Supporting information for

Networked communication between polymerase and exonuclease active sites in human mitochondrial DNA polymerase

Mark L. Sowers ^{1,2,*}, Andrew P. P. Anderson ^{2,3}, James O. Wrabl ⁴, Y. Whitney Yin ^{2,3,5,*}

^{1.} MD-PhD combined degree program, ^{2.} Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX 77555 3. Program of Quantitative and Computational Biosciences, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 71115, 4. Department of Biology, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 N. Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218, ^{5.} Sealy Center for Structural Biology, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX 77555.

Table of Contents

Figure S1. COREX Monte Carlo residue stability calculations are consistent at different conformational sampling sizes and multiple runs.

Figure S2. Differences in amino acid 3-dimensional position and solventaccessible-surface area (SASA) in 4ztz:A and 4ztu:A structures.

Figure S3. Residue Stability calculations of COREX Monte Carlo.

Figure S4. Diffusely cooperative residues directly interact with DNA and processivity subunits

Table S1. Crystal structures of Polymerase γ analyzed with COREX.

Table S2. RMSD differences between different complexes of Pol γ with identical DNA primer template but different substrates.

Table S3. Pol 1 BF Structures with complementary or mismatched template/primer DNA binary complexes analyzed with COREX.

Figure S1. COREX Monte Carlo residue stability calculations are consistent at different conformational sampling sizes and multiple runs. (Top) dCTP containing structure run at two sampling sizes, 5000 and 50000 samples per partition, show that the results are consistent across sampling size. (Bottom) The same structure was run three separate times at the same settings (5000 samples) and show that conformational sampling is consistent across runs.

Figure S2. Differences in amino acid 3-dimensional positions as well as solventaccessible-surface-area (SASA) of dCTP containing ternary complex (4ztz) optimally superimposed on the ddCTP containing structure (4ztu). Amino acids with missing atoms in 4ztu were converted into alanine prior to running COREX. Corresponding residues were also changed in 4ztz, as described in the Methods section. This figure demonstrates that there are appreciable but subtle differences between the two structures. The spike at residues 609/610 is the largest observed difference between the two crystal structures.

Figure S3. Residue Stability calculations of COREX Monte Carlo. (Above) Residue stability as calculated by COREX of the dCTP and ddCTP containing structures are plotted and show modest differences (4ztz, 4ztu). (Below) residuals of residue stability are shown to more clearly demonstrate the regional differences in residue stability. Small differences in local SASA are captured by COREX and the algorithm simulates propagation of local changes across the entire protein due to weighting microstate probabilities by their energetics using a partition function.

Figure S4. Diffusely cooperative residues directly interact with DNA and processivity subunits. Shown in orange are diffusely cooperative residues 450-500, 550-622, and 775-800, in gray Pol γA, in light blue the processive subunits Pol γB, in dark blue DNA, and active site residues in red.

Table S1. Crystal structures of Polymerase γ

Table S2. Backbone RMSD differences between different complexes of Pol γ with identical DNA primer template but different substrates, suggesting that any structural differences between them are small. Units are Angstroms.

Table S3. Pol 1 BF crystal structures analyzed with COREX