| 1 | Supporting | Information |
|---|------------|-------------|
| | | |

- 2 Article title: Evidence for contrasting roles of dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) production in
- 3 Emiliania huxleyi and Thalassiosira oceanica
- 4
- 5 Authors: Erin L. McParland, Anna Wright, Kristin Art, Meagan He and Naomi M. Levine
- 6

Article acceptance date: 27 November 2019

- 7 8
- 9 The following Supporting Information is available for this article:
- 10 Notes S1: Description of the mid-day F_v/F_m diel feature in *E. huxleyi* and *T. oceanica*.
- 11 Table S1: Number of cellular biovolumes measurements made for *E. huxleyi* and *T. oceanica* for
- 12 all experiments.
- 13 Table S2: Assumptions and parameters used to predict the percent contribution of intracellular
- 14 DMSP to total organic osmolarity.
- 15 Fig. **S1**: Thermal response curve of *E. huxleyi* and *T. oceanica*.
- 16 Fig. S2: F_v/F_m measured in *E. huxleyi* and *T. oceanica* steady-state temperature stress, NO₃⁻
- 17 limitation and salinity stress experiments.
- 18 Fig. S3: Intracellular DMSP measured in *E. huxleyi* and *T. oceanica* steady-state temperature
- 19 stress, NO₃⁻ limitation and salinity stress experiments.
- Fig. S4: F_v/F_m measured in *T. oceanica* grown at a range of steady-state NO₃⁻ concentrations.
- Fig. S5: F_v/F_m diel cycle for *E. huxleyi* and *T. oceanica* in steady- and non-steady-state NO₃⁻
- 22 limitation.
- Fig. S6: DMSPt measured in non-steady-state *E. huxleyi* and *T. oceanica* after NO_3^- add-back.
- Fig. S7: Dilution of intracellular DMSP in *T. oceanica* after NO_3^- add-back due to cell division.
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 20
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31

32 Supporting Information Notes

| 33 | Notes S1: During the non-steady-state NO3 ⁻ add-back experiment, +N <i>E. huxleyi</i> and +N and -N |
|----|--|
| 34 | T. oceanica exhibited a mid-day F_v/F_m minimum that was significantly lower than F_v/F_m at the |
| 35 | beginning of the experiment ($p \le 0.05$) (Main text, Fig. 3). We tested the reproducibility of this |
| 36 | feature by repeating the F_v/F_m measurements in non-steady-state and also steady-state NO ₃ - |
| 37 | replete and NO_3^- limited conditions. The F_v/F_m diel feature was reproducible in non-steady-state |
| 38 | for both E. huxleyi and T. oceanica (Fig. S5). However, the mid-day minimum was absent in E. |
| 39 | huxleyi under all steady-state NO3 ⁻ conditions (Fig. S5a), but still present in <i>T. oceanica</i> under all |
| 40 | steady-state NO ₃ ⁻ conditions (Fig. S5b). Therefore, the mid-day minimum in F_v/F_m may reflect a |
| 41 | response to the non-steady-state NO3 ⁻ add-back only in E. huxleyi. In contrast, the mid-day |
| 42 | minimum in T. oceanica F_v/F_m is likely an inherent component of the species' PSII reaction |
| 43 | centers, independent of nutrient status and similar to that observed previously in natural |
| 44 | communities of diatoms and picoplankton (Villareal 2004; Mackey et al. 2008). |
| 45 | |
| 46 | |
| 47 | |
| 48 | |
| 49 | |
| 50 | |
| 51 | |
| 52 | |
| 53 | |
| 54 | |
| 55 | |
| 56 | |
| 57 | |
| 58 | |
| 59 | |
| 60 | |
| 61 | |
| 62 | |

63 Supporting Information Tables

Table S1: The number of measurements of cell diameter and height made to calculate cellular biovolumes of *T. oceanica* and *E. huxleyi*. Cellular biovolumes did not significantly change across treatments in any of the experiments (p>0.1) and therefore the mean cellular biovolume of each species was used to calculate intracellular DMSP for all experimental treatments (experiments 1-4). Error bars represent \pm SD.

