1	Supplementary information
2	
3	DNA methylation ambiguity in the <i>Fibrillin-1</i> (<i>FBN1</i>) CpG island shore possibly involved in
4	Marfan syndrome
5	
6	Yoshikazu Arai, Kazuhiro Umeyama, Natsumi Okazaki, Kazuaki Nakano, Koichiro Nishino,
7	Hiroshi Nagashima, and Jun Ohgane
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

21 Materials and Methods

22 Real-time RT-PCR

- 23 Total RNA from porcine fibroblast cells was extracted using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit and RNeasy
- 24 Fibrous Tissue Kit (Qiagen). First-strand cDNA synthesis was performed using a Superscript III
- 25 First-strand Synthesis System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with random hexamers. Real-time RT-
- 26 PCR was performed using Premix Ex Taq (TaKaRa) with specific primers and TaqMan probes for
- 27 FBN1 mRNA (FBN1_Ex10: 5'- GACCGCAAATTCCAGTC -3', FBN1_Ex11: 5'-
- 28 TAATCAGTGACGTTGACAG -3', and FBN1_Probe: 5'- CGACCACCAGTGGAATATCCATAT
- 29 -3') and ACTB (ACTB_F: 5'- GCCCTCCTTCTTGGGCATG -3', ACTB_R: 5'-
- 30 CAGCACCGTGTTGGCGTAG -3', and ACTB_Probe: 5'-
- 31 TCCTTCCTGATGTCCACGTCGCACTTC -3'). Data was normalized to ACTB expression, and
- 32 gene expression levels were calculated using the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method.

- 34 Luciferase assay
- 35 The *FBN1* CpG island shore region of the porcine *FBN1* was amplified with specific primers
- 36 (FBN1_region4_F: 5'- GAGTTGAGGCAATGGGAAGA -3', and FBN1_region4_R: 5'-
- 37 TGTGTCTGGGAGGCACAGT -3') by genomic PCR. The PCR fragment was cloned into a CpG-
- 38 free pCpG-L vector, which was kindly provided by Dr. Michael Rehli¹, and the methylated
- 39 construct was obtained using SssI methylase (New England BioLabs). Porcine fibroblasts were co-
- 40 transfected with a reporter constructs and the pRL-TK vector by Neon Transfection System

41	(Thermo Fisher Scientific). Luciferase activities were determined using the Dual-Luciferase
42	Reporter Assay system (Promega) 48 h after transfection. Promoter activity was normalized to
43	Renilla luciferase activity. Independent experiments were performed three times.
44	
45	Cell detachment assay
46	To investigate the ability of cell adhesion in FBN1 het KO and WT fibroblast cells, we performed a
47	cell detachment assay. The cell culture methodology is described in the Materials and Methods
48	section of the present paper. Using FBN1 het KO (KO-239-2 and KO-239-4) and WT (WT-239-1)
49	fibroblast cells, 2.5 x 10^5 cells were cultured for 96 h before performing the cell detachment assay.
50	Cell were washed with PBS(-) (Wako, Osaka, Japan) and then treated with 0.05% trypsin/EDTA
51	(Wako) for 3 min. The detached cells in the supernatant were carefully collected and 0.05%
52	trypsin/EDTA solution was added again to the culture dish to completely harvest the attached cells.
53	The cells were counted using a Vi-CELL XR (Beckman Coulter, Pasadena, CA), and the ratio of
54	the detached cells was calculated using the formula: detached cells (%) = number of detached cells
55	in supernatant/total number of the cells (detached and attached cells)*100. Six independent cell
56	detachment assays were performed for this analysis.
57	
58	Figure legends
59	Supplemental Figure 1. Expression level of the <i>FBN1</i> mRNA and preRNA in <i>FBN1</i>

