# New 8-nitroquinolinone derivative displaying submicromolar *in vitro* activities against both *Trypanosoma brucei* and *cruzi*

Julien Pedron<sup>1#</sup>, Clotilde Boudot<sup>2#</sup>, Jean-Yves Brossas<sup>3</sup>, Emilie Pinault<sup>4</sup>, Sandra Bourgeade-Delmas<sup>5</sup>, Alix Sournia-Saquet<sup>1</sup>, Elisa Boutet-Robinet<sup>6</sup>, Alexandre Destere<sup>7</sup>, Antoine Tronnet<sup>1</sup>, Justine Bergé<sup>1</sup>, Colin Bonduelle<sup>1</sup>, Céline Deraeve<sup>1</sup>, Geneviève Pratviel<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Luc Stigliani<sup>1</sup>, Luc Paris<sup>3</sup>, Dominique Mazier<sup>8</sup>, Sophie Corvaisier<sup>9</sup>, Marc Since<sup>9</sup>, Aurélie Malzert-Fréon<sup>9</sup>, Susan Wyllie<sup>10</sup>, Rachel Milne<sup>10</sup>, Alan H. Fairlamb<sup>10</sup>, Alexis Valentin<sup>5</sup>, Bertrand Courtioux<sup>2</sup> and Pierre Verhaeghe<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> LCC-CNRS, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS, Toulouse, France.

<sup>2</sup> Université de Limoges, UMR INSERM 1094, Neuroépidémiologie Tropicale, Faculté de Pharmacie,
2 rue du Dr Marcland, 87025 Limoges, France

<sup>3</sup> AP-HP, Groupe Hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière, Service de Parasitologie Mycologie, Paris, France.

<sup>4</sup> Université de Limoges, BISCEm Mass Spectrometry Platform, CBRS, 2 rue du Pr. Descottes, F-87025 Limoges, France

<sup>5</sup> UMR 152 PharmaDev, Université de Toulouse, IRD, UPS, Toulouse, France.

<sup>6</sup> Toxalim (Research Centre in Food Toxicology), Université de Toulouse, INRA, ENVT, INP-Purpan, UPS, Toulouse, France

<sup>7</sup> Department of Pharmacology, Toxicology and Pharmacovigilance, CHU Limoges, France, INSERM, UMR 1248, University of Limoges, France

<sup>8</sup> CIMI-Paris, Sorbonne Université 91 boulevard de l'Hôpital 75013 Paris, France.

<sup>9</sup> Centre d'Etudes et de Recherche sur le Médicament de Normandie (CERMN), Normandie Univ, UNICAEN F-14000 Caen, France

<sup>10</sup> University of Dundee, School of Life Sciences, Division of Biological Chemistry and Drug Discovery, Dow Street, Dundee DD1 5EH, Scotland, United Kingdom

## **Table of contents**

1.	Material and methods	<b>S2</b>
2.	Experimental spectra (NMR+HRMS) of hit-compounds 7, 12 & 13	<b>S23</b>
3.	In vitro pharmacokinetic assays	<b>S32</b>
4.	Comet assay	<b>S34</b>
5.	In vivo pharmacokinetic study	<b>S34</b>
6.	Cyclic voltammograms	<b>S36</b>
7.	References	<b>S37</b>

## 1. Material and methods

#### Chemistry

All reagents and solvents were obtained from commercial sources (Fluorochem®, Sigma-Aldrich® or Alfa Aesar®) and used as received. The progress of the reactions was monitored by pre-coated thin layer chromatography (TLC) sheets ALUGRAM<sup>®</sup> SIL G/UV<sub>254</sub> from Macherey-Nagel and were visualized by ultraviolet light at 254 nm. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker UltraShield 300 MHz, a Bruker IconNMR 400 MHz, or a Bruker Avance NEO 600 MHz instrument and data are presented as follows: chemical shifts in parts per million (δ) using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as reference, coupling constant in Hertz (Hz), multiplicity by abbreviations : (s) singlet, (d) doublet, (t) triplet, (q) quartet, (dd) doublet of doublets, (m) multiplet and (br s) broad singlet. Melting points are uncorrected and were measured on a Stuart Melting Point SMP3 instrument. High-resolution mass measurements were done on a GCT Premier Spectrometer (DCI, CH<sub>4</sub>, HRMS) or Xevo G2 QTOF (Waters, ESI+, HRMS) instrument at the Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse. Microwave reactions were operated in a CEM Discover<sup>®</sup> microwave reactor.

#### Preparation of 6-trifluoromethylquinolin-2(1H)-one 1

In a first step, 4.5 g of 3,3'-diethoxyacryloyl chloride (33.4 mmol, 1.2 equiv.), prepared from ethyl 3,3'diethoxypropionate by a saponification followed by a reaction with  $SOCl_2$ , were added dropwise at rt onto 3.5 mL of *p*-trifluoromethylaniline (27.8 mmol, 1 equiv.) in 50 mL of dichloromethane, in the presence of 4.5 mL of pyridine (55.6 mmol, 2 equiv.). After 6 h, the reaction mixture was poured into water, neutralized with HCl and extracted three times with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 100 \text{ mL}$ ). The resulting organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated *in vacuo* to afford a dark oil. In a second step, the crude residue was reacted with 98% sulfuric acid (40 mL) and stirred at rt for 2 h (monitored by TLC). The reaction mixture was then poured into ice, neutralized with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and extracted twice with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 100 \text{ mL}$ ) and once with ethyl acetate (100 mL). The resulting organic layer was washed with water, dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated *in vacuo*. The crude residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel using ethyl acetate as an eluent. Compound **1** was isolated and recrystallized in isopropanol to yield a white solid (42%, 11.68 mmol, 2.5 g).

Compound 1 ( $C_{10}H_6F_3NO$ ): mp 201 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 6.83 (d, 1H, J = 9.6 Hz, H3), 7.54 (d, 1H, J = 8.7 Hz, H8), 7.76 (dd, 1H, J = 1.8 and 8.7 Hz, H7), 7.89 (d, 1H, J = 1.8 Hz, H5), 7.90 (d, 1H, J = 9.6 Hz, H4), 12.43 (br s, 1H, NH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 116.8 (CH), 119.3 (C), 122.9 (CH), 123.9 (q, J=271.6 Hz, C), 125.2 (q, J =33.2 Hz, C), 125.4 (q, J =4.1 Hz, CH), 127.1 (q, J=3.4 Hz, CH), 140.4 (C), 140.8 (CH), 164.6 (C). HRMS (DCI CH<sub>4</sub>) calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>7</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 214.0480, found 214.0475.

#### Preparation of 8-nitro-6-trifluoromethylquinolin-2(1*H*)-one 2

 $H_2SO_4$  (98%, 10 mL) were added onto 500 mg of 6-trifluoromethylquinolin-2(1*H*)-one **1** (2.34 mmol, 1 equiv.), cooled with an ice bath. 0.96 mL of 65% HNO<sub>3</sub> (14.1 mmol, 5 equiv.) were then added dropwise at 0°C and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h. The reaction mixture was successively poured into ice, neutralized with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, extracted three times with dichloromethane (3 × 50 mL) and once with ethyl acetate (50 mL). The organic layer was washed with water, dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated *in vacuo*. The crude residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel using ethyl acetate as an eluent. Compound **2** was isolated and recrystallized in acetonitrile to yield a pale yellow solid (89%, 2.1 mmol, 540 mg).

Compound **2** ( $C_{10}H_5F_3N_2O_3$ ): mp 177 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 6.86 (dd, 1H, J = 9.8 and 1.9 Hz, H3), 7.85 (d, 1H, J = 9.8 Hz, H4), 8.12 (d, 1H, J = 2.0 Hz, H5), 8.73 (d, 1H, J = 2.0 Hz, H7), 11.33 (br s, 1H, NH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 122.4 (C), 122.6 (q, J = 271.6 Hz, C), 124.1 (q, J = 35.2

Hz, C), 124.8 (q, J = 3.6 Hz, CH), 125.2 (CH), 131.9 (q, J = 3.4 Hz, CH), 132.7 (C), 135.7 (C), 139.5 (CH), 161.0 (C). HRMS (ESI+) calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>6</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 259.0331 found 259.0333.

