Additional file 3: Overview of studies	that report correlation of parameter	rs to a clinical comparator instrument

Additional file 5. Over	view of studies that report correlation of parameters			
	Measured parameters	Comparator instrument	Correlation	p-value
Abel,	-Variability of ankle trajectory during swing	-Visual analog scale rating of swing variability	r=0.79	p<0.001
2002 (20)				
2003 (35)	C_{2} contraction (0) of $M(C)$	Co contraction correlation to DADC orre		at (0,001
Young,	-Co-contraction (% of MVC)	-Co-contraction correlation to BADS arm	r=0.68	p<0.001
2011 (47)				
Gordon,	-Kinematic overflow	-Kinematic overflow correlation to BADS	r=0.75	p<0.005
2006 (51)	-Peak velocity	-Peak velocity correlation to BADS	r=0.35	p=0.24
2000 (31)	-Curvature of path	-Curvature of the path correlation to BADS	r=0.70	p=0.008
	-Hold distance	-Hold distance correlation to BADS	r=0.39	n=0.19
	End point error	End point error correlation to BADS	r= 0.55	p=0.13
2.11			1=-0.05	p=0.02
Butler,	-wovement time	-wovement time correlation to wacs level	rno=0.96	p<0.001
2010 (52)	-Index of curvature during reach	- Index of curvature correlation to MACS level	rho=0.87	p<0.001
	 Number of movement units during the reach, 	 Number of movement units during reach 		
	transport to mouth and total (i.e. number of	to MACS level	rho=0.91	p<0.001
	acceleration-decelerations in the velocity profile	 Number of movement units during transport 		
	of the wrist marker)	to mouth to MACS level	rho=0.78,	p=0.003
		-Total number of movement units to MACS level	rho=0.91.	p<0.001
Butler	-Peadiatric Upper Limb Motion Index (PULMI)	-Peadiatric Upper Limb Motion Index (PULI MI)	rho=-0.78	n<0.0001
2012 (52)		correlation to MACS level	1110 0.70	(in whole
2012 (53)		correlation to MACS level		
				CP group)
De Campos,	-Intralimb coordination: shoulder flexion/elbow	-Shoulder flexion/elbow extension correlation		
2014 (55)	extension correlation	to BFMDRS arm	rho=0.64	p=0.013
	-Reach time	 Reach time correlation to BFMDRS arm, 	rho=0.81	p<0.001
	-Hand orientation error	-Hand orientation error correlation to BFMDRS arm	rho= 0.45	p=n.s.
	-Hold time	-Hold time correlation to BFMDRS arm:	rho=0.85:	p<0.001
Kukke	-Atypical kinematics score (global score to	-Atypical kinematic score correlation		1
	summarize doviations from typical movement)	to REMDRS arm:	rho-0.70	n<0.0001
2016 (56)	summarize deviations from typical movement)		110-0.70	p<0.0001
Sanger,	-Signal-to-noise ratio (ratio of first principal	 Signal-to-noise ratio correlation to BADS arm 		p=n.s.
2006 (58)	component of the joint velocity time serie to the	to BFMDRS arm		p=n.s.
2000 (00)	sum of the remaining 10 components)	to UDRS arm	r=0.82	p<0.004
Malfait	-Co-contraction	-Co-contraction correlation to BADS arm	r=0.44	n=0.326
2007 (50)	Duration of pause phase	to BEMDES arm	r=0.20	p=0.520
2007 (59)	-Duration of pause phase		r=0.20	p=0.000
		, to UDRS and	1=0.23	p=0.018
		-Duration of pause correlation to BADS	r=0.57	p=0.177
		to BFMDRS	r=0.64	p=0.123
		to UDRS	r=0.71	p=0.074
Pons,	-Index of dystonia (kinematic measure of overflow)	-Index of dystonia correlation to BFMDRS arm	r=0.82	p=0.02
2017 (60)	-Target accuracy	-Target accuracy correlation to BFMDRS arm		p=n.s.
2017 (00)				•
Kawamura,	-Kinematic dystonia measure (kinematic overflow:	-Kinematic dystonia measure during hand tapping		
2012 (61)	summation of joint angle movement of wrist,	correlation to BADS total:	r=0.79	p=0.003
	elbow and shoulder)	to BADS arm	r=0.76	p=0.007
		to QUEST	r= -0.60	p=0.05
		-Kinematic dystonia measure during eye blinking		
		correlation (after outlier removal) to BADS total:.	r=0.41	p=0.24
		to BADS arm	r=0.72	p=0.02
		to OUFST	r=-0.17	n=0.63
Logros	Integral/area under the curve of acceleration	Integral under the curve during rest correlation	1 0.17	p 0.05
Legius,				n 10 01
2004 (62)	power spectrum during rest and posture	to BFMDRS	rno=0.58	p<0.01
		to BFMDRS arm		p=n.s.
		 Integral under the cure during posture 		
		to BFMDRS:		p=n.s.
		to BFMDRS arm		p=n.s.
Bertucco.	-Movement time	-Movement time correlation to BADS arm	r =0.33	p<0.05
2014 (60)	-Throughput (ratio of index of difficulty to	-Throughput correlation to BADS arm	r=0.28	n<0.05
2014 (09)	movement time calculated by Fitts' Law)		1-0.20	P 10.05
	novement time calculated by Fitts Law)			
Young,	-Tracking error	-Tracking error correlation to BADS arm	r=0.73	p<0.001
2011 (71)	-Overflow (sEMG)	-Overflow (sEMG) correlation to BADS arm,	r=0.56	p<0.001
(, _,	Tracking error	Tracking error correlation to DADC arre	-0.20	
roung,	- ITACKING EFFOR	- Fracking error correlation to BADS arm,	1=0.28	p=not rep.
2013 (72)	-Overflow (sEMG)	-Overflow (sEMG) correlation to BADS arm,	r=0.28	p=not rep.
2013 (72) Young,	-Overflow (sEMG) -Tracking error	-Overflow (sEMG) correlation to BADS arm, -Tracking error correlation to BADS arm	r=0.28 r=0.59	p=not rep. p= not rep.

BADS= Barry-Albright Dystonia scale ;BFMDRS = Burke-Fahn-Marsden Dystonia Rating Scale; MACS= Manual Ability Classification System ; QUEST=Quality of Upper Extremity Skills Test score; UDRS=Unified Dystonia Rating Scale; n.s.=not significant; not rep.=not reported