natureresearch

Corresponding author(s): Jarno Drost

Last updated by author(s): 24-01-2020

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see <u>Authors & Referees</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistics

| For | For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section. | | | | |
|-------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| n/a | Cor | nfirmed | | | |
| | \square | The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement | | | |
| | \square | A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly | | | |
| | | The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section. | | | |
| \boxtimes | | A description of all covariates tested | | | |
| | \square | A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons | | | |
| | | A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals) | | | |
| | | For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted Give <i>P</i> values as exact values whenever suitable. | | | |
| \boxtimes | | For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings | | | |
| | \square | For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes | | | |
| | \boxtimes | Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated | | | |
| | | Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above. | | | |

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

| Data collection | See below for software used. |
|-----------------|--|
| | |
| Data analysis | GraphPad Prism v7.04 |
| | Imaris software v.9.2.1 |
| | ImageJ v.1.50e |
| | Kaluza Analysis 2.1 |
| | Burrows-Wheeler Aligner v0.7.5a |
| | Sambamba v0.6.8 |
| | Analysis Toolkit (GATK) IndelRealigner v3.4-46 |
| | GATK HaplotypeCaller v3.4-46 |
| | Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Database v137.b37 |
| | STAR version 2.6.1 |
| | featureCounts version 1.5.2 |
| | R package Seurat (version 3.0.2) |
| | R package clusterProfiler version 3.12 |
| | SingleR version 1.0.1 |
| | STAR-fusion (version 1.4.0) |
| | Chimeraviz R package (version 3.8) |
| | |
| | |

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

The sequencing data have been deposited to the European Genome-Phenome Archive (www.ebi.ac.uk/ega/) under accession numbers EGAD00001005319 [https:// www.ebi.ac.uk/ega/search/site/EGAD00001005319] and EGAD00001005318 [https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ega/search/site/EGAD00001005318]. DNA methylation data have been deposited to GEO (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under accession number GSE137544 [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi? acc=GSE137544]. COSMIC SigProfiler database [https://www.synapse.org/#!Synapse:syn11967914] has been used for mutational signatures analysis. Filtering scripts used mutational signatures analysis are available at https://github.com/UMCUGenetics/SNVFI and https://github.com/ToolsVanBox/INDELFI.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

X Life sciences

Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

| Sample size | All patients with pediatric kidney tumors that have been treated at the Princess Maxima Center and have given informed consent have been included in the study (54) | |
|-----------------|---|--|
| Data exclusions | No data was excluded | |
| Replication | For each of the experiments, we specify in the legends the number of replicates conducted. | |
| Randomization | Not relevant as no comparisons were made between different experimental groups. | |
| Blinding | All analyses were performed blinded. For instance, H&E stainings were examined by the pathologist without providing any patient information (e.g. tumor type, stage, etc.). | |

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

| Materials & experimental systems | Methods | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| n/a Involved in the study | n/a Involved in the study | |
| Antibodies | ChIP-seq | |
| Eukaryotic cell lines | Flow cytometry | |
| Palaeontology | MRI-based neuroimaging | |
| Animals and other organisms | · | |
| Human research participants | | |
| Clinical data | | |

Antibodies

| Antibodies used | The following primary antibodies were used for immunohistochemical staining: desmin (Leica Novacastra, NCL-L-Des-Derll, 1:100), INI-1 (BD Transduction Laboratories, 612111, 1:400), P53 (Dako, M7001, 1:6000). The following antibodies were used for immunofluorescent stainings: SIX2 (Proteintech, 11562-1-AP, 1:200), E-cadherin clone ECCD-2 (Thermo Fisher, 13-1900, 1:200/1:500), CD90 clone EPR3133 (Abcam, 133350, 1:100), CD90-APC clone 5E10 (Biolegend, 328113, 1:200). The following antibodies were used for FACS: Alexa-fluor 488 anti-human CD326 EPCAM clone 9C4 (Bio Legend, 324210, 1:20), CD90-APC clone 5E10 (Biolegend, 328113, 1:50). The following antibodies were used for Western Blot: P53 clone DO-1 (sc-126, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:1000), GAPDH (ab-9485, Abcam, 1:1000). |
|-----------------|---|
| Validation | Antibodies were validated for use in these systems by the manufacturers who have provided references on each of their |

websites using the catalog numbers provided above. Proteintech: https://www.ptglab.com/products/SIX2-Antibody-11562-1-AP.htm#validation Thermo Fisher: https://www.thermofisher.com/antibody/product/E-cadherin-Antibody-clone-ECCD-2-Monoclonal/13-1900 Abcam: https://www.abcam.com/cd90--thy1-antibody-epr3133-ab133350-references.html#top-500 https://www.abcam.com/gapdh-antibody-loading-control-ab9485.html Biolegend: https://www.biolegend.com/fr-fr/products/apc-anti-human-cd90-thy1-antibody-4116 https://www.biolegend.com/fr-fr/products/alexa-fluor-488-anti-human-cd326-epcam-antibody-3759 Santa Cruz Biotechnology: https://www.scbt.com/p/p53-antibody-do-1 Antibodies were validated by including positive and negative control tissues.

Human research participants

| Policy information about studies involving human research participants | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Population characteristics | We collected tumors (and matching healthy kidney) from all pediatric patients (age < 18) with kidney tumors entering the Princess Maxima Center. We included in this study male and female patients with different diagnosis, with primary and/or metastatic tumors, patient that underwent different therapy regimens. All details about patients included in the study are mentioned in Supplementary Table 1. | | | | |
| Recruitment | All children treated in our institute for a renal tumor and signed informed consent was obtained were included. | | | | |
| Ethics oversight | All experiments with human tissue were approved by the medical ethical committee of the Erasmus Medical Center (Rotterdam, the Netherlands). | | | | |

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Flow Cytometry

Plots

Confirm that:

The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).

The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).

 \square All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.

A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

Methodology

| Sample preparation | MRTK and Wilms tumour organoids were dissociated into single-cell suspensions using TrypLE Express (Thermo Fisher) supplemented with Rho-kinase inhibitor Y-27632 (10 μM, Abmole). Single-cell suspensions were stained using mouse Alexa-fluor 488 anti-human CD326 EPCAM clone 9C4(1:20, Biolegend) and CD90-APC clone 5E10 (Biolgend, 328113, 1:50) as described. |
|---------------------------|---|
| Instrument | EPCAM-positive and -negative populations were separated using BD FACSAria - Fusion sorter (BD Biosciences). EPCAM positive and CD90 positive populations were separated using MoFlow Astrios (Beckman Coulter). |
| Software | Kaluza Analysis 2.1 |
| Cell population abundance | After sorting, both populations were plated in BME and cultured as described in Methods section. |
| Gating strategy | In contrast to normal kidney tissue, MRTKs do not show epithelial differentiation. Therefore, we separated MRTK cells from contaminating normal kidney cells based on expression of the epithelial marker EPCAM. As for CD90 and EPCAM gating in Wilms tumor organoids, we used unlabelled cells of interest, single-color controls (UltraComp beads ThermoFisher, or partially killed cells of interest) followed by automatic compensation matrix calculation s(fluorescence-minus-one (FMO) controls for each marker). |

🔀 Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.