Transcription System (Promega Madison) following manufacturer's
instructions. mRNA EV content and BMDM polarization was assessed by real-time PCR using SYBR
Green labeling protocol (BioRad) following the manufacturer's instructions.

mRNA content of EV pre-MI, EV post MI Vehicle and EV Post MI GW4869 were determined by 12 real time PCR for iNOS (Fw primer: AGTCCTCTTTGCTACTGAGACAAGG, Re primer: 13 CACCACCAGCAGTAGTTGTTC), INFy (Fw primer: CAAGTTCGAGGTGAACAACCC, Re 14 primer GGCACACTCTCTACCCCAGA), IL1a (Fw primer: GGTGGTGTCAGCAACATCAAA, 15 TCTGGGTTGGATGGTCTCTTCT), 16 Re primer IL1B (Fw primer: TCCTCTGTGACTCGTGGGAT, Re primer: TGGAGAATACCACTTGTTGGCT ), Rantes (Fw 17 18 primer: ATATGGCTCGGACACCACTC, Re primer: GTGACAAAGACGACTGCAAGGT) and 19 IL6 (Fw primer: GCAAGAGACTTCCAGCCAGT, Re primer: 20 TGCACAACTCTTTTCTCATTTCCA).

Amplification and detection of specific products were performed in triplicate using CFX Connect<sup>™</sup>
Real- Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad). The threshold cycle (Ct) of each gene was defined and
normalized to the control GAPDH (Fw primer: TGCACCACCAACTGCTTAGC, Re primer:
GGCATGGACTGTGGTCATGAG).

25 BMDM pro inflammatory M1 phenotype was characterized by upregulation of iNOS (Fw primer: AGTCCTCTTTGCTACTGAGACAAGG, Re primer: CACCACCAGCAGTAGTTGTTC), TLR4 26 (Fw primer: TCTGCCCTGCCACCATTTAC, Re primer: GAAGTACCTCTATGCAGGGATTCA) 27 ATTGTGGCTCTGGGTCCAAC, 28 and  $TNF\alpha$ (Fw primer: Re primer: CGCAATCCAGGCCACTACTT) M2 CD206 29 whereas profile by (Fw primer: GAGGACTGCGTGGTGATGAA, Re primer: CATGCCGTTTCCAGCCTTTC) and Arginase1 (Fw 30 primer: ACAAGACAGGGCTACTTTCAGG, Re primer: ACAAGACAAGGTCAACGCCA) over-31 32 expression. Amplification and detection of specific products were performed in triplicate using CFX Connect<sup>TM</sup> Real- Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad). The threshold cycle (Ct) of each gene was 33 34 defined and normalized to the control GAPDH (Fw primer: TGCACCACCAACTGCTTAGC, Re primer: GGCATGGACTGTGGTCATGAG) and data were shown as  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ . 35

### 36 *Immunofluorescence assays*

Cells and hearts sections were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde solution, permeabilized with 0.5% 37 38 TritonX for 30 minutes and blocked with 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 30 minutes at 37°C. Cells were then incubated with primary antibodies against NF-kBp65 (Thermofisher #510500, 1:100) 39 and, as marker for NRVM, a sarcomeric actinin (Abcam #ab9465, 1:100) diluted in PBS with 40 0.1%Tween20 and 0.2% BSA overnight at 4°C. Alexa Fluor secondary antibodies (Life 41 Technologies, 1:1000) were used for detection and DAPI staining was used for nuclear localization. 42 43 Images were acquired by the C2 Plus confocal microscopy system (Nikon) and analysed using ImageJ 44 software. To evaluate heart macrophage infiltration, six sections for each heart were stained with anti-45 CD68 (abcam #ab31630, 1:100). Quantitative analyses of fluorescent signal intensity and the number 46 of positive cells were quantified using ImageJ software (NIH).

47 Tissue and heart sections apoptosis were stained with anti-cleaved caspase 3 (Cell Signaling #9664,

48 1:100), anti-cleaved caspase 7 (Cell Signaling #8438, 1:100), α sarcomeric actinin (Abcam #ab9465,

49 1:100 and DAPI. Fluorescence signal intensity was quantified using Image J software and normalized
50 to the number of positive cells.

### 51 *Masson-trichrome Histology*

After fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde, hearts were cryopreserved in optimal cutting temperature (OCT) medium at -80°C and cut in 8 μm sections. Six sections distributed from the cardiac base to apex were stained for Masson-trichrome (HT15 Trichrome Stain Kit, Sigma) for measurement of scar size. For each heart section, scar area was determined by tracing infarct border zone using ImageJ and values were averaged.

