

## Supplementary Tables

*Supplementary Table 1.* Model fitting results for test of a single factor model of the CUDIT.

	$M_2^*$	df	$p$	RMSEA (90% CI)	SRMSR	TLI	CFI
All Men	45.50	4	<0.01	0.09 (0.07-0.11)	0.08	0.89	0.97
Dutch	19.32	4	<0.01	0.11 (0.06-0.16)	0.09	0.85	0.95
Asian	5.81	4	0.21	0.04 (0.00-0.11)	0.09	0.98	0.99
African	4.27	4	0.37	0.01 (0.00-0.08)	0.09	1.00	1.00
Turkish	2.79	4	0.59	0.00 (0.00-0.10)	0.09	1.04	1.00
Moroccan	23.15	4	<0.01	0.17 (0.11-0.24)	0.08	0.49	0.84

*Supplementary Table 2.* Tests of Item Monotonicity – Full Sample.

	$S_X^2$	df	RMSEA	$p$
Item 1	54.11	42	0.01	0.10
<b>Item 2</b>	<b>125.19</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>0.02</b>	<b>&lt;0.00</b>
Item 3	88.10	68	0.01	0.05
Item 4	63.63	64	0.00	0.49
Item 5	80.61	65	0.01	0.09
Item 6	87.12	68	0.01	0.06
<b>Item 7</b>	<b>129.37</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>0.02</b>	<b>&lt;0.00</b>
<b>Item 8</b>	<b>118.36</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>0.02</b>	<b>&lt;0.00</b>
Item 10	25.55	24	0.01	0.38

*Note:* Bold text indicates an RMSEA value significantly different from 0.

*Supplementary Table 3a. Tests of item monotonicity – Dutch origin sample.*

	S_X <sup>2</sup>	df	RMSEA	p
Item 1	24.46	15	0.04	0.06
<b>Item 2</b>	<b>30.98</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>&lt;0.01</b>
Item 3	21.72	17	0.03	0.20
Item 4	14.97	10	0.04	0.13
<b>Item 5</b>	<b>22.06</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>&lt;0.01</b>
Item 6	9.08	6	0.04	0.17
Item 7	26.20	17	0.04	0.07
Item 8	21.03	12	0.05	0.05
Item 10	16.05	12	0.03	0.19

*Note:* Bold text indicates an RMSEA value significantly different from 0.

*Supplementary Table 3b. Tests of item monotonicity – African Surinamese sample.*

	S_X <sup>2</sup>	df	RMSEA	p
Item 1	22.46	22	0.01	0.43
Item 2	61.42	45	0.03	0.05
Item 3	57.25	45	0.03	0.10
Item 4	29.33	32	0.00	0.60
Item 5	33.04	27	0.02	0.20
<b>Item 6</b>	<b>52.95</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>0.03</b>
Item 7	49.12	39	0.02	0.13
Item 8	46.87	38	0.02	0.15
Item 10	22.12	20	0.02	0.33

*Note:* Bold text indicates an RMSEA value significantly different from 0.

*Supplementary Table 3c. Tests of item monotonicity – South-Asian Surinamese sample.*

	S_X <sup>2</sup>	df	RMSEA	p
Item 1	9.86	16	0.00	0.87
Item 2	26.58	22	0.03	0.23
Item 3	30.77	31	0.00	0.48
Item 4	23.31	20	0.03	0.27
Item 5	13.82	14	0.00	0.46
Item 6	20.26	17	0.03	0.26
Item 7	26.86	19	0.04	0.11
Item 8	27.58	18	0.05	0.07
Item 10	9.81	18	0.00	0.94

*Note:* Bold text indicates an RMSEA value significantly different from 0.

