

Corresponding author(s):	Sandrine Thuret
Last updated by author(s):	Oct 4, 2019

# **Reporting Summary**

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see <u>Authors & Referees</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

_					
C -	トつ	ŧι	st	117	2
. )	ıa		וכו	ш	

For	all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Confirmed
	$\square$ The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	A description of all covariates tested
	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>
$\boxtimes$	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's <i>d</i> , Pearson's <i>r</i> ), indicating how they were calculated
	Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

#### Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection

For the epidemiological study, phenotypic and genotypic data were collected and accessed through TwinsUK. See details here: https://twinsuk.ac.uk/resources-for-researchers/our-data/

Cellular phenotyping data were collected via CellInsight CX7 High-Content Screening (HCS) Platform

Data analysis

All statistical analyses were performed in R Studio (see methods for packages used) and Prism 6.

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

#### Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

Access to the epidemiological data is available and can be requested through the TwinsUK cohort. http://twinsuk.ac.uk/resources-for-researchers/access-our-data/

Raw data from the cellular work can be obtained from the corresponding author.

Field-spe	ecific reporting
	ne below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.
Life sciences	Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences
For a reference copy of t	the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>
Lite scier	nces study design
All studies must dis	sclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.
Sample size	We used all participants in the TwinsUK study with relevant phenotypic and genotypic data available. TwinsUK is large epidemiological study at King's College London with information on a range of cognitive and lifestyle variables as well as genetic and other omics data.
Data exclusions	All data exclusions are described in details in the methods section. In brief:  -For the epidemiological study, participants were excluded if they scored below 27 on the Mental State Examination (MMSE) to ensure cognitive health, if they underwent the The Paired Associates Learning (PAL) less than a year following onset of a disability and if they had a disability of unknown duration. Participants were also excluded if they failed genotyping QC as described in Methods: Genetic data.  -For the serum samples, participants were excluded if they scored below 27 on the Mental State Examination (MMSE) to ensure cognitive health and if they had neurological or psychiatric diseases or illnesses.  -For cellular data: no data were excluded.
Replication	There was no replication for the epidemiological study. For the cellular work: Three biological replicates, each calculated by averaging 3 technical replicates.
Randomization	There was no randomisation for the epidemiological study. All individuals with information on cognitive variables, relevant covariates and genotype data were used and as the outcomes were continuous there were no experimental groups. All analyses were controlled for relevant covariates.  For the cellular work, randomisation does not apply as this is a cell line and the starting conditions before treatments are identical.
Blinding	Blinding was not relevant to our study. Both data collection and analyses required an understanding of the nature of the sample being collected/analyzed.
<u> </u>	g for specific materials, systems and methods
	ion from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, ted is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.
	perimental systems Methods
n/a Involved in th	
Antibodies	S ChIP-seq

Materials & experimental systems		Methods	
n/a	Involved in the study	n/a	Involved in the study
	Antibodies	$\times$	ChIP-seq
	Eukaryotic cell lines	$\boxtimes$	Flow cytometry
$\boxtimes$	Palaeontology	$\boxtimes$	MRI-based neuroimaging
$\boxtimes$	Animals and other organisms		
	Human research participants		
$\boxtimes$	Clinical data		

## **Antibodies**

Antibodies used

Rabbit anti-Ki67 Abcam Ab15580 Mouse anti-ki67 CellSignalling 9449 Rabbit anti-CC3 CellSignalling 9664 Rabbit anti-Sox2 Abcam Ab5603

Mouse anti- Nestin AMD Millipore Mab5326 Mouse anti-H2a.X EMD Millipore 05-636-I Rabbit anti-DCX Abcam Ab11267

Mouse anti-Map2 Abcam Ab11267 Rabbit anti-NRF2 Abcam Ab31163

555 Donkey Anti-rabbit IgG Life Technologies A-31572 488 Donkey Anti-mouse IgG Life Technologies A- 21202

Validation

Those antibodies are commonly used antibodies in the filed and have been validated by the companies. Refer to their website.

### Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about cell lines

Cell line source(s)

The human hippocampal progenitor cells (HPC) cell line HPC0A07/03A were obtained from ReNeuron (www.reneuron.com/) and cultured as previously described in (Anacker et al., 2011) and (Johansson et al., 2008). The cells were obtained from 12week-old foetal female tissue in accordance with UK and USA ethical and legal guidelines and transfected with the cmycERTAM gene construct creating an immortalised cell line which proliferates in the presence the synthetic drug 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT) and spontaneously differentiates in its absence.

Authentication

No particular procedure other than Karyotyping and multiple gene expression analyses across parallel studies in the labs using this cell line.

Mycoplasma contamination

The cell line is routinely tested for mycoplasma and we can confirm it has never been tested positive.

Commonly misidentified lines (See ICLAC register)

Name any commonly misidentified cell lines used in the study and provide a rationale for their use.

## Human research participants

Policy information about studies involving human research participants

Population characteristics

TwinsUK is made up of predominantly female (83%) white participants of European background. The registry now contains 51% monozygotic (MZ) and 49% dizygotic (DZ) twins aged 18-103 years, with information on a range of cognitive and lifestyle variables as well as genetic and other omics data. A full description can be consulted here: "Cohort Profile: TwinsUK and Healthy Ageing Twin Study" Int J Epidemiol. 2013 Feb; 42(1): 76-85. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyr207 This this particular article, sample size and demographic information for each trait is summarised in the (Figure S1).

Recruitment

The UK Adult Twin Registry (or TwinsUK Registry) is a cohort of volunteer adult twins from all over the United Kingdom. Volunteers were recruited through a series of media campaigns asking for volunteers willing to participate in research investigating common diseases. The Registry was started in 1992 with the primary aim of assessment of heritability of osteoarthritis and osteoporosis in women. The success of early studies led to rapid evolution of the registry and it now incorporates about 12 000 twins, both male and female aged 18-103 years, studied for a whole range of clinical and behavioural traits. The fact that its was started for women focused studies means there is a predominance of female participants in the cohort. See details here "Cohort Profile: TwinsUK and Healthy Ageing Twin Study" Int J Epidemiol. 2013 Feb; 42(1): 76-85. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyr207

Ethics oversight

Access to phenotypic and genotypic data of the TwinsUK cohort was requested and approved through the TwinsUK Resource Executive Committee (TREC) based at the Department of Twins Research and Genetic Epidemiology at Kings College London. Data from 2153 individuals with available cognitive data was received. TwinsUK procedures for sample and data collections have been described previously (Moayyeri et al., 2013; Steves et al., 2013) and were approved by the Guy's and St Thomas' Ethics Committee. TwinsUK data was collected in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki (1991).

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.