Preparation of 3-chloro-6-trifluoromethylquinolin-2(1H)-one 3

A solution of 15 mL of hydrochloric acid (37%) was added onto 500 mg of 6-trifluoromethylquinolin-2(1*H*)-one **1** (2.35 mmol, 1 equiv.). The reaction mixture was then stirred at 100 °C before 300 mg of sodium chlorate (2.8 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) were added with caution (Cl<sub>2</sub> formation). After 7 h under reflux, the reaction mixture was left for 1 h under the hood to evacuate remaining Cl<sub>2</sub> vapor, and then was poured into ice, neutralized with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, extracted three times with dichloromethane (3 × 50 mL) and once with ethyl acetate (50 mL). The organic layer was washed with water, dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated *in vacuo*. The crude residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel using dichloromethane/ethyl acetate (90/10) as an eluent. Compound **3** was isolated and recrystallized in isopropanol to yield a white solid (48%, 1.1 mmol, 280 mg).

Compound **3** (C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>5</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>NO), mp 210 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.63 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.7 Hz, H8), 7.76 (dd, 1H, *J* = 8.8 and 1.4 Hz, H7), 7.83 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H, H5), 8.07 (s, 1H, H4), 12.6 (br s, 1H, NH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 117.0 (CH), 119.0 (C), 123.7 (C, *J* = 271.8 Hz), 124.6 (CH, *J* = 4.2 Hz), 125.9 (C, *J* = 33.3 Hz), 127.1 (CH, *J* = 3.3 Hz), 128.0 (C), 138.0 (CH), 138.9 (C), 160.3 (C). HRMS (DCI CH<sub>4</sub>) calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>6</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>NO [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 248.0090, found 248.0079.

Preparation of 3-bromo-6-trifluoromethylquinolin-2(1H)-one 4

6-Trifluoromethylquinolin-2(1*H*)-one **1** (1.87 mmol, 400 mg) and 835 mg of *N*-bromosuccinimide (4.69 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) were added in a sealed flask of 25 mL. Then, 10 mL of acetonitrile were added and the reaction mixture was heated at 140 °C in a microwave reactor during 2 hours. The reaction mixture was poured into water and extracted three times with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 50$  mL). The organic layer was washed twice with a sodium carbonate solution (pH = 8) and twice with a diluted hydrochloric acid solution (pH=4), dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated *in vacuo*. The crude residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel using dichloromethane/ethyl acetate (75/25) as an eluent. Compound **4** was isolated and recrystallized in acetonitrile to yield a white solid (54%, 1.0 mmol, 293 mg).

Compound 4 ( $C_{10}H_5BrF_3NO$ ), mp 233 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.58 (d, 1H, J = 8.7 Hz, H8), 7.78 (dd, 1H, J = 8.7 and 1.6 Hz, H7), 7.83 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H, H5), 8.29 (s, 1H, H4), 12.23 (br s, 1H, NH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 116.9 (CH), 118.6 (C), 119.5 (C), 123.7 (q, J = 272.0 Hz, C), 124.5 (q, J = 4.1 Hz, CH), 125.8 (q, J = 33.4 Hz, C), 127.3 (q, J = 3.4 Hz, CH), 139.5 (C), 142.0 (CH), 160.2 (C). HRMS (ESI-) calcd for  $C_{10}H_4BrF_3NO$  [M-H]<sup>-</sup> 289.9428, found 289.9433.

#### Preparation of 2-methoxy-8-nitro-6-trifluoromethylquinoline 5

Under argon atmosphere, 250 mg of 8-nitro-6-trifluoromethylquinolin-2(1*H*)-one **2** (0.97 mmol, 1 equiv.) were solubilized in 5 mL of dry DMF and were added onto a DMF solution (5 mL) of 77 mg of 60% sodium hydride (1.93 mmol, 2 equiv.). After 10 min of stirring at rt, 120 µL of methyl iodide (1.93 mmol, 2 equiv.) were added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt during 3 h, before being poured into ice and extracted four times with ethyl acetate ( $4 \times 25$  mL). The organic layer was washed twice with water, once with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated *in vacuo*. The crude residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel using dichloromethane as eluent. Compound **5** was isolated and recrystallized in isopropanol to yield a white solid (69%, 0.66 mmol, 181 mg). Compound **5** (C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>7</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub>): mp 165 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 4.10 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 7.13 (d, 1H, J = 8.9 Hz, H3), 8.13 (d, 1H, J = 8.9 Hz, H4), 8.16 (d, 1H, J = 2.0 Hz, H5), 8.20 (d, 1H, J = 2.0 Hz, H7). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 54.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 116.6 (CH), 120.3 (CH, q, J = 3.4 Hz), 123.1 (C, q, J = 272.4 Hz), 125.0 (C, q, J = 35.0 Hz), 125.7 (C), 128.6 (CH, q, J = 3.98 Hz), 138.9 (CH), 139.8 (C), 146.6 (C), 165.1 (C). HRMS (DCI CH<sub>4</sub>) calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>8</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub> [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 273.0487, found 273.0474.

#### Preparation of 3-chloro-8-nitro-6-trifluoromethylquinolin-2(1H)-one 6

A solution of 25 mL of hydrochloric acid (37%) was added onto 150 mg of 6-trifluoromethyl-8nitroquinolin-2(1*H*)-one **2** (0.58 mmol, 1 equiv.). The reaction mixture was then stirred at 100 °C before 186 mg of sodium chlorate (1.74 mmol, 3 equiv.) were added with caution (Cl<sub>2</sub> formation). After 2 h under reflux, the reaction mixture was left for 1 h under the hood to evacuate remaining Cl<sub>2</sub> vapor, and then was poured into ice, neutralized with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, extracted three times with dichloromethane (3 × 25 mL) and once with ethyl acetate (25 mL). The organic layer was washed with water, dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated *in vacuo*. The crude residue was isolated and recrystallized in isopropanol to yield a yellow solid (88%, 0.51 mmol, 150 mg).

Compound **6** (C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>4</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>): mp 153 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.06 (s, 1H, H4), 8.10 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.0 Hz, H5), 8.74 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.0 Hz, H7), 11.55 (br s, 1H, NH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 122.1 (C), 122.4 (C, q, *J* = 272.6 Hz), 124.6 (C, q, *J* = 3.7 Hz), 124.9 (C, q, *J* = 35.6 Hz), 130.9 (C), 131.1 (CH, q, *J* = 3.55 Hz), 132.9 (C), 134.2 (C), 136.4 (CH), 157.0 (C). HRMS (DCI CH<sub>4</sub>) calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>5</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 292.9941, found 292.9933.

#### Preparation of 3-bromo-8-nitro-6-trifluoromethylquinolin-2(1H)-one 7

A solution of 20 mL of hydrobromic acid (48%) was added onto 350 mg of 6-trifluoromethyl-8nitroquinolin-2(1*H*)-one **2** (1.36 mmol, 1 equiv.). The reaction mixture was then stirred at 100 °C before 345 mg of sodium bromate (4.07 mmol, 3 equiv.) were added with caution (Br<sub>2</sub> formation). After 3 h under reflux, the reaction mixture was left for 1 h under the hood to evacuate remaining Br<sub>2</sub> vapor, and then was poured into ice, neutralized with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and extracted three times with dichloromethane (3 × 50 mL). The organic layer was washed with water, dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated *in vacuo*. The crude residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel using dichloromethane as an eluent. Compound **7** was isolated and recrystallized in acetonitrile to yield a pale yellow solid (94%, 1.27 mmol, 430 mg).

Compound 7 ( $C_{10}H_4BrF_3N_2O_3$ ): mp 168 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.10 (d, 1H, J = 2.0 Hz, H5), 8.29 (s, 1H, H4), 8.76 (d, 1H, J = 2.0 Hz, H7), 11.51 (br s, 1H, NH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 121.6 (C), 122.4 (C, q, J = 272.6 Hz), 122.5 (C), 124.7 (C, q, J = 3.6 Hz), 124.7 (CH, q, J = 35.4 Hz), 131.0 (CH, q, J = 3.5 Hz), 132.9 (C), 134.7 (C), 140.5 (CH), 157.1 (C). HRMS (DCI CH<sub>4</sub>) calcd for  $C_{10}H_5BrF_3N_2O_3$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 336.9436, found 336.9437.

#### Preparation of 8-amino-3-bromo-6-trifluoromethylquinolin-2(1H)-one 8

Ethanol (20 mL) was added onto 130 mg of 3-bromo-8-nitro-6-trifluoromethylquinolin-2(1*H*)-one 7 (0.38 mmol, 1 equiv.) and 365 mg of tin (II) chloride (1.92 mmol, 5 equiv.). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 5 h. The reaction mixture was then neutralized into an aqueous solution of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, filtered on celite, extracted twice with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 50$  mL) and once with ethyl acetate (50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water, dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated *in vacuo*. The crude residue was washed with a diluted hydrochloric solution (pH = 1) three times. Compound **8** was isolated to yield an orange solid (68%, 0.26 mmol, 80 mg).