*Supplementary Table 3d. Tests of item monotonicity – Turkish sample.*

	S_X <sup>2</sup>	df	RMSEA	p
Item 1	19.70	18	0.02	0.35
Item 2	23.75	16	0.06	0.10
Item 3	24.84	19	0.05	0.17
Item 4	16.54	15	0.03	0.35
Item 5	18.56	15	0.04	0.23
Item 6	10.55	10	0.02	0.39
Item 7	20.81	20	0.02	0.41
<b>Item 8</b>	<b>27.51</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0.07</b>	<b>0.04</b>
Item 10	15.73	10	0.06	0.11

*Note:* Bold text indicates an RMSEA value significantly different from 0.

*Supplementary Table 3e. Tests of item monotonicity – Moroccan sample.*

	S_X <sup>2</sup>	df	RMSEA	p
Item 1	20.67	19	0.02	0.36
Item 2	30.13	26	0.03	0.26
Item 3	22.72	24	0.00	0.54
Item 4	29.62	25	0.03	0.24
Item 5	20.76	17	0.04	0.24
Item 6	20.46	12	0.06	0.06
Item 7	27.04	29	0.00	0.57
Item 8	16.54	24	0.00	0.87
Item 10	10.06	15	0.00	0.82

*Note:* Bold text indicates an RMSEA value significantly different from 0.

Supplementary Table 4. Pairwise DIF contrasts of CUDIT items as a function of ethnic group membership in male cannabis users.

4a. Dutch vs. African Surinamese

Items	Omnibus Test		<i>a</i>		<i>b</i> <sub>1</sub>		<i>b</i> <sub>2</sub>		<i>b</i> <sub>3</sub>		<i>b</i> <sub>4</sub>	
	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>
1 frequency of use	<b>13.801</b>	<b>0.008</b>	2.533	0.111	<b>4.109</b>	<b>0.043</b>	<b>8.382</b>	<b>0.004</b>	<b>4.827</b>	<b>0.028</b>		
2 usual hours being stoned	8.530	0.074	1.975	0.160	<b>6.548</b>	<b>0.010</b>	1.677	0.195	0.807	0.369	2.467	0.116
3 stoned for 6 or more hours	<b>15.954</b>	<b>0.003</b>	0.182	0.670	2.612	0.106	0.080	0.777	0.009	0.924	0.050	0.823
4 not able to stop	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5 failed to do what expected	<b>14.692</b>	<b>0.005</b>	0.911	0.340	0.045	0.832	0.898	0.343	0.464	0.496	1.144	0.285
6 morning use	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7 guilt/remorse	<b>41.493</b>	<b>0.000</b>	0.400	0.527	<b>5.140</b>	<b>0.023</b>	0.047	0.828	0.009	0.924	0.990	0.320
8 memory/concentration problems	<b>34.353</b>	<b>0.000</b>	0.592	0.442	2.378	0.123	0.018	0.893	0.243	0.622	2.444	0.118
10 concerned others	-	-	-	-	-	-						

4b. Dutch vs. South-Asian Surinamese

Items	Omnibus Test		<i>a</i>		<i>b</i> <sub>1</sub>		<i>b</i> <sub>2</sub>		<i>b</i> <sub>3</sub>		<i>b</i> <sub>4</sub>	
	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>
1 frequency of use	<b>11.289</b>	<b>0.023</b>	1.368	0.242	0.320	0.571	3.769	0.052	2.989	0.084		
2 usual hours being stoned	4.513	0.341	1.699	0.192	2.478	0.115	0.522	0.470	0.233	0.630	0.252	0.616
3 stoned for 6 or more hours	<b>17.739</b>	<b>0.001</b>	0.230	0.631	0.380	0.538	0.522	0.470	1.390	0.238	1.860	0.173
4 not able to stop	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5 failed to do what expected	<b>11.093</b>	<b>0.026</b>	1.620	0.203	0.086	0.770	1.382	0.240	0.366	0.545	2.017	0.156
6 morning use	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7 guilt/remorse	<b>27.012</b>	<b>0.000</b>	-0.020	1.000	<b>4.704</b>	<b>0.030</b>	0.394	0.530	-0.017	1.000	0.734	0.391
8 memory/concentration problems	<b>25.778</b>	<b>0.000</b>	0.008	0.927	3.049	0.081	0.013	0.909	-0.005	1.000	0.599	0.439
10 concerned others	-	-	-	-	-	-						

Note:  $\Delta \chi^2$  – change in chi-square statistic between models freely estimating and constraining parameters to be equal; *a* - discrimination parameter; *b*<sub>1-4</sub> - difficulty parameters for transition between response levels for each item; bold text indicates nominal significance at *p*<0.05. Items 3 and 6 were selected as anchor items, and thus were not tested for DIF.