| | | E. huxleyi | | ·r | T. oceanica | | | |
|------------------|----------------|------------|------------------------------------|----|-------------|------------------------------------|----|--|
| | · · · · | No. counts | Biovolume (μ m ³) | ± | No. counts | Biovolume (μ m ³) | ± | |
| Steady-state | [] | | | | | ' | | |
| N rep | ſ! | 69 | 54 | 15 | 36 | 119 | 36 | |
| N lim | | 71 | 53 | 10 | 50 | 136 | 9 | |
| 14°C | ſ <u> </u> | 43 | 58 | 18 | 33 | 128 | 36 | |
| 16°C | | 58 | 63 | 15 | 55 | 113 | 35 | |
| 20°C | | 57 | 69 | 12 | 33 | 140 | 36 | |
| 23°C | | 82 | 63 | 19 | 51 | 106 | 22 | |
| 26°C | | 20 | 63 | 19 | 18 | 120 | 20 | |
| 28°C | | 21 | 63 | 19 | 19 | 120 | 20 | |
| Non-steady-state | Timepoint (hr) | | | | | | | |
| -N | 0 | 21 | 60 | 14 | 32 | 104 | 17 | |
| -N | 1 | 73 | 54 | 10 | 131 | 150 | 30 | |
| -N | 3 | 159 | 54 | 10 | 172 | 132 | 33 | |
| -N | 6 | 149 | 41 | 9 | 180 | 116 | 30 | |
| -N | 9 | 107 | 52 | 10 | 114 | 140 | 33 | |
| -N | 12 | 100 | 41 | 9 | 178 | 125 | 30 | |
| +N | 24 | 120 | 52 | 10 | 98 | 140 | 35 | |
| +N | 1 | 78 | 55 | 13 | 110 | 127 | 22 | |
| +N | 3 | 87 | 65 | 16 | 239 | 134 | 33 | |
| +N | 6 | 115 | 55 | 13 | 223 | 144 | 36 | |
| +N | 9 | 120 | 65 | 16 | 160 | 156 | 36 | |
| +N | 12 | 76 | 52 | 14 | 150 | 131 | 33 | |
| +N | 24 | 119 | 49 | 13 | 175 | 121 | 29 | |

80 Table **S2**: The following parameters were used to predict the percent contribution of intracellular

| | | Media | Cellular | | | | | % inorganic | Organic osmolarity | % DMSP contribution |
|---|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------|------|------|------------------|-------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| | Treatment | Osmolarity | osmolarity | Na+ | K+ | Cl- | Ionic osmolarity | osmolarity | needed | to org osmolarity |
| | | (osmol · m ⁻³) | (osmol · m ⁻³) | (mM) | (mM) | (mM) | (osmol · m⁻³) | | (osmol · m ⁻³) | |
| E. huxleyi | | | | | | | | | | |
| Salinity (‰) | 45 | 1266 | 1520 | 396 | 138 | 625 | 1159 | 76% | 361 | 37% |
| | 40 | 1124 | 1349 | 352 | 122 | 555 | 1029 | 76% | 320 | 25% |
| | 35 | 988 | 1185 | 309 | 107 | 488 | 904 | 76% | 281 | 20% |
| | 30 | 844 | 1013 | 264 | 92 | 417 | 772 | 76% | 240 | 21% |
| | 25 | 702 | 842 | 220 | 76 | 347 | 642 | 76% | 200 | 24% |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Temp (°C) | 14 | 1013 | 1216 | 317 | 110 | 500 | 927 | 76% | 289 | 112% |
| | 16 | 1013 | 1216 | 317 | 110 | 500 | 927 | 76% | 289 | 106% |
| | 20 | 1013 | 1216 | 317 | 110 | 500 | 927 | 76% | 289 | 69% |
| | 23 | 1013 | 1216 | 317 | 110 | 500 | 927 | 76% | 289 | 50% |
| | 26 | 1013 | 1216 | 317 | 110 | 500 | 927 | 76% | 289 | 23% |
| | 28 | 1013 | 1216 | 317 | 110 | 500 | 927 | 76% | 289 | 41% |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| NO ₃ ⁻ limitation | replete | 1013 | 1216 | 317 | 110 | 500 | 927 | 76% | 289 | 57% |
| | mid-exponential lim | 1013 | 1216 | 317 | 110 | 500 | 927 | 76% | 289 | 46% |
| | late-exponential lim | 1013 | 1216 | 317 | 110 | 500 | 927 | 76% | 289 | 50% |
| T. oceanica | | | | | | | | | | |
| Salinity (‰) | 50 | 1408 | 1690 | 445 | 6 | 595 | 1045 | 62% | 644 | 2.13% |
| | 40 | 1124 | 1349 | 355 | 4 | 475 | 835 | 62% | 515 | 0.69% |
| | 35 | 988 | 1185 | 312 | 4 | 417 | 733 | 62% | 452 | 0.19% |
| | 25 | 702 | 842 | 222 | 3 | 297 | 521 | 62% | 321 | 0.16% |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Temp (°C) | 14 | 1013 | 1216 | 320 | 4 | 428 | 752 | 62% | 464 | 2.2% |
| | 16 | 1013 | 1216 | 320 | 4 | 428 | 752 | 62% | 464 | 1.9% |
| | 20 | 1013 | 1216 | 320 | 4 | 428 | 752 | 62% | 464 | 1.8% |
| | 23 | 1013 | 1216 | 320 | 4 | 428 | 752 | 62% | 464 | 1.4% |
| | 26 | 1013 | 1216 | 320 | 4 | 428 | 752 | 62% | 464 | 0.4% |
| | 28 | 1013 | 1216 | 320 | 4 | 428 | 752 | 62% | 464 | 0.4% |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| NO ₃ limitation | replete | 1013 | 1216 | 320 | 4 | 428 | 752 | 62% | 464 | 0.8% |
| | mid-exponential lim | 1013 | 1216 | 320 | 4 | 428 | 752 | 62% | 464 | 1.7% |
| | late-exponential lim | 1013 | 1216 | 320 | 4 | 428 | 752 | 62% | 464 | 2.7% |

