Supplement to "Comparing Spatial Regression to Random Forests for Large Environmental Data Sets"

S1 Covariate Selection Procedure

The procedure we used to select a SLM for MMI with StreamCat covariates:

- 1. Fit an LM using the full set of covariates.
- 2. Use the AIC to select an LM with a subset of the covariates using a backwards stepwise algorithm (i.e., the step() function from R Core Team (2016)).
- 3. Fit an SLM with the covariates selected for the LM in the previous step. Use ML estimation with reduced rank method.
- 4. Remove the covariate in the SLM with the largest absolute t-statistic (for the coefficient) and then re-estimate the SLM using the reduced rank method. Continue to remove covariates from the SLM, one at a time, until the AIC of the SLM increases by a significant margin. Select the most parsimonious SLM with AIC score within 2 points of the minimum. An illustration of this process is provided in Figure S1.
- 5. Fit an SLM with the variables selected in previous step. Use REML estimation with the full-rank covariance matrix.

In steps 3 and 4 we used ML to estimate the SLM since this allowed use of the AIC; however, REML was used to estimate the final model in step 5. For the reduced rank method we used 300 knots evenly spaced across the CONUS. In preliminary analyses, we also found that approximately 100 knots were necessary for parameter estimates to coverage using optim(), and that with 300 knots the cross-validation RMSPE was only sightly less than the full-rank model. Also note that the reduced rank method was only used to speed-up estimation during covariate selection (steps 3 and 4) since the final SLM (step 5) was estimated with the full-rank covariance matrix.

To deal with potential collinearity issues, we used the findCorrelation() function from the caret package of Kuhn (2016) to reduce the pairwise correlations between covariates below a threshold of 0.75. This function screened out 100 of the 209 StreamCat covariates before application of the stepwise selection procedure described above. Thus, to fit the initial LM in step 1 we used 109 StreamCat covariates as well as the ecoregion dummy variables. Note that for the LASSO model we did not initially screen out correlated covariates, and so all 209 covariates were used when estimating a LASSO model with the glmnet package.

Figure S1: Covariate selection for the SLM with transformations (step 4). The initial SLM was estimated with the 66 covariates that were selected for the LM (step 3). The covariates with the largest absolute t-statistics were then removed one at a time until the AIC increased significantly. The selected SLM contained 48 covariates and had an AIC of 15679.99. Note that the model with 50 covariates attained the minimum AIC value of 15678.3, however models with an AIC difference within 2 points are not significantly different (Burnham and Anderson, 2002); thus, due to the large number of covariates, we selected the more parsimonious model.

S2 Random Forest Regression Kriging Computations

Let $\bm{Y} - \hat{\bm{Y}}_{RF} = \bm{e}' = (e(\bm{s}_1), \cdots, e(\bm{s}_n))'$ be a random vector of residuals, where $\hat{\bm{Y}}_{RF}$ are the RF predictions of Y. Assume that $E(e(s_i)) = 0$ and $cov(e) = \Sigma$; also assume an exponential covariance model such that the (i, j) entry of Σ is given by $C(\boldsymbol{s}_i, \boldsymbol{s}_j) = \sigma_z^2 \exp(-\|\boldsymbol{s}_i - \boldsymbol{s}_j\|/\alpha) + I(i = j)\sigma_{\epsilon}^2$, where $\theta = (\sigma_{\epsilon}, \sigma_{z}, \alpha)$ are unknown parameters (nugget, partial sill, and range). Then, for a given realization of the residuals, the negative log-likelihood is given by

$$
l(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0.5\{n\log(2\pi) + \log(|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|) + e^{\prime}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\boldsymbol{e}\}.
$$

ML estimates $\hat{\theta}$ are found by minimizing the negative log-likelihood with respect to $\hat{\theta}$. Note that, in practice, we use the RF out-of-bag predictions from the randomForest package (Liaw and Wiener, 2002) to compute the vector of predicted values, \hat{Y}_{RF} , at observed locations s_1, \dots, s_n . Also, note that we use the full-rank covariance matrix for ML estimation.