Compound **8** (C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>6</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O): Tdec. 238 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ : 6.03 (br s, 2 H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.06 (d, 1 H, *J* = 2.0 Hz, H7), 7.31 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.0 Hz, H5), 8.55 (s, 1H, H4), 11.67 (br s, 1H, NH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ : 109.6 (CH, q, *J* = 4.3 Hz), 112.1 (CH, q, *J* = 4.3 Hz), 118.3 (C), 120.0 (C), 123.9 (C, q, *J* = 31.9 Hz), 124.8 (C, q, *J* = 271.9 Hz), 127.8 (C), 136.6 (C), 142.9 (CH), 158.4 (C). HRMS (ESI +) calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>7</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 306.9694, found 306.9700.

Preparation of 6-bromo-8-nitroquinolin-2(1*H*)-one **9** and 3,6-dibromo-8-nitroquinolin-2(1*H*)-one **10** 8-Nitroquinolin-2(1*H*)-one (150 mg, 0.79 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved into 25 mL of refluxing acetic acid saturated with sodium acetate. Then, 80  $\mu$ L of bromine (1.58 mmol, 2 equiv.) were added dropwise and the reaction mixture was refluxed overnight. The reaction mixture was successively poured into ice, neutralized with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, extracted three times with dichloromethane (2 × 25 mL) and once with ethyl acetate (25 mL). The organic layer was washed with water, dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated *in vacuo*. The crude residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel using dichloromethane/ethyl acetate (80/20) as an eluent. Compound **9** was isolated to yield a yellow solid (9%, 0.07 mmol, 20 mg) and compound **10** was isolated, after recrystallization in acetonitrile, to yield a yellow solid (33%, 0.26 mmol, 91 mg).

Compound **9** (C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>5</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>): mp 230 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 6.79 (dd, 1H, *J* = 9.7 and 2.0 Hz, H3), 7.72 (d, 1H, *J* = 9.7 Hz, H4), 8.00 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.2 Hz, H5), 8.60 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.2 Hz, H7), 11.19 (br s, 1H, NH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 113.4 (C), 123.5 (C), 125.0 (CH), 130.3 (CH), 132.8

(C), 133.3 (C), 137.7 (CH), 138.7 (CH), 161.0 (C). HRMS (ESI-) calcd for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M-H]<sup>-</sup> 266.9405, found 266.9402.

Compound **10** (C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>): mp 202 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.96 (d, 1H, J = 2.2, H5), 8.16 (s, 1H, H4), 8.63 (d, 1H, J = 2.2, H7), 11.38 (br s, 1H, NH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 114.1 (C), 121.3 (C), 123.5 (C), 130.4 (CH), 131.8 (C), 133.4 (C), 136.7 (CH), 139.8 (CH), 157.0 (C). HRMS (ESI-) calcd for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M-H]<sup>-</sup> 344.8510, found 344.8508.

#### Preparation of 3,6-dichloro-8-nitroquinolin-2(1H)-one 11

A solution of 15 mL of hydrochloric acid (37%) was added onto 100 mg of 8-nitroquinolin-2(1*H*)-one (0.53 mmol, 1 equiv.). The reaction mixture was then stirred at 100 °C before 280 mg of sodium chlorate (2.63 mmol, 5 equiv.) were added with caution (Cl<sub>2</sub> formation). After 24 h under reflux, the reaction mixture was left for 1 h under the hood to evacuate remaining Cl<sub>2</sub> vapor, and then was poured into ice, neutralized with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and extracted three times with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 50$  mL). The organic layer was washed with water, dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated *in vacuo*. The crude residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel using diethyl ether as an eluent, isolated and recrystallized in acetonitrile to yield a yellow solid (56%, 0.29 mmol, 76 mg).

Compound **11** (C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>): mp 197-198 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.83 (d, 1H, J = 2.3 Hz, H5), 7.93 (s, 1H, H4), 8.49 (d, 1H, J = 2.3 Hz, H7), 11.42 (br s, 1H, NH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 122.8 (C), 127.5 (CH), 127.7 (C), 130.6 (C), 130.9 (C), 133.3 (C), 133.9 (CH), 135.8 (CH), 157.0 (C). HRMS (DCI CH<sub>4</sub>) calcd for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 258.9677, found 258.9672.

#### Preparation of 6-bromo-3-chloro-8-nitroquinolin-2(1H)-one 12

A solution of 20 mL of hydrobromic acid (48%) was added onto 250 mg of 3-chloro-8-nitroquinolin-2(1*H*)-one (1.11 mmol, 1 equiv.). The reaction mixture was then stirred at 100°C before 700 mg of sodium bromate (4.4 mmol, 4 equiv.) were added with caution (Br<sub>2</sub> formation). After 24 h under reflux, the reaction mixture was left for 1 h under the hood to evacuate remaining Br<sub>2</sub> vapor, and then was poured into ice, neutralized with K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and extracted three times with dichloromethane (3 × 50 mL). The organic layer was washed with water, dried over anhydrous  $MgSO_4$  and evaporated *in vacuo*. The crude residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel using dichloromethane as an eluent. Compound **12** was isolated and recrystallized in isopropanol to yield a yellow solid (79%, 0.88 mmol, 266 mg).

Compound **12** (C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>4</sub>BrClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>): mp 232-233 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.93 (s, 1H, H4), 7.97 (d, 1H, J = 2.2 Hz, H5), 8.61 (d, 1H, J = 2.2 Hz, H7), 11.41 (br s, 1H, NH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 114.2 (C), 123.1 (C), 130.2 (CH), 130.5 (C), 131.2 (C), 133.3 (C), 135.7 (CH), 136.8 (CH), 157.0 (C). HRMS (DCI CH<sub>4</sub>) calcd pour C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>5</sub>BrClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 302.9172, found 302.9169.

#### Preparation of 6-bromo-3-(4-carboxyphenyl)-8-nitroquinolin-2(1H)-one 13

In a sealed flask of 25 mL, 1 equiv. of 3,6-dibromo-8-nitroquinolin-2(1*H*)-one **10** (0.86 mmol, 300 mg), 3 equiv. of cesium carbonate (2.6 mmol, 843 mg), 0.1 equiv. of Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (0.086 mmol, 100 mg) and 1.2 equiv. of 4-(methoxycarbonyl)phenylboronic acid (1.03 mmol, 185 mg) were added. Under argon atmosphere, 10 mL of dimethoxyethane and 2 mL of water were then added. The reaction mixture was heated at 80 °C in a microwave reactor during 2 hours. The reaction mixture was poured into water, extracted twice with dichloromethane  $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$  and twice with ethyl acetate  $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$ . The organic layer was washed with water, dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated *in vacuo*. The crude residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel using dichloromethane/ethyl acetate (97/3) as an eluent. 6-bromo-3-(4-carboxymethylphenyl)-8-nitroquinolin-2(1H)-one was isolated to yield a yellow solid (56%, 0.48 mmol, 195 mg). A solution of 40 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O/Ethanol (2/8) was added onto 95 mg of this compound (0.23 mmol, 1 equiv.). Then, 47 mg of NaOH (1.18 mmol, 5 equiv.) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 60°C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was then poured into water, neutralized with HCl 37% and extracted three times with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 25$  mL). The combined organic layers were washed with a hydrochloric solution (pH = 1), dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated *in vacuo*. The crude residue was washed with a sodium carbonate solution (pH = 9) and compound 13 was isolated as a pale brown solid (98%, 0.22 mmol, 88 mg). Global 2-step synthesis yield from 10= 55%.

Compound **13** ( $C_{16}H_9BrN_2O_5$ ): Tdec. 300 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ : 7.90-8.06 (m, 4H, H2', H3', H5' and H6'), 8.40 (s, 1H, H4), 8.48 (d, 1H, J = 2.2 Hz, H5), 8.54 (d, 1H, J = 2.2 Hz, H7), 11.23 (br

s, 1H, NH), 13.08 (br s, 1H, COOH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ: 112.8 (C), 124.00 (C), 129.3 (2xCH), 129.6 (2xCH), 129.7 (CH), 131.3 (C), 132.0 (C), 132.8 (C), 134.6 (C), 137.9 (CH), 138.1 (CH), 139.2 (C), 160.2 (C), 167.4 (C). HRMS (ESI-) calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>8</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [M-H]<sup>-</sup> 386.9617, found 386.9620.

