

## Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see [Authors & Referees](#) and the [Editorial Policy Checklist](#).

### Statistics

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

n/a Confirmed

- The exact sample size ( $n$ ) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
- A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
- The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided  
*Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.*
- A description of all covariates tested
- A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
- A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
- For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g.  $F$ ,  $t$ ,  $r$ ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and  $P$  value noted  
*Give  $P$  values as exact values whenever suitable.*
- For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
- For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
- Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's  $d$ , Pearson's  $r$ ), indicating how they were calculated

*Our web collection on [statistics for biologists](#) contains articles on many of the points above.*

### Software and code

Policy information about [availability of computer code](#)

Data collection

SRAToolkit, v2.8.0  
Illumina BaseSpace

Data analysis

Trim Galore, v0.4.1  
TopHat2, v2.1.1  
DESeq2, v1.24.0  
Bowtie, v1.1.1  
Bowtie2, v2.3.4.3  
IGV, v2.3.20  
Bismark, v0.20.0  
Bedtools, v2.27.0  
R, v3.6.0  
Samtools, v1.9  
segmentSeq, v3.8  
LTRpred, available at <https://github.com/HajkD/LTRpred>

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research [guidelines for submitting code & software](#) for further information.

## Data

Policy information about [availability of data](#)

All manuscripts must include a [data availability statement](#). This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

Sequencing data generated in this study are deposited to NCBI SRA database as "PRJNA516166" and its identification is described in the Methods section.

## Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

- Life sciences  Behavioural & social sciences  Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see [nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf](https://www.nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf)

## Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

|                 |  |
|-----------------|--|
| Sample size     | No sample-size calculation was performed. Samples size was chosen according to the standard generally accepted in the field of plant molecular biology.                              |
| Data exclusions | No data were excluded from the analyses.   |
| Replication     | All the experimental findings were reproducible in the independent biological replications.  |
| Randomization   | Plants were placed randomly in the growing facility.   |
| Blinding        | No blinding was applied. Most of the data in this study are generated by bioinformatic analyses. Since we applied identical settings to all the samples, blinding was not essential. |

## Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

### Materials & experimental systems

| n/a                                 | Involvement in the study                             |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antibodies       |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Eukaryotic cell lines       |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Palaeontology               |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Animals and other organisms |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Human research participants |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Clinical data               |

### Methods

| n/a                                 | Involvement in the study                        |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ChIP-seq    |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Flow cytometry         |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> MRI-based neuroimaging |

## Antibodies

|                 |   |
|-----------------|---|
| Antibodies used | anti-H3K9me2, abcam ab1220; anti-H3K9ac, Millipore 07-352   |
| Validation      | Validation statements of both antibodies are available from manufactures: H3K9me2 ( <a href="https://www.abcam.com/histone-h3-dimethyl-k9-antibody-mabcam-1220-chip-grade-ab1220.html">https://www.abcam.com/histone-h3-dimethyl-k9-antibody-mabcam-1220-chip-grade-ab1220.html</a> ) and H3K9ac ( <a href="https://www.merckmillipore.com/GB/en/product/Anti-acetyl-Histone-H3-Lys9-Antibody,MM_NF-07-352?ReferrerURL=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.google.com%2F&amp;bd=1">https://www.merckmillipore.com/GB/en/product/Anti-acetyl-Histone-H3-Lys9-Antibody,MM_NF-07-352?ReferrerURL=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.google.com%2F&amp;bd=1</a> ). |

## ChIP-seq

### Data deposition

Confirm that both raw and final processed data have been deposited in a public database such as [GEO](#).

Confirm that you have deposited or provided access to graph files (e.g. BED files) for the called peaks.

Data access links

*May remain private before publication.*

All the data has been deposited to the NCBI SRA database (PRJNA516166).

Files in database submission

Files in database submission will be provided in supplementary tables.

Genome browser session

(e.g. [UCSC](#))

N.A.

### Methodology

Replicates

Two replicates of each experiment were performed.

Sequencing depth

Sequencing depth information will be provided in supplementary tables.

Antibodies

anti-H3K9me2, abcam ab1220; anti-H3K9ac, Millipore 07-352

Peak calling parameters

No peak calling was performed. ChIP-seq data were used to define the distal and pericentric chromatins.

Data quality

Sequencing data quality was assessed by FastQC (<https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/>).

Software

Trim Galore, v0.4.1  
Bowtie2, v2.3.4.3