Supplementary Online Content

Petrie MC, Verma S, Docherty KF, et al. Effect of dapagliflozin on worsening heart failure and cardiovascular death in patients with heart failure with and without diabetes. *JAMA*. Published online March 27, 2020. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.1906

eFigure 1. Primary and secondary cardiovascular endpoints in the overall population and according to diabetes status

eFigure 2. Exploratory analysis of the primary composite outcome by tertile of glycated haemoglobin in those without diabetes at baseline

eFigure 3. Effect of dapagliflozin, compared with placebo on cardiovascular death and death from any cause according to baseline glycated haemoglobin as a continuous variable

eFigure 4. Boxplot of laboratory measurements and vital signs by diabetes status over time

This supplementary material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

eFigure 1. Primary and secondary cardiovascular endpoints in the overall population and according to diabetes status

Outcome	Dapagliflozin	Placebo						HR (95% CI)	ARR (95% CI)	Interaction p value
Cardiovascular death, hospitalization for heart failure or urgent heart failure visit	386/2373 (16.3%)	502/2371 (21.2%)		-				0.74 (0.65, 0.85)	4.9% (2.7 to 7.1)	
No diabetes	171/1298 (13.2%)	231/1307 (17.7%)						0.73 (0.60, 0.88)	4.5% (1.7 to 7.3)	.80
Diabetes	215/1075 (20.0%)	271/1064 (25.5%)			-			0.75 (0.63, 0.90)	5.5% (1.9 to 9.0)	
Cardiovascular death or hospitalization for heart failure	382/2373 (16.1%)	495/2371 (20.9%)						0.75 (0.65, 0.85)	4.8% (2.6 to 7.0)	
No diabetes	169/1298 (13.0%)	227/1307 (17.4%)	_		-			0.73 (0.60, 0.89)	4.3% (1.6 to 7.1)	.83
Diabetes	213/1075 (19.8%)	268/1064 (25.2%)			-			0.75 (0.63, 0.90)	5.4% (1.8 to 8.9)	
Hospitalization for heart failure or urgent heart failure visit	237/2373 (10.0%)	326/2371 (13.7%)						0.70 (0.59, 0.83)	3.8% (1.9 to 5.6)	
No diabetes	95/1298 (7.3%)	150/1307 (11.5%)						0.62 (0.48, 0.80)	4.2% (1.9 to 6.4)	.22
Diabetes	142/1075 (13.2%)	176/1064 (16.5%)	_					0.77 (0.61, 0.95)	3.3% (0.3 to 6.4)	
Hospitalization for heart failure	231/2373 (9.7%)	318/2371 (13.4%)						0.70 (0.59, 0.83)	3.7% (1.9 to 5.5)	
No diabetes	93/1298 (7.2%)	146/1307 (11.2%)						0.63 (0.48, 0.81)	4.0% (1.8 to 6.2)	.26
Diabetes	138/1075 (12.8%)	172/1064 (16.2%)	-					0.76 (0.61, 0.95)	3.3% (0.3 to 6.3)	
Cardiovascular death	227/2373 (9.6%)	273/2371 (11.5%)						0.82 (0.69, 0.98)	1.9% (0.2 to 3.7)	
No diabetes	106/1298 (8.2%)	125/1307 (9.6%)						0.85 (0.66, 1.10)	1.4% (-0.8 to 3.6)	.70
Diabetes	121/1075 (11.3%)	148/1064 (13.9%)						0.79 (0.63, 1.01)	2.7% (-0.2 to 5.5)	
Death from any cause	276/2373 (11.6%)	329/2371 (13.9%)		_				0.83 (0.71, 0.97)	2.2% (0.3 to 4.1)	
No diabetes	133/1298 (10.3%)	151/1307 (11.6%)						0.88 (0.70, 1.12)	1.3% (-1.1 to 3.7)	.45
Diabetes	143/1075 (13.3%)	178/1064 (16.7%)			<u> </u>			0.78 (0.63, 0.97)	3.4% (0.4 to 6.5)	
		0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.2	1.	.4		
	Dapagliflozin Better Placebo Better									

ARR, absolute risk reduction; CI, confidence intervals; HR, hazard ratio.

eFigure 2. Exploratory analysis of the primary composite outcome by tertile of glycated haemoglobin in those without diabetes at baseline