60 homozygous KO fibroblast cells

61	(a) Expression levels of <i>FBN1</i> mRNA and preRNA in WT and <i>FBN1</i> homo KO fibroblast cells was
62	measured by RT-PCR. The position of the primer sets are described in Fig. 1. Relative expression
63	levels were normalized to <i>GAPDH</i> . The expression levels are shown as mean \pm SD (n = 3).
64	Statistical comparisons of the expression levels were performed using the Student's <i>t</i> -test, and
65	statistical significance was set as $p < 0.05$. The gel images were cropped from different parts of the
66	same gel (the original image is shown in the following Supplemental Figure 1a). (b) Real-time RT-
67	PCR was performed with samples from WT and FBN1 homo KO fibroblast cells. The amplification
68	plots of FBN1 mRNA (FBN1) and an internal control (ACTB) are shown in the left panel. The
69	relative expression levels of the <i>FBN1</i> mRNA were normalized to those of <i>ACTB</i> using the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct
70	method.
71	
71 72	Supplemental Figure 2. Influence of DNA methylation on the promoter activity of the <i>FBN1</i>
71 72 73	Supplemental Figure 2. Influence of DNA methylation on the promoter activity of the <i>FBN1</i> CpG island shore
71 72 73 74	Supplemental Figure 2. Influence of DNA methylation on the promoter activity of the <i>FBN1</i> CpG island shore (a) <i>FBN1</i> mRNA expression in <i>FBN1</i> het KO fibroblast cells treated with 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine
71 72 73 74 75	Supplemental Figure 2. Influence of DNA methylation on the promoter activity of the <i>FBN1</i> CpG island shore (a) <i>FBN1</i> mRNA expression in <i>FBN1</i> het KO fibroblast cells treated with 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-aza-dC). Inhibition of DNA methylation in <i>FBN1</i> het KO fibroblast cells (KO-239-2 and KO-
71 72 73 74 75 76	Supplemental Figure 2. Influence of DNA methylation on the promoter activity of the <i>FBN1</i> CpG island shore (a) <i>FBN1</i> mRNA expression in <i>FBN1</i> het KO fibroblast cells treated with 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-aza-dC). Inhibition of DNA methylation in <i>FBN1</i> het KO fibroblast cells (KO-239-2 and KO- 239-4) was achieved through treatment with 0 or 5 μM of 5-aza-dC for four days. The relative
71 72 73 74 75 76 77	Supplemental Figure 2. Influence of DNA methylation on the promoter activity of the <i>FBN1</i> CpG island shore (a) <i>FBN1</i> mRNA expression in <i>FBN1</i> het KO fibroblast cells treated with 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-aza-dC). Inhibition of DNA methylation in <i>FBN1</i> het KO fibroblast cells (KO-239-2 and KO- 239-4) was achieved through treatment with 0 or 5 μM of 5-aza-dC for four days. The relative expression of <i>FBN1</i> mRNA was analyzed by RT-PCR and normalized to <i>GAPDH</i> . Data is
71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78	Supplemental Figure 2. Influence of DNA methylation on the promoter activity of the <i>FBN1</i> CpG island shore (a) <i>FBN1</i> mRNA expression in <i>FBN1</i> het KO fibroblast cells treated with 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-aza-dC). Inhibition of DNA methylation in <i>FBN1</i> het KO fibroblast cells (KO-239-2 and KO- 239-4) was achieved through treatment with 0 or 5 μ M of 5-aza-dC for four days. The relative expression of <i>FBN1</i> mRNA was analyzed by RT-PCR and normalized to <i>GAPDH</i> . Data is presented as mean \pm SD (n = 3). Statistical analyses of mRNA expression were performed using the
71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79	Supplemental Figure 2. Influence of DNA methylation on the promoter activity of the <i>FBN1</i> CpG island shore (a) <i>FBN1</i> mRNA expression in <i>FBN1</i> het KO fibroblast cells treated with 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-aza-dC). Inhibition of DNA methylation in <i>FBN1</i> het KO fibroblast cells (KO-239-2 and KO- 239-4) was achieved through treatment with 0 or 5 μ M of 5-aza-dC for four days. The relative expression of <i>FBN1</i> mRNA was analyzed by RT-PCR and normalized to <i>GAPDH</i> . Data is presented as mean \pm SD (n = 3). Statistical analyses of mRNA expression were performed using the Student's <i>t</i> -test, and <i>p</i> < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. (b) DNA methylation of the

