

Additional file 7: Table S3: Characteristics of included studies (assessed body region, assessed ICF-CY categories, used instruments and technologies and measured parameters)

First author, year	Assessed body region	Assessed ICF-CY categories	Instruments / Technologies	Measured parameters	GMFCS amb. / non amb.	MACS	Comparison		Pre/post intervention	Reliability
							between groups	with clinical measure		
Andrews, 2015 (36)	Lower extremity	-Muscle tone / Motor reflex function	-sEMG -6DOF single position sensor -Force sensor-	-Knee ROM -Angular peak velocity -Angular peak acceleration -EMG data	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Beattie, 2016 (37)	Lower extremity	-Muscle tone / Motor reflex function	-sEMG -Video	-Mean number of active muscles during rest, quick stretch and volitional movement	I-III	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
Lebiedowska, 2004 (38)	Lower extremity	-Muscle power function -Muscle tone / Motor reflex function -Gait pattern function -Walking	-sEMG -Electrogoniometer -Force platforms -3D motion capture system -Force transducer	-Maximum voluntary isometric knee flexion and extension torques -Co-contraction of antagonist during knee flexion and extension -Knee tendon reflexes -Resistance of knee joint during slow passive movement -Torque of catch -Kinematic gait parameters in the sagittal plane of knee range of motion -Walking velocity	amb.	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
Abel, 2003 (39)	Lower extremity	-Gait pattern	-3D motion capture system	-Variability of ankle trajectory during swing	amb.	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗
Davids, 1999 (40)	Lower extremity	-Gait pattern	-3D motion capture system	-Normalized dynamic base of support (step width) -Step profile Step length/step width -Total body maximal lateral acceleration	amb.	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
Petrarca, 2017 (41)	Lower extremity	-Gait pattern	-3D motion capture system	-Kinematic data -Movement pattern during gait and jumping	amb.	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Sangeux, 2016 (42)	Lower extremity	-Gait pattern	-3D motion capture system	-Variability of kinematic data – Overall Gait Variability Measure (OGVM)	I-III	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
Berg, 1969 (43)	Lower extremity Upper extremity	-Control of voluntary movement function	-sEMG -Needle EMG	-Level of EMG activity during rest and activity -Frequency spectrum	amb.& non amb	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
Kukke, 2011 (44)	Upper extremity	-Muscle tone / Motor reflex function -Control of voluntary movement function -Involuntary movement function	-sEMG -Jointed elbow brace belt-driven by a strong motor -Optical position encoder	-Mean elbow extension velocity -Peak elbow extension velocity -Mean triceps sEMG (overflow) -Mean biceps sEMG	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗

Table 3 (continued):

First author, year	Assessed body region	Assessed ICF-CY categories	Instruments / Technologies	Measured parameters	GMFCS amb. / non amb.	MACS	Comparison		Pre/post inter-vention	Reliability
							between groups	with clinical measure		
Chu, 2009 (45)	Upper extremity	-Muscle power function	-Force loadcell -Biodex chair with arm device	-Force variability -Signal dependence of noise (increase of variability with force level)	x	x	✓	x	x	x
Van Doornik, 2009 (46)	Upper extremity	-Muscle tone / Motor reflex function	-sEMG -Force sensor -Electrogoniometer -Biodex chair -Custom built apparatus to apply manual perturbations to elbow joint	-Correlation between elbow angle and sEMG activity -sEMG activity during sinusoidal perturbation -Dependency of EMG with velocity or position	x	x	x	x	x	x
Young, 2011 (47)	Upper extremity	-Control of voluntary movement function	-Biodex chair -Custom built apparatus to held shoulder abducted 90 degree and elbow flexed 90 degree -sEMG -Software to track a target by activating biceps and triceps	-Co-contraction (% of MVC) -Tracking error	x	x	✓	✓	✓	x
Niku, 1985 (48)	Upper extremity	-Control of voluntary movement function -Involuntary movement function	-Potentiometer -Electrogoniometer -Rigid and a flexible elbow brace -Motion pattern generator, generating sinusoidal motions	-Movement frequency spectrum during: *a visual tracking tests of sinusoidal motion *a freewheeling test (move as fast as possible)	x	x	✓	x	x	x
Chu, 2013 (49)	Upper extremity	-Control of voluntary movement function	-Manipulandum rotating around a single axis (elbow rotation on a table, movement restricted to horizontal plane), -Optical position encoder -Software: showing movement of shuffleboard	-Mean velocity -Intrinsic variability (Standard deviation of maximum velocity)	x	x	✓	x	x	x
Chu, 2016 (50)	Upper extremity	- Control of voluntary movement function	-Manipulandum rotating around a single axis (elbow rotation on a table, movement restricted to horizontal plane) -Optical position encoder -Software: showing movement of bal	-Performance error: distance error of virtual bal to target -Reduction of performance error -T- Cost (tolerance, costs with respect to optimal performance): Difference in mean error of location between the actual data and the ideal data -Timing error -Release time window	x	x	✓	x	x	x