81 DMSP to total organic osmolarity in each steady-state experiment.

96 <u>Supporting Information Figures</u>

- 97 Fig. S1: Thermal response curve of growth rate (μ). μ was calculated with cell concentrations
- and $\mu_{in-vivo}$ was calculated with in-vivo fluorescence for *E. huxleyi* (a) and *T. oceanica* (b). Error





Fig. S2: F_v/F_m measured in *E. huxleyi* and *T. oceanica* under steady-state temperature stress (a,b),







Fig. **S3**: Intracellular DMSP measured in *E. huxleyi* and *T. oceanica* under steady-state temperature stress (a,b), NO_3^- limitation (c,d) and salinity stress (e,f). Error bars represent \pm SD.

Fig. S4: F_v/F_m measured in exponential cultures of *T. oceanica* grown at different steady-state concentrations of NO₃⁻. Error bars represent ± SD.



- 126
- 127

Fig. S5: F_v/F_m diel cycle for *E. huxleyi* (a) and *T. oceanica* (b) in steady-state NO₃⁻ replete (solid

¹²⁹ black line) and NO₃⁻ limited (dashed line) conditions and non-steady-state NO₃⁻ add-back (solid

red or blue line). Light cycle (14:10 light:dark) begins at 06:00 h. Experiments began at 07:00 h.

131 Error bars represent \pm SD.



132



- Fig. S6: DMSPt after NO_3^- add-back for *E. huxleyi* (a) and *T. oceanica* (b). Solid lines indicate
- the NO₃⁻ add-back treatment (+N). The dashed lines represent the control treatment (-N). Grey shading indicates the dark period (14:10 light:dark cycle). Error bars represent \pm SD.





Fig. S7: Dilution of intracellular DMSP in *T. oceanica* by cell division. Blue line represents the observed intracellular DMSP (main text, Fig. **5b**). Black line represents intracellular DMSP calculated by holding DMSPt concentration at 0 h constant and dividing by the observed changes in biomass and biovolume. The almost identical results suggest that the rapid decrease after $NO_3^$ add-back can be primarily attributed to dilution of intracellular DMSP by cell division. Error bars represent ± SD.



- 146 Supplementary References
- Mackey, K. R. M., A. Paytan, A. R. Grossman, and S. Bailey. 2008. A photosynthetic strategy
 for coping in a high-light, low-nutrient environment. Limnol. Oceanogr. 53: 900–913.
- 149 doi:10.4319/lo.2008.53.3.0900
- 150 Villareal, T. A. 2004. Single-cell pulse amplitude modulation fluorescence measurements of the
- 151 giant diatom *Ethmodiscus* (Bacillariophyceae). J. Phycol. **40**: 1052–1061.
- 152 doi:10.1111/j.1529-8817.2004.03208.x