Preparation of 3-chloro-6-(3-hydroxyprop-1-ynyl)-8-nitroquinolin-2(1*H*)-one **14** and 3-chloro-6-(5-hydroxypent-1-ynyl)-8-nitroquinolin-2(1*H*)-one **15** 

In a sealed flask of 25 mL, 1 equiv. of 3-chloro-6-bromo-8-nitroquinolin-2(1*H*)-one 10 (0.98 mmol, 300 mg), 0.1 equiv. of CuI (0.098 mmol, 19 mg) and 0.1 equiv. of Pd(PPh3)4 (0.098 mmol, 113 mg) were added. Under argon atmosphere, anhydrous dimethoxyethane (10 mL), Et<sub>3</sub>N (401  $\mu$ L, 2.94 mmol, 3 equiv) and the appropriate alkyne (1.47 mmol, 1.5 equiv) were successively added. The reaction mixture was heated at 100 °C during 1 hour. The reaction mixture was poured into water, extracted twice with dichloromethane (2 × 50 mL) and twice with ethyl acetate (2 x 50 mL). The organic layer was washed with water, dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated in vacuo. The crude residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel using dichloromethane/ethyl acetate (80/20) as an eluent and recrystallized to give compounds **14** and **15**.

Compound **14** ( $C_{12}H_7CIN_2O_4$ ) was recrystallized from isopropanol, as a beige solid in 15% yield (41 mg). Tdec. = 148 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ : 4.35 (m, 3H), 8.18 (d, 1H, J = 1.9 Hz, H5), 8.31 (d, 1H, J = 1.9 Hz, H7), 8.48 (s, 1H, H4), 11.48 (br s, 1H, NH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ : 49.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 81.1 (C), 92.0 (C), 116.5 (C), 122.0 (CH), 128.0 (C), 129.8 (CH), 131.4 (C), 134.6 (C), 137.3 (CH), 137.8 (CH), 157.1 (C). HRMS (ESI+) calcd for  $C_{12}H_8CIN_2O_4$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 279.0173, found 279.0185.

Compound **15** ( $C_{14}H_{11}CIN_2O_4$ ) was recrystallized from isopropanol, as a yellow solid in 36% yield (108 mg). Tdec. = 176 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ : 1.67-1,71 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.50-2,54 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.50-3.56 (q, 2H, J = 17.6 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.54-4.56 (t, 1H, J = 10.3 Hz, OH), 8.17 (d, 1H, J = 1.9 Hz, H5), 8.30 (d, 1H, J = 1.9 Hz, H7), 8.47 (s, 1H, H4),11.47 (br s, 1H, NH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ : 15.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 31.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 59.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 77.8 (C), 93.1 (C), 117.4 (C), 122.0 (C), 127.9 (C), 129.85

(CH), 131.1 (C), 134.5 (C), 137.3 (CH), 137.75 (CH), 157.1 (C). HRMS (ESI+) calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>12</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 307.0486, found 307.0480.

#### Electrochemistry

Voltammetric measurements were carried out with a potentiostat Autolab PGSTAT100 (ECO Chemie, The Netherlands) controlled by GPES 4.09 software. Experiments were performed at room temperature in a homemade airtight three-electrode cell connected to a vacuum/argon line. The reference electrode consisted of a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) separated from the solution by a bridge compartment. The counter electrode was a platinum wire of approximately 1 cm<sup>2</sup> apparent surface. The working electrode was GC microdisk (1.0 mm of diameter – Biologic SAS). The supporting electrolyte tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate ( $nBu_4N[PF_6]$ , Fluka, 99% puriss electrochemical grade) and the solvent DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich puriss p. a. dried<0.02% water) were used as received and simply degassed under argon. The solutions used during the electrochemical studies were typically 10<sup>-3</sup> M in compound and 0.1 M in supporting electrolyte. Before each measurement, the solutions were degassed by bubbling Ar and the working electrode was polished with a polishing machine (Presi P230). Under these experimental conditions employed in this work, the half-wave potential (E<sub>1/2</sub>) of the ferrocene Fc<sup>+</sup>/Fc couple in DMSO was E<sub>1/2</sub> = 0.45 V vs SCE. The redox potentials measured (V/SCE) correspond to the reversible one-electron reduction/oxidation of the redox couple nitro group/anion radical and were corrected versus the normal hydrogen electrode (+ 0.241 V).

#### Biology

#### Antileishmanial activity on L. infantum axenic amastigotes.<sup>[1]</sup>

*L. infantum* promastigotes (MHOM/MA/67/ITMAP-263, CNR Leishmania, Montpellier, France, expressing luciferase activity) were cultivated in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% foetal calf serum (FCS), 2 mM L-glutamine and antibiotics (100 U/mL penicillin and 100  $\mu$ g/mL streptomycin) and harvested in logarithmic phase of growth by centrifugation at 900 g for 10 min. The supernatant was removed carefully and was replaced by the same volume of RPMI 1640 complete medium at pH 5.4 and incubated for 24 h at 24 °C. The acidified promastigotes were then incubated for 24 h at 37 °C

in a ventilated flask to transform promastigotes into axenic amastigotes. The amastigote stage was checked both by electron microscopy (short flagellum with small bulbous tip extending beyond a spherical cell body) and RT-PCR for confirming the overexpression of ATG8 and amastin genes in amastigotes, compared to promastigotes. The effects of the tested compounds on the growth of L. infantum axenic amastigotes were assessed as follows. L. infantum amastigotes were incubated at a density of  $2 \times 10^6$  parasites/mL in sterile 96-well plates with various concentrations of compounds dissolved in DMSO (final concentration less than 0.5% v/v), in duplicate. Appropriate controls DMSO, amphotericin B, miltefosine and fexinidazole (reference drugs purchased from Sigma Aldrich) were added to each set of experiments. After a 48 h incubation period at 37 °C, each plate-well was then microscopically-examined to detect any precipitate formation. To estimate the luciferase activity of axenic amastigotes, 80 µL of each well were transferred to white 96-well plates, Steady Glow® reagent (Promega) was added according to manufacturer's instructions, and plates were incubated for 2 min. The luminescence was measured in Microbeta Luminescence Counter (PerkinElmer). Efficient concentration 50% (EC<sub>50</sub>) was defined as the concentration of drug required to inhibit by 50% the metabolic activity of L. infantum amastigotes compared to control. EC50 values were calculated by nonlinear regression analysis processed on dose response curves, using TableCurve 2D V5 software. EC<sub>50</sub> values represent the mean of three independent experiments.

#### Antileishmanial activity on L. donovani promastigotes NTR1 and NTR2 over-expressing strain.

Cell lines and culture conditions: The clonal *Leishmania donovani* cell line LdBOB (derived from MHOM/SD/62/1S-CL2D) was grown as promastigotes at 26 °C in modified M199 media, as previously described.<sup>[2]</sup> LdBOB promastigotes overexpressing NTR1 (LinJ.05.0660) <sup>[3]</sup> and NTR2 (LinJ.12.0730) <sup>[4]</sup> were grown in the presence of nourseothricin (100  $\mu$ g/mL). To examine the effects of test compounds on growth, triplicate promastigote cultures were seeded with 5 × 10<sup>4</sup> parasites/mL. Parasites were grown in 10 ml cultures in the presence of drug for 72 h, after which 200  $\mu$ L aliquots of each culture were added to 96-well plates, 50  $\mu$ M resazurin was added to each well and fluorescence (excitation of 528 nm and emission of 590 nm) measured after a further 4 h incubation <sup>[5]</sup>. Data were processed using GRAFIT (version 5.0.4; Erithacus software) and fitted to a 2-parameter equation, where the data are

corrected for background fluorescence, to obtain the concentration inhibiting growth by 50% ( $EC_{50}$ ): In this equation [I] represents inhibitor concentration and m is the slope factor. Experiments were repeated at least two times and the data are presented as the weighted mean plus standard deviation.

$$y = \frac{100}{1 + \left(\frac{[I]}{IC_{50}}\right)^m}$$

#### Antitrypanosomal activity on T. brucei brucei trypomastigotes.

Assays were performed on Trypanosoma brucei brucei AnTat 1.9 strain (IMTA, Antwerpen, Belgium). It was cultured in MEM with Earle's salts, supplemented according to the protocol of Baltz et al.<sup>[6]</sup> with the following modifications, 0.5 mM mercaptoethanol (Sigma Aldrich<sup>®</sup>, France), 1.5 mM L-cysteine (Sigma Aldrich®), 0.05 mM bathocuproïne sulfate (Sigma Aldrich®) and 20% heat-inactivated horse serum (Gibco<sup>®</sup>, France), at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. The parasites were incubated at an average density of 2000 parasites/well in sterile 96-wells plates (Mc2<sup>®</sup>, France) with various concentrations of compounds dissolved in DMSO (Sigma Aldrich®), in duplicate. Reference drugs suramin, effornithine, and fexinidazole (purchased from Sigma Aldrich, France and Fluorochem, UK) dissolved in NaCl 0.9% or DMSO, were added to each set of experiments. The effects of the tested compounds were assessed by the viability marker Alamar Blue® (Fisher, France) assay described by Räz et al. [7] After a 69 h incubation period at 37 °C, 10 µL of Alamar Blue® was then added to each well, and the plates were incubated for 5h. [8] The plates were read in a PerkinElmer ENSPIRE (Germany) microplate reader using an excitation wavelength of 530 nm and an emission wavelength of 590 nm. EC<sub>50</sub> were calculated by nonlinear regression analysis processed on dose-response curves, using GraphPad Prism software (USA). EC<sub>50</sub> was defined as the concentration of drug necessary to inhibit by 50% the viability of *T. brucei brucei* compared to the control. EC<sub>50</sub> values were calculated from three independent experiments in duplicate.