Once ML estimates for the covariance parameters are obtained, spatial predictions for the residuals can be computed using simple kriging (Cressie, 1993, p. 110; Cressie and Wikle, 2011, pp. 136–139). Under the zero-mean assumption, the simple-kriging predictor of the residual at a new location s_0 is given by $\hat{e}(s_0) = c' \Sigma^{-1} e$, where $c' = (C(s_0, s_1), \cdots, C(s_0, s_n))$. The simple-kriging variance (minimized mean-square-prediction error) is also given by $var(\hat{e}(\mathbf{s}_0)) = C(\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{s}_0) - \mathbf{c}' \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{c};$ note that $C(s_0, s_0) = \sigma_z^2 + \sigma_{\epsilon}^2$ is commonly referred to as the sill. Then the RFRK prediction is $\hat{Y}(\boldsymbol{s}_0) = \hat{Y}_{RF}(\boldsymbol{s}_0) + \hat{e}(\boldsymbol{s}_0)$ and 90% prediction interval is $\hat{Y}(\boldsymbol{s}_0) \pm 1.645\sqrt{\text{var}(\hat{e}(\boldsymbol{s}_0))}$, where $\hat{Y}_{RF}(\boldsymbol{s}_0)$ is the RF prediction at s_0 .

S3 Additional Figures and Tables

Table S1: Regression coefficient summary for the SLM with transformations. Estimated Box-Cox transformations parameters λ_1 (exponent) and λ_2 (shifting) are also shown. Note that transformed covariates were standardized before fitting the model (subtracted mean and divided by standard deviation). Top 5 covariates, ranked in terms of absolute t-statistics, are in bold face.

	λ_1	λ_2	Est.	SE	t	p-val.
Intercept			55.79	3.06	18.24	$2.42e-68$
NAP			-6.08	3.28	-1.85	$6.43e-02$
NPL			10.31	3.15	3.28	1.07e-03
SAP			-12.56	2.18	-5.77	$9.34e-09$
TPL			5.61	2.28	2.46	$1.38e-02$
\bold{WMT}			-19.77	2.92	-6.78	$1.65e-11$
XER.			-5.34	2.91	-1.83	$6.68e-02$
$AvgTmaxCat_BC$	0.1	θ	-2.07	0.94	-2.20	2.77e-02
$AvgWetIndxCat_BC$	0.0	θ	-3.82	0.63	-6.04	$1.89e-0.9$
AvgWetIndxWs_BC	0.0	θ	-3.70	0.80	-4.65	$3.51e-06$
$CanalDensCat_Bin$			3.57	1.73	2.06	$3.95e-02$
CBNFWs_BC01	1.3	θ	0.78	0.45	1.73	8.36e-02
$ClavCat_BC2$	0.0		-1.34	0.72	-1.85	$6.40e-02$

FertCat_BC01	0.0	$1e-10$	1.01	0.59	1.72	8.60e-02
FertWs_Bin			-3.95	1.92	-2.06	3.95e-02
$\rm{MineDensWsRp100_BC01}$	1.1	$\boldsymbol{0}$	3.25	0.88	3.71	$2.13e-04$
NABD_NrmStorWs_Bin			-3.89	1.35	-2.89	3.94e-03
NABD_NrmStorWs_BC01	0.3	$\boldsymbol{0}$	-1.54	0.60	-2.55	$1.09e-02$
NH4Cat_BC	1.3	$\boldsymbol{0}$	-1.46	0.99	-1.48	$1.40e-01$
NPDESDensWs_BC01	0.1	$\boldsymbol{0}$	-2.01	0.83	-2.43	1.53e-02
$OmCat_BC$	0.3	$\overline{0}$	-1.58	0.63	-2.50	$1.25e-02$
$OmWs_BC$	0.0	θ	2.18	0.75	2.90	3.81e-03
PctAg2006Slp10Cat_BC01	0.2	$\boldsymbol{0}$	-1.17	0.68	-1.72	8.65e-02
PctAg2006Slp20Ws_BC01	0.0	0.01	-1.50	0.75	-2.01	$4.50e-02$
PctCrop2006CatRp100_Bin			-2.15	1.01	-2.14	3.27e-02
PctCrop2006CatRp100_BC01	2.0	$\boldsymbol{0}$	-1.87	0.71	-2.62	8.90e-03
PctFrstLoss06_09Cat_BC01	0.0	$1e-10$	-1.60	0.67	-2.38	1.73e-02
PctFrstLossWsRp100_Bin			5.45	1.46	3.73	$2.00e-04$
PctGlacLakeFineWs_BC01	1.8	$\overline{0}$	-2.30	0.98	-2.35	1.89e-02
PctGlacTilCrsWs_BC01	0.0	0.1	-5.57	1.46	-3.82	1.36e-04
PctHbWet2006Cat_BC01	3.0	$\overline{0}$	-1.59	0.66	-2.39	1.71e-02
PctImp2006CatSlp10_BC01	0.4	$\overline{0}$	-2.01	0.68	-2.96	$3.09e-03$
PctNonCarbResidCat_Bin			-2.46	1.08	-2.29	2.21e-02
PctUrbHi2006Cat_Bin			-2.43	1.49	-1.63	$1.03e-01$
PctUrbLo2006WsRp100_Bin			-4.45	1.38	-3.24	1.23e-03
PctUrbMd2006WsRp100_Bin			4.11	1.35	3.06	$2.28e-03$
PctUrbMd2006WsRp100_BC01	0.1	$\overline{0}$	-1.88	0.66	-2.83	$4.69e-03$
PctWdWet2006CatRp100_BC01	0.0	$1e-10$	1.62	0.54	$3.00\,$	2.73e-03
PermCat_BC	0.0	$\mathbf{1}$	6.95	2.00	3.47	5.36e-04
PermCat_BC2	0.0	$\mathbf{1}$	-7.02	2.08	-3.38	7.41e-04
Pestic97Ws_Bin			-9.07	2.48	-3.66	$2.61e-04$
Pestic97Ws_BC01	0.0	0.6	-2.05	0.72	-2.85	4.47e-03
RdCrsSlpWtdCat_BC01	0.0	$1e-10$	2.46	0.70	3.51	$4.63e-04$
RdDensCatRpBf100_Bin			-4.13	1.31	-3.15	1.68e-03
RdDensCatRpBf100_BC01	1.8	$\boldsymbol{0}$	-0.98	0.52	-1.88	5.97e-02
RunoffCat_BC	0.0	0.2	4.35	0.77	5.64	1.97e-08
WsAreaSqKm_BC	0.0	$\boldsymbol{0}$	22.12	2.02	10.97	3.82e-27
WsAreaSqKm_BC2	0.0	θ	-20.27	1.89	-10.71	5.17e-26

