

Figure and N of animals	Statistical analysis	Post hoc tests	Mean±s.e.m.
2B	Two-way ANOVA	Tukey's multiple	
Control(n=8)	F (3, 34) = 3.917, P	comparisons test	C NT 35.84±3.615 T 54.59±4.844
40(n=10)	= 0.0167	Vehicle vs. Apyrase	40 NT 41.15±3.74 T 39.48±8.44
80(n=10)	F (1, 34) = 0.0004,	40U/ml P=0.2569	80 NT 37.11±6.45 T 19.16±4.91
160(n=10)	P = 0.9834	Vehicle vs. Apyrase	160 NT 34.58±5.312 T 35.76±6.022
	F (3, 34) = 2.295, P	80U/ml P=0.0008	
	= 0.0954		
2C	One-way ANOVA	Uncorrected Fisher's LSD	
Control(n=8)	F (3, 34) = 2.87, P	Vehicle vs. Apyrase	C 84.83±4.099
40(n=10)	= 0.0505	40U/ml P=0.1748	40 73.25±7.207
80(n=9)		Vehicle vs. Apyrase	80 60.27±5.063
160(n=11)		80U/ml P=0.007	160 76.09±5.312
2E	Two-way ANOVA	Tukey's multiple	
Vehicle(n=14)	F (1, 32) = 7.0754	comparisons test	Veh NT 40.5±3.22 T 46.2±3.85
40(n=20)	P = 0.0121	NT Vehicle vs. Apyrase	40 NT 30.4±3.73 T 19.5±3.32
	F (1, 32) = 0.68447	40U/ml P=0.1221	
	P = 0.4142	Target Vehicle vs.	
	F (1, 32) = 18.211	Apyrase 40U/ml	
	P = 0.0002	P<0.0001	
2F	t tests		
Vehicle(n=10)	P = 0.0003		Veh 86.50 ± 1.460
40(n=16)			40 63.00 ± 4.269
2G	Two-way ANOVA	Tukey's multiple	
Vehicle(n=14)	F (2, 31) = 2.051, P	comparisons test	Veh NT 42.4±2.65 T 49.3±3.71
ACSF(n=10)	= 0.1457	Vehicle vs. ACSF	ACSF NT 36.1±4.11 T 32±5.31
ATP(N=10)	F (1, 31) = 2.666, P	P=0.0387	ATP NT 36.7±4.39 T 54.5±8.11
	= 0.1127	ACSF vs. ATP P=0.0099	
	F (2, 31) = 3.711, P		
	= 0.0359		
2H	One-way ANOVA	Uncorrected Fisher's LSD	
Vehicle(n=10)	F (2, 23) = 3.32, P	Vehicle vs. ACSF	Veh 86.01±2.683
ACSF(n=8)	= 0.0540	P=0.0466	ACSF 69.65±6.506
ATP(N=8)		ACSF vs. ATP P=0.8759	ATP 68.35±7.517