### 57 *Hypoxia In-vitro neonatal rat ventricular myocyte viability assay*

To assess cytotoxic effects of macrophages derived EV under hypoxia condition, NRVM cells were cultured for 5 hours in serum-free medium. After starvation, NRVM were treated with 10<sup>7</sup>/cm<sup>2</sup> BMDM-EV as determined by Nanosight and incubated for 12 h in hypoxia condition (1% O<sub>2</sub>). Cells were than stained with CellstainTM Double Stain kit (dojindo) for 30 min at 37°C under normoxia condition. 4X and 10X images were acquired with fluorescence microscopy (Nikon Eclipse-Ti) and the number of PI positive cells were quantified using ImageJ software (NIH).

### 64 Extracellular vesicles glycine acid treatment

To remove extracellular vesicles surface associated protein, equal amount of isolated EV for each condition was incubated with 100mM glycine pH2.5 for 5 minutes at RT. After incubation, pH was neutralized with 2M Tris pH 8.00. To avoid any interference of glycine solution, EV were washed in 3 ml of PBS 1X and pelleted at 100000g (3h).



### Plasma-EV purification protocol

70

Figure S1. BMDM and Plasma-EV characterization. (A) Western blotting analysis of whole plasma and plasma derived EV of specific exosomal markers TSG101 and CD63 and plasma contaminants ApoA1 and Albumin not present in EV preparations. (B) Representative plasma derived EV transmission electron microscopy. (C) Dynamic light scatter of particle size and

- 75 concentration of whole plasma and plasma derived EV. Red lines represent standard deviations. (D)
- 76 BMDM derived EV characterization was assessed by the presence of TSG101 and CD81 and the
- absence of contaminants GRP94.



78

Figure S2. EV mRNA content and concentration after MI. (A) NTA analysis of plasma extracellular vesicles concentration 24 hours and 48 hours after myocardial infarction induction. Cumulative curves showing concentration (y-axis) and size distribution (x-axis) (B) EV mRNA content analysis. Data are reported as  $\Delta$ Ct and normalized by GAPDH. All data are presented as mean ± SEM and analysed by one way ANOVAs with post-hoc multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. Mean, SEM and statistics are reported in full in Table S9.



85

Figure S3. In-vitro cleaved caspase 3 and 7 activation. (A) Representative images and 86 87 quantification of caspase 3 activation (cleaved caspase 3) in NRVM after the treatment with EV pre-MI, EV Vehicle and EV GW4869. (B) Representative images and quantification of caspase 7 88 activation (cleaved caspase 7) in NRVM treated with EV pre-MI, EV Vehicle and EV GW4869. 89 Quantification analysis of fluorescence signal intensity are normalized to the number of 90 91 cardiomyocytes. All data are presented as mean ± SEM and analysed by one way ANOVA with post-92 hoc multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. Mean, SEM and statistics are reported in full 93 in Table S10.



94

**Figure S4. NF\kappaB nuclear translocation in isolated adult cardiomyocytes. (A)** Representative images and quantification of NF $\kappa$ B nuclear translocation in adult cardiomyocytes isolated from healthy hearts after 90min of EV Langendorff perfusion. EV pre-MI or EV vehicle or EV GW4869 were added to the perfusate solution. DAPI mask was used to detect NF $\kappa$ B nuclear fraction. All data are presented as mean ± SEM and analysed by one way ANOVAs with post-hoc multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. Mean, SEM and statistics are reported in full in Table S11.

In vitro hypoxia macrophages-EV effects



101

Figure S5. *In-vitro* macrophages derived EV cytotoxic effect under hypoxia. (A) Quantification
 of BMDM derived EV cytotoxicity on NRVM simultaneously exposed to hypoxia and EV treatment.
 All data are presented as mean ± SEM and analysed by one way ANOVAs with post-hoc multiple

105 comparisons using Bonferroni correction. Mean, SEM and statistics are reported in full in Table S12.



#### 106

Figure S6. Vesicles cytotoxic effects are mediated by EV surface proteins. (A) Dot blot analysis 107 of untreated EV and acid-washed EV of total protein and specific EV surface protein CD63. (B) 108 Representative images and quantification of plasma derived EV cytotoxicity on NRVM exposed to 109 untreated-EV or surface associated protein depleted-EV (acid-washed EV). (C) Representative 110 images and quantification of BMDM derived EV cytotoxicity on NRVM exposed to untreated-EV or 111 112 surface associated protein depleted-EV (acid-washed EV). All data are presented as mean ± SEM and analysed by one way ANOVAs with post-hoc multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. 113 Mean, SEM and statistics are reported in full in Table S13. 114

acid-washed EV

untreated EV

**Statistical Analyses** - Each variable is expressed by mean  $\pm$  SEM. Data are analyzed by ANOVA one-way tests and Bonferroni post-hoc test, except if differently indicated. Differences were considered significant for p < 0.05.

## Table S1 – Plasma-EV analysis

		EV Pre-MI	EV Post-MI	0	Pairwise Comparisons			
Variable	w/o EV			Overall P-value	w/o vs. Pre-MI	w/o vs. Post-MI	Pre-MI vs. Post-MI	
Dead cells / total cells (%)	$24.3\pm3.15$	$31.9\pm4.12$	$66.7\pm2.83$	< 0.001	0.536	< 0.001	< 0.001	

w/o: without; EV: Extracellular Vesicles; MI: Myocardial Infarction.