Table 3 (continued):

First author, year	Assessed body region	Assessed ICF-CY categories	Instruments / Technologies	Measured parameters	GMFCS amb. / non amb.	MACS	Comparison		Pre/post inter-vention	Reliability
							between groups	with clinical measure		
Gordon, 2006 (51)	Upper extremity	-Muscle tone / Motor reflex function -Control of voluntary movement function -Involuntary movement function -Hand and arm use	-sEMG -Rigidty analyzer -3D-motion capture system	-Total joint excursion (shoulder, elbow, wrist) of the resting arm (kinematic overflow) Reaching performance: -Peak velocity -Wrist path ratio (curvature) -End point error (overshoot or undershoot) -Hold distance	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗
Butler, 2010 (52)	Upper extremity	-Control of voluntary movement function -Lifting and carrying objects	-3D motion capture system	-Movement time -Movement time of different phases of Reach & Grasp cyle (i.e. reach forward and grasp, transport to mouth, transport back and release) -Index of curvature during reach -Peak velocity -Number of movement units during the Reach & Gasp cycle (i.e. number of acceleration–decelerations in the velocity profile of the wrist marker)	✗	I-III	✓	✓	✗	✗
Butler, 2012 (53)	Upper extremity	-Control of voluntary movement function -Lifting and carrying objects	-3D motion capture system	-Movement time -Index of curvature during reach -Number of movement units during the Reach & Gasp cycle (i.e. number of acceleration–decelerations in the velocity profile of the wrist marker) -Angular velocity of elbow extension during reach -Ratio of the peak velocity during two phases -Peadiatric Upper Limb Motion Index (PULMI)	✗	I-III	✓	✓	✗	✓
Damiano, 2010 (54)	Upper extremity	-Control of voluntary movement function -Involuntary movement function -Hand and arm use	-3D motion capture system	-Reach velocity -Path length -Overflow to non-moving limb -Ability to maintain a static arm posture	✗	II-IV	✓	✗	✗	✗

Table 3 (continued):

First author, year	Assessed body region	Assessed ICF-CY categories	Instruments / Technologies	Measured parameters	GMFCS amb. / non amb.	MACS	Comparison		Pre/post intervention	Reliability
							between groups	with clinical measure		
De Campos, 2014 (55)	Upper extremity	-Control of voluntary movement function -Lifting and carrying objects	-3D motion capture system	-Intralimb coordination: shoulder flexion/elbow extension correlation -Reach time -Hold time -Hand orientation error	x	I-III	✓	✓	x	x
Kukke, 2016 (56)				-Hand aperture -Movement time (between start of reach, hand-object contact time, object lift off time); -Atypical kinematics score (global score to summarize deviations from typical movement)	x	I-III	✓	✓	x	x
Elliott, 2011 (57)	Upper extremity	-Control of voluntary movement function -Hand and arm use	-3D motion capture system	-Movement time -Directness index (ratio of actual path versus shortest path) -Normalized jerk -% time in primary movement -% normalised jerk in primary movement	x	x	✓	x	✓	x
Sanger, 2006 (58)	Upper extremity	-Control of voluntary movement function -Hand and arm use	-3D motion capture system	Measure of variability: *Signal-to-noise ratio (ratio of first principal component of the joint velocity time serie to the sum of the remaining 10 components) Measure of trajectory: *Index of curvature *Mean jerk during finger-to-nose task	x	x	✓	✓	x	x
Malfait, 2007 (59)	Upper extremity	-Control of voluntary movement function -Hand and arm use	-3D motion capture system -sEMG	- Average co-contraction over flexion/extension (finger-to-nose): *Dot product of the sEMG between biceps/triceps *Minimum value of sEMG between biceps/triceps -EMG activation levels during each phases -Duration of whole movement circle -Duration of phases: *Acceleration/deceleration *Pause -Maximum flexion/extension velocity -Maximum elbow rotation -Coefficient of variation of each measure	II-V	x	✓	✓	x	x

