T	SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL FOR: Single-Cell Analysis Reveals that the
2	Enterococcal Sex Pheromone Response Results in Expression of Full-length
3	Conjugation Operon Transcripts in All Induced Cells
4	
5	Rebecca J. B. Erickson, ^a Arpan A. Bandyopadhyay, ^b Aaron M. T. Barnes, ^{a,c} Sofie A.
6	O'Brien, ^b Wei-Shou Hu, ^b Gary M. Dunny ^a
7	^a Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis,
8	Minnesota, USA, ^b Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science,
9	University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA, ^c Department of Laboratory
10	Medicine and Pathology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA
11	
12	SUPPLEMENTAL TEXT
13	Not all induced cells appear to transfer by fluorescent reporter analysis of
14	plasmid induction and transfer. Fluorescent reporters were designed to examine
15	
10	functional conjugation by induced cells at the single cell level (1). Microscopic tracking
16	functional conjugation by induced cells at the single cell level (1). Microscopic tracking of the fluorescent expression of individual cells after mixing of donors and recipients
16 17	functional conjugation by induced cells at the single cell level (1). Microscopic tracking of the fluorescent expression of individual cells after mixing of donors and recipients embedded in agar pads allowed observation of donor induction and in some cases,
16 17 18	functional conjugation by induced cells at the single cell level (1). Microscopic tracking of the fluorescent expression of individual cells after mixing of donors and recipients embedded in agar pads allowed observation of donor induction and in some cases, formation of new transconjugants cells via transfer of the plasmid from an induced
16 17 18 19	functional conjugation by induced cells at the single cell level (1). Microscopic tracking of the fluorescent expression of individual cells after mixing of donors and recipients embedded in agar pads allowed observation of donor induction and in some cases, formation of new transconjugants cells via transfer of the plasmid from an induced donor to a recipient cell as shown in the main paper (Fig. 2). Figure S1 shows a much
16 17 18 19 20	functional conjugation by induced cells at the single cell level (1). Microscopic tracking of the fluorescent expression of individual cells after mixing of donors and recipients embedded in agar pads allowed observation of donor induction and in some cases, formation of new transconjugants cells via transfer of the plasmid from an induced donor to a recipient cell as shown in the main paper (Fig. 2). Figure S1 shows a much larger field that was imaged as a merge of red, blue, and green fluorescence after 330
16 17 18 19 20 21	functional conjugation by induced cells at the single cell level (1). Microscopic tracking of the fluorescent expression of individual cells after mixing of donors and recipients embedded in agar pads allowed observation of donor induction and in some cases, formation of new transconjugants cells via transfer of the plasmid from an induced donor to a recipient cell as shown in the main paper (Fig. 2). Figure S1 shows a much larger field that was imaged as a merge of red, blue, and green fluorescence after 330 minutes of incubation of the embedded mating mixture. It is readily apparent that the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	functional conjugation by induced cells at the single cell level (1). Microscopic tracking of the fluorescent expression of individual cells after mixing of donors and recipients embedded in agar pads allowed observation of donor induction and in some cases, formation of new transconjugants cells via transfer of the plasmid from an induced donor to a recipient cell as shown in the main paper (Fig. 2). Figure S1 shows a much larger field that was imaged as a merge of red, blue, and green fluorescence after 330 minutes of incubation of the embedded mating mixture. It is readily apparent that the vast majority of the donor cells are surrounded by recipients, with a significant fraction
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	functional conjugation by induced cells at the single cell level (1). Microscopic tracking of the fluorescent expression of individual cells after mixing of donors and recipients embedded in agar pads allowed observation of donor induction and in some cases, formation of new transconjugants cells via transfer of the plasmid from an induced donor to a recipient cell as shown in the main paper (Fig. 2). Figure S1 shows a much larger field that was imaged as a merge of red, blue, and green fluorescence after 330 minutes of incubation of the embedded mating mixture. It is readily apparent that the vast majority of the donor cells are surrounded by recipients, with a significant fraction of this population appearing to be induced (green or yellow cells). However, many

uninduced cells (red) surrounded by recipients remained at the end of the experiment. 24 This may be due to relatively low levels of **C** production in the embedded recipient cells, 25 Quantification of induction and conjugation on larger populations of mixed donor 26 and recipient cells by flow cytometry (Fig. S4) also suggested that only a fraction of 27 induced donors transferred the plasmid, even when recipients were in the majority (1). 28 29 The fluorescent reporter is fused to early Q_{Op}, so it is possible that some of the cells that failed to transfer did not express all of the required conjugation proteins because they 30 lacked full length Q_{Op} transcripts. However, this is unlikely, as we tested that the pCF10 31 32 derivative containing fluorescent reporters showed very similar transfer frequencies to those of wild type donors in standard liquid or plate matings. 33