### Table S2 – Inhibition of EV release regulates inflammation in heart and reduces inflammatory EV after MI

Variable	Vehicle	GW4869	<i>P</i> -value
Cer C24:0	$2.44\pm0.114$	$2.24\pm0.108$	0.220
Cer C24:1	$3.13\pm0.156$	$2.75\pm0.159$	0.108
Cer C22:0	$2.43\pm0.161$	$2.03\pm0.172$	0.110
Cer C20:0	$1.31\pm0.115$	$1.19\pm0.126$	0.495
Cer C18:0	$1.63\pm0.156$	$2.15\pm0.205$	0.064
Cer C18:1	$1.00\pm0.110$	$0.67\pm0.113$	0.053
Cer C16:0	$2.14\pm0.051$	$2.01\pm0.048$	0.081
Cer C14:0	$1.17\pm0.117$	$1.00\pm0.110$	0.304
Cer TOT (pmol)	$2.23 \pm 0.136$	$2.09 \pm 0.134$	0.474

	EX	EV	EV	Onerall	Pairwise Comparisons			
Variable	Ev Pre-MI	Post-MI Vehicle	Post-MI GW4869	<i>P</i> -value	Pre-MI vs. Vehicle	Pre-MI vs. GW4869	Vehicle vs. GW4869	
NTA – Cumulative concentration (AUC)	$0.19 \pm 0.038$	0.61 ± 0.095	$0.26 \pm 0.039$	< 0.001	< 0.001	1.000	0.002	

		EV Doct MI	EV Post MI	Overall	Pairwise Comparisons			
Variable	EV Pre-MI EV Post-MI EV Post-MI Vehicle GW4869	<i>P</i> -value	Pre-MI vs. Vehicle	Pre-MI vs. GW4869	Vehicle vs. GW4869			
iNOS / Tsg101 (a.u.)	$0.9\pm0.15$	$3.7\pm0.52$	$1.5\pm0.14$	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.738	0.001	
CD68 / Tsg101 (a.u.)	$22 \pm 3.8$	$110 \pm 8,8$	68 ± 4,2	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.001	0.003	

Variable	EV Pre-MI	EV Deed MI	EV Deet MI	Ostanall	Pairwise Comparisons			
		Vehicle	GW4869	<i>P</i> -value	Pre-MI vs. Vehicle	Pre-MI vs. GW4869	Vehicle vs. GW4869	
IL-4 (FC)	$0.86\pm0.10$	$0.98\pm0.04$	$1.42\pm0.09$	< 0.001	0.756	< 0.001	0.002	
IL-6 (FC)	$0.92\pm0.05$	$1.03\pm0.05$	$1.21\pm0.02$	< 0.001	0.279	< 0.001	0.018	
IL-10 (FC)	$0.87\pm0.05$	$0.87\pm0.04$	$1.06\pm0.01$	0.002	1.000	0.006	0.006	
IL-1α (FC)	$1.12\pm0.06$	$1.50\pm0.03$	$1.26\pm0.05$	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.143	0.003	
IL-1 $\beta$ (FC)	$1.00\pm0.05$	$1.41\pm0.11$	$1.12\pm0.06$	0.004	0.004	0.959	0.045	
Rantes (FC)	$1.22\pm0.05$	$2.02\pm0.13$	$1.52\pm0.11$	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.145	0.006	

			GW4869	Overall	Pairwise Comparisons			
Variable	Sham	Vehicle		<i>P</i> -value	Sham vs. Vehicle	Sham vs. GW4869	Vehicle vs. GW4869	
Tissue CD68 <sup>+</sup> cells (n°/mm <sup>2</sup> )	$1.1\pm0.42$	$70.7\pm5.18$	$46.0\pm7.92$	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.020	
Tissue TNF $\alpha$ (pg/500 µg protein)	$25.0\pm0.89$	$89.1 \pm 13.09$	$44.6\pm5.77$	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.271	0.003	

Cer: ceramide; EV: Extracellular Vesicles; MI: Myocardial Infarction a.u.: arbitrary unit; FC: fold change; AUC: area under the curve.