Table 3 (continued):

First author, year	Assessed body region	Assessed ICF-CY categories	Instruments / Technologies	Measured parameters	GMFCS amb. / non amb.	MACS	Comparison		Pre/post inter-vention	Reliability
							between groups	with clinical measure		
Pons, 2017 (60)	Upper extremity	-Control of voluntary movement function -Involuntary movement function -Hand and arm use	-3D motion capture system	-Index of dystonia (kinematic measure of overflow) during 'drinking' task -Target accuracy (reach-and-point task): *Hold distance *End point error During 5 functional upper extremity tasks and 4 reach-to-graps tasks: *Movement duration *Average and maximum linear velocity *Index of curvature *Joint angles	I-IV	I-III	✓	✓	✗	✗
Kawamura, 2012 (61)	Upper extremity	-Involuntary movement function	-3D motion capture system	-Kinematic dystonia measure (kinematic overflow: summation of joint angle movement of wrist, elbow and shoulder)	I-V	I-IV	✓	✓	✗	✓
Legros, 2004 (62)	Upper extremity	-Involuntary movement function	-Three-axis piezoceramic accelerometer	-Integral/area under the curve of acceleration power spectrum during rest and posture	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
Sanger, 2007 (63)	Upper extremity	-Control of voluntary movement function -Hand and arm use	-Shape Tape	-Maximal velocity of outward reaching	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
Liyangamage, 2017 (64)	Upper extremity	-Control of voluntary movement function	-sEMG	-Movement time -Throughput (ratio of index of difficulty to movement time calculated by Fitts' Law) -Muscle use (ratio of EMG in the vibrated muscle to non-vibrated muscle)	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
Nwaobi, 1987 (65) Nwaobi, 1987 (66)	Upper extremity	-Control of voluntary movement function -Hand and arm use	-sEMG -Touch activated switch	-Movement time -sEMG activity	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
Sanger, 2005 (67)	Upper extremity	-Control of voluntary movement function -Hand and arm use	-Touch activated switch (three different sizes)	-Movement time -Slope of regression lines of movement time on the logarithm of button width (Fitt's law) -Slope of regression lines of speed on button width -Slope and intercept of regression lines of log variance on log mean speed	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗

Table 3 (continued):

First author, year	Assessed body region	Assessed ICF-CY categories	Instruments / Technologies	Measured parameters	GMFCS amb. / non amb.	MACS	Comparison		Pre/post inter-vention	Reliability
							between groups	with clinical measure		
Rabhi, 2018 (68)	Upper extremity	-Control of voluntary movement function -Moving around using equipment	-Virtual environment simulating the wheelchair navigation	-Performance indices of user's path (path length, performance time, speed, number/duration of collisions, number of stops, succesfully passed targed points -Performance indices from joystick (average amplitude, average speed)	V	x	x	x	x	x
Bertucco, 2014 (69) Bertucco, 2015 (70)	Upper extremity / fingers	-Control of voluntary movement function -Fine hand use	-Touchscreen tablet -Custom made app – game to assess tradeoff between movement time and accuracy (Fitt's Law))	-Success rate -Movement time - Intercept and slope of linear regression of movement time as function of Indices of Difficulties (Fitt's law) -Index of performance (1/slope of regression) -Throughput (ratio of index of difficulty to movement time calculated by Fitts' Law)	x	x	✓	✓	x	x
Young, 2011 (71) Young, 2013 (72) Young, 2014 (73)	Upper extremity / fingers	-Control of voluntary movement function -Involuntary movement function	-sEMG -Software tracking a target by isometrically activating of intrinsic muscles	-Tracking error -Overflow (EMG)	x	x	✓	✓	✓	x
Bhanpuri, 2015, (74)	Upper extremity / fingers	-Control of voluntary movement function -Involuntary movement function	-sEMG -Software tracking a target by isometrically activating of intrinsic muscles	-Tracking error in step tracking task and continuous task -Overflow (sEMG) error in step tracking task and continuous task	x	x	x	x	✓	x
Lunardini, 2016 (75)	Upper extremity /fingers	-Control of voluntary movement function -Fine hand use	-3D motion capture system -sEMG	-Accuracy error -Speed -Task correlation index (relative contribution of muscle activity correlated with 8-figure task)	x	x	x	x	✓	x
Bertucco, 2019, (76)	Upper extremity /fingers	-Control of voluntary movement function -Fine hand use	-Touchscreen tablet -sEMG	-Accuracy error -Speed -Ratio between error and speed -Spatial variability -Temporal variability -Task correlation index (relative contribution of muscle activity correlated with 8-figure task)	x	x	✓	x	✓	x