81	and reporter constructs are shown in the left panel. The FBN1 CpG island shore was inserted into
82	the pCpG-L luciferase vector. Mock indicates the absence of the FBN1 CpG island shore.
83	Methylated and unmethylated reflects the presence and absence of <i>in vitro</i> methylation,
84	respectively, within the FBN1 CpG island shore by SssI. Open and closed circles indicate
85	unmethylated and methylated CpG sites within the CpG island shore, respectively. Each reporter
86	construct was transfected to porcine fibroblast cells and its luciferase activity was measured. The
87	relative promoter activity was normalized to the activity of the co-transfected Renilla luciferase and
88	are shown as mean \pm SD (n = 3).
89	
90	Supplemental Figure 3. Allele-distinguished DNA methylation analysis of porcine WT
91	fibroblast cells
91 92	fibroblast cells (a) DNA methylation analysis of the <i>FBN1</i> CpG island shore was performed in WT fibroblast cells
91 92 93	fibroblast cells (a) DNA methylation analysis of the <i>FBN1</i> CpG island shore was performed in WT fibroblast cells by sodium bisulfite sequencing. Analyzed WT fibroblast cells were produced by crossing of <i>FBN1</i>
91 92 93 94	fibroblast cells (a) DNA methylation analysis of the FBN1 CpG island shore was performed in WT fibroblast cells by sodium bisulfite sequencing. Analyzed WT fibroblast cells were produced by crossing of FBN1 het KO and WT pigs whose WT alleles include the SNP that we identified in the FBN1 CpG island
91 92 93 94 95	fibroblast cells (a) DNA methylation analysis of the <i>FBN1</i> CpG island shore was performed in WT fibroblast cells by sodium bisulfite sequencing. Analyzed WT fibroblast cells were produced by crossing of <i>FBN1</i> het KO and WT pigs whose WT alleles include the SNP that we identified in the <i>FBN1</i> CpG island shore in order to distinguish the two WT alleles in the WT offspring. In the parental <i>FBN1</i> het KO
91 92 93 94 95 96	fibroblast cells(a) DNA methylation analysis of the FBN1 CpG island shore was performed in WT fibroblast cellsby sodium bisulfite sequencing. Analyzed WT fibroblast cells were produced by crossing of FBN1het KO and WT pigs whose WT alleles include the SNP that we identified in the FBN1 CpG islandshore in order to distinguish the two WT alleles in the WT offspring. In the parental FBN1 het KOpig, the CpG island shore contained the thymine (T) SNP with a complementary strand that was
91 92 93 94 95 96 97	fibroblast cells(a) DNA methylation analysis of the FBN1 CpG island shore was performed in WT fibroblast cellsby sodium bisulfite sequencing. Analyzed WT fibroblast cells were produced by crossing of FBN1het KO and WT pigs whose WT alleles include the SNP that we identified in the FBN1 CpG islandshore in order to distinguish the two WT alleles in the WT offspring. In the parental FBN1 het KOpig, the CpG island shore contained the thymine (T) SNP with a complementary strand that wasamplified by sodium bisulfite PCR as adenine (A) in both WT and KO alleles. The parental WT pig
91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98	fibroblast cells (a) DNA methylation analysis of the <i>FBN1</i> CpG island shore was performed in WT fibroblast cells by sodium bisulfite sequencing. Analyzed WT fibroblast cells were produced by crossing of <i>FBN1</i> het KO and WT pigs whose WT alleles include the SNP that we identified in the <i>FBN1</i> CpG island shore in order to distinguish the two WT alleles in the WT offspring. In the parental <i>FBN1</i> het KO pig, the CpG island shore contained the thymine (T) SNP with a complementary strand that was amplified by sodium bisulfite PCR as adenine (A) in both WT and KO alleles. The parental WT pig has a cytosine (C) SNP whose complementary strand includes a guanine (G) at the same position.
91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99	fibroblast cells (a) DNA methylation analysis of the FBN1 CpG island shore was performed in WT fibroblast cells by sodium bisulfite sequencing. Analyzed WT fibroblast cells were produced by crossing of FBN1 het KO and WT pigs whose WT alleles include the SNP that we identified in the FBN1 CpG island shore in order to distinguish the two WT alleles in the WT offspring. In the parental FBN1 het KO pig, the CpG island shore contained the thymine (T) SNP with a complementary strand that was amplified by sodium bisulfite PCR as adenine (A) in both WT and KO alleles. The parental WT pig has a cytosine (C) SNP whose complementary strand includes a guanine (G) at the same position. Thus, F1 WT offspring pigs produced by the FBN1 het KO and WT pigs possest two WT alleles