	Variable	Vehicle	GW4869	<i>P</i> -value
u (0)	Before-MI	$81.0\pm2.02$	$80.8\pm2.16$	1.000
ectic on (%	Day 1	$53.8\pm3.62$	$54.0\pm3.07$	1.000
V Ej actic	Day 7	$61.6\pm4.13$	$68.1\pm3.40$	0.642
μĽ	Day 28	$52.2\pm5.49$	$70.0\pm4.15$	0.004
L)	Before-MI	$45.0\pm5.01$	$57.9 \pm 7.98$	1.000
end- olic le (u	Day 1	$182.0\pm18.19$	$159.6\pm20.13$	1.000
LV ( syst	Day 7	$179.4\pm26.19$	$128.7\pm17.75$	0.341
No.	Day 28	$273.9\pm32.97$	$128.1\pm21.86$	< 0.001
L)	Before-MI	$288.4 \pm 15.10$	$304.7\pm7.80$	1.000
end- tolic le (u	Day 1	$392.5\pm20.47$	$366.4\pm20.71$	1.000
LV ( diast	Day 7	$433.3\pm32.13$	$401.3\pm28.55$	1.000
A N	Day 28	$564.0\pm26.74$	$421.4\pm28.99$	0.001

Table S3 – In vivo inhibition of EV release mitigates myocardial dysfunction after permanent coronary artery ligation

				0 "	Pairwise Comparisons			
Variable	Sham	Vehicle	GW4869	Overall P-value	Sham vs. Vehicle	Sham vs. GW4869	Vehicle vs. GW4869	
Tau index (ms)	$10.3\pm0.14$	$13.7\pm0.70$	$10.6\pm0.80$	0.009	0.022	1.000	0.019	
LV systolic pressure (mmHg)	$119.6\pm4.14$	$102.6\pm3.65$	$119.9\pm3.62$	0.011	0.031	1.000	0.020	
dP/dt max (mmHg/s)	$8841.8 \pm 1097.72$	$6549.0 \pm 306.33$	$9166.9\pm543.05$	0.030	0.105	1.000	0.042	
dP/dt min (mmHg/s)	$-7778.3 \pm 755.44$	$-5243.9\pm602.9$	$-8574.6 \pm 692.6$	0.011	0.078	1.000	0.013	
Scar size / Total section area (%)	N.A.	$24.0\pm4.49$	$7.4 \pm 2.10$	0.006	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	

Echocardiographic parameters were analyzed by two-way ANOVA test. LV: Left Ventricle.

V	ariable	Vehicle	GW4869	<i>P</i> -value
nu ()	Before-MI	$84.5\pm1.13$	$80.8\pm2.00$	1.000
ectic m (%	Day 1	$61.4\pm2.70$	$62.9 \pm 4.60$	1.000
V Ej actic	Day 7	$63.5\pm2.75$	$75.0\pm2.17$	0.019
기문	Day 28	$61.7\pm2.84$	$75.8\pm2.17$	0.002
L)	Before-MI	$45.0\pm5.01$	$62.4\pm8.77$	1.000
end- olic e (u	Day 1	$112.8\pm15.33$	$107.5 \pm 14.04$	1.000
LV . syst	Day 7	$147.0\pm18.98$	$94.6\pm8.69$	0.047
V6	Day 28	$196.8\pm23.41$	$112.7\pm14.88$	< 0.001
L)	Before-MI	$288.4 \pm 15.11$	$319.6 \pm 17.62$	1.000
end- tolic le (u	Day 1	$299.2\pm23.57$	$284.8 \pm 17.61$	1.000
LV ( diast	Day 7	$405.2\pm28.44$	$399.5\pm26.45$	1.000
A C	Day 28	$494.4 \pm 29.13$	$469.1\pm28.92$	1.000

Table S4 – *In vivo* inhibition of EV release mitigates myocardial dysfunction after ischemia-reperfusion injury

				0 "	Pairwise Comparisons			
Variable	Sham	Vehicle	GW4869	Overall P-value	Sham vs. Vehicle	Sham vs. GW4869	Vehicle vs. GW4869	
Tau index (ms)	$10.3\pm0.13$	$13.32\pm0.45$	$12.6\pm0.23$	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.001	0.360	
LV systolic pressure (mmHg)	$119.6\pm4.14$	$113.2\pm1.50$	$125.9\pm3.99$	0.037	0.760	0.744	0.034	
dP/dt max (mmHg/s)	$8841.8 \pm 1097.73$	$7989.8 \pm 474.46$	$7785.1 \pm 339.90$	0.517	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	
dP/dt min (mmHg/s)	$-7778.3 \pm 755.44$	$-7839.1 \pm 489.61$	$-7205.9 \pm 388.22$	0.651	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	
Scar size / Total section area (%)	N.A.	$15.3\pm1.72$	$7.1\pm0.90$	0.001	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	

Echocardiographic parameters were analyzed by ANOVA two-way test. LV: Left Ventricle.