#### Antitrypanosomal evaluation on the development of T. cruzi amastigotes

Vero cells (normal kidney epithelial cells of Cercopithecus aethiops) were obtained from the Virology Laboratory of the Pitié Salpêtrière Hospital (Paris, France). At late exponential growth phase, trypsintreated Vero cells were subcultured every seven days in RPMI-1640 medium (Life technologies) supplemented with streptomycin/penicillin (Life technologies) and 5% heat-inactivated foetal bovine serum (FBS) (Life technologies). Subcultures were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. CL Brener strain (collection number: MNHN-CEU- 2016-0159) was a gift from Pr. P. Grellier of the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle (Paris, France). T. cruzi stocks were maintained by weekly passage in Vero cells. Infectious trypomastigotes were collected from culture supernatants.

Then, sterile, 06-well plates were seeded with exponentially growing Vero cells (40,000 cells per cm<sup>2</sup> in 2, 2 mL RPMI with serum per well) harvested from the preceding subcultures, were added to each well. After incubation at 37°C for 2 days in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in air, the cells were infected with T. cruzi trypomastigotes in ratio 3:1 (parasites : host cells). After 24 hours, the non-infecting trypomastigotes removed by washing twice with HBSS buffer (without Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup>) and the chemical compounds in completed RPMI media were added immediately, to be tested to their inhibitory effects on parasite growth and development. Culture plates were incubated for an additional 120 h at 37°C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Three replicate wells for each condition were done. On days 5 and 6 post-infection, trypomastigotes were released from the cells. On day 6, the culture medium was removed and transferred to a centrifuge tube. Attached infected cells were washed with 5 mL of HBSS buffer. The culture medium and wash containing trypomastigotes were mixed and centrifuged at 1000 g for 15 min at room temperature. Subsequently, trypomastigotes re-suspended in 2 mL and counted in a haemocytometer (Kova cells) using a light microscope. For 50% effective concentration (EC<sub>50</sub>) determinations, compounds were serially diluted 2 to 4-fold in RPMI media, with final assay concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 25  $\mu$ M. The 50% inhibiting concentrations (EC<sub>50</sub>), defined as the drug concentration that resulted in a 50% reduction of trypomastigotes compared to the non-treated controls was estimated by non-linear regression analysis. EC<sub>50</sub> values represent the mean value calculated from two independent experiments that were performed in triplicate.

Antitrypanosomal activity on T. brucei trypomastigotes overexpressing the nitroreductase (NTR1).

*Trypanosoma brucei* bloodstream-form 'single marker' S427 (T7RPOL TETR NEO) and drug-resistant cell lines were cultured at 37°C in HMI9-T medium<sup>[9]</sup> supplemented with 2.5 µg/mL G418 to maintain expression of T7 RNA polymerase and the tetracycline repressor protein. Bloodstream trypanosomes overexpressing the *T. brucei* nitroreductase (NTR1)<sup>[10]</sup> were grown in medium supplemented with 2.5 µg/mL phleomycin and expression of NTR was induced by the addition of 1 µg/mL tetracycline. Cultures were initiated with  $1 \times 10^5$  cells ml<sup>-1</sup> and sub-cultured when cell densities approached 1-2 (×  $10^6$ )/mL. In order to examine the effects of inhibitors on the growth of these parasites, triplicate cultures containing the inhibitor were seeded at  $1 \times 10^5$  trypanosomes/mL. Cells overexpressing NTR were induced with tetracycline 48 h prior to EC<sub>50</sub> analysis. Cell densities were determined after culture for 72 h, as previously described<sup>[11]</sup>. EC<sub>50</sub> values were determined using GraFit as described above.

#### *Cytotoxic evaluation on HepG2 cell line.*

The evaluation of the molecules cytotoxicity on the HepG2 (hepatocarcinoma cell line from ECACC purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, ref 85011430-1VL certificated without mycoplasma) was done according to the method of Mosman with slight modifications.<sup>[12]</sup> Briefly, cells ( $1 \times 10^5$  cells/mL) in 100  $\mu$ L of complete medium, [Alpha MEM Eagle from PAN BIOTECH supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum, 2 mM L-glutamine and antibiotics (100 U/mL penicillin and 100  $\mu$ g/mL streptomycin)] were seeded into each well of 96-well plates and incubated at 37 °C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. After a 24 h incubation, 100  $\mu$ L of medium with various product concentrations and appropriate controls were added and the plates were incubated for 72 h at 37 °C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Each plate-well was then microscope-examined for detecting possible precipitate formation before the medium was aspirated from the wells. A MTT solution (100  $\mu$ L, 0.5 mg/mL in Alpha MEM Eagle) was then added to each well. Cells were incubated for 2 h at 37 °C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. After this time, the MTT solution was removed and DMSO (100  $\mu$ L) was added to dissolve the resulting formazan crystals. Plates were shaken vigorously (300 rpm) for 5 min. The absorbance was measured at 570 nm with a microplate spectrophotometer (Eon BioTek). DMSO was used as blank and doxorubicin (purchased from Sigma Aldrich) as positive control. CC<sub>50</sub> were

calculated by non-linear regression analysis processed on dose response curves, using TableCurve 2D V5 software.  $CC_{50}$  values represent the mean value calculated from three independent experiments.

#### *Comet assay*

The alkaline comet assay was used to detect DNA strand breaks and alkali-labile sites. Trypsinized HepG2 cells were embedded in 0.7% low-melting point agarose (Sigma "Low Gelling Temperature") and laid on pre-cut sheets of polyester film (Gelbond® film) to perform minigel deposits as previously described <sup>[13]</sup>. Films were then placed in lysis solution (2.5 M NaCl, 0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>EDTA, 10 mM Tris, 1 % Triton X-100, 10 % DMSO pH 10) for 18 h at 4 °C. Electrophoresis (with a solution which contained 0.3 M NaOH, 1 mM Na<sub>2</sub>EDTA, pH > 13) was processed for 24 min in a tank with a power supply giving 28 V (resulting in 0.8 V/cm). After electrophoresis, films were immersed  $2 \times 5$  min in PBS for neutralization, followed by fixation in 100% ethanol for 1.5 h and drying. After staining with SYBR® Gold (Life Technologies) at  $10\,000 \times$  dilution for 20 min, films were observed at 20x magnification with an epifluorescence microscope equipped with an automated platform (Nikon NiE) and coupled to a camera (DS-Q1Mc) and the software Nikon NiS Element Advanced Research to automatically capture images. In these images, for each cell, the level of DNA damage was evaluated using a semi-automated scoring system, by measurement of the intensity of all tail pixels divided by the total intensity of all pixels in head and tail of comet, by means of the software "Lucia comet assay" (Laboratory Imaging, Prague Czech Republic). Fifty cells per deposit and two deposits per sample were analyzed. The median from these 100 values was calculated and named "% tail DNA".

#### Parallel Artificial Membrane Permeability Assay (PAMPA)<sup>[14,15]</sup>

The PAMPA-BBB experiments were conducted using the Pampa Explorer Kit (Pion Inc) according to manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, each stock compound solution (20 mM in DMSO) were diluted in Prisma HT buffer pH 7.4 (Pion Inc) to 100  $\mu$ M. 200  $\mu$ L of this solution (n = 6) were added to donor plate (P/N 110243). BBB-1 Lipid (5  $\mu$ L, P/N 110672) was used to coat the membrane filter of the acceptor plate (P/N 110243). Brain Sink Buffer (200  $\mu$ L, P/N 110674) was added to each well of the acceptor plate. The sandwich was incubated at room temperature for 4h, without stirring. After the

incubation the UV-visible spectra were measured with the microplate reader (Tecan infinite M200), and the permeability value (Pe) was calculated by the PAMPA Explorer software v.3.7 (pION) for each compound. The corticosterone and the theophylline were used as references.

