Molecular Cell, Volume 78

Supplemental Information

Organization of the Escherichia coli

Chromosome by a MukBEF Axial Core

Jarno Mäkelä and David J. Sherratt



Distance from the brightest MukB pixel to *ori1/ter3* (µm)

Distance from the brightest MukB pixel to ori1/ter3 (µm)

Figure S1. Characterization of increased MukBEF chromosome occupancy cells and MukBEF mutants. Related to Figure 1.

(A) MukB-mYpet fluorescence intensity from 3 repeats in WT, Δ*matP*, MukBEF overexpression and MukBEF over-expression $\Delta matP$ cells and fold-change between WT and MukBEF over-expression. *n* denotes number of cells and error bars denote SD. (B) Generation times from 3 repeats (±SEM) for WT, over-expression and overexpression $\Delta matP$. (**C**) Anucleate cell percentages using DAPI from 3 repeats (±SEM) in WT, $\Delta matP$, MukBEF over-expression and MukBEF over-expression $\Delta matP$. (**D**) MukB^{E1407Q}-mYpet fluorescence intensity in MukB^{E1407Q}EF and MukB^{E1407Q}EF overexpression cells. *n* denotes number of cells and error bars denote SD from 3 repeats. (E) Representative phase contrast and fluorescence images of MukBE1407QEF overexpression cells with *ori1* and *ter3* markers. Scale bars, 1 µm. (F) MukB^{D1406A}-mYpet fluorescence intensity in MukB^{D1406A}EF and MukB^{D1406A}EF over-expression cells. *n* denotes number of cells and error bars denote SD from 3 repeats. (G) Representative phase contrast and fluorescence images of MukB^{D1406A}EF over-expression cells with ori1 and ter3 markers. Scale bars, 1 µm. (H)-(I) Distances between the brightest MukB^{E1407Q}-mYpet pixel and *ori1/ter3* markers in (**H**) MukB^{E1407Q}EF (8773 cells) and (I) MukB^{E1407Q}EF over-expression cells (2313 cells). Data from 3 repeats (±SEM).

Α









Figure S2. MukBEF increased occupancy cells in MatP⁺ (with MatP present) and $\Delta matP$. Related to Figure 1.

Representative phase contrast and fluorescence images of cells with (**A**) MukBEF increased occupancy $\Delta matP$ cells with *ori1* and *ter3* markers, (**B**) MukBEF increased occupancy with *ori1* and *ter3* markers, and (**C**) MukBEF increased occupancy with *L3* and *R3* markers. Scale bars, 1 µm.



Figure S3. DAPI profiles, induction of MukBEF over-expression time series and *L3-R3* distances. Related to Figure 1, 2, and 4.

(A) Normalized DAPI intensity profiles on normalized long cell axis for WT (871 cells) and MukBEF increased occupancy (8250 cells). Only cells below 2.6 µm long were considered to avoid cells with more than 1 chromosomes. Nucleoid length was measured as full-width-half-maximum (FWHM) of the DAPI profile. Also, the distance to the cell pole from half maximum of the DAPI profile was measured. Shaded area denotes SEM. Data are from 3 repeats. (B) MukB-mYpet intensity in wild type and MukBEF over-expression cells during induction with 0.2 % (w/v) arabinose. Fluorescence expression levels are shown prior to induction (glucose), during induction (20 min, 40 min, 60 min, 100 min, 160 min) and cultures grown over night in the presence of arabinose. Left distributions correspond to MukBEF increased occupancy MatP⁺ strain and right distributions to the MukBEF increased occupancy $\Delta matP$ strain. Also shown are wild type and $\Delta matP$ strains. Black lines show the means. Data are from at least 2 independent experiments with number of data points in WT (12260, 13854), glucose (9537, 4614), 20 min (8521, 9385), 40 min (11419, 5939), 60 min (8982, 8995), 100 min (9630, 10152), 160 min (9396, 10212), and overnight induction (11863, 8979) in MatP⁺ and $\Delta matP$ cells, respectively (no. cells). (C) Normalized MukB-mYpet pixel intensity during induction as a function of distance to ori1/ter3 in (top) MukBEF increased occupancy and (bottom) MukBEF increased occupancy $\Delta matP$ cells. Also shown are wild type and $\Delta matP$ strain. Data are same as in (B). (D) Distances between L3 and R3 markers in MukBEF increased occupancy cells. 2824 single chromosome cells from 2 experiments were analyzed. (E) Distances between L3 and R3 markers in IO (7467 cells), WT (2444 cells) and ΔmatP (2796 cells) cells. Prior to imaging cells were treated with serine hydroxamate and only cells with a single chromosome were analyzed. Two-sample t-test was used to compare conditions. Error bars denote SD from 3 experiments.







Figure S4. 3D-SIM images of IO cells and IO cells treated with A22 or rifampicin. Related to Figure 1, 4 and STAR Methods.

(A) Representative SIM images of MukBEF increased occupancy $\Delta matP$ cells with *ori1* and *ter3* markers grown with A22 (4 µg/ml) for 6 h. 3D-SIM images were maximum projected onto 2D for visualization purposes. Scale bars, 1 µm. (B) Distribution of axial core contour lengths (259 chromosomes) in the same conditions as in (A). (C) Representative SIM images of MukBEF increased occupancy $\Delta matP$ cells with *ori1* and *ter3* markers grown with rifampicin (0.025 µg/ml) for 2 h. Scale bars are 1 µm. Representative SIM images of MukBEF increased occupancy in (D) MatP⁺ cells with *L3* and *R3* markers, and (E) $\Delta matP$ cells with *ori1* and *ter3* markers. 3D-SIM images were maximum projected onto 2D for visualization. Scale bars, 1 µm. (F) Example images of detected centerlines of circular and linear the MukBEF structures in $\Delta matP$ and MatP⁺ cells, respectively. White line is the cell border. Green dots are centerline pixels of the structure.