Langondorff								Pairwise	Comparison	s	
EDP (mmHg)	w/o EV	EV Pre-MI	EV Post-MI GW4869	EV Post-MI Vehicle	Overall <i>P</i> -value	w/o EV vs. EV Pre-Mi	w/o EV vs. EV GW4869	w/o EV vs. EV Vehicle	EV Pre- MI vs. EV GW4869	EV Pre-MI vs. EV Vehicle	EV GW4869 vs. Vehicle
0 min	$9.9 \pm 1.36$	$6.5\pm0.46$	$7.6\pm0.89$	$9.5\pm0.88$		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
10 min	$8.0\pm0.97$	$5.5\pm0.69$	$6.0\pm0.67$	$8.3\pm0.60$		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
20 min	$9.0\pm0.81$	$9.8\pm0.75$	$7.4\pm0.32$	$13.7\pm1.46$		1.000	1.000	0.875	1.000	1.000	0.248
30 min	$10.6\pm0.49$	$12.1\pm1.19$	$8.4\pm0.41$	$18.7\pm2.09$		1.000	1.000	0.073	1.000	0.316	0.006
40 min	$10.8\pm0.90$	$13.7\pm1.33$	$10.9\pm0.62$	$21.2\pm2.89$	< 0.001	1.000	1.000	0.008	1.000	0.168	0.005
50 min	$11.6\pm0.63$	$14.5\pm1.24$	$15.2\pm1.54$	$31.5\pm3.30$	< 0.001	1.000	1.000	< 0.001	1.000	< 0.001	< 0.001
60 min	$15.8\pm2.32$	$20.3\pm2.46$	$17.6\pm2.46$	$36.0\pm2.92$		1.000	1.000	< 0.001	1.000	< 0.001	< 0.001
70 min	$17.0\pm2.47$	$27.9 \pm 1.68$	$19.7\pm3.16$	$42.8\pm1.01$		0.002	1.000	< 0.001	0.108	< 0.001	< 0.001
80 min	$20.2\pm2.70$	$28.6 \pm 1.30$	$23.7\pm3.67$	$50.5\pm0.87$		0.130	1.000	< 0.001	0.917	< 0.001	< 0.001
90 min	$26.8\pm3.36$	$34.4\pm2.02$	$28.1\pm4.02$	$54.4\pm2.63$		0.210	1.000	< 0.001	0.381	< 0.001	< 0.001
Perfusate					0 11			Pairwise	Comparison	8	
Cardiac Troponin I (ng tot)	w/o EV	EV Pre-MI	EV Post-MI GW4869	EV Post-MI Vehicle	Overall P-value	w/o EV vs. EV Pre-Mi	w/o EV vs. EV GW4869	w/o EV vs. EV Vehicle	EV Pre- MI vs. EV GW4869	EV Pre-MI vs. EV Vehicle	EV GW4869 vs. Vehicle
0 min	$0.0\pm0.00$	$7.9\pm5.58$	$27.8 \pm 13.63$	$34.4\pm26.16$		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
10 min	$0.0\pm0.00$	$91.7\pm31.88$	$178.3\pm96.21$	$503.8\pm95.84$		1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
20 min	$40.1\pm28.38$	$258.3\pm74.63$	$485.4\pm136.50$	$1349.0\pm374.01$		1.000	1.000	0.070	1.000	0.205	0.371
40 min	$512.8\pm81.28$	$775.4\pm119.17$	$1147.6 \pm 279.83$	$2942.8\pm443.08$	< 0.001	1.000	1.000	< 0.001	1.000	< 0.001	0.001
50 min	$816.4\pm168.19$	$1562.7 \pm 365.72$	$1992.3 \pm 344.59$	$3413.8\pm529.87$		1.000	0.111	< 0.001	1.000	0.002	0.020
60 min	$1099.7 \pm 177.06$	$2148.4\pm528.51$	$2538.7 \pm 413.76$	$4359.9\pm414.06$		0.413	0.033	< 0.001	1.000	< 0.001	0.001
80 min	$2232.8 \pm 244.16$	$3014.1 \pm 375.55$	$3858.9\pm493.63$	$5633.8 \pm 349.69$		1.000	0.013	< 0.001	0.615	< 0.001	0.002

# Table S5 – *Ex vivo* plasma derived EV cytotoxicity in cardiomyocytes

Porfusato						Pairwise Comparisons					
LDH (U tot)	w/o EV	EV Pre-MI	EV Post-MI GW4869	EV Post-MI Vehicle	Overall <i>P</i> -value	w/o EV vs. EV Pre-Mi	w/o EV vs. EV GW4869	w/o EV vs. EV Vehicle	EV Pre- MI vs. EV GW4869	EV Pre-MI vs. EV Vehicle	EV GW4869 vs. Vehicle
0 min	$547.5\pm2.91$	$610.6\pm46.82$	$566.3 \pm 1.70$	$559.2\pm10.37$		0.377	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.841	1.000
10 min	$575.0\pm6.18$	$742.3\pm99.47$	$606.1\pm21.45$	$609.2\pm6.67$		0.149	1.000	1.000	0.431	0.466	1.000
20 min	$633.9\pm22.81$	$822.0\pm95.01$	$709.1\pm32.36$	$712.1\pm44.14$		0.140	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
40 min	$740.8\pm48.48$	$933.8\pm90.07$	$836.6\pm65.87$	$996.5\pm90.67$	< 0.001	0.471	1.000	0.151	1.000	1.000	0.944
50 min	$786.3\pm70.14$	$921.3\pm78.56$	$921.9 \pm 144.75$	$1157.7 \pm 106.42$		1.000	1.000	0.116	1.000	0.787	0.792
60 min	$843.4\pm68.96$	$1001.2\pm86.25$	$1052.1 \pm 145.39$	$1366.2\pm93.80$		1.000	0.912	< 0.001	1.000	0.001	0.024
80 min	$986.5\pm50.63$	$1022.8\pm60.13$	$1247.3 \pm 142.05$	$1589.4\pm43.47$		1.000	0.216	< 0.001	0.472	< 0.001	0.011