- 34
- 35

36 SUPPLEMENTAL METHODS

Fluorescent protein reporter strain construction and Microscopic analysis
 were described in the main paper).

Flow cytometric analysis of donor induction and conjugation in liquid 39 **matings.** Overnight cultures of *E. faecalis* donors (OG1RF+pCF10 tdTomato iGFP) 40 and recipients (OG1RF CFP) were diluted 1:10 in fresh medium and grown to 41 exponential growth phase without antibiotics for 1h at 37 °C. Donors were induced with 42 10 ng/ml of the **C** pheromone followed by 1h incubation at 37°C. The donors and 43 recipients were then mixed in 1:10 ratio and mating was carried out at 37 °C for 2h. The 44 mating suspensions were fixed using 2% (w/v) PFA for 10 mins at 4 °C. The bacterial 45 clumps were broken up by EDTA treatment (100 mM), followed by Proteinase K 46

treatment (50 µg/mL, 10 mins at 55 °C). The cell suspension was further sonicated for
10 secs at 20% amplitude. The mating suspensions were then analyzed by flow
cytometry using a Fortessa X-20 flow cytometer. Expression of CFP, GFP, and
tdTomato was measured for 100,000 - 500,000 cells using the 405, 488, and 561 nm
laser excitation lines respectively. The cells were gated and analyzed using FlowJo v8
(Tree Star, Ashland, OR).

- 55 Supplemental figures / legends
- 56 **FIGURE S1: Visualization of induction and conjugation via fluorescent reporter**
- 57 protein expression.
- 58





A lawn of *E. faecalis* cells on the surface of the agarose pad. This image is composed of

16 tiled image stacks stitched together (total area: $375\mu m \times 375\mu m$); a small portion of

this image is also shown in Fig. 2. Recipients (blue; Hoechst 33342) and uninduced

- donors (red; constitutive tdTomato expression) were mixed (D:R=1:100) and
- sandwiched between an agarose pad and coverslip-bottomed Petri dish. Donors
- 66 express GFP (green) when induced by **C**. The entire field was tracked every 30 mins for
- 67 6 hours using time-lapse laser-scanning confocal microscopy; t=330 min shown here.
- 68 Note the relative paucity of induced donors relative to uninduced donor cells even
- 69 though virtually all of the plasmid-carrying cells (td Tomato positive and/or GFP-positive;
- red, green or yellow in this image) are in close proximity to numerous recipients (Blue).

71



79 a reporter of induction.





FIGURE S3: Results of flow cytometry-based analysis of fluorescent protein
 reporters of induction and conjugation.



(A) Two-parameter fluorescence density plot. (B), (C), and (D) Two-parameter
fluorescence dot plots. (A-B) Three distinct populations can be identified from
differential fluorescence patterns in the density and dot plots, D: donors, R: recipients,
and T: transconjugants. The donor: recipient ratio is approximately 1:10. Although over
60% of donors were observed to be induced (C) and recipients were in excess,

- transconjugants only make up about 1.8% of the total population (A) and (B). (D) About
- 60% of transconjugants were also observed to be induced.
- 94