#### Solubility determination at pH 7.4.

Thermodynamic solubility at pH 7.4 of molecule **12** was determined according to the classical shakeflask method (Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development guideline n° 105) and a miniaturized procedure. <sup>[16]</sup> Phosphate Buffer solutions (pH 7.4, 10  $\mu$ M, ionic strength 50 mM) were prepared from Na<sub>2</sub>HPO4, KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and KCl (Sigma Aldrich, Saint Quentin Fallavier, France); 10  $\mu$ L of 50 mM stock solution was added to 1.5 mL microtubes containing 990 mL buffer (n = 4). Tubes were briefly sonicated and shacked by inversion during 24 h at room temperature. Then, microtubes were centrifuged at 12,225×g for 5 min; 100  $\mu$ L supernatant was mixed with 100  $\mu$ L acetonitrile in a Greiner UV microplate. Standard solutions were prepared extemporaneously diluting 25 mM DMSO stock solutions at 0, 5 and 12.5 mM; 5  $\mu$ L each working solution was diluted with 995  $\mu$ L buffer and 100  $\mu$ L was then mixed in microplate with 100  $\mu$ L acetonitrile to keep unchanged the final proportions of each solvent in standard solutions and samples. Determination of solubility at pH 7.4 was made with a Synergy 2 (Biotek, Colmar, France) microplate reader in spectrophotometric mode (230 to 450 nm) from the specific  $\lambda_{max}$  of each compound. The calibration curve obtained from the three standard solutions of tested compounds at 0, 25, and 50  $\mu$ M in a 50:50 (vol/vol) mixture of buffer with acetonitrinile/DMSO (99:1; vol/vol). Calibration curves were linear with R<sup>2</sup>> 0.99.

#### Microsomal stability protocol.

The compounds and propranolol, used as reference, are incubated in duplicate (reaction volume of 0.5 mL) with female mouse microsomes (CD-1, 20 mg / ml, BD Gentest<sup>TM</sup>) at 37 °C in a 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, in the presence of MgCl<sub>2</sub> (5 mM), NADP (1 mM), glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (0.4 U/mL) and glucose-6-phosphate (5 mM). For the estimation of the intrinsic clearance: 50  $\mu$ L aliquot at 0, 5, 10, 20, 30 and 40 min are collected and the reaction is stopped with 4 volumes of acetonitrile (ACN) containing the internal standard. After centrifugation at 10000 g, 10 min, 4 °C, the supernatants

are kept at 4 °C for immediate analysis or placed at -80 °C in case of postponement of the analysis. Controls (t<sub>0</sub> and t<sub>final</sub>) in triplicate are prepared by incubation of the internal standard with microsomes denatured by acetonitrile. The LC-MS used for this study is a Waters® Acquity I-Class / Xevo TQD, equipped with a Waters® Acquity BEH C18 column, 50 x 2.1 mm, 1.7 µm. The mobile phases are (A) ammonium acetate 10 mM and (B) acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid. The injection volume is 1 µL and the flow rate is 600 µL/min. The chromatographic analysis, total duration of 4 min, is made with the following gradient: 0 < t < 0.2 min, 2% (B); 0.2 < t < 2 min, linear increase to 98% (B); 2 < t < 2.5 min, 98% (B); 2.5 < t < 2.6 min, linear decrease to 2% (B); 2.6 < t < 4 min, 2% (B). Compound 8-bromo-6-chloro-3-nitro-2-(phenylsulfonylmethyl)imidazo[1,2-*a*]pyridine is used as internal standard. The quantification of each compound is obtained by converting the average of the ratios of the analyte/internal standard surfaces to the percentage of consumed product. The ratio of the control at t<sub>0</sub> corresponds to 0% of product consumed. The calculation of the half-life (t<sub>1/2</sub>) of each compound in the presence of microsomes is done according to the equation:  $t_{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\ln(2)}{k}$ . Where k is the first-order degradation constant (the slope of the logarithm of compound concentration versus incubation time). The intrinsic clearance *in vitro* (Cl<sub>int</sub> expressed in µL/min/mg) is calculated according to the equation:

 $Cl_{int} = \frac{dose}{AUC_{\infty}} / [microsomes]$ . Where dose is the initial concentration of product in the sample, AUC<sub>\u03c0</sub> is the area under the concentration-time curve extrapolated to infinity and [microsomes] is the microsome concentration expressed in mg/µL.

#### Plasma protein binding procedure.

The plasma doped with the tested compound is incubated at 37 °C in triplicate in one of the compartments of the insert, the other compartment containing a phosphate buffer solution at pH 7.2. After stirring for 4 h at 300 rpm, a 25  $\mu$ L aliquot of each compartment is taken and diluted; the dilution solution is adapted to obtain an identical matrix for all the compartments after dilution. In parallel, the reprocessing of a plasma doped but not incubated will allow to evaluate the recovery of the study. The LC-MS used for this study is a Waters® Acquity I-Class / Xevo TQD, equipped with a Waters® Acquity

BEH C18 column,  $50 \times 2.1$  mm,  $1.7 \mu$ m. The mobile phases are (A) ammonium acetate 10 mM and (B) acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid. The injection volume is 1 µL and the flow rate is 600 µL/min. The chromatographic analysis, total duration of 4 min, is made with the following gradient: 0 < t < 0.2 min, 2% (B); 0.2 < t < 2 min, linear increase to 98% (B); 2 < t < 2.5 min, 98% (B); 2.5 < t < 2.6 min, linear decrease to 2% (B); 2.6 < t < 4 min, 2% (B). Carbamazepine, oxazepam, warfarine and diclofenac are used as reference drugs and Propranolol is used as internal standard. The unbound fraction (fu) is calculated according to the following formula:  $\mathbf{f_u} = \frac{\mathbf{A}_{Plasma, 4h} - \mathbf{A}_{PBS, 4h}}{\mathbf{A}_{Plasma, 4h}} \times \mathbf{100}$ . The percentage of recovery is calculated according to the following formula:  $\% \text{ Recovery} = \frac{(\mathbf{V}_{PBS} \times \mathbf{A}_{PBS, 4h}) + (\mathbf{V}_{Plasma} \times \mathbf{A}_{Plasma, 4h})}{(\mathbf{V}_{Plasma} \times \mathbf{A}_{Plasma, 4h})}$ . Where A is the ratio of the area under peak of the studied molecule and the area under peak of the internal standard (propranolol 200 nM). V is the volume of solution present in the compartments (VPBS = 350 µL and Vplasma = 200 µL).

#### In vivo analysis

Female Swiss mice of 8 weeks (weight 30-32 g) are used. All animals were kept under the same conditions according to laboratory animal care guidelines (European convention SPE123), and all protocol are approved by the ethical committee and the Ministry of Higher Education, Research and Innovation with the agreement number APAFIS#19730-2019031215178087 v1.

Four mice received 50  $\mu$ L of the **12** suspension by oral route at 25 mg/kg (the tolerated dose). One hundred microliters of blood were taken from tail and collected into micro tubes with heparin at 0.5, 1, 3, 6, 9, 12, and 24h after administration. Blood samples were stored frozen until required for assay.

#### Sample preparation for spectrometry analysis

Megazol was used like the internal standard (IS). LC-MS Optima grade Acetonitrile (ACN) and Methanol (MeOH), acetic acid and formic acid (FA) were purchased from Fisher Scientific. Ready-to-use QuEChERS salts (6 g MgSO4/1.5 g NaCl/1.5 g sodium citrate dihydrate/750mg sodium citrate sesquihydrate) were supplied by VWR.

200  $\mu$ l of ACN containing megazol (IS) at a concentration of 625 ng/ml were added to 100  $\mu$ l blood samples. The mixture was vortexed during 30 sec. After 10 min, 40 mg of QuEChERS salts were added. Samples were briefly vortexed and centrifuged at 16,000g for 10 min. Ten microliters of the upper layer was directly transferred in an injection vial before being diluted (1/10; v/v) in a 0.1 % formic acid in water. Finally, 5 $\mu$ L was injected in the LC-MS-MS system.