Data were analyzed by ANOVA two-way tests and Bonferroni post-hoc tests. w/o: without; EV: Extracellular Vesicles; MI: Myocardial Infarction; EDP: end-diastolic pressure.

					<b>O U</b>	Pairwise Comparisons							
Variable	w/o EV	EV Pre-MI	EV Post-MI GW4869	EV Post-MI Vehicle	Overall <i>P</i> -value	w/o EV vs. EV Pre-Mi	w/o EV vs. EV GW4869	w/o EV vs. EV Vehicle	EV Pre- MI vs. EV GW4869	EV Pre-MI vs. EV Vehicle	EV GW4869 vs. Vehicle		
Clev. Casp. 3 / Sarc actin	$0.48\pm0.132$	$1.84\pm0.553$	$1.77\pm0.317$	$14.65\pm1.205$	< 0.001	1.000	1.000	< 0.001	1.000	< 0.001	< 0.001		
Clev. Casp 7 / Sarc actin	$0.95\pm0.173$	$1.34\pm0.527$	$5.46 \pm 1.125$	$27.78\pm2.268$	< 0.001	1.000	0.212	< 0.001	0.413	< 0.001	< 0.001		

W/o: without; EV: Extracellular Vesicles; MI: Myocardial Infarction.

									Pairwise	<b>Comparisons</b>	5	
Vai	riable	w/o EV	EV Pre-MI	EV Post-MI Vehicle	EV Post-MI GW4869	Overall <i>P</i> -value	w/o EV vs. EV Pre-MI	w/o EV vs. EV GW4869	w/o EV vs. EV Vehicle	EV Pre-MI vs. EV GW4869	EV Pre-MI vs. EV Vehicle	EV GW4869 vs. Vehicle
	TAK242 (-)	$23.8\pm3.60$	27.1 ± 4.96	$77.1 \pm 4.28$	$29.5\pm5.89$		1.000	1.000	< 0.001	1.000	< 0.001	< 0.001
Dead / total cells (%)	TAK242 (+)	$17.6\pm2.09$	$27.6\pm4.88$	$33.8\pm3.25$	$27.3\pm7.74$	< 0.001	1.000	1.000	0.794	1.000	1.000	1.000
	TAK242 (+) vs (-)	1.000	1.000	< 0.001	1.000		N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	<i>N.A</i> .	<i>N.A.</i>
Nuclear /	TAK242 (-)	$0.53\pm0.103$	$0.61\pm0.076$	$1.19\pm0.057$	$0.58\pm0.114$		1.000	1.000	< 0.001	1.000	0.001	< 0.001
Nuclear / Cytosolic NKkB (IF)	TAK242 (+)	$0.62\pm0.080$	$0.82\pm0.024$	$0.68\pm0.036$	$0.49\pm0.110$	< 0.001	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	TAK242 (+) vs (-)	1.000	1.000	0.020	1.000		N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	<i>N.A</i> .
Nuclear /	TAK242 (-)	N.A.	$1.6\pm0.61$	$4.6\pm1.08$	$0.4\pm0.13$		<i>N.A</i> .	N.A.	N.A.	1.000	0.004	< 0.001
Cytosolic NKkB	TAK242 (+)	N.A.	$0.1 \pm 0.05$	$0.10\pm0.03$	$0.4 \pm 0.04$	< 0.001	<i>N.A.</i>	N.A.	N.A.	1.000	1.000	1.000
(r.c-wb)	TAK242 (+) vs (-)	N.A.	0.995	<0.001	1.000		<i>N.A</i> .	N.A.	<i>N.A</i> .	N.A.	<i>N.A.</i>	N.A.

## Table S6 – TLR4-NFkB axis regulates in vitro effects of plasma-derived EV

w/o: without; EV: Extracellular Vesicles; IF: immune fluorescence; MI: Myocardial Infarction; WB: western blot; FC: fold change;.