NOTE: The tags at the end of the covariates names indicate the type of transformation: 'BC' indicates Box-Cox transformation $g(x, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, 'BC2' indicates a quadratic transformation $(g(x, \lambda_1, \lambda_2))^2$, 'Bin' indicates a zero/nonzero dummy variable $I(x \neq 0)$, and 'BC01' indicates the interaction $g(x, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)I(x \neq 0)$. The types of transformations are described in detail in Section 2.2 of the paper. The spatial regression model also includes dummy variables for the following ecoregions: Northern Appalachians (NAP), Northern Plains (NPL), Southern Appalachians (SAP), Temperate Plains (TPL), Westerm Mountain (WMT), and Xeric (XER). StreamCat covariate descriptions are provided in Table S2.

Figure S2: Variable importance plot for random forest model with top 30 predictor variables. Variable importances were computed using the importance() function from the randomForest package and setting the argument type=1. This gives the permutation-based measure (increase in MSE when each variable is permuted in the out-of-bag data). StreamCat covariate descriptions are provided in Table S2.

Figure S3: Map of the squared residuals from the SLM with covariate transformations. Residuals were computed as $\hat{e} = Y - X\hat{\beta}$, where $\hat{\beta} = (X'\Sigma^{-1}X)^{-1}X'\Sigma^{-1}Y$ are the generalized least squares estimates.

Figure S4: Directional semivariograms of the residuals from the SLM with covariate transformations. The plot was created using the R package gstat (Gräler et al., 2016).

Table S2: Descriptions of StreamCat covariates shown in the spatial regression summary (Table S1) and RF variable importance plot (Figure S2). Further details about the StreamCat data set can be found at ftp://newftp.epa.gov/EPADataCommons/ORD/NHDPlusLandscapeAttributes/ StreamCat/WelcomePage.html.

References

- Burnham, K. P. and Anderson, D. R. (2002). Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical information-theoretic approach. Springer-Verlag New York, second edition.
- Cressie, N. (1993). Statistics for spatial data. John Wiley & Sons.

Cressie, N. and Wikle, C. K. (2011). Statistics for spatio-temporal data. John Wiley & Sons.

- Gräler, B., Pebesma, E., and Heuvelink, G. (2016). Spatio-temporal interpolation using gstat. The R Journal, 8:204–218.
- Kuhn, M. (2016). caret: Classification and Regression Training. Contributions from Jed Wing, Steve Weston, Andre Williams, Chris Keefer, Allan Engelhardt, Tony Cooper, Zachary Mayer, Brenton Kenkel, the R Core Team, Michael Benesty, Reynald Lescarbeau, Andrew Ziem, Luca Scrucca, Yuan Tang and Can Candan. R package version 6.0-71.
- Liaw, A. and Wiener, M. (2002). Classification and regression by randomForest. R news, 2(3):18–22.
- R Core Team (2016). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.