#### SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

#### Methods

# Genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from either peripheral blood mononuclear cells or from normal tissue obtained from pancreatic resection specimens (duodenum, spleen, or pancreas) as previously described <sup>1</sup>. Sixteen SNPs (in *FUT3, FUT2, FUT6, ABO, GAL3ST2, MUC16, B3GNT3, FAM3B* and *THBS2*) known to be associated with tumor marker levels from prior studies <sup>2-5 6, 7 8</sup> were genotyped (Table S1). The *FUT3* and *FUT2* SNPs used in this study encode for an inactivate protein and the relevant ABO SNP encodes for blood group B. Fourteen SNPs were sequenced using an AmpliSeq Custom Panel (Table S1). Next-generation sequencing (NGS) was performed with 520 chips (Ion S5 system) according to the manufacturer's protocols and as previously described <sup>9, 10</sup>. Genotyping of rs81293 (T202C) in *FUT3* and rs12469459 in *GAL3ST2* was performed with a Taqman<sup>TM</sup> SNP Genotyping Assay (Applied Biosystems, USA).

# ELISA

All assays were performed according to manufacturer's protocols. Absorbance was measured using an xMark<sup>™</sup> Microplate Absorbance Spectrophotometer (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. USA). Marker levels were determined from standard curves of the protein standards provided for each ELISA generated from a four-parameter logistic nonlinear regression model using JMP 13 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Thrombospondin-2 was not measured in some Stage I PDAC cases-they were held back from analysis to preserve these samples. Two

different lots of CEA ELISAs were used; the coefficient of variation for lot 1 was 8.9% and for lot 2 was 5.1%. There was a lot-to-lot difference in the mean CEA level in reference serum samples so CEA levels from the  $2^{nd}$  lot were normalized to those of the first lot determined by the mean levels in the reference serum samples in both lots.

### **Supplemental Results**

CA19-9 levels did not differ significantly between *FUT2*-heterozygous and *FUT2*-wild-type subjects. Among *FUT3*-null subjects, CA19-9 levels were not significantly different by FUT2 status. Among FUT2-null subjects CA19-9 levels were not significantly different among *FUT3*-wild-type compared to *FUT3*-heterozygotes.

The overall mean/SD level of CA19-9 in all control patients unclassified with respect to tumor marker genotype in the discovery set was 11.7/11.5 U/ml. We did not find other variants (*FUT6, B3GNT3*)<sup>6</sup> were associated with CA19-9 levels once *FUT3* variant status was accounted for (data not shown).

Among PDAC patients, CA19-9 levels were significantly different within genotype subgroups (p<0.00001, Mann-Whitney, FUT2-null versus other groups and FUT3-null versus other groups).

We calculated the number needed to diagnose and the number needed to misdiagnose (NNM)<sup>11</sup>. NNM is an index of diagnostic effectiveness. For CA19-9, the NNM calculated for a PDAC prevalence of 1% is 68, 72 and 75, respectively using the uniform, genotype-stratified, and intact FUT3 genotype-stratified cut-offs. The number needed to diagnose a PDAC using

genotype-stratified CA19-9 alone at a prevalence of 0.5% would be 330; at 1% it would be 164. To detect a Stage I PDAC at a 1% prevalence, it would be 250. Assuming 50% cure rate for patients under regular surveillance diagnosed with PDAC, (based on our CAPS experience<sup>12</sup> and a conservative estimate based on our recent analysis of SEER data in submission), the number needed to test to prevent 1 death would be 500.

In the discovery control set, significant differences in mean CEA levels were observed between the largest group, FUT2+/non-B, vs. each of the other four groups (P=0.0003, 0.0083, 0.0378 and <0.0001, respectively). In the validation set, significant differences in mean CEA levels were again observed between the FUT2+/non-B, and the FUT2-null/B and FUT2+/B groups (each p=0.04)

We tested one candidate *THBS2* variant (rs8089) for its association with serum thrombospondin-2 levels<sup>13</sup>, but we did not find evidence for an association (data not shown). The diagnostic sensitivity of genotype-stratified CEA for PDAC was 15% among non-smokers. The diagnostic sensitivity of a uniform cut-off for CA125 for PDAC was 12.8% among non-smokers.