Calibrations standards (8 levels, from 10 to 1,000ng/ml) and quality controls (QC) (75 and 625 ng/ml) were obtained by adding appropriate 20X working standard solutions in blank whole blood. <sup>[17, 18]</sup>

#### LC-MS/MS conditions

The chromatographic system consisted in two Shimadzu LC-30 AD pumps (NexeraX2), a CTO 20AC oven, and a SIL-30AC autosampler (Shimadzu, Marne-la-Vallée, France). Chromatographic separation was performed using a EC-C8 column (Poroshell 120, 2.1 mm x 75 mm, 2.7  $\mu$ M; Agilent) at a flow rate of 0.25ml/min using a gradient of 0.1% acetic acid in water (A) and 0.1% acetic acid in MeOH/ACN 50:50 (B) programmed as follows: 0.0–0.1, 20% (B); 0.1–1.0, 20 to 70% (B); 1.0–4.0, 70% to 100% (B); 4.0–5.5, 100% (B); 5.5–6.0, 100 to 20% (B); and 6.0–8.0 column equilibration with 20% (B). Oven temperature was set at 60 °C.

A Shimadzu 8060 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer was used in the negative electrospray ionization mode. The main common parameter settings were as follows: interface voltage, 1.5kV; nebulizing gas flow, 3 L/min; heating gas flow, 10 L/min; interface temperature, 300°C; desolvatation line (DL) temperature, 250°C; heat block temperature, 400°C; and drying gas flow, 10 L/min. Multiple-reaction monitoring (MRM) transitions and specific parameters are presented in Table 1. All parameters (collision energy, Q1/Q3 pre-bias) were optimized from standard flow injection analysis. Dwell time was set at 100ms per transition.

#### Table 1: LC retention time (RT) and selected MS/MS detection conditions

Compounds	Retention	Precursor ion		Product ion						
	time (min)	m/z	Q1 pre-	Quantitation		Confirmation				
			bias (V)	m/z	Collision	Q3 pre-	m/z	Collision	Q3 pre-	
					energy (V)	bias (V)		energy (V)	bias (V)	
12	2.53	301.1	10	255.05	25	11	270.9	21	12	
							79.05	40	11	
Megazol (IS)	1.97	225.3	14	58	23	11	166.05	13	16	

#### Validation procedure for whole blood

Validation protocol and the set of acceptance criteria were as follows:

- Linearity: Calibration curve was generated by plotting the peak area ratios (analyte/internal standard) vs the expected concentration. Linearity of the calibration curve was evaluated by a quadratic regression analysis using a  $1/x^2$  weighting. A value greater than 0.99 was expected for the coefficient of determination ( $r^2$ ).

- Precision and accuracy of the method were assessed at lower limit of quantitation (LLOQ; 10ng/ml) and at the two quality control concentrations (75 and 625 ng/ml). Precision is calculated as the coefficient of variation (CV%) within a single run (intra-assay; n=5) and between different assays (inter-assay; n=5), and accuracy is the percentage of deviation between nominal and found concentration with the established calibration curve. Acceptance criteria were intra-assay and inter-assay precision (CV%) and an accuracy (bias) less than 20 %.

- The lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) was estimated to be the minimal concentration with accuracy and precision within +/-20%. The lower limit of detection (LLOD) was calculated based on a signal-to-noise ratio >3.

- Extraction recoveries were determined by comparing the LLOQ and the quality controls samples (n=5) with their extracted blank whole blood counterparts spiked at the correct concentration after extraction (n=3). CV% in the extraction recovery had to be less than 20%.

- The effect of dilution was investigated on samples spiked at 5-fold (5,000 ng/ml) and 20-fold (20,000 ng/ml) of ULOQ then re-analyzed after ten- and forty-fold dilutions. Precision CV and bias were set less than 20% to successfully validate.

- The absence of carryover was checked by injecting blank samples just after the analysis of the most concentrated sample (1,000 ng/ml).

# 2. Experimental spectra (NMR + HRMS) of hit-compounds 7, 12 & 13



Figure S1: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of hit compound 7 and zoom between 7.2 and 11.6 ppm.



Figure S2: <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of hit compound 7 and zoom between 117 and 136 ppm.



Figure S3: HRMS spectrum of hit compound 7 (DCI CH<sub>4</sub>).



Figure S4: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of hit compound **12** and zoom between 8.0 and 12.0 ppm.



Figure S5: <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of hit compound **12** and zoom between 113 and 160 ppm.







Figure S7: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of hit compound 13 and zoom between 7.7 and 11.3 ppm.



Figure S8: <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of hit compound 13 and zoom between 110 and 168 ppm.



Figure S9: HRMS spectrum of hit compound 13 (ESI -).

# 3. In vitro pharmacokinetic assays



Figure S10: Microsomal stability of hit compound 7.



Figure S11: Microsomal stability result of hit compounds 12 and 13.

	Buffer chamber	Plasma chamber	% Bound			fu			Log f <sub>u</sub>
Compound ID	A <sub>analyte</sub> /A <sub>IS</sub>	$A_{analyte}/A_{IS}$	Value	Average	sd	Value	Average	sd	Value
	0,002	0,845	99,75			0,002			
Diclofenac	0,002	0,856	99,79	99,76	0,03	0,002	0,002	0,0003	-2,614
	0,002	0,870	99,73			0,003			
	0,097	11,234	99,14			0,009			
Warfarin	0,096	11,518	99,17	99,16	<b>99,16</b> 0,02	0,008	0,008	0,0002	-2,074
	0,093	11,098	99,16			0,008			
	0,000	0,041	99,13			0,009			
7	0,000	0,042	99,67	99,44	0,28	0,003	0,006 0,	0,0028	-2,250
	0,000	0,042	99,52			0,005			

Figure S12: Plasma protein binding assays of hit compound 7.

	Buffer chamber	Plasma chamber	% Bound		fu			Log f <sub>u</sub>	
Compound	A <sub>analyte</sub> /A <sub>IS</sub>	A <sub>analyte</sub> /A <sub>IS</sub>	Value	Average	sd	Value	Average	sd	Value
	0,0860	9,3000	99,08			0,0092			
Warfarin	0,0880	9,2760	99,05	99,07	0,02	0,0095	0,0093	0,0002	-2,032
	0,0840	9,2130	99,09			0,0091			
	0,0010	0,6150	99,84			0,0016			
Diclofenac	0,0020	0,6320	99,68	99,79	0,09	0,0032	0,0021	0,0009	-2,673
	0,0010	0,6310	99,84			0,0016			
	0,0000	0,0400							
13	0,0000	0,0390		>99.0			<0.0100		<2,000
	0,0000	0,0410							
	0,0010	0,1010	99,01			0,0099			
12	0,0010	0,1020	99,02	99,02	0,01	0,0098	0,0098	0,0001	-2,007
	0,0010	0,1020	99,02			0,0098			

Figure S13: Plasma protein binding assays of hit compounds 12 and 13.

Compound	Tested concentration	Pe (nm/s)
7	100 µM	450.3 ± 170.5
12	100 µM	405.1 ± 69.3
13	100 µM	12.7 ± 0.9
Theophylline	250 μM	5.5 ± 0.3
Corticostérone	100 µM	138.6 ± 22.0

Figure S14: BBB PAMPA assay for hit compounds 7, 12 and 13.

## 4. Comet assay



**Figure S15.** Comet assay on HepG2 cells for compound **12**. Positive control: 1 mM methylmethanesulfonate (MMS). Results are mean  $\pm$  SD of three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnetts's multiple comparison for each time (\*\*\*P < 0.001 *versus* control).

## 5. In vivo pharmacokinetic study

Following the developed extraction procedure, the preparation of a classical batch that includes 9 calibration standards, 2 internal quality controls, and 20 whole blood samples required less than 1.5 hour. The chromatographic separation of the compound and its internal standard was obtained in 8 min. As presented in Figure S16, acceptance criteria were obtained for both the intra-assay and the inter-assay precision and accuracy. The CV% values in the extraction recovery were also less than 20%. Using quadratic regression with a  $1/x^2$  weighting, the coefficients of determination of the calibration curves between 10 and 1,000 ng/ml were higher than 0.99. According to these results, the LLOQ was considered to be 10 ng/ml and the LLOD was determined at 5 ng/ml.