				Overall	Pairwise Comparisons				
Variable	MΦ	MI	M2	<i>P</i> -value	MΦ vs. M1	MΦ vs. M2	M1 vs. M2		
INOS ( $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ vs. M $\Phi$ )	1.00	$139.2\pm10.23$	$1.5\pm0.34$	< 0.001	< 0.001	1.000	< 0.001		
TNF $\alpha$ (2 <sup>-<math>\Delta\Delta CT</math></sup> vs. M $\Phi$ )	1.00	$2.8\pm0.68$	$0.9\pm0.17$	0.003	0.008	1.000	0.007		
TLR4 ( $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ vs. M $\Phi$ )	1.00	$8.7\pm0.81$	$0.5\pm0.05$	< 0.001	< 0.001	1.000	< 0.001		
Arginase 1 ( $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ vs. M $\Phi$ )	1.00	$0.6\pm0.25$	$8.6 \pm 1.92$	< 0.001	1.000	0.001	0.001		
CD206 ( $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ vs. M $\Phi$ )	1.00	$0.1\pm0.01$	$2.7\pm0.32$	< 0.001	0.004	< 0.001	< 0.001		
iNOS/GAPDH (WB)	$0.3\pm0.08$	$1.2\pm0.12$	$0.1\pm0.05$	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.895	< 0.001		
TLR4/GAPDH (WB)	$9.6\pm2.79$	$54.7\pm6.19$	$9.3 \pm 1.51$	< 0.001	< 0.001	1.000	< 0.001		
CD68/GAPDH (WB)	$3.6\pm1.14$	$10.2\pm1.72$	$4.8\pm0.94$	0.009	0.012	1.000	0.039		

# Table S7 – Bone marrow derived macrophages cells and EV characterization

WB: western blot.

							Pairwise Comparisons						
Vai	riable	w/o EV	ΕV-ΜΦ	EV-M1	EV-M2	Overall <i>P</i> -value	w/o EV vs. EV MФ	w/o EV vs. EV M2	w/o EV vs. EV M1	EV ΜΦ vs. EV M2	EV ΜΦ vs. EV M1	EV M2 vs. EV M1	
	TAK242 (-)	17.6 ± 1.92	$21.3 \pm 2.85$	41.3 ± 2.09	$11.8 \pm 2.06$		1.000	1.000	< 0.001	0.112	< 0.001	< 0.001	
Dead / total cells (%)	TAK242 (+)	$16.7 \pm 1.94$	17.1 ± 2.23	$20.33\pm2.24$	$15.2\pm2.04$	< 0.001	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
	TAK242 (+) vs (-)	1.000	1.000	< 0.001	1.000		N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	
Nuclear /	TAK242 (-)	$0.52\pm0.059$	$0.78\pm0.038$	$1.05 \pm 0.035$	$0.57\pm0.024$		0.007	1.000	< 0.001	0.080	0.003	< 0.001	
Nuclear / Cytosolic NF kB (IF)	TAK242 (+)	$0.63\pm0.063$	$0.76\pm0.026$	$0.69\pm0.039$	$0.66\pm0.080$	< 0.001	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
	TAK242 (+) vs (-)	1.000	1.000	< 0.001	1.000		N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	
Nuclear /	TAK242 (-)	N.A.	$0.9 \pm 0.18$	$2.19\pm0.37$	$0.4 \pm 0.13$		N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	1.000	0.018	0.001	
Cytosolic NFkB (FC- WB)	TAK242 (+)	N.A.	$0.8\pm0.14$	$0.8 \pm 0.21$	$0.4\pm0.20$	0.001	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	1.000	1.000	1.000	
, D)	TAK242 (+) vs (-)	N.A.	1.000	0.010	1.000		N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	<i>N.A.</i>	N.A.	

## Table S8 – TLR4-NFkB axis regulates *in vitro* effects of macrophages derived EV

EV: Extracellular Vesicles; IF: immune fluorescence; MI: Myocardial Infarction; FC: fold change; WB: western blot.

NTA Cumulativa	FV	EV	EV	Overall	Pairwise Comparisons				
concentration (AUC)	Ev Pre-MI	Post-MI Vehicle	Post-MI GW4869	<i>P</i> -value	Pre-MI vs. Vehicle	Pre-MI vs. GW4869	Vehicle vs. GW4869		
Plasma derived EV 24h post-MI	$\begin{array}{c} 0.19 \pm \\ 0.038 \end{array}$	$0.61 \pm 0.095$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.26 \pm \\ 0.039 \end{array}$	< 0.001	< 0.001	1.000	0.002		
Plasma derived EV 48h post-MI	$\begin{array}{c} 0.19 \pm \\ 0.038 \end{array}$	$0.13 \pm 0.037$	0.21 ± 0.044	1.000	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.		

mRNA EV content	EV Pre-MI	EV Post-MI Vehicle	EV Post-MI GW4869	Overall <i>P</i> -value
iNOS	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	N.A.
ΙΝΓγ	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	N.A.
IL1α	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	N.A.
IL1β	$0.99 \pm 0.711$	$1.12\pm0.956$	$0.77\pm0.627$	0.951
RANTES	$1.15\pm0.116$	$1.22\pm0.395$	$1.38\pm0.213$	0.825
IL6	$1.50\pm0.981$	$2.17\pm0.315$	$2.62\pm0.029$	0.523

EV: Extracellular Vesicles; MI: Myocardial Infarction; AUC: area under the curve.