## 4c. Dutch vs. Turkish

Items	Omnibus Test		<i>a</i>		<i>b</i> <sub>1</sub>		<i>b</i> <sub>2</sub>		<i>b</i> <sub>3</sub>		<i>b</i> <sub>4</sub>	
	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>
1 frequency of use	<b>12.649</b>	<b>0.013</b>	0.052	0.819	2.732	0.098	0.127	0.722	1.402	0.236		
2 usual hours being stoned	3.762	0.439	0.251	0.617	0.292	0.589	-0.007	1.000	0.438	0.508	0.246	0.620
3 stoned for 6 or more hours	5.515	0.238	0.085	0.771	1.590	0.207	0.265	0.607	0.058	0.810	0.086	0.770
4 not able to stop	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5 failed to do what expected	3.241	0.518	1.453	0.228	1.608	0.205	2.662	0.103	2.752	0.097	<b>4.069</b>	<b>0.044</b>
6 morning use	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7 guilt/remorse	<b>11.388</b>	<b>0.023</b>	0.589	0.443	0.009	0.926	1.860	0.173	2.592	0.107	<b>4.151</b>	<b>0.042</b>
8 memory/concentration problems	1.705	0.790	0.587	0.444	0.177	0.674	0.780	0.377	0.545	0.461	1.725	0.189
10 concerned others	-	-	-	-	-	-						

## 4d. Dutch vs. Moroccan

Items	Omnibus Test		<i>a</i>		<i>b</i> <sub>1</sub>		<i>b</i> <sub>2</sub>		<i>b</i> <sub>3</sub>		<i>b</i> <sub>4</sub>	
	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>	$\Delta \chi^2$	<i>p</i>
1 frequency of use	1.679	0.794	0.037	0.848	0.784	0.376	0.160	0.689	0.300	0.584		
2 usual hours being stoned	<b>12.995</b>	<b>0.011</b>	0.011	0.917	<b>3.928</b>	<b>0.047</b>	0.256	0.613	1.435	0.231	1.504	0.220
3 stoned for 6 or more hours	<b>15.210</b>	<b>0.004</b>	-0.007	1.000	1.467	0.226	0.003	0.953	0.158	0.691	-0.004	1.000
4 not able to stop	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5 failed to do what expected	3.712	0.446	-0.002	1.000	0.359	0.549	-0.010	1.000	0.002	0.964	0.417	0.519
6 morning use	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7 guilt/remorse	<b>13.453</b>	<b>0.009</b>	-0.012	1.000	0.016	0.901	0.907	0.341	1.181	0.277	3.536	0.060
8 memory/concentration problems	<b>11.364</b>	<b>0.023</b>	-0.012	1.000	1.141	0.285	-0.014	1.000	0.071	0.791	1.036	0.309
10 concerned others	-	-	-	-	-	-						

*Note:*  $\Delta \chi^2$  – change in chi-square statistic between models freely estimating and constraining parameters to be equal; *a* - discrimination parameter; *b*<sub>1-4</sub> - difficulty parameters for transition between response levels for each item; bold text indicates nominal significance at *p*<0.05. Items 3 and 6 were selected as anchor items, and thus were not tested for DIF.