Primary Composite Outcome	Dapagliflozin	Placebo						HR (95% CI)	ARR (95% CI)	Interaction p value
Overall Effect	386/2373 (16.3%)	502/2371 (21.2%)			_			0.74 (0.65, 0.85)	4.9% (2.7 to 7.1)	
HbA1c tertiles in patients without diabetes at baseline - $\%$.96
≤5.6 (n=1006)	65/521 (12.5%)	77/485 (15.9%)						0.74 (0.53, 1.04)	3.4% (-0.9 to 7.8)	
5.7-5.9 (n=753)	44/365 (12.1%)	66/388 (17.0%)						0.71 (0.48, 1.04)	5.0% (-0.1 to 10.0)	
≥6 (n=840)	62/408 (15.2%)	87/432 (20.1%)			-			0.72 (0.52, 1.00)	4.9% (-0.2 to 10.1)	
								Ι		
			0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.2	1.4		
		Dapagliflozin Better Placebo Better								

ARR, absolute risk reduction; CI, confidence intervals; HR, hazard ratio.

eFigure 3. Effect of dapagliflozin, compared with placebo on cardiovascular death and death from any cause according to baseline glycated haemoglobin as a continuous variable.



eFigure 4: Boxplot of laboratory measurements and vital signs by diabetes status over time

Panel A) Glycated haemoglobin; B) Weight; C) Systolic blood pressure; D) Estimated glomerular filtration rate; E) Hematocrit. The diamonds and triangles indicate the unadjusted mean, the solid lines indicate the median (Q1, Q3), and the dashed lines indicate the median value at baseline.











Outcome	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	Interaction P Value					
Efficacy Outcomes							
Cardiovascular death, hospitali	zation for heart failure or an urgent heart	failure visit*					
No diabetes (n=2605)	0.72 (0.58 to 0.90); p=.004 .96						
Diabetes (n=2139)	0.76 (0.62 to 0.92); p=.006						
Hospitalization for heart failure	e or an urgent heart failure visit						
No diabetes (n=2605)	0.56 (0.42 to 0.75); p<.001	1.0					
Diabetes (n=2139)	0.76 (0.60 to 0.98); p=.034						
Hospitalization for heart failure							
No diabetes (n=2605)	0.57 (0.43 to 0.77); p<.001	1.0					
Diabetes (n=2139)	0.77 (0.60 to 0.98); p=.036						
Urgent heart failure visit							
No diabetes (n=2605)	0.11 (0.02 to 0.55); p=.007	1.0					
Diabetes (n=2139)	0.40 (0.14 to 1.15); p=.088						
Cardiovascular Death							
No diabetes (n=2605)	0.88 (0.66 to 1.17); p=.38	.64					
Diabetes (n=2139)	0.80 (0.61 to 1.04); p=.09						
Secondary Outcomes							
Cardiovascular death or hospita	alization for heart failure						
No diabetes (n=2605)	0.74 (0.59 to 0.92); p=.007	.98					
Diabetes (n=2139)	0.76 (0.62 to 0.93); p=.007						
Death from any cause							
No diabetes (n=2605)	0.92 (0.71 to 1.19); p=.52	.41					
Diabetes (n=2139)	0.78 (0.61 to 0.99); p=.043						
Worsening kidney function ⁺		-					
No diabetes (n=2605)	0.89 (0.34 to 2.36); p=.82	.1.0					

eTable 1: Treatment effect of dapagliflozin versus placebo in patients with and without diabetes at baseline – sensitivity analysis accounting for trial site

C.I. = confidence interval.

Diabetes (n=2139)

*Analyzed as time-to-first occurrence of any of these events; an urgent visit was one in which intravenous therapy for heart failure was administered.

Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals were estimated with the use of Cox regression models, with a history of hospitalization for heart failure, treatment-group assignment and trial site as explanatory variables.

0.72 (0.37 to 1.40); p=.33

[†]Worsening renal function – composite outcome analyzed as time-to-first occurrence of 50% or greater reduction in eGFR sustained for at least 28 days, endstage renal disease (ESRD) or death from renal causes. ESRD consisted of eGFR below 15 ml/min/1.73m² sustained for at least 28 days, chronic dialysis treatment (sustained for at least 28 days) or kidney transplantation.