Figure S5. MukBEF axial core analysis. Related to Figure 3.

(A) Illustration of a circular axial core divided into sectors with ori1 and ter3 markers. Using the center of the axial core, the angle between ori1 and ter3 is measured. The axial core is divided into sectors from which the MukB intensity is calculated (in the actual measurement 45 sectors were used). (B) The minimum angle between ori1 and ter3 in IO- Δ matP cells (1206 cells from 3 repeats). Only cells with a single chromosome (a single ori1 and ter3) and symmetric structures are included in the analysis (relative difference between long and short axis less than 0.3). Prior to imaging, cells were treated with SHX. The red line is the expected angle (175.6 degrees) between ori1 and ter3. (C)-(F) Normalized radial MukBEF intensity of axial cores in (C) IO- Δ matP cells aligned to ori1, (D) IO- Δ matP cells aligned to ter3, (E) IO-MatP⁺ cells aligned to ori1, and (F) IO-MatP⁺ cells aligned to ter3. Black line shows the mean with the thickness corresponding to ±SD. Colored lines are representative axial core intensities. The intensity is measured only for the pixels contained in the MukBEF structure. Prior to imaging, cells were treated with serine hydroxamate. Only cells with a single chromosome (a single *ori1* and *ter3*) and a symmetric structure are included in the analysis (difference between long and short axis less than 0.3). Data are from 3 repeats (IO- Δ matP 1206 cells; IO-MatP⁺ 1495 cells).



Figure S6. Modeling loop extrusion by MukBEF analysis. Related to Figure 5.

(A) Fraction of loops inside loops and (B) DNA in the largest MukBEF cluster (no unlooped DNA between them) as function of the number of bound MukBEF dimers of dimers. Experimentally observed numbers of MukBEF dimers of dimers on the chromosome for wild-type (o) and MukBEF increased occupancy (0) are depicted. Additionally, unidirectional model of loop extrusion (black line) is shown where each dimers binds and extrudes a loop independently in a randomly chosen direction. Line thickness denotes 95% bootstrap confidence interval for the mean across at least 1000 simulation replicas. (C) MukB occupancy profile on the chromosome with wildtype MukBEF occupancy across 4000 simulation replicas. (D) Shortest distance from a chromosome locus to the largest MukBEF cluster with different loop extrusion rates (100 bp/dimer/s, 600 bp/dimer/s and 1500 bp/dimer/s) with (WT) or without ($\Delta matP$) MukBEF displacement from ter. Line thickness denotes 95% bootstrap confidence interval for the mean across 2000 simulation replicas. (E) Average loop size and fraction of the chromosome in loops for different loop extrusion rates. Expected loop sizes without collisions are 15 kbp, 80 kbp and 197 kbp for 100 bp/dimer/s, 600 bp/dimer/s, and 1500 bp/dimer/s, respectively. 95% bootstrap confidence interval for the mean across 1000 simulation replicas in parenthesis. (F)-(G) Representative E. coli chromosomes for (F) 100 bp/dimer/s and (G) 1500 bp/dimer/s loop extrusion rates with increased MukBEF occupancy. (left) Beginning and end of loops with MukBEF (green dots) along the chromosome. (right) Force-directed layouts of the chromosomes.

Name	Sequence	Construct
OL1_F	GGATTCTGCAAACCCTATGCTACTCCCGG	kan-araC-P _{ara}
	AGTGTAGGCTGGAGCTGCTTC	construction using
OL2_R	GAAGCAGCTCCAGCCTACACTCCGGGAGT	Gibson assembly.
	AGCATAGGGTTTGCAGAATCC	PCR on pBAD24
OL3_F	TAAGGAGGATATTCATATGGGTAACCGTC	(OL3_F/OL2_R) and
	AAGCCGTCAATTGTCTGATTC	pKD4 OL1_F/OL4_R).
OL4_R	GAATCAGACAATTGACGGCTTGACGGTTA	
	CCCATATGAATATCCTCCTTA	
OL5_F	CCACAGCAGCGCCAGGCCAGCGCCAATAA	λ-red replacement of
	TCAACAACATCAGCGGAAGTGAGTGTAGG	P _{muk} with kan-araC-
	CTGGAGCTGCTTC	P _{ara} at the
OL6_R	TGTAATATCGCTGGCGATCCCTTGCTATAT	endogenous locus.
	GGTTAAAAAAGGAACCAGAAGAATTCCTC	PCR on <i>kan-araC-P_{ara}</i>
	CTGCTAGCCCAAAA	from Gibson.
OL7_F	AATTGTGTGAGCGTTTGCAAATGCA	λ-red insertion of
OL8_R	GTACAACGCCAATACTCACGAAAGT	mukB-HaloTag-kan.
		PCR on JM41.
OL9_F	CCGATTAATGATTACGGAGCCA	λ-red deletion of
OL10_R	CCCGGCTTCCGTAGTGTTACGGAAA	<i>mukE</i> . PCR on strain
		with ∆ <i>mukE∷kan</i> from
		lab collection.
OL11_F	GTATCGTTTGGTCAGGTGAACAG	λ-red insertion of
OL12_R	GTACAACGCCAATACTCACGAAAGT	mukB(E1407Q)-
		<i>mYpet-kan</i> or
		mukB(D1406A)-
		<i>mYpet-kan</i> . PCR on
		SN311 or Ab246.

Table S1. Primers used for strain construction. Related to STAR Methods.