*Supplementary Table 5. Scale- and item-level effect size estimates for the CUDIT across ethnic groups.*

<i>Scale-Level Effect Sizes</i>								
	<u>African Surinamese</u>		<u>South-Asian Surinamese</u>		<u>Turkish</u>		<u>Moroccan</u>	
STDS	-0.43		-0.15		-0.17		-0.20	
UTDS	1.22		0.94		0.69		1.09	

  

<i>Item-Level Effect Sizes</i>								
	<u>African Surinamese</u>		<u>South-Asian Surinamese</u>		<u>Turkish</u>		<u>Moroccan</u>	
	<u>SIDS</u>	<u>UIDS</u>	<u>SIDS</u>	<u>UIDS</u>	<u>SIDS</u>	<u>UIDS</u>	<u>SIDS</u>	<u>UIDS</u>
Item 1	0.31	0.32	0.22	0.24	-0.21	0.21	-0.10	0.10
Item 2	-0.12	0.16	0.03	0.16	-0.09	0.09	-0.30	0.30
Item 3	-0.02	0.14	0.06	0.06	-0.11	0.12	-0.14	0.14
Item 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Item 5	-0.10	0.10	-0.11	0.12	0.10	0.10	-0.03	0.06
Item 6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Item 7	-0.28	0.28	-0.19	0.19	0.14	0.14	0.38	0.38
Item 8	-0.23	0.23	-0.17	0.18	0.01	0.03	-0.02	0.12
Item 10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Note:* All effects sizes are estimated using Dutch origin participants as the reference group. STDS – Signed Test Difference in the Sample; UTDS – Unsigned Test Difference in the Sample; SIDS – Signed Item Difference in the Sample; UIDS – Unsigned Item Difference in the Sample

Supplementary Table 6. Parameter estimates for male participants in the final graded response multiple group IRT model.

4a. Dutch ( $n=344$ )

Item	$a$	$b_1$	$b_2$	$b_3$	$b_4$
1	2.16 (0.28)	-0.02 (0.09)	0.68 (0.10)	1.01 (0.11)	
2	1.56 (0.22)	0.63 (0.11)	2.08 (0.24)	2.85 (0.34)	3.08 (0.38)
3	2.91 (0.41)	0.78 (0.09)	1.47 (0.13)	1.80 (0.15)	2.14 (0.19)
4	3.47 (0.43)	1.34 (0.09)	1.62 (0.11)	1.73 (0.12)	1.89 (0.13)
5	2.80 (0.49)	1.53 (0.14)	2.30 (0.23)	2.42 (0.25)	3.23 (0.47)
6	3.02 (0.38)	1.69 (0.11)	1.96 (0.14)	2.08 (0.15)	2.32 (0.17)
7	2.25 (0.32)	1.06 (0.11)	1.84 (0.18)	2.26 (0.22)	2.92 (0.34)
8	2.87 (0.43)	1.06 (0.10)	1.85 (0.16)	2.17 (0.20)	2.95 (0.34)
10	1.96 (0.25)	1.99 (0.15)			

4b. African Surinamese ( $n=453$ )

Item	$a$	$b_1$	$b_2$	$b_3$	$b_4$
1	1.48 (0.27)	-0.59 (0.29)	0.10 (0.19)	0.79 (0.12)	
2	2.04 (0.36)	0.94 (0.11)	1.89 (0.17)	2.42 (0.24)	2.87 (0.31)
3	3.07 (0.53)	1.05 (0.10)	1.42 (0.11)	1.62 (0.13)	1.89 (0.15)
4	3.47 (0.43)	1.34 (0.09)	1.62 (0.11)	1.73 (0.12)	1.89 (0.13)
5	2.15 (0.42)	2.04 (0.19)	2.50 (0.26)	2.77 (0.31)	3.44 (0.46)
6	3.02 (0.38)	1.69 (0.11)	1.96 (0.14)	2.08 (0.15)	2.32 (0.17)
7	1.90 (0.36)	1.85 (0.17)	2.23 (0.22)	2.60 (0.28)	2.94 (0.34)
8	2.35 (0.43)	1.71 (0.14)	2.17 (0.20)	2.41 (0.23)	2.74 (0.29)
10	1.96 (0.25)	1.99 (0.15)			

4c. South-Asian Surinamese ( $n=251$ )