# Figure S16: Main parameters of the validation protocol

		LLOQ	LQC	HQC	5*ULOQ	20*ULOQ
		10 ng/ml	75 ng/ml	625 ng/ml	5,000 ng/ml	20,000 ng/ml
Coefficient of determ	ination (r <sup>2</sup> )			$0.9950 \pm 0.005$	1	
Recovery (%) (%CV)	) (n=3)	105.4% (17.7%)	102.1% (2.7%)	92.7% (5.9%)		
Intra-assay (n=5)						
	Mean $\pm$ SD (ng/ml)	$9.47 \pm 1.44$	$79.35 \pm 2.11$	$622.04 \pm 39.87$		
	Accuracy	94.7%	105.8%	99.5%		
	CV%	15.2%	2.7%	6.4%		
Inter-assay (n=5)						
	Mean $\pm$ SD (ng/ml)	$10.21\pm0.86$	$76.42\pm6.41$	$652.54\pm33.45$		
	Accuracy	102.1%	101.9%	104.4%		
	CV%	8.4%	8.4%	5.1%		
dilution test $(n=3)$						
ten-fold dilution	Mean $\pm$ SD (ng/ml)				$3,773.3 \pm 721.3$	
	Accuracy (%CV)				75.5% (19.1%)	
forty-fold dilution	Mean $\pm$ SD (ng/ml)				$5,257.1 \pm 163.3$	$21,432.4 \pm 1,004.0$
	Accuracy (%CV)				105.1% (3.1%)	107.2% (4.7%)

Figure S17. Pharmacokinetic parameters of hit compound 12 in whole blood of mouse after oral administration at 25 mg/kg (n = 4)

	Cmax (ng/mL) ± SD	Tmax (h) ± SD	$\begin{array}{c} T_{1/2} \left( h \right) \\ \pm SD \end{array}$	AUC <sub>0-inf</sub> (ng.h/mL) ± SD	Clairance (mL/h) ± SD
12	17428 ± 8337	1,5 ± 1	2,87 ± 0,95	$123495 \\ \pm 31693$	0,00768 ± 0,0021

## 6. Cyclic voltammograms



**Figure S18:** Cyclic voltammetry of compound 7 ( $10^{-3} \text{ mol } L^{-1}$ ) in DMSO + 0.1 mol  $L^{-1}$  of (n-Bu<sub>4</sub> N)[PF<sub>6</sub>] on GC microdisk (r = 0.5mm) at room temperature. Scan rate: 0.2 V s<sup>-1</sup>.



**Figure S19:** Cyclic voltammetry of compound **12** ( $10^{-3}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup>) in DMSO + 0.1 mol L<sup>-1</sup> of (n-Bu<sub>4</sub> N)[PF<sub>6</sub>] on GC microdisk (r = 0.5mm) at room temperature. Scan rate: 0.2 V s<sup>-1</sup>.



**Figure S20:** Cyclic voltammetry of compound **13** ( $10^{-3} \text{ mol } L^{-1}$ ) in DMSO + 0.1 mol  $L^{-1}$  of (n-Bu<sub>4</sub> N)[PF<sub>6</sub>] on GC microdisk (r = 0.5mm) at room temperature. Scan rate: 0.2 V s<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 7. REFERENCES

1. Zhang, C., Bourgeade-Delmas, S., Alvarez, A. F., Valentin, A., Hemmert, C., and Gornitzka, H. (2018) Synthesis, characterization, and antileishmanial activity of neutral *N*-heterocyclic carbenes gold(I) complexes. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 143, 1635-1643. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.10.060.

2. Goyard, S., Segawa, H., Gordon, J., Showalter, M., Duncan, R., Turco, S.J., and Beverley, S. M. (2003) An *in vitro* system for developmental and genetic studies of *Leishmania donovani* phosphoglycans. *Mol Biochem Parasitol.* 130, 31-42. DOI: 10.1016/S0166-6851(03)00142-7.

3. Wyllie, S., Patterson, S., and Fairlamb, A. H. Assessing the essentiality of leishmania donovani nitroreductase and its role in nitro drug activation. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2013**, *57*, 901-906.

4. Wyllie, S., Roberts, A. J., Norval, S., Patterson, S., Foth, B. J., Berriman, M., Read, K. D. and Fairlamb, A. H. Activation of bicyclic nitro-drugs by a novel nitroreductase (NTR2) in *Leishmania*. *PLoS Pathog*. 2016, DOI: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1005971.

5. Wyllie, S., Patterson, S., Stojanovski, L., Simeons, F. R. C., Norval, S., Kime, R., Read, K. D., and Fairlamb, A. H. The anti-trypanosome drug fexinidazole shows potential for treating visceral leishmaniasis. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2012**, *4*, 119re1.

6. Baltz, T., Baltz, D., Giroud, C., and Crockett, J. (1985) Cultivation in a semi-defined medium of animal infective forms of *T. brucei, T. equiperdum, T. evansi, T. rhodesiense* and *T. gambiense. EMBO J.* 4, 1273-1277.

7. Räz, B., Iten, M., Grether-Bühler, Y., and Kaminsky, R. (1997) The AlamarBlue<sup>®</sup> Blue assay to determine drug sensitive of African trypanosome (*T. brucei rhodesiense* and *T. brucei gambiense*) *in vitro*, *Acta Trop.* 68, 139-147. DOI: 10.1016/S0001-706X(97)00079-X.

Buillon, J., Cohen, A., Das, R. N., Boudot, C., Gueddouda, N., Moreau, S., Ronga, L., Savrimoutou,
 Basmaciyan, L., Tisnerat, C., Mestanier, S., Rubio, S., Amaziane, S., Dassonville-Klimpt, A., Azas,
 N., Courtioux, B., Mergny, J-L. Mullié, C., and Sonnet, P. (2018) Design, synthesis, and antiprotozoal
 evaluation of new 2,9-bis[(substituted-aminomethyl)phenyl]-1,10-phenanthroline derivatives. *Chem. Biol. Drug Des.* 91, 974-995. DOI: 10.1111/cbdd.13164.

9. Greig, N., Wyllie, S., and Patterson, S, and Fairlamb, A. H. (2009) A comparative study of methylglyoxal metabolism in trypanosomatids. *FEBS J.* 276, 376-86. DOI: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2008.06788.x.

10. Wyllie, S., Foth, B. J., Kelner, A., Sokolova, A. Y., Berriman, M., and Fairlamb, A. H. (2016) Nitroheterocyclic drug resistance mechanisms in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother*. 71, 625-34. DOI: 10.1093/jac/dkv376.

 Jones, D.C., Hallyburton, I., Stojanovski, L., Read, K. D., Frearson, J. A. and Fairlamb, A. H. (2010) Identification of a *kappa*-opioid agonist as a potent and selective lead for drug development against human African trypanosomiasis. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 80, 1478-86. DOI: 10.1016/j.bcp.2010.07.038.
 Mosman, T. J. (1983) Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. *J. Immunol. Meth.* 65, 55-63, DOI: 10.1016/0022-1759(83)90303-4.
 Perdry, H., Gutzkow, K. B., Chevalier, M., Huc, L., Brunborg, G., and Boutet-Robinet, E. (2018) Validation of Gelbond<sup>®</sup> high-throughput alkaline and Fpg-modified comet assay using a linear mixed model, *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.* 59, 595-602, DOI: 10.1002/em.22204.

14. Jourdan, J. P., Since, M., El Kihel, L., Lecoutey, C., Corvaisier, S., Legay, R., Sopkova-de Oliveira Santos, J., Cresteil, T., Malzert-Fréon, A., Rochais, C. and Dallemagne P. (2017) Benzylphenylpyrrolizinones with anti-amyloid and radical scavenging effects, potentially useful in Alzheimer's disease treatment. ChemMedChem 12, 913–916. DOI: 10.1002/cmdc.201700102.

15. Kerns, D. L., Bezar, I. F., Petusky, S. L. and Huang, Y. (2009) Comparison of blood-brain barrier permeability assays: in situ brain perfusion, MDR1-MDCKII and PAMPA-BBB. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 98, 1980-1991. DOI : 10.1002/jps.21580.

16. Lecoutey, C., Hedou, D., Freret, T., Giannoni, P., Gaven, F., Since, M., Bouet, V., Ballandonne, C., Corvaisier, S., Malzert Fréon, A., Mignani, S., Cresteil, T., Boulouard, M., Claeysen, S., Rochais C. and Dallemagne, P. (2004) Design of donecopride, a dual serotonin subtype 4 receptor agonist/acetylcholinesterase inhibitor with potential interest for Alzheimer's disease treatment. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 111, E3825-E3830. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1410315111.

17. Westland, J., Dorman, F., QuEChERS extraction of benzodiazepines in biological matrices (2013)*J. Pharm. Anal.* 3, 509-517. DOI: 10.1016/j.jpha.2013.04.004.

18. Dulaurent S., El Balkhi, S., Poncelet, L., Gaulier J. M., Marquet, P., Saint-Marcoux, F. QuEChERS sample preparation prior to LC-MS/MS determination of opiates, amphetamines, and cocaine metabolites in whole blood (2016) Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 408, 1467-1474. DOI: 10.1007/s00216-015-9248-3.