Table 3 (continued):

First author, year	Assessed body region	Assessed ICF-CY categories	Instruments / Technologies	Measured parameters	GMFCS amb. / non amb.	MACS	Comparison		Pre/post inter-vention	Reliability
							between groups	with clinical measure		
Choi, 2011 (77)	Upper extremity /fingers	-Control of voluntary movement function -Fine hand use	-Virtual handwriting training system, with PC connected to a haptic device that can provide force feedback	-Segmented movement time of transition and stroke-drawing -Total movement time -Time on-paper/Time in-air -Deviation of written trajectory from the ideal path	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Nicholson, 2001 (78)	Trunk Upper extremity	-Control of voluntary movement function -Hand and arm use	-3D motion capture system	-Proximal stability at the trunk and distal stability at the wrist and hand -Variation in movements between attempts of a particular body part during reaching task in particular plane -Smoothness trajectory of reach (visual comparison to normative data)	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Garavaglia, 2017 (79)	Trunk Upper extremity	-Control of voluntary movement function -Hand and arm use -Maintaining a body position	-3D motion capture system	-Joint angles (thorax, shoulder, elbow, wrist) Fingernail position	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Cimolin, 2009 (80)	Trunk Head Upper extremity	-Involuntary movement function -Maintaining a body position	-3D motion capture system -System for acquisition of pressure distribution placed on the seatback and on headback of adaptive seating system	-Trajectories of markers of head and trunk: Difference between initial position and end position after extensor thrust -Initial position in anterior and vertical direction -ROM of head, trunk and upper limb (difference between initial position and maximum valued during extensor thrust) -Average jerk (smoothness of movement) extensor thrust -Peak of force on seatback and headpack during extensor thrust	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
Man, 2007 (81)	Head	- Control of voluntary movement function	-Camera Mouse -Head-mouse emulator switch -Cross scanner (i.e. mouse-like pointer interface software) activated by eye movement; -Eye Gaze tracker -Software: Point and-click test, based on the principle of Fitt's Law	-Movement time -Accuracy rate	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

Table 3 (continued):

First author, year	Assessed body region	Assessed ICF-CY categories	Instruments / Technologies	Measured parameters	GMFCS amb. / non amb.	MACS	Comparison		Pre/post inter-vention	Reliability
Raya, 2010 (82)	Head	-Involuntary movement function	-Inertial sensor -Software to control mouse pointer by inertial sensor	-Amplitude and frequency of movement -Power spectral density versus time -Standard deviations of angular head velocity	V	✘	✘	✘	✘	✘
Saavedra, 2010 (83)	Head	-Involuntary movement function -Maintaining a body position	-3D motion capture system	-Head movement (sway parameters in sagittal and frontal plane) -Head displacement (RMS) with eyes closed and open	I-III	✘	✓	✘	✘	✘
Yamamoto, 2019 (84)	Head	-Involuntary movement function -Maintaining a body position	-2D motion capture system	-Head segment angle (side-bending) -Head angular velocity	V	V	✘	✘	✘	✘

sEMG=surface electromyography; DOF=Degree of freedom; ROM=Range of Motion; OGV= Overall Gait Variability Measure; MVC=maximum voluntary contraction; PULMI)=Peadiatric Upper Limb Motion Index ; %=percentage; RMS=Root mean amb=ambulatory; non amb.=non ambulatory; GMFCS=Gross motor functioning classification system; MACS=Manual Ability Classification System

✘ = information not available; ✓ = information available;