- 101 fragments, the origin of the parental WT alleles were identified in the sequenced reads at P6 (early
- 102 passage) and P20 (late passage). Horizontal and vertical axes indicate the position of the CpG sites
- 103 (O, 2 CpGs; S, 9 CpGs; and I, 13 CpGs) and the number of sequenced reads (36 to 59 reads),
- 104 respectively. White and black bars indicate unmethylated and methylated CpGs, respectively. Areas
- 105 within the two dotted squares represent the shore region (S) whose methylation levels were
- 106 calculated in an allele-specific manner. (b) Changes in RM allele ratios in the S region from P6 to
- 107 P20 in WT fibroblast cells. Solid and dotted lines indicate RM allele ratios of the WT alleles with G
- 108 or A SNPs, respectively. Calculation of RM allele ratio is described in Fig. 2.

109

110 Supplemental Figure 4. The KO alleles exhibited larger variation in RM allele ratios than the

- 111 WT allele in *FBN1* het KO fibroblast cells
- 112 Based on the RM allele ratios in the S region of *FBN1* het KO fibroblast cells (n = 6) at P6 and P20,

113 coefficient of variation in WT- and KO RM allele ratios was calculated using the formula: the ratio

- 114 of standard deviation/average of RM allele ratio.
- 115

116 Supplemental Figure 5. Cell adhesion is correlated with FBN1 mRNA level in FBN1 het KO

- 117 fibroblast cells
- (a) Cell adhesion was analyzed in *FBN1* het KO (KO-239-2 and KO-239-4) and WT (WT-239-1)
- 119 fibroblast cells. After a 3-minute treatment with trypsin/EDTA, detached cells in supernatant was
- 120 carefully collected, and then attached cells in dishes were harvested by additional and complete

121	treatment with trypsin/EDTA. Experiments were performed six times for each sample and the
122	median of the percentage of detached cells per total cells cultured is presented as a boxplot. (b)
123	Expression levels of FBN1 mRNA in detached FBN1 het KO and WT fibroblast cells. The relative
124	expression levels of the <i>FBN1</i> mRNA were normalized to <i>GAPDH</i> and shown as mean \pm SD (n =
125	6). Statistical comparisons of the expression levels were performed using the Student's <i>t</i> -test, and
126	statistical significance was set as $p < 0.05$.
127	
128	Reference
129	1. Klug M and Rehli M. Functional analysis of promoter CpG methylation using a CpG-free

130 luciferase reporter vector. Epigenetics 1, 127–130 (2006).



Supplemental Figure 1



Supplemental Figure 1a



Supplemental Figure 2



Supplemental Figure 3



Supplemental Figure 4



Supplemental Figure 5