### Table S10 – *In-vitro* cleaved caspase 3 and 7 activation.

						Pairwise Comparisons							
Variable	w/o EV	EV Pre-MI	EV Post-MI GW4869	EV Post-MI Vehicle	Overall <i>P</i> -value	w/o EV vs. EV Pre-Mi	w/o EV vs. EV GW4869	w/o EV vs. EV Vehicle	EV Pre- MI vs. EV GW4869	EV Pre-MI vs. EV Vehicle	EV GW4869 vs. Vehicle		
Clev. Casp. 3 / Sarc actin	$4.61\pm0.534$	$5.89\pm0.500$	$6.14\pm0.687$	12.66 ± 1.122	< 0.001	1.000	1.000	< 0.001	1.000	< 0.001	< 0.001		
Clev. Casp 7 / Sarc actin	$3.17\pm0.476$	$2.45\pm0.216$	$3.56\pm0.287$	$8.07 \pm 1.159$	< 0.001	1.000	1.000	< 0.001	1.000	< 0.001	< 0.001		

W/o: without; EV: Extracellular Vesicles; MI: Myocardial Infarction.

Table S11 – NFkB nuclear translocation in isolated adult cardiomyocytes.

	EV	EV	EV	Overall	Pairwise Comparisons				
Variable	Ev Pre-MI	Post-MI Vehicle	Post-MI GW4869	<i>P</i> -value	Pre-MI vs. Vehicle	Pre-MI vs. GW4869	Vehicle vs. GW4869		
Nuclear NFkB / cytoplasmic NFkB	$0.023 \pm 0.0021$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.048 \pm \\ 0.0052 \end{array}$	$0.028 \pm 0.0014$	< 0.001	< 0.001	1.000	0.002		

EV: Extracellular Vesicles; MI: Myocardial Infarction.

## Table S12 – In-vitro macrophages derived EV cytotoxic effect under hypoxia.

						Pairwise Comparisons						
Variable	w/o EV	ΕV-ΜΦ	EV-M1	EV-M2	Overall <i>P</i> -value	w/o EV vs. EV ΜΦ	w/o EV vs. EV M2	w/o EV vs. EV M1	EV ΜΦ vs. EV M2	EV ΜΦ vs. EV M1	EV M2 vs. EV M1	
Dead cells / total cells (%)	57.3 ± 2.60	$52.2\pm2.84$	$81.0\pm3.02$	$60.3\pm4.31$	< 0.001	1.000	1.000	< 0.001	0.581	< 0.001	0.001	

## Table S13 – Extracellular vesicles cytotoxic effects are mediated by EV surface proteins.

							Pairwise Comparisons						
Va Dead / total cells (%)	Variable	w/o EV	EV Pre-MI	EV Post-MI Vehicle	EV Post-MI GW4869	Overall <i>P</i> -value	w/o EV vs. EV Pre-MI	w/o EV vs. EV GW4869	w/o EV vs. EV Vehicle	EV Pre-MI vs. EV GW4869	EV Pre-MI vs. EV Vehicle	EV GW4869 vs. Vehicle	
D 1/	Untreated EV	33.3 ± 5.86	41.4 ± 1.98	81.3 ± 4.76	$48.8\pm5.42$		1.000	0.537	< 0.001	1.000	< 0.001	0.001	
Dead / total cells (%)	Acid-washed EV	N.A.	$40.2\pm5.73$	$56.3 \pm 3.14$	$52.2\pm2.40$	< 0.001	<i>N.A</i> .	N.A.	<i>N.A.</i>	1.000	0.435	1.000	
	Untreated vs. Acid-washed EV	<i>N.A.</i>	1.000	0.010	1.000		N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	<i>N.A</i> .	<i>N.A</i> .	<i>N.A</i> .	

				EV-M1			Pairwise Comparisons						
١	/ariable	w/o EV	ΕV-ΜΦ		EV-M2	Overall <i>P</i> -value	w/o EV vs. EV ΜΦ	w/o EV vs. EV M2	w/o EV vs. EV M1	EV ΜΦ vs. EV M2	EV ΜΦ vs. EV M1	EV M2 vs. EV M1	
Dead / total cells (%)	Untreated EV	41.4 ± 1.98	$23.5\pm2.09$	$61.5\pm5.62$	32.8 ± 5.57	< 0.001	0.219	1.000	0.044	1.000	< 0.001	0.001	
	Acid-washed EV	N.A.	$28.0\pm4.32$	30.1 ± 1.68	$28.3\pm3.03$		N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	1.000	1.000	1.000	
	Untreated vs. Acid-washed EV	<i>N.A.</i>	1.000	< 0.001	1.000		N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	

EV: Extracellular Vesicles; MI: Myocardial Infarction.