Item	$a$	$b_1$	$b_2$	$b_3$	$b_4$
1	1.53 (0.33)	-0.26 (0.27)	0.26 (0.19)	0.78 (0.15)	
2	2.01 (0.40)	0.78 (0.14)	1.76 (0.17)	2.31 (0.25)	2.51 (0.28)
3	2.42 (0.48)	1.04 (0.12)	1.41 (0.13)	1.59 (0.14)	1.87 (0.18)
4	3.47 (0.43)	1.34 (0.09)	1.62 (0.11)	1.73 (0.12)	1.89 (0.13)
5	1.92 (0.42)	2.04 (0.21)	2.62 (0.31)	3.10 (0.41)	3.51 (0.50)
6	3.02 (0.38)	1.69 (0.11)	1.96 (0.14)	2.08 (0.15)	2.32 (0.17)
7	2.11 (0.44)	1.70 (0.16)	2.13 (0.22)	2.30 (0.25)	2.60 (0.30)
8	2.62 (0.53)	1.63 (0.14)	2.02 (0.19)	2.25 (0.22)	2.70 (0.29)
10	1.96 (0.25)	1.99 (0.15)			

4d. Moroccan ( $n=175$ )

Item	$a$	$b_1$	$b_2$	$b_3$	$b_4$
1	2.22 (0.50)	0.18 (0.23)	0.75 (0.16)	1.12 (0.13)	
2	1.57 (0.37)	1.19 (0.15)	2.25 (0.25)	3.39 (0.49)	3.69 (0.57)
3	2.70 (0.57)	1.15 (0.12)	1.58 (0.13)	1.71 (0.15)	2.19 (0.21)
4	3.47 (0.43)	1.34 (0.09)	1.62 (0.11)	1.73 (0.12)	1.89 (0.13)
5	2.86 (0.65)	1.73 (0.15)	2.25 (0.21)	2.42 (0.24)	2.76 (0.30)
6	3.02 (0.38)	1.69 (0.11)	1.96 (0.14)	2.08 (0.15)	2.32 (0.17)
7	2.19 (0.47)	1.11 (0.13)	1.50 (0.14)	1.83 (0.17)	2.04 (0.19)
8	2.83 (0.60)	1.39 (0.12)	1.83 (0.16)	2.05 (0.18)	2.42 (0.24)
10	1.96 (0.25)	1.99 (0.15)			

4.e Turkish ( $n=157$ )

Item	$a$	$b_1$	$b_2$	$b_3$	$b_4$
1	2.26 (0.50)	0.36 (0.20)	0.73 (0.16)	1.29 (0.14)	
2	1.34 (0.35)	0.91 (0.18)	2.42 (0.36)	2.95 (0.48)	3.30 (0.56)
3	3.12 (0.72)	1.07 (0.13)	1.55 (0.14)	1.77 (0.16)	2.13 (0.21)
4	3.47 (0.43)	1.34 (0.09)	1.62 (0.11)	1.73 (0.12)	1.89 (0.13)
5	1.98 (0.47)	1.58 (0.17)	2.31 (0.28)	2.44 (0.30)	3.03 (0.43)
6	3.02 (0.38)	1.69 (0.11)	1.96 (0.14)	2.08 (0.15)	2.32 (0.17)
7	1.80 (0.41)	1.26 (0.15)	1.70 (0.19)	2.03 (0.23)	2.46 (0.31)
8	2.33 (0.51)	1.15 (0.14)	1.88 (0.19)	2.30 (0.25)	2.81 (0.35)
10	1.96 (0.25)	1.99 (0.15)			

*Note:*  $a$  - discrimination parameter;  $b_{1-4}$  - difficulty parameters for transition between response levels for each item. Items 4, 6, and 10 were selected as anchor items, and thus are constrained to be equal across groups.



### **Supplementary Figure Captions**

*Supplementary Figure 1.* Item characteristic curve for CUDIT Item 1 by ethnic group membership.

*Supplementary Figure 2.* Item characteristic curve for CUDIT Item 7 by ethnic group membership.