95 Supplemental Tables

96

97 Supplemental Table S1: HCR probe sequences

HCR probes	Sequence (portion of probe homologous to transcripts of interest)	Amplifier code
Short Q∟-0 Short Q∟-1	GCATTGAATTATTCCACAAGCGGGTCATTTTTAGAAAATGAGCGTGCTTG CACGTTGTTTGCACGGCTCTTACGAGTAGTTCCAGTACAAACAA	B4
prgA-1 prgA-5 prgA-16 prgA-21 prgA-23	TCAGGTGTTTTTGGTTGCGCTTGTTCTGCTGCCTGTACTTCATTTCCCCC TCCACGACTTTTTTAGCTTCGTCTACAACTGCTTGTTGGTCAGTCA	B1
prgB-3 prgB-5 prgB-8 prgB-16 prgB-22	TTCGCTTCATTTTCAGCTGGTGCAACTTCTGTTGGCTGCCCTAGAGGTTC TTGAACGCATTGTTGGCCCCCAAAATCAGAAACAAATTCCGCATGGCCACC CCCCATTGCTAAAACAACAGAGCCGTCCGCGTTGGTTTTCATCATTGCTG TACGCTAGAATAAAGGCTTGTGGGTCTTTGGCAGAAATCGTCACCGTGCC TGGCTCTACTGGTGCTTGCGGGTGTTTTTGGTGTTGGTGGTACAATCACTG	B2D1
prgJ-3 prgJ-6 prgJ-17 prgJ-21 prgJ-27	GACGGTAGTTATCTAAGTGATCTCCCTGATACGCCAATAAAACGTCTTCC GTCCGTGGCGCAAAAAATAGCTGAACAAAGACAATCGCTCTAAGCCAGTC CGCCATTCCTTTACCAGAACCAGACGTTCCGAAAATAAGCCCACTTGGTG CGGTCAACAAGTCCCGCATCCACGTCCGTATAGCTTTTTAGTAGCGATTC TTGCCCCGCTTTACTGTCTAATAACGTCGTAGGGTTTTGCGTGATACCTG	B3
pcfC-7 pcfC-10 pcfC-15 pcfC-26 pcfC-27	GTCCAATCTCTGGCAATATCCCACCGTCTGGGTCTGTTGTGATAAAGCTG TCGTCCCCGGTCGTTTTTTCCACTTCTTTTGTTGCTTCAGTGACAGCTTC TCATGGTCAAAAACAGAGTAACGTGCAGCAGCTATCCCTAGAACGCTGGC AAACCAGACACTCGTCTCCTCCAATAAGCGCAACTTCACTCCTGTCAATC TCATCAGTCGGTCCTGTTGCTAGTTCATCTGCTCGGTCATGCTGAAAAGC	B4
pcfG-4 pcfG-18 pcfG-21 pcfG-24 pcfG-29	TCTGATTTTGGATCGAATGTGTACCCGATTTTTCGTTGAATTGCTGCTTG CCTTATACCCTCCTACAAAGAGCTTTCCAGAATTGCCATAGCCATAATCG TCACAGGTTCTCTTTTCAGTGGTGTTTGTCCATTATACAGTCGTAATTGT GCTCTAACATCTTCTCGTCAAGAGTCTCTAAAGTTTTTTCTGCTTCAAGA AGTTTGGGCTTAATGTCGGTTTGCTTCTCTGGCTCTTTTTCCTGTACGGC	В5

Supplemental Table S2: HCR amplifier and fluorophore details

101

Transcript label	Amplifiers : Fluorophore
Short Q∟	B4H1, B4H2 : Alexa Fluor (AF) 647
prgA	B1H1, B1H2 : AF 488
prgB	B2H1, B2H2 : AF 488
prgB	B2H1, B2H2 : AF 647
prgJ	B3H1, B3H2 : AF 488
pcfC	B4H1, B4H2 : AF 488
pcfC	B4H1, B4H2 : AF 647
pcfG	B5H1, B5H2 : AF 488

102

103

Supplemental Table S3: Flow cytometry settings (A) and compensation matrix (B) used for analysis shown in Fig. 3.

Α.	Flow cytometry parameter	Function	Voltage
	FSC-A	Cell size	444
	SSC-A	Cell granularity	253
	Alexa Fluor 488	HCR-labeled transcripts	450
	Alexa Fluor 647	WGA lectin (cell envelope)	135
_			

106

В.		Alexa Fluor 488	Alexa Fluor 647
	Alexa Fluor 488	100.00	0.00
	Alexa Fluor 647	8.59	100.00

107

108 SUPPLEMENTAL REFERENCES

 Bandyopadhyay AA. 2018. Systems analysis of pheromone signaling and antibiotic resistance transfer in *Enterococcus faecalis*. Ph.D. thesis. University of Minnesota. http://hdl.handle.net/11299/197623