

## Dimethylsulfoniopropionate sulfur and methyl carbon assimilation in *Ruegeria* species

Joseph S. Wirth, Tao Wang, Qiuyuan Huang, Robert H. White, and William B. Whitman

### Supplementary Material

**Table S4. Comparison of the enriched pools and pathway fluxes for *R. pomeroyi* and *R. lacuscaerulensis* chemostat cultures.**

	<i>R. pomeroyi</i> <sup>a</sup>	<i>R. lacuscaerulensis</i> <sup>a</sup>
<sup>13</sup> CH <sub>3</sub> -THF	11.4 ± 1.6	12.6 ± 6.3
[ <sup>13</sup> C]serine	14.8 ± 4.2	1.9 ± 2.3
<sup>34</sup> S <sup>2-</sup>	46.0 ± 3.2	51.2 ± 5.7
[ <sup>13</sup> C][ <sup>34</sup> S]methanethiol	52.3 ± 1.9	45.3 ± 8.7
Reassembly pathway	62.9 ± 1.8	51.4 ± 3.4
Direct capture pathway	37.1 ± 1.8	48.6 ± 3.4

<sup>a</sup>: Values indicate the mean ( $n = 3$ ) percentage of each pool that was enriched or the percentage of L-methionine synthesized via the respective pathway after five days in chemostat following the addition of 50  $\mu$ M DMSP ( $50.18 \pm 2.24$  % enriched with [<sup>13</sup>C][<sup>34</sup>S]DMSP) to the chemostat reservoir. Values were calculated as described in the Materials and Methods. Error indicates the 95 % confidence interval.