# **Supplemental References cited**

1. Shindo K, Yu J, Suenaga M, et al. Deleterious Germline Mutations in Patients With Apparently Sporadic Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2017;35(30):3382-90. Epub 2017/08/03.

2. Narimatsu H, Iwasaki H, Nakayama F, et al. Lewis and secretor gene dosages affect CA19-9 and DU-PAN-2 serum levels in normal individuals and colorectal cancer patients. Cancer research. 1998;58(3):512-8. Epub 1998/02/11.

3. Luo G, Guo M, Jin K, et al. Optimize CA19-9 in detecting pancreatic cancer by Lewis and Secretor genotyping. Pancreatology : official journal of the International Association of Pancreatology (IAP) [et al]. 2016;16(6):1057-62. Epub 2016/10/04.

4. Kawai S, Suzuki K, Nishio K, et al. Smoking and serum CA19-9 levels according to Lewis and secretor genotypes. International journal of cancer Journal international du cancer. 2008;123(12):2880-4. Epub 2008/09/23.

5. Park HD, Park KU, Song J, et al. The relationship between Lewis/Secretor genotypes and serum carbohydrate antigen 19-9 levels in a Korean population. The Korean journal of laboratory medicine. 2010;30(1):51-7. Epub 2010/03/04.

6. He M, Wu C, Xu J, et al. A genome wide association study of genetic loci that influence tumour biomarkers cancer antigen 19-9, carcinoembryonic antigen and alpha fetoprotein and their associations with cancer risk. Gut. 2014;63(1):143-51. Epub 2013/01/10.

7. Williams KA, Terry KL, Tworoger SS, et al. Polymorphisms of MUC16 (CA125) and MUC1 (CA15.3) in relation to ovarian cancer risk and survival. PloS one. 2014;9(2):e88334. Epub 2014/02/20.

8. Folkersen L, Fauman E, Sabater-Lleal M, et al. Mapping of 79 loci for 83 plasma protein biomarkers in cardiovascular disease. PLoS genetics. 2017;13(4):e1006706. Epub 2017/04/04.

9. Yu J, Sadakari Y, Shindo K, et al. Digital next-generation sequencing identifies lowabundance mutations in pancreatic juice samples collected from the duodenum of patients with pancreatic cancer and intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms. Gut. 2017;66:1677-87.

10. Suenaga M, Yu J, Shindo K, et al. Pancreatic Juice Mutation Concentrations Can Help Predict the Grade of Dysplasia in Patients Undergoing Pancreatic Surveillance. Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research. 2018;24(12):2963-74. Epub 2018/01/06.

11. Habibzadeh F, Yadollahie M. Number needed to misdiagnose: a measure of diagnostic test effectiveness. Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass). 2013;24(1):170. Epub 2012/12/13.

12. Canto MI, Almario JA, Schulick RD, et al. Risk of Neoplastic Progression in Individuals at High Risk for Pancreatic Cancer Undergoing Long-term Surveillance. Gastroenterology. 2018;155(3):740-51.e2. Epub 2018/05/29.

13. Boekholdt SM, Trip MD, Peters RJ, et al. Thrombospondin-2 polymorphism is associated with a reduced risk of premature myocardial infarction. Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology. 2002;22(12):e24-7. Epub 2002/12/17.

gene	SNP db	position	variant	location	function	genotyping	tumor marker	Chr	Chr_Start	Chr_End
FUT2	rs601338	Chr19:49206674	G428A	exon	nonsense	NGS	CA19-9/CEA	Chr19	49206582	49206721
FUT2	rs281377	Chr19:49206603	T357C	exon	missense	NGS	CA19-9/CEA	Chr19	49206582	49206721
FUT2	rs1047781	Chr19:49206631	A385T	exon	missense	NGS	CA19-9/CEA	Chr19	49206582	49206721
FUT3	rs28362459	Chr19:5844792	T59G	exon	missense	NGS	CA19-9	Chr19	5844736	5844910
FUT3	rs812936	Chr19:5844649	T202C	exon	missense	RT-PCR	CA19-9	Chr19	5844624	5844674
FUT3	rs778986	Chr19:5844537	C314T	exon	missense	NGS 🌔	CA19-9	Chr19	5844482	5844648
FUT3	rs3745635	Chr19:5844343	G508A	exon	missense	NGS	CA19-9	Chr19	5844282	5844455
FUT3	rs3894326	Chr19:5843784	T1067A	exon	missense	NGS	CA19-9	Chr19	5843661	5843827
FUT6	rs17271883	Chr19:5834212	g.5834212C>T	intron	missense	NGS	CA19-9	Chr19	5834183	5834331
FUT6	rs3760775	Chr19:5841356	g.5841356G>T	upstream	missense	NGS	CA19-9/CEA	Chr19	5841225	5841380
B3GNT3	rs265548	Chr19:17902334	g.17902334T>C	upstream	missense	NGS	CA19-9	Chr19	17902252	17902426
ABO	rs8176746	Chr9:136131322	c.796C>A	exon	missense	NGS	CEA	Chr9	136131239	136131396
ABO	rs8176719	Chr9:136132908	c.260_262insG	exon	insertion	NGS	CEA	Chr9	136132777	136132946
FAM3B	rs441810	Chr21:42698907	g.42698907A>G	intron	missense	NGS	CEA	Chr21	42698809	42698978
							thrombospondin-			
THBS2	rs8089	Chr6:169617726	g.169617726A>C	3'UTR	missense	NGS	2	Chr6	169617635	169617809
GAL3ST2	rs12469459	Chr2:242716380	c.10A>T	exon	missense	RT-PCR	CA125	Chr2	242716355	242716405
Abbreviations:RT-PCR, real-time PCR										

Table S1. SNPs characterized in this study and the associated tumor markers affected

Table S2	. SNP stratified	tumor marker	specificity in	validation se	t controls
----------	------------------	--------------	----------------	---------------	------------

CA19-9	Discovery set cut off (Mean + 3SD)	number	false positives (n)	Specificity (95% CI)
FUT3 (-/-)	9.5	22	1	
FUT3 (+/-)	23.5	92	0	
FUT3 (+/+)	38.1	92	0	
FUT2 (-/-)	66.6	53	2	
All genotype stratified Uniform cut-off (not SNP				98.8% (96.65% to 99.76%)
stratified)	46.1	259	4	98.5% (96.09% to 99.58%)
	D.		6.1	

	Discovery set cut off (Mean		false positives	
CEA	+ 3SD)	number	(n)	Specificity
FUT2+/blood B	6.6	22	0	
FUT2+/non-blood B	2.8	174	3	
FUT2 (-/-)/blood B	4.3	5	0	
FUT2 (-/-)/non blood B	4.0	47	0	
smoker	4.2	-11	2	
All genotype stratified				98.1% (95.55% to 99.37%)
Uniform cut-off (Not SNP				
stratified)	3.9	259	3	98.8% (96.65% to 99.76%)

GA 125	Discovery set cut off (Mean	1	false positives	
CA125	+ 3SD)	number	(n)	Specificity
GAL3ST2 Wild-type	22.3	81	1	
GAL3ST2 Heterozygous	16.2	85	1	
GAL3ST2 Homozygous	10.7	16	2	
All genotype stratified				97.8% (94.47% to 99.40%)
stratified)	19.2	182	4	97.2% (93.71% to 99.10%)

uniform cut-off      negative      positive      Total        negative      92      24      116        positive      4      125      129        Total      96      149      245        Controls*        2      4        negative      254      1      255         positive      2      2      4         Total      256      3      259         CA125      SNP stratified cut-off           uniform cut-off      negative      positive      Total      201        positive      5      32      37         Total      196      42      238         Controls*        176      2      178        positive      3      1      4          Total      179      3      182          CEA      SNP stratified cut-off	CA19-9	SNP stratified cut-off				
Cases      92      24      116        positive      4      125      129        Total      96      149      245        Controls*	uniform cut-off	negative	positive	Total		
negative      92      24      116        positive      4      125      129        Total      96      149      245        Controls*        negative      254      1      255        positive      2      2      4        Total      256      3      259        CA125      SNP stratified cut-off	Cases					
positive      4      125      129        Total      96      149      245        Controls*	negative	92	24	116		
Total    96    149    245      Controls*    2    2    4      negative    2    2    4      Total    256    3    259      CA125    SNP stratified cut-off      uniform cut-off    negative    positive    Total      CA125    SNP stratified cut-off      uniform cut-off    negative    positive    Total      Cases	positive	4	125	129		
Controls*        negative      254      1      255        positive      2      2      4        Total      256      3      259        CA125      SNP stratified cut-off	Total	96	149	245		
Controls*        negative      254      1      255        positive      2      2      4        Total      256      3      259        CA125      SNP stratified cut-off						
negative      254      1      255        positive      2      2      4        Total      256      3      259        CA125      SNP stratified cut-off	Controls*					
positive224Total2563259CA125SNP stratified cut-offnegativepositiveTotalCasesnegative19110201positive53237Total19642238Controls*negative1762negative1762178positive314Total1793182CEASNP stratified cut-offuniform cut-offnegativepositiveTotal179334Total2029211positive43034Total20639245Controls*negative20639negative2542256positive033Total2545259	negative	254	1	255		
Total2563259CA125SNP stratified cut-offuniform cut-offnegativepositiveregative19110201positive53237Total19642238Controls*negative1762178positive314Total1793182CEASNP stratified cut-offuniform cut-offnegativepositiveTotal2029211positive43034Total20639245Controls*negative2029205334Total20639245Controls*3negative2542256positive033Total2545259	positive	2	2	4		
CA125SNP stratified cut-offuniform cut-offnegativepositiveTotalCases19110201positive53237Total19642238Controls*	Total	256	3	259		
CA125SNP stratified cut-offuniform cut-offnegativepositiveTotalCases19110201positive53237Total19642238Controls*negative1762positive314Total1793182CEASNP stratified cut-offuniform cut-offnegativepositiveTotal2029211positive43034Total20639245Controls*negative254220133Total2542256positive033Total2545259						
uniform cut-offnegativepositiveTotalCases19110201positive53237Total19642238Controls* $$$ $$$ negative1762178positive314Total1793182CEASNP stratified cut-offuniform cut-offnegativepositivenegative2029211positive43034Total20639245Controls*negative25422545259	CA125	SNP stratified cu	ıt-off			
Cases    191    10    201      positive    5    32    37      Total    196    42    238      Controls*    196    42    238      Controls*    196    2    178      negative    176    2    178      positive    3    1    4      Total    179    3    182      CEA    SNP stratified cut-off      uniform cut-off    negative    positive    Total      Cases	uniform cut-off	negative	positive	Total		
negative      191      10      201        positive      5      32      37        Total      196      42      238        Controls*           negative      176      2      178        positive      3      1      4        Total      179      3      182        CEA      SNP stratified cut-off        uniform cut-off      negative      positive      Total        Cases         negative      202      9      211        positive      4      30      34        Total      206      39      245        Controls*        negative      0      3      3        Total      254      2      256        positive      0      3      3        Total      254      5      259	Cases					
positive      5      32      37        Total      196      42      238        Controls*	negative	191	10	201		
Total    196    42    238      Controls* $176$ 2    178      positive    3    1    4      Total    179    3    182      CEA    SNP stratified cut-off      uniform cut-off    negative    positive    Total      CEA    SNP stratified cut-off    Total    Total      Cases    202    9    211      positive    4    30    34      Total    206    39    245      Controls*      negative    254    2    256      positive    0    3    3    3      Total    254    5    259    259	positive	5	32	37		
Controls*negative1762178positive314Total1793182CEASNP stratified cut-offuniform cut-offnegativepositiveTotalCases10010034100negative2029211211positive43034101Total20639245245Controls*negative2542256positive0333Total2545259259	Total	196	42	238		
Controls*negative1762178positive314Total1793182CEASNP stratified cut-offuniform cut-offnegativepositiveTotalCases2029211negative2029211positive43034Total20639245Controls*negative2542256positive033Total2545259						
negative      176      2      178        positive      3      1      4        Total      179      3      182        CEA      SNP stratified cut-off        uniform cut-off      negative      positive      Total        CEA      SNP stratified cut-off      Total      Cases        negative      202      9      211        positive      4      30      34        Total      206      39      245        Controls*        negative      254      2      256        positive      0      3      3        Total      254      5      259	Controls*					
positive314Total1793182CEASNP stratified cut-offuniform cut-offnegativepositiveTotal2029211cases43034Total20639245Controls*negative2542256positive033Total2545259	negative	176	2	178		
Total1793182CEASNP stratified cut-offuniform cut-offnegativepositiveCases100100negative2029211positive43034Total20639245Controls*10033negative2542256positive033Total2545259	positive	3	1	4		
CEASNP stratified cut-offuniform cut-offnegativepositiveCases11negative2029211positive43034Total20639245Controls*negative2542256positive033Total2545259	Total	179	179 3			
CEASNP stratified cut-offuniform cut-offnegativepositiveTotalCases2029211positive43034Total20639245Controls*negative2542256positive033Total2545259						
uniform cut-offnegativepositiveTotalCasesnegative2029211positive43034Total20639245Controls*negative2542256positive033Total2545259	CEA	SNP stratified cu	ıt-off			
Cases      202      9      211        positive      4      30      34        Total      206      39      245        Controls*         negative      254      2      256        positive      0      3      3      3        Total      254      5      259      259	uniform cut-off	negative	positive	Total		
negative      202      9      211        positive      4      30      34        Total      206      39      245        Controls*         negative      254      2      256        positive      0      3      3        Total      254      5      259	Cases					
positive      4      30      34        Total      206      39      245        Controls*	negative	202	9	211		
Total  206  39  245    Controls*	positive	4	30	34		
Controls*        negative      254      2      256        positive      0      3      3        Total      254      5      259	Total	206	39	245		
Controls*      254      2      256        positive      0      3      3        Total      254      5      259						
negative2542256positive033Total2545259	Controls*					
positive      0      3      3        Total      254      5      259	negative	254	2	256		
Total 254 5 259	positive	0	3	3		
	Total	254	5	259		

# Table S3: Reclassification table of uniform vs. genotype-stratified diagnostic cut-offs

\* Validation set controls

#### Table S4. Diagnostic accuracy of SNP-stratified tumor marker combinations

				Stage1 PDAC		Validation set controls
	All PDAC cases	PDAC Intact FUT3	Stage1 PDAC	(Intact FUT3)	Validation set controls	(Intact FUT3)
	n=245	n=217	n=49	n=45	n=259	n=237
	sensitivity^ (95% CI)	sensitivity^ (95% CI)	sensitivity^ (95% CI)	sensitivity <sup>^</sup> (95% CI)	specificity^ (95% CI)	specificity <sup>^</sup> (95% CI)
CA19-9	60.8% (54.4%, 67%)	66.4% (59.6%, 72.6%)	36.7% (23.4%, 51.7%)	40% (25.7%, 55.7%)	98.8% (96.7%, 99.8%)	99.2% (97%, 99.9%)
CA19-9+CEA	64.5% (58.1%, 70.5%)	69.1% (62.5%, 75.2%)	40.8% (27%, 55.8%)	44.4% (29.6%, 60%)	96.9% (94%, 98.6%)	97% (94%, 98.8%)
CA19-9+CA125	64.1% (57.7%, 70.1%)	68.2% (61.6%, 74.3%)	40.8% (27%, 55.8%)	42.2% (27.7%, 57.8%)	97.3% (94.5%, 98.9%)	97.5% (94.6%, 99.1%)
CA19-9+Thrombospondin-2*	63.7% (57.3%, 69.7%)	69.1% (62.5%, 75.2%)	36.7% (23.4%, 51.7%)	40% (25.7%, 55.7%)	96.1% (93%, 98.1%)	96.2% (92.9%, 98.2%)
CA19-9+CEA+CA125	66.1% (59.8%, 72.0%)	70.5% (64%, 76.5%)	42.9% (28.8%, 57.8%)	42.2% (27.7%, 57.8%)	95.4% (92.1%, 97.6%)	95.4% (91.9%, 97.7%)
CA19-9+CEA+CA125+Thrombospondin-2*	68.2% (61.9%, 73.9%)	72.4% (65.9%, 78.2%)	42.9% (28.8%, 57.8%)	42.2% (27.7%, 57.8%)	93.1% (89.2%, 95.8%)	92.8% (88.8%, 95.8%)

\* THBS2 (thrombospondin-2) was not measured in most Stage I cases

Stage I by AJCC 8th edition; CI, confidence intervals

^Genotype